

to warrant the preparation of a federalism assessment. FHWA has also determined that this action does not preempt any State law or State regulation or affect the States' ability to discharge traditional State governmental functions.

#### *F. Paperwork Reduction Act*

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless the collection displays a currently valid OMB control number. This rule is deregulatory and so would not impose any additional information collection requirements.

#### *G. National Environmental Policy Act*

FHWA has analyzed this rule pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and has determined that it is categorically excluded under 23 CFR 771.117(c)(20), which applies to the promulgation of rules, regulations, and directives. Categorically excluded actions meet the criteria for categorical exclusions under 23 CFR 771.117(a) and normally do not require any further NEPA approvals by FHWA. This rulemaking would remove requirements regarding management systems that are currently outdated. FHWA does not anticipate any adverse environmental impacts from this rule, and no unusual circumstances are present under 23 CFR 771.117(b).

#### *H. Executive Order 13175 (Tribal Consultation)*

E.O. 13175 requires Federal agencies to consult and coordinate with Tribes on a government-to-government basis on policies that have Tribal implications, including regulations, legislative comments or proposed legislation, and other policy statements or actions that have substantial direct effects on one or more Indian Tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes. FHWA has assessed the impact of this rule on Indian Tribes and determined that this rulemaking would not have Tribal implications that require consultation under E.O. 13175. This rule would only remove obsolete regulations, previously required by an outdated and superseded statutory provision. To the extent that FHWA and Federal land management agencies agree that safety, bridge, pavement, and congestion management systems are appropriate for certain facilities, such systems can be implemented without

the need for regulations under the authorities provided by TTP (23 U.S.C. 202), FLTP (23 U.S.C. 203), and FLAP (23 U.S.C. 204).

#### *I. Regulation Identifier Number*

A Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) is assigned to each regulatory action listed in the Unified Agenda of Federal Regulations. The Regulatory Information Service Center publishes the Unified Agenda in the spring and fall of each year. The RIN contained in the heading of this document can be used to cross reference this action with the Unified Agenda.

#### *J. Rulemaking Summary, 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(4)*

As required by 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(4), a summary of this rule can be found at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), under the docket number.

#### **List of Subjects in 23 CFR Part 972**

Bridges, Congestion management, Grant programs—transportation, Highways and roads, Management systems, Pavement management, Public lands, Safety management, Transportation, Wildlife refuge roads.

Issued in Washington, DC, under authority delegated in 49 CFR 1.85.

**Gloria M. Shepherd,**  
*Executive Director, Federal Highway Administration.*

#### **PART 972—[REMOVED AND RESERVED]**

■ For the reasons stated in the preamble, under the authority of 23 U.S.C. 315 FHWA removes 23 CFR part 972.

[FR Doc. 2025–18194 Filed 9–18–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–22–P**

#### **DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

##### **Coast Guard**

##### **33 CFR Part 100**

**[Docket Number USCG–2025–0651]**

**RIN 1625–AA08**

##### **Special Local Regulation; Tennessee River, Florence, Alabama**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a special local regulation for certain waters of the Tennessee River. This action is necessary to provide for the safety of life on these navigable waters near Florence, AL,

during a rowing event on September 27, 2025. This regulation prohibits persons and vessels from being in the safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector Ohio Valley or a designated representative.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 8 a.m. through 4 p.m. on September 27, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG–2025–0651 in the search box and click “Search.” Next, in the Document Type column, select “Supporting & Related Material.”

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this rule, call or email Petty Officer, Zachary T. Epps, and MSD Nashville, Waterways division, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone (206) 815–7006, email [MSDNashville@uscg.mil](mailto:MSDNashville@uscg.mil).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Table of Abbreviations**

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
§ Section  
U.S.C. United States Code

##### **II. Background Information and Regulatory History**

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary rule under the authority in 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B). This statutory provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” The Coast Guard finds that good cause exists to waive prior notice and public comment for this temporary final rule. A permanent annual special local regulation for this event has already been published in the Code of Federal Regulations at 33 CFR 100.801 Table 1, Line 95. However, this action is necessary to accommodate the event’s shift to an earlier date in September, rather than the event’s usual date in October, making it impracticable to conduct a full notice and comment period before the event’s scheduled occurrence this year.

##### **III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule**

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70041. The Captain of the Port Sector Ohio Valley (COTP) has determined that potential hazards associated with Shoals Scholar Dollar occurring on September 27, 2025, will be a safety concern for anyone

within Tennessee River Mile Marker 255 to 257 due to the occurrence of this paddleboat event. This rule is needed to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment in the navigable waters within the special local regulation while the regatta is in progress.

#### IV. Discussion of the Rule

This rule establishes a temporary special local regulation from 8 a.m. until 4 p.m. on September 27, 2025. The special local regulation will cover all navigable waters between Tennessee River Mile Marker 255 to 257. The duration of the special local regulation is intended to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment in these navigable waters during the regatta. No vessel or person will be permitted to enter the regulated area without first obtaining permission from the COTP or their designated representative.

#### V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analysis based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders.

##### A. Impact on Small Entities

The regulatory flexibility analysis provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, do not apply to rules not subject to notice and comment. As the Coast Guard has, for good cause, waived the notice and comment requirement that would otherwise apply to this rulemaking, the Regulatory Flexibility Act's flexibility analysis provisions do not apply here.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule affects your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please call or email the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by

employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

##### B. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

##### C. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

##### D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

##### E. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human

environment. This rule involves special local regulation lasting only 8 hours that will prohibit entry within Tennessee River Mile Markers 255 to 257 for the Shoals Scholar Dollar. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L61 of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 100 as set forth below:

#### PART 100—SAFETY OF LIFE ON NAVIGABLE WATERS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 46 U.S.C. 70041; 33 CFR 1.05–1.

■ 2. Add § 100.T899–0651 to read as follows:

##### § 100.T899–0651 Tennessee River Mile Markers, Florence, AL.

(a) *Regulated area.* The regulations in this section apply to the following area: all waters of the Tennessee River from Mile Marker 255 to 257.

(b) *Definitions.* As used in this section—

*Designated representative* means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard coxswain, petty officer, or other officer operating a Coast Guard vessel and a Federal, State, and local officer designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port Sector Ohio Valley (COTP) in the enforcement of the regulations in this section.

*Participant* means all persons and vessels registered with the event sponsor as a participant in the race.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) All non-participants are prohibited from entering, transiting through, anchoring in, or remaining within the regulated area described in paragraph (a) of this section unless authorized by the COTP or their designated representative.

(2) To seek permission to enter, contact the COTP or the COTP's representative by phone at (502) 779–5422. Those in the regulated area must comply with all lawful orders or directions given to them by the COTP or the designated representative.

(3) The COTP will provide notice of the regulated area through advanced notice via broadcast notice to mariners and by on-scene designated representatives.

(d) *Enforcement period.* This section will be enforced on September 27, 2025, from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m.

Dated: September 4, 2025.  
**Randy L. Preston,**  
*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Ohio Valley.*  
[FR Doc. 2025-18151 Filed 9-18-25; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 9110-04-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

**Coast Guard**

**33 CFR Part 165**

**Regulated Navigation Areas and Limited Access Areas**

**CFR Correction**

This rule is being published by the Office of the Federal Register to correct an editorial or technical error that appeared in the most recent annual revision of the Code of Federal Regulations.

In Title 33 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 165 to 199, revised as of July 1, 2025, under the undesignated heading “Fourteenth Coast Guard District”, redesignate section 165.1415 as 165.1414, and redesignate section 165.14-1414 as 165.1415.

[FR Doc. 2025-18201 Filed 9-18-25; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 0099-10-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services**

**42 CFR Part 422**

**[CMS-4208-F2]**

**RIN 0938-AV40**

**Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Contract Year 2026 Policy and Technical Changes to the Medicare Advantage Program, Medicare Prescription Drug Benefit Program, Medicare Cost Plan Program, and Programs of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE)—Finalization of Format Provider Directories for Medicare Plan Finder**

**AGENCY:** Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).  
**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule implements Medicare Advantage disclosure requirement changes.

**DATES:**  
*Effective date:* These regulations are effective November 17, 2025.  
*Applicability date:* This final rule is applicable beginning January 1, 2026.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Naseem Tarmohamed, (410) 786-0814.  
**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Executive Summary**

*A. Purpose*

The primary purpose of this final rule is to amend the regulations pertaining to disclosure requirements under 42 CFR 422.111 for the Medicare Advantage (MA) (that is, Part C) program. In this

final rule, CMS is finalizing a new requirement that will increase beneficiaries’ access to provider data while comparing plans in the CMS Medicare Plan Finder (MPF) tool, which will contribute to the beneficiaries’ ability to make more informed decisions about their health care.

*B. Summary of the Provision—Format Provider Directories for Medicare Plan Finder*

CMS is finalizing the proposed requirement for MA provider directory data to be submitted to CMS/HHS for publication online in accordance with guidance from CMS/HHS. In addition, CMS is finalizing the proposal that MA provider directory data be updated within 30 days of the date an MA organization becomes aware of changes to that data. CMS is also finalizing the proposal to require MA organizations to attest at least annually that the MA provider directory information is accurate when the attestation is provided to CMS. These regulatory changes will further promote informed beneficiary choice and transparency found in online resources, empowering people with Medicare to make informed choices about their coverage. CMS is not finalizing the portion of the proposal that would have required MA organizations to attest that their MA provider directory data are consistent with data submitted to comply with CMS’s MA network adequacy requirements under § 422.116(a)(2)(i). MA organizations already attest that they have an adequate network for access and availability of a specific provider or facility type.

*C. Summary of Costs and Benefits*

TABLE 1—SUMMARY OF COSTS AND BENEFITS

Provision	Description	Financial impact
Format Provider Directories for Medicare Plan Finder.	To require MA provider directory data, as required under § 422.111(b)(3)(i), to be submitted to CMS/HHS for publication online in a format, manner, and timeframe determined by CMS/HHS. Additionally, to also require MA organizations to attest at least annually that this information is accurate when the attestation is submitted to CMS in accordance with guidance from CMS/HHS. CMS is not finalizing the portion of the proposed attestation requirement that would have required MA organizations to attest that the provider directory data are consistent with data submitted to comply with CMS’s MA network adequacy requirements at § 422.116(a)(2)(i). MA organizations already attest that they have an adequate network for access and availability of a specific provider or facility type.	These changes will not affect the Medicare Trust fund. The paperwork burden is \$500,000 annually.

*D. Publication of the Proposed and Final Rules*

The proposed rule titled “Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Contract Year 2026 Policy and Technical Changes to the Medicare Advantage Program,

Medicare Prescription Drug Benefit Program, Medicare Cost Plan Program, and Programs of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly” appeared in the December 10, 2024, **Federal Register** (89 FR

99340) (hereinafter referred to as the “December 2024 proposed rule”). In response to the December 2024 proposed rule, CMS received approximately 31,227 timely pieces of correspondence containing multiple comments on the proposed rule, with