

specific ad valorem or per-unit rate is greater than *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent), Commerce will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation.¹¹ Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is zero or *de minimis*, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.¹²

Consistent with Commerce's assessment practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by OARC for which the producer did not know that its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹³

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for OARC will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not participating in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the producer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation (i.e., 3.89 percent).¹⁴ These

cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 351.221(b)(5) of Commerce's regulations.

Dated: September 8, 2025.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Application of Adverse Inference
- V. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Whether Commerce Should Apply Total Adverse Facts Available (AFA) to OARC
 - Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Include OARC's Cost Variances
 - Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Adjust its Calculation of OARC's Affiliated Purchases of Liquid Aluminum
 - Comment 4: Whether Commerce Erred in Its Calculation of the Selling and Profit

Ratios Imputed to OARC

VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2025–17682 Filed 9–11–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–351–856]

Certain Aluminum Foil From Brazil: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Companhia Brasileira de Alumínio and CBA Itapissuma Ltda. (collectively, CBA) made sales of certain aluminum foil (aluminum foil) from Brazil at prices below normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) November 1, 2022, through October 31, 2023.

DATES: Applicable September 12, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George McMahon, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1167.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 13, 2024, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the *Preliminary Results* of this review and invited interested parties to comment.¹ Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

A summary of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results* may be found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a

¹ See *Certain Aluminum Foil from Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023*, 89 FR 100965 (December 13, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Aluminum Foil from Brazil; 2022–2023,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

¹³ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

¹⁴ See *Certain Aluminum Foil from the Sultanate of Oman: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less-Than-Fair-Value*, 86 FR 52876 (September 23, 2021).

complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Scope of the Order ³

The merchandise covered by scope of the *Order* is aluminum foil from Brazil. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴

Analysis of Comments Received

We addressed the issues raised by parties for these final results of review in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues that parties raised, and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is provided in the appendix to this notice.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received from interested parties, we made certain changes to the *Preliminary Results*. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of Administrative Review

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period November 1, 2022, through October 31, 2023:

Exporter or producer	Estimated weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Companhia Brasileira de Alumínio/CBA Itapissuma Ltda. ⁵	18.74

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations and analysis performed in connection with the final results of this administrative review to interested parties within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public

announcement, within five days of the publication date of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. For CBA, we calculated importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of those sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer-specific assessment rate is *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), the entries by that importer will be liquidated without regard to antidumping duties. For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by CBA for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.⁶

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies subject to this review will be equal to the company-specific weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of the review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in

the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the producer has been covered in a prior completed segment of this proceeding, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the completed segment for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 13.93 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation of this proceeding.⁷ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the destruction or return of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the destruction or return of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: September 8, 2025.

Christopher Abbott,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order

³ See *Certain Aluminum Foil from the Republic of Armenia, Brazil, the Sultanate of Oman, the Russian Federation, and the Republic of Turkey: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 86 FR 62790 (November 12, 2021) (*Order*).

⁴ See Issues and Decision Memorandum at 3.

⁵ Commerce preliminarily determined that Companhia Brasileira de Alumínio and CBA Itapissuma Ltda. are affiliated, within the meaning of sections 771(33)(E) and (G) of the Act, and should be treated as a single entity, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.401(f). See *Preliminary Results*, 89 FR at 100966, and PDM at 1. Commerce received no comments regarding this preliminary determination. Accordingly, Commerce continues to find that Companhia Brasileira de Alumínio and CBA Itapissuma Ltda. are affiliated and continues to treat these companies as a single entity.

⁶ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

⁷ See *Order*, 86 FR at 62791.

IV. Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*

V. Discussion of the Issues

Comment 1: Whether to Apply the Major Inputs Rule to Certain CBA Itapissuma Costs

Comment 2: Whether to Use Non-Smoothed Costs for All Cost Elements

Comment 3: Whether to Apply the Transactions Disregarded Adjustment to CBA Aluminio's Costs Only

Comment 4: Whether to Treat Duty Drawback Symmetrically

Comment 5: Whether to Assign Costs to Sales of Control Numbers (CONNUMs) with No Production During the POR

VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2025–17679 Filed 9–11–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–122–863]

Large Diameter Welded Pipe From Canada: Preliminary Results, Preliminary Determination of No Shipments and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023–2024

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that large diameter welded pipe (LDWP) from Canada was sold in the United States at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) of May 1, 2023, through April 30, 2024. Further, we preliminarily find that Evraz Inc. NA (Evraz) had no reviewable shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. Finally, Commerce is rescinding this administrative review, in part, with respect to certain companies that had no entries of subject merchandise during the POR. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable September 12, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Allison Hollander, AD/CVD Operations, Office IX, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2805.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 2, 2019, Commerce published in the *Federal Register* the antidumping duty (AD) order on LDWP from Canada.¹ On July 5, 2024, based on

¹ See *Large Diameter Welded Pipe from Canada: Antidumping Duty Order*, 84 FR 18775 (May 2, 2019) (*Order*).

timely requests for review from the petitioner and Pipe & Piling Supplies (Pipe & Piling),² in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the *Order* covering 41 exporters and/or producers.³ On July 22, 2024, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by seven days.⁴ Further, on December 9, 2024, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by an additional 90 days.⁵ On April 23, 2025, we extended the deadline for these preliminary results to September 5, 2025.⁶ For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁷

Scope of the Order

The product subject to the *Order* is LDWP from Canada. A complete description of the scope of the *Order* is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1)(B) and (2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act, Commerce preliminarily relied entirely upon facts otherwise available with adverse inferences for Pipe & Piling. For a

² In the 2022–2023 administrative review, Commerce found that Pipe & Piling Supplies Ltd., 1045761 Ontario Ltd. (Operated as Canadian Phoenix Steel Products), and Spirarco Inc. should be collapsed and treated as a single entity (collectively, Pipe & Piling). See *Large Diameter Welded Pipe from Canada: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023*, 89 FR 44635 (May 21, 2024), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum, unchanged in *Large Diameter Welded Pipe from Canada: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023*, (October 31, 2024).

³ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 89 FR 55567 (July 5, 2024). We note that Commerce initiated reviews on two name variations for Pipe & Piling (i.e., Pipe & Piling Sply Ltd. and Pipe & Piling Supplies), as well as for Prudential (Prudential), Shaw Pipe Protection (Shaw Pipe Protection), and Lorenz Conveying Products (Lorenz Conveying P.).

⁴ See Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings,” dated July 22, 2024.

⁵ See Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings,” dated December 9, 2024.

⁶ See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of 2023–2024 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated April 23, 2025.

⁷ See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the 2023–2024 Antidumping Duty Order on Large Diameter Welded Pipe from Canada,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this memorandum (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

complete description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached in Appendix I of this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is available at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Rescission of Review, In Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation in the *Federal Register*. On October 3, 2024, the petitioner timely withdrew its request for review of Canam (St Gedeon).⁸ Because no other party requested a review of this company, Commerce is rescinding this review with respect to Canam (St Gedeon), in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Further, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), Commerce will rescind an administrative review when there are no reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which liquidation is suspended. Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the AD assessment rate calculated for the POR.⁹ Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be at least one reviewable, suspended entry that Commerce can instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate at the AD assessment rate calculated for the review period.¹⁰

On May 20, 2025, we notified parties of our intent to rescind this administrative review, in part, with respect to the companies listed in Appendix II because there were no suspended entries of subject merchandise produced or exported by

⁸ See Petitioner’s Letter, “Partial Withdraw of Request for Administrative Review,” dated October 3, 2024. We note that while the petitioner also withdrew its request for review of Pipe & Piling Sply Ltd and Pipe & Piling Supplies, Pipe & Piling also requested its own review.

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).