

Dated: September 5, 2025.

**Christopher Abbott,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

**Appendix**

**List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum**

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Methodology
- V. Currency Conversion
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2025–17457 Filed 9–10–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A–122–857]

**Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) is amending the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain softwood lumber products (softwood lumber) from Canada to correct certain ministerial and typographical errors. The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2023, through December 31, 2023.

**DATES:** Applicable September 11, 2025.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Martin or Joshua Jacobson, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3936 or (202) 482–0266, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On July 29, 2025, Commerce published, in the *Federal Register*, the *Final Results* of the administrative review of the AD order on softwood lumber from Canada for this POR.<sup>1</sup> On August 5 and 6, 2025, we received

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Administrative Review, and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2023*, 90 FR 35666 (July 29, 2025) (*Final Results*), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (IDM).

timely-filed ministerial error allegations from Canfor<sup>2</sup> and West Fraser,<sup>3</sup> the mandatory respondents in this administrative review.<sup>4</sup> On August 11, 2025, we received comments on West Fraser’s ministerial error allegations from the Committee Overseeing Action for Lumber International Trade Investigations or Negotiations (the petitioner).<sup>5</sup> We are amending the *Final Results* to correct the ministerial errors raised by Canfor.<sup>6</sup> Further, pursuant to our correction of the *Final Results* with respect to Canfor, we are revising our calculation of the dumping margin for the non-selected companies.<sup>7</sup> Additionally, this notice corrects the names of two non-examined companies that Commerce listed incorrectly in the *Final Results*.

**Legal Framework**

Section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), defines a “ministerial error” as including “errors in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other unintentional error which the administering authority considers ministerial.”<sup>8</sup> With respect to final results of administrative reviews, 19 CFR 351.224(e) provides that Commerce “will analyze any comments received and, if appropriate, correct any . . . ministerial error by amending the final results of review . . . .”

**Ministerial Errors**

In the *Final Results*, we made certain revisions to our preliminary results

<sup>2</sup> As described in the *Final Results* IDM at 1, n.2, we have treated Canfor Corporation, Canadian Forest Products Ltd. (CFP), Canfor Wood Products Marketing Ltd., Canfor Fox Creek Ltd. and Canfor Whitecourt Ltd. (collectively, Canfor) as a single entity.

<sup>3</sup> As described in the *Final Results* IDM at 1, n.3, we have treated West Fraser Mills Ltd., Blue Ridge Lumber Inc., Manning Forest Products Ltd., and Sundre Forest Products Inc. (collectively, West Fraser) as a single entity.

<sup>4</sup> See Canfor’s Letter, “Canfor’s Ministerial Error Comments,” dated August 5, 2025 (Canfor’s Ministerial Error Allegations); see also West Fraser’s Letter, “Request for Correction of Ministerial Errors in the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review Calculations,” dated August 6, 2025 (West Fraser’s Ministerial Error Allegations).

<sup>5</sup> See Petitioner’s Letter, “Reply to West Fraser’s Comments Concerning Ministerial Errors,” dated August 11, 2025 (Petitioner’s Comments).

<sup>6</sup> See Memorandum, “Analysis of Ministerial Error Allegations,” dated concurrently with this notice (Ministerial Error Memorandum).

<sup>7</sup> See Memorandum, “Calculation of the Rate for Non-Selected Respondents,” dated concurrently with this notice (Non-Selected Rate Analysis Memorandum). A list of the non-selected companies under review is included here as Appendix I.

<sup>8</sup> See 19 CFR 351.224(f).

calculations for Canfor.<sup>9</sup> In its ministerial error comments, Canfor alleged that Commerce made two errors regarding the calculation of Canfor’s general and administrative (G&A) expenses.

We agree with Canfor that we made two ministerial errors in calculating Canfor’s G&A expenses in the *Final Results*, pursuant to section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f), and have amended our calculations to correct these errors.<sup>10</sup> We also revised our calculation of the dumping margin for the non-selected companies.<sup>11</sup>

In its ministerial error comments, West Fraser alleged that Commerce mistakenly used the wrong databases in calculating West Fraser’s final weighted-average dumping margin. In its rebuttal comments, the petitioner argued that Commerce used the only data available in calculating West Fraser’s final dumping margin, and thus that West Fraser’s allegations cannot be considered ministerial errors pursuant to section 735(e) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f).

We disagree with West Fraser that we made a ministerial error in the *Final Results*, pursuant to section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f), and thus have not amended our calculations with respect to West Fraser.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the *Final Results* to correct the ministerial errors with regards to Canfor’s allegations. In doing so, the calculation of the weighted-average dumping margin for Canfor changes from 35.53 percent to 35.47 percent.<sup>12</sup> Additionally, we are also amending the rate for the companies not selected for individual examination in this review, based on the weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the mandatory respondents,<sup>13</sup> from 20.56 percent to 20.53 percent.<sup>14</sup> For a

<sup>9</sup> See Memoranda, “Analysis for the Final Results of the Fifth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada—Canfor Corporation, Canadian Forest Products Ltd., and Canfor Wood Products Marketing Ltd.,” dated July 24, 2025, at Attachment 1.

<sup>10</sup> See Ministerial Error Memorandum; see also Memorandum, “Analysis for the Amended Final Results of the Fifth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada—Canfor Corporation,” dated concurrently with this memorandum (Canfor Amended Final Calculation Memorandum).

<sup>11</sup> See Non-Selected Rate Analysis Memorandum. A list of the non-selected companies under review is included here as Appendix I.

<sup>12</sup> See Ministerial Error Memorandum; see also Canfor Amended Final Calculation Memorandum.

<sup>13</sup> The margin for the other mandatory respondent, West Fraser, remains unchanged from the *Final Results* and continues to be 9.65 percent.

<sup>14</sup> See Non-Selected Rate Analysis Memorandum.

complete discussion of the ministerial error allegations, as well as Commerce’s analysis, *see* the accompanying Ministerial Error Memorandum.<sup>15</sup> The Ministerial Error Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via ACCESS. ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>.

**Corrections**

In the **Federal Register** of July 29, 2025, in FR Doc 2025–14298, on page 35669, in the first column, correct “Kings Wood Products Linc.” to “Kings Wood Products Inc.” and “in the second column, correct Trans-Pacific Trading Ltd.” to “TRAPA Forest Products Ltd.”

For a list of all non-examined companies that incorporates these corrections, *see* the appendix to this notice.

**Amended Final Results of Review**

As a result of correcting the ministerial errors described above, we determine the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins for the period January 1, 2023, through December 31, 2023:

Exporter or producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Canfor Corporation .....	35.47
Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination .....	20.53

**Disclosure**

We intend to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these amended final results of review to parties in this review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

**Assessment Rates**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the amended final results of this review.

We intend to calculate importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for each importer’s (or customer’s) examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer- (or customer-) specific rate is zero or *de*

*minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For the companies not selected for individual review, we used an assessment rate based on the weighted average dumping margins of the mandatory respondents, based on their publicly ranged sales data.<sup>16</sup> The amended final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the amended final results of this review and for the future deposits of estimated duties where applicable.<sup>17</sup>

Commerce’s “reseller policy” will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise they sold to the intermediary (*e.g.*, a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.<sup>18</sup>

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 41 days after the date of publication of the amended final results of this review in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 19 CFR 356.8(a). If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following amended cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after July 29, 2025, the publication date of the *Final Results*, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the amended cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in these amended final results of review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will

continue to be the company-specific rate published in the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the producer has been covered in a prior completed segment of this proceeding, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the completed segment for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 6.04 percent *ad valorem*, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.<sup>19</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

**Notice Regarding Administrative Protective Order**

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

**Notification to Interested Parties**

We are issuing and publishing these amended final results of review in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e).

<sup>15</sup> See Ministerial Error Memorandum.

<sup>16</sup> See Non-Selected Rate Analysis Memorandum.

<sup>17</sup> See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

<sup>18</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, *see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

<sup>19</sup> See *Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Affirmative Final Determination of Critical Circumstances*, 82 FR 51806 (November 8, 2017).

Dated: September 5, 2025.

**Christopher Abbott,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

**Appendix**

**Non-Selected Exporters/Producers**

1. 10104704 Manitoba Ltd. O/A Woodstock Forest Products
2. 1074712 BC Ltd.; Quadra Cedar
3. 5214875 Manitoba Ltd.
4. 54 Reman
5. 9224-5737 Quebec inc.; A.G. Bois
6. 0752615 B.C Ltd.; Frasersview Remanufacturing Inc.; Frasersview Cedar Products.
7. Absolute Lumber Products Ltd.
8. Adwood Manufacturing Ltd.
9. AJ Forest Products Ltd.
10. Aler Forest Products Ltd.
11. Alpa Lumber Mills Inc.
12. Andersen Pacific Forest Products Ltd.
13. Anglo American Cedar Products Ltd.; Anglo-American Cedar Products Ltd.
14. Antrim Cedar Corporation
15. Aquila Cedar Products Ltd.
16. Arbec Lumber Inc.; Arbec Bois Doeuvre Inc.
17. Aspen Pacific Industries Inc.
18. Aspen Planers Ltd.
19. B&L Forest Products Ltd.
20. B.B. Pallets Inc.; Les Palettes B.B.Inc.
21. Babine Forest Products Limited
22. Bakerview Forest Products Inc.
23. Barrette-Chapais Ltee
24. BarretteWood Inc.
25. Benoît & Dionne Produits Forestiers Ltee; Benoît & Dionne Forest Products Ltd.
26. Blanchet Multi Concept Inc.
27. Blanchette & Blanchette Inc.
28. Bois Aise de Montreal Inc.
29. Bois Bonsai Inc.
30. Bois Daaquam Inc.; Daaquam Lumber Inc.
31. Bois D'oeuvre Cedrico Inc.; Cedrico Lumber Inc.
32. Bois et Solutions Marketing SPEC, Inc.; SPEC Wood & Marketing Solution; SPEC Wood and Marketing Solutions Inc.
33. Bois Weedon Inc.
34. Boisaco Inc.
35. Boscus Canada Inc.
36. Boucher Bros. Lumber Ltd.
37. BPWood Ltd.
38. Bramwood Forest Inc.
39. Brink Forest Products Ltd.
40. Brunswick Valley Lumber Inc.
41. Burrows Lumber (CD) Ltd., Theo A. Burrows Lumber Company Limited
42. Busque & Laflamme Inc.
43. Canadian Bavarian Millwork & Lumber Ltd.
44. Canasia Forest Industries Ltd.
45. Canyon Lumber Company Ltd.
46. Carrier & Begin Inc.
47. Carrier Forest Products Ltd.
48. Carrier Lumber Ltd.
49. Carter Forest Products Inc.
50. Cedar Island Forest Products Ltd.
51. Cedar Valley Holdings Ltd.
52. Cedarland Forest Products Ltd.
53. Cedarline Industries Ltd.
54. Central Cedar Ltd.
55. Central Forest Products Inc.
56. Centurion Lumber Ltd.
57. Chaleur Forest Products Inc.
58. Chaleur Forest Products LP
59. Channel-ex Trading Corporation
60. CHAP Alliance, Inc.
61. Chinook Wood Products Ltd.
62. Clair Industrial Development Corp. Ltd.
63. Clermond Hamel Ltee
64. CLG Enterprises Inc.
65. CNH Products Inc.
66. Columbia River Shake & Shingle Ltd./ Teal Cedar Products Ltd., DBA the Teal Jones Group.
67. Commonwealth Plywood Co. Ltd.
68. Conifex Fibre Marketing Inc.
69. Cowichan Lumber Ltd.
70. CS Manufacturing Inc. (dba Cedarshed)
71. CWP—Industriel Inc.
72. CWP—Montreal Inc.
73. D & D Pallets Ltd.
74. Dakeryn Industries Ltd.
75. Decker Lake Forest Products Ltd.
76. Deep Cove Forest Products, Inc.
77. Delco Forest Products Ltd.
78. Delta Cedar Specialties Ltd.
79. Devon Lumber Co. Ltd.
80. DH Manufacturing Inc.
81. Direct Cedar Supplies Ltd.
82. Doubletree Forest Products Ltd.
83. Downie Timber Ltd.
84. Dunkley Lumber Ltd.
85. EACOM Timber Corporation
86. East Fraser Fiber Co. Ltd.
87. Edgewood Forest Products Inc.
88. ER Probyn Export Ltd.
89. Falcon Lumber Ltd.
90. Fontaine Inc.
91. Foothills Forest Products Inc.
92. Forest Products Mauricie LP; Societe en commandite Scierie Opitciwan; Resolute Growth Canada Inc.; Resolute FP Canada Inc.; Resolute-LP Engineered Wood Larouche Inc.; Resolute-LP Engineered Wood St-Prime Limited Partnership
93. Fort St. James Forest Products Limited Partnership
94. Fraser Specialty Products Ltd.
95. FraserWood Industries Ltd.
96. Furtado Forest Products Ltd.
97. Gldwood Industries Ltd.
98. Goodfellow Inc.
99. Gorman Bros. Lumber Ltd.
100. GreenFirst Forest Products (QC) Inc.
101. Greenwell Resources Inc.
102. Griff Building Supplies Ltd.
103. Groupe Crete Chertsey Inc.
104. Groupe Crete Division St-Faustin Inc.
105. Groupe Lebel Inc.
106. H.J. Crabbe & Sons Ltd.
107. Haida Forest Products Ltd.
108. Halo Sawmill, a division of Delta Cedar Specialties Ltd.; Halo Sawmill Manufacturing Limited Partnership
109. Hampton Tree Farms, LLC (dba Hampton Lumber Sales Canada)
110. Hornepayne Lumber LP
111. Hudson Mitchell & Sons Lumber Inc.
112. Independent Building Materials Distribution Inc.
113. Interfor Corporation; Interfor Sales & Marketing Ltd.
114. Intertran Holdings Ltd. (dba Richmond Terminal)
115. Island Cedar Products Ltd.
116. Ivor Forest Products Ltd.
117. J&G Log Works Ltd.
118. J.D. Irving, Limited
119. J.H. Huscroft Ltd.
120. Jan Woodlands (2001) Inc.
121. Jasco Forest Products Ltd.
122. Jazz Forest Products Ltd.
123. Jhaji Lumber Corporation
124. Kalesnikoff Lumber Co. Ltd.
125. Kan Wood Ltd.
126. Kebois Ltee; Kebois Ltd.
127. Kelfor Industries Ltd.
128. Kermode Forest Products Ltd.
129. Keystone Timber Ltd.
130. Kings Wood Products Inc.
131. Kirkland Lake Forest Products Inc.
132. La Crete Sawmills Ltd.
133. Lafontaine Lumber Inc.
134. Langevin Forest Products Inc.
135. Lecours Lumber Co. Limited
136. Leisures Lumber Ltd.
137. Les Bardeaux Lajoie Inc.
138. Les Bois d'oeuvre Beaudoin Gauthier Inc.
139. Les Bois Martek Lumber
140. Les Chantiers de Chibougamau Ltee; Les Chantiers de Chibougamau Ltd.
141. Les Industries P.F. Inc.
142. Les Produits Forestiers D&G Ltee; D&G Forest Products Ltd.
143. Les Produits Forestiers Sitka Inc. (aka Sitka Forest Products Inc.)
144. Leslie Forest Products Ltd.
145. Lignum Forest Products LLP
146. Linwood Homes Ltd.
147. Lonestar Lumber Inc.
148. Lulumco Inc.
149. Madera Forest Products INC
150. Magnum Forest Products Ltd.
151. Maibec Inc.
152. Mainland Sawmill, a division of Terminal Forest Products
153. Manitou Forest Products Ltd.
154. Marcel Lauzon Inc.
155. Marwood Ltd.
156. Matériaux Blanchet Inc.
157. Metrie Canada Ltd.
158. Mid Valley Lumber Specialties Ltd.
159. Midway Lumber Mills Ltd.
160. Mill & Timber Products Ltd.
161. Millar Western Forest Products Ltd.
162. Mirax Lumber Products Ltd.
163. Mobilier Rustique (Beauce) Inc.
164. Monterra Lumber Mills Limited
165. Morwood Forest Products Inc.
166. Multicedre Ltee
167. Murray Brothers Lumber Company Ltd.
168. Nakina Lumber Inc.
169. National Forest Products Ltd.
170. Nicholson and Cates Ltd.
171. Norsask Forest Products Inc.
172. Norsask Forest Products Limited Partnership
173. North American Forest Products Ltd. (located in Abbotsford, British Columbia)
174. North American Forest Products Ltd. (located in Saint-Quentin, New Brunswick)
175. North Enderby Timber Ltd.
176. Northland Forest Products Ltd.
177. Oakwood Manufacturing A Division of Weston Forest Products Inc.
178. Olympic Industries Inc.
179. Olympic Industries ULC
180. Oregon Canadian Forest Products; Oregon Canadian Forest Products Inc.
181. Pacific Coast Cedar Products Ltd.
182. Pacific Lumber Remanufacturing Inc.

183. Pacific NorthWest Lumber Ltd.  
 184. Pacific Western Wood Works Ltd.  
 185. PalletSource Inc.  
 186. Parallel Wood Products Ltd.  
 187. Partap Forest Products Ltd.  
 188. Peak Industries (Cranbrook) Ltd.  
 189. Phoenix Forest Products Inc.  
 190. Pine Ideas Ltd.  
 191. Plaster Rock Lumber Corporation  
 192. Pioneer Pallet & Lumber Ltd.  
 193. Porcupine Wood Products Ltd.  
 194. Power Wood Corp.  
 195. Precision Cedar Products Corp.  
 196. Produits Forestiers Petit Paris Inc.  
 197. Produits Matra Inc.  
 198. Promobois G.D.S. Inc.  
 199. R.A. Green Lumber Ltd.  
 200. RBC Timber Products  
 201. Rembos Inc.  
 202. Rene Bernard Inc.  
 203. Rielly Industrial Lumber Inc.  
 204. River City Remanufacturing Inc.  
 205. Riverside Forest Products Inc.  
 206. S&R Sawmills Ltd.  
 207. San Group  
 208. San Industries Ltd.  
 209. Sapphire Lumber Company  
 210. Sawarne Lumber Co. Ltd.  
 211. Scierie Alexandre Lemay & Fils Inc.  
 212. Scierie St-Michel Inc.  
 213. Scierie West Brome Inc.  
 214. Scott Lumber Sales; Scott Lumber Sales Ltd.  
 215. Sechoirs de Beauce Inc.  
 216. Shakertown Corp.  
 217. Sigurdson Forest Products Ltd.  
 218. Sinclar Group Forest Products Ltd.  
 219. Skana Forest Products Ltd.  
 220. Skeena Sawmills Ltd.  
 221. Star Lumber Canada Ltd.  
 222. South Beach Trading Inc.  
 223. Specialiste du Bardeau de Cedre Inc.;  
 Specialiste du Bardeau de Cedre Inc.  
 (SBC)  
 224. Spruceland Millworks Inc.  
 225. Sundher Timber Products Inc.  
 226. Surrey Cedar Ltd.  
 227. T.G. Wood Products  
 228. Taan Forest Limited Partnership (aka  
 Taan Forest Products)  
 229. Taiga Building Products Ltd.  
 230. Tall Tree Lumber Company  
 231. Tenryu Canada Corporation  
 232. Terminal Forest Products Ltd.  
 233. The Wood Source Inc.  
 234. Tolko Industries Ltd.; Tolko Marketing  
 and Sales Ltd.; Gilbert Smith Forest  
 Products Ltd.  
 235. Top Quality Lumber Ltd.  
 236. TRAPA Forest Products Ltd.  
 237. Triad Forest Products Ltd.  
 238. Twin Rivers Paper Co. Inc.  
 239. Tyee Timber Products Ltd.  
 240. Universal Lumber Sales Ltd.  
 241. Usine Sartigan Inc.  
 242. Vaagen Fibre Canada ULC  
 243. Vancouver Specialty Cedar Products  
 Ltd.  
 244. Vancouver Urban Timberworks Ltd.;  
 Van Urban  
 245. Vanderhoof Specialty Wood Products  
 Ltd.  
 246. Vanderwell Contractors (1971) Ltd.  
 247. Visscher Lumber Inc.  
 248. W.I. Woodtone Industries Inc.  
 249. West Bay Forest Products Ltd.

250. Western Forest Products Inc.  
 251. Western Lumber Sales Limited  
 252. Western Timber Products, Inc.  
 253. Westminster Industries Ltd.  
 254. Weston Forest Products Inc.  
 255. Westrend Exteriors Inc  
 256. Weyerhaeuser Co.  
 257. White River Forest Products L.P.  
 258. Woodline Forest Products Ltd.  
 259. Woodtone Specialties Inc.

[FR Doc. 2025-17453 Filed 9-10-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-883, A-588-878, A-549-837, C-533-884, C-570-081]

#### **Glycine From India, Japan, Thailand, and the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Scope Ruling and Notice of Amended Final Scope Ruling Pursuant to Court Decision**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 20, 2025, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in *Deer Park Glycine, LLC v. United States*, Court No. 23-00238, sustaining the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce)'s remand redetermination pertaining to the final scope ruling for the antidumping duty orders on glycine from India, Japan, and Thailand, and the countervailing duty orders on glycine from India and the People's Republic of China. In the underlying ruling, Commerce determined that calcium glycinate was not covered by the scope of the orders. Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgment is not in harmony with Commerce's final scope ruling, and notifying the public of Commerce's final scope ruling finding that calcium glycinate is subject to the orders.

**DATES:** Applicable August 30, 2025.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brian Davis, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-7924.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Background**

On October 11, 2023, Commerce issued its Final Scope Ruling finding that calcium glycinate is not subject to the scope of the *Orders*.<sup>1</sup> Deer Park LLC

<sup>1</sup> See Memorandum, "Scope Ruling on Calcium Glycinate," dated October 11, 2023 (Final Scope

appealed Commerce's Final Scope Ruling. On April 10, 2025, the CIT remanded the Final Scope Ruling to Commerce, holding that Commerce's determination was unreasonable and remanding for Commerce to: (1) analyze whether calcium glycinate is a form of crude or technical glycine, which would bring it within the scope of the *Orders*,<sup>2</sup> and (2) specifically consider information in the scope application and the ITC Report that detracted from Commerce's interpretation of the scope language and its ultimate determination that calcium glycinate is not subject to the *Orders*.<sup>3</sup>

In its final remand redetermination, issued on July 9, 2025, Commerce found calcium glycinate to be covered by the scope of the *Orders* as a form of crude or technical glycine.<sup>4</sup> On August 20, 2025, the CIT sustained Commerce's final redetermination.<sup>5</sup>

#### **Timken Notice**

In its decision in *Timken*,<sup>6</sup> as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,<sup>7</sup> the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's August 20, 2025, judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce's Final Scope Ruling. Thus, this notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

#### **Amended Final Scope Ruling**

In accordance with the CIT's August 20, 2025, final judgment, Commerce is providing notice of its Final Scope Ruling, finding that calcium glycinate is subject to the scope of the *Orders*.

Ruling); see also *Glycine from India and Japan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Order*, 84 FR 29170 (June 21, 2019); *Glycine from Thailand: Antidumping Duty Order*, 84 FR 55912 (October 18, 2019); and *Glycine from India and the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 84 FR 29173 (June 1, 2019) (collectively, the *Orders*).

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

<sup>3</sup> See *Deer Park Glycine, LLC v. United States*, Slip Op. 25-38 (April 10, 2025) (*Deer Park Glycine, LLC v. United States*).

<sup>4</sup> See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, Deer Park Glycine, LLC v. United States*, Court No. 23-00238, Slip Op. 25-38, dated July 9, 2025 (*Final Remand*).

<sup>5</sup> See *Deer Park Glycine, LLC v. United States*.

<sup>6</sup> See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

<sup>7</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).