

Group 10–10 at 0.6 parts per million (ppm) to include a national tolerance for Crop Subgroup 10–10B lemon/lime at 0.6 ppm, while establishing separate, remaining regionally restricted tolerances for Grapefruit, subgroup 10–10C (CA, AZ, TX only) at 0.5 ppm and Orange, subgroup 10–10A (CA, AZ, TX only) at 0.5 ppm. The methodology, Morse Laboratories, LLC, Analytical Method# Meth-220, Original, titled “Determination of Hexythiazox In/On Various Matrices,” dated May 6, 2013, with method modifications dated May 16, 2013, was reviewed by the Agency in the study entitled “Magnitude of the Residue of Hexythiazox in or on Citrus Raw Agricultural Commodities Following One Application of Onager® 1E Miticide”. The same method was used in the new residue data generated to amend the crop subgroup 10–10B lemon/lime tolerance, nationally. *Date of receipt:* April 9, 2024. *Contact:* RD.

• *PP 4F9136.* EPA–HQ–OPP–2024–0323. BASF Corporation, 26 Davis Drive, P.O. Box 13528, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, requests to establish tolerances in 40 CFR 180.649(a)(1) for residues of the herbicide saflufenacil, including its metabolites and degradates, in or on Corn, pop, forage at 0.4 parts per million (ppm); corn, pop, stover at 5 ppm; corn, sweet, forage at 0.5 ppm; corn, sweet, stover at 0.6 ppm; soybean, forage at 0.3 ppm; and soybean, hay at 0.3 ppm. Adequate enforcement methodology (liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS)) methods for plant and livestock commodities are available to enforce the tolerance expression. *Date of receipt:* April 29, 2024. *Contact:* RD.

• *PP 4F9138.* EPA–HQ–OPP–2025–0183. Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC., P.O. Box 18300, Greensboro, NC 27419–8300, requests to establish a tolerance in 40 CFR part 180 for residues of the fungicide pydiflumetofen including its metabolites and degradates in or on sugarcane at 0.05 parts per million (ppm). The QuEChERS and GRM061.03A methods are used to measure and evaluate the chemical pydiflumetofen. *Date of receipt:* May 2, 2024. *Contact:* RD.

• *PP 4F9163.* EPA–HQ–OPP–2025–0219. BASF Corporation, 26 Davis Drive, P.O. Box 13528, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709–3528, requests to establish a tolerance in 40 CFR part 180 for residues of the fungicide pyraclostrobin and its desmethoxy metabolite in or on tea, dried at 20 parts per million (ppm). The BASF no. 535/1 (L0076/09) method is used to measure and evaluate the chemical pyraclostrobin and its

metabolite. *Date of receipt:* December 17, 2024. *Contact:* RD.

• *PP5E9165.* EPA–HQ–OPP–2025–0210. Bayer CropScience LP, 800 N. Lindbergh Blvd., St. Louis, MO 63167, requests to establish a tolerance in 40 CFR 180 for residues of the fungicide fluopyram (N-[2-[3-chloro-5-(trifluoromethyl)-2-pyridinyl]ethyl]-2-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide) in or on crop subgroup 24B, tropical and subtropical, medium to large fruit, smooth, inedible peel subgroup at 1 parts per million (ppm). The high-performance liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization/tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) is used to measure and evaluate the chemical fluopyram. *Date of receipt:* December 19, 2024. *Contact:* RD.

• *PP 5F9175.* EPA–HQ–OPP–2025–0158. ABERCO, Inc. A Balchem Company, 5 Paragon Drive, Suite 201, Montvale, NJ 07645, requests to establish a tolerance in 40 CFR part 180 for residues of the fungicide propylene oxide (PPO) in or on sesame, seed; turmeric, roots, dried; ginger, dried; pepper, bell, dried; and pepper, nonbell, dried at 300 parts per million (ppm) for PPO, including its metabolites and degradates and 6,000 parts per million (ppm) for its reaction product propylene chlorohydrin (PCH), including its metabolites and degradates. The analytical method using solvent extraction followed by gas chromatography (GC) in tandem with electron impact ionization mass spectrometry (EIMS) or electron capture detection (ECD) is used to measure and evaluate the chemical PPO. *Date of receipt:* February 11, 2025. *Contact:* RD.

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 346a.

Dated: August 22, 2025.

Kimberly Smith,

Acting Director, Information Technology and Resources Management Division, Office of Program Support.

[FR Doc. 2025–17008 Filed 9–4–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 271

[EPA–R01–RCRA–2025–0188; FRL 12874–01–R1]

Massachusetts: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Massachusetts has applied to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for final authorization of revisions to its hazardous waste program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended. EPA proposes to grant final authorization to Massachusetts for these revisions by a direct final rule, which can be found in the “Rules and Regulations” section of this **Federal Register**. We have explained the reasons for this authorization in the preamble to the direct final rule. Unless EPA receives written comments that oppose this authorization during the comment period, the direct final rule will become effective on the date it establishes, and EPA will not take further action on this proposal.

DATES: Send your written comments by October 6, 2025.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R01–RCRA–2025–0188, at <https://www.regulations.gov/>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from www.regulations.gov. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sara Kinslow, RCRA Waste Management and Lead Branch; Land, Chemicals, and Redevelopment Division; U.S. EPA Region 1, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 (Mail code 07–1), Boston, MA 02109–3912; phone: 617–918–1648; email: kinslow.sara@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the “Rules and Regulations” section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is authorizing the revisions by a direct final rule. EPA did not make a proposal prior to the direct final rule because we believe this action is not controversial and do not expect

comments that oppose it. We have explained the reasons for this authorization in the preamble of the direct final rule. Unless EPA receives adverse written comments that oppose this authorization during the comment period, the direct final rule will become effective on the date it establishes, and EPA will not take further action on this proposal. If EPA receives comments that oppose this action, we will withdraw the direct final rule, and it will not take effect. EPA will then respond to public comments in a later final rule based on this proposal. You may not have another opportunity for comment. If you want to comment on this action, you must do so at this time. For additional information, please see the direct final rule published in the “Rules and Regulations” section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: This proposed action is issued under the authority of Sections 2002(a), 3006 and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6926, 6974(b).

Dated: August 13, 2025.

Mark Sanborn,

Regional Administrator, U.S. EPA Region I.

[FR Doc. 2025–17052 Filed 9–4–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

46 CFR Parts 401 and 404

[Docket No. USCG–2025–0252]

RIN 1625–AD03

Great Lakes Pilotage Rates—2026 Annual Review and Revisions to Methodology

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is proposing new base Great Lakes pilotage rates for the 2026 shipping season while facilitating commerce and supply chains. The Coast Guard estimates that this proposed rule would result in an approximately 7-percent decrease in operating costs compared to the 2025 season. The Coast Guard is also proposing one change to the ratemaking methodology: the removal of Step 5 regarding the working capital fund. In accordance with the requirement to conduct a full ratemaking at least every 5 years, we are conducting a full ratemaking for 2026 and accepting comments on the Great Lakes pilotage ratemaking methodology.

DATES: Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before October 8, 2025.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG–2025–0252 using the Federal Decision-Making Portal at www.regulations.gov. See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for further instructions on submitting comments. This notice of proposed rulemaking, with its plain-language, 100-word-or-less proposed rule summary, will be available in this same docket.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about this document, call or email Mr. Brian Rogers, Commandant, Office of Waterways and Ocean Policy—Great Lakes Pilotage Division (CG–WWM–2), Coast Guard; telephone 571–608–8418 or email Brian.Rogers@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents for Preamble

- I. Abbreviations
- II. Basis and Purpose
- III. Discussion of Proposed Methodological Changes and Consideration of Past Comments
- IV. Summary of the Ratemaking Methodology
- V. Discussion of Proposed Rate Adjustments

District One

- A. Step 1: Recognize Previous Operating Expenses
- B. Step 2: Project Operating Expenses, Adjusting for Inflation or Deflation
- C. Step 3: Estimate Number of Registered Pilots and Apprentice Pilots
- D. Step 4: Determine Target Pilot Compensation Benchmark and Apprentice Pilot Wage Benchmark
- E. Redesignated Step 5: Project Needed Revenue (Previously Step 6)
- F. Redesignated Step 6: Calculate Initial Base Rates (Previously Step 7)
- G. Redesignated Step 7: Calculate Average Weighting Factors by Area (Previously Step 8)
- H. Redesignated Step 8: Calculate Revised Base Rates (Previously Step 9)
- I. Redesignated Step 9: Review and Finalize Rates (Previously Step 10)

District Two

- A. Step 1: Recognize Previous Operating Expenses
- B. Step 2: Project Operating Expenses, Adjusting for Inflation or Deflation
- C. Step 3: Estimate Number of Registered Pilots and Apprentice Pilots
- D. Step 4: Determine Target Pilot Compensation Benchmark and Apprentice Pilot Wage Benchmark
- E. Redesignated Step 5: Project Needed Revenue (Previously Step 6)
- F. Redesignated Step 6: Calculate Initial Base Rates (Previously Step 7)

- G. Redesignated Step 7: Calculate Average Weighting Factors by Area (Previously Step 8)
- H. Redesignated Step 8: Calculate Revised Base Rates (Previously Step 9)
- I. Redesignated Step 9 Review and Finalize Rates (Previously Step 10)

District Three

- A. Step 1: Recognize Previous Operating Expenses
- B. Step 2: Project Operating Expenses, Adjusting for Inflation or Deflation
- C. Step 3: Estimate Number of Registered Pilots and Apprentice Pilots
- D. Step 4: Determine Target Pilot Compensation Benchmark and Apprentice Pilot Wage Benchmark
- E. Redesignated Step 5: Project Needed Revenue (Previously Step 6)
- F. Redesignated Step 6: Calculate Initial Base Rates (Previously Step 7)
- G. Redesignated Step 7: Calculate Average Weighting Factors by Area (Previously Step 8)
- H. Redesignated Step 8: Calculate Revised Base Rates (Previously Step 9)
- I. Redesignated Step 9: Review and Finalize Rates (Previously Step 10)
- VI. Regulatory Analyses
 - A. Regulatory Planning and Review
 - B. Small Entities
 - C. Assistance for Small Entities
 - D. Collection of Information
 - E. Federalism
 - F. Unfunded Mandates
 - G. Taking of Private Property
 - H. Civil Justice Reform
 - I. Protection of Children
 - J. Indian Tribal Governments
 - K. Energy Effects
 - L. Technical Standards
 - M. Environment
- VII. Public Participation and Request for Comments

I. Abbreviations

2021 final rule Great Lakes Pilotage Rates—2021 Annual Review and Revisions to Methodology final rule
 2023 final rule Great Lakes Pilotage Rates—2023 Annual Ratemaking and Review of Methodology
 2025 final rule Great Lakes Pilotage Rates—2025 Annual Review
 APA American Pilots' Association
 BLS Bureau of Labor Statistics
 CFR Code of Federal Regulations
 CPI Consumer Price Index
 DHS Department of Homeland Security
 Director U.S. Coast Guard's Director of the Great Lakes Pilotage
 ECI Employment Cost Index
 FOMC Federal Open Market Committee
 FR Federal Register
 GLPAC Great Lakes Pilotage Advisory Committee
 LPA Lakes Pilots Association
 NAICS North American Industry Classification System
 NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking
 OMB Office of Management and Budget
 PCE Personal Consumption Expenditures
 § Section
 SBA Small Business Administration
 SLSPA Saint Lawrence Seaway Pilots Association