

[FR Doc. 2025–16387 Filed 8–26–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–C

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–103762; File No. SR–NYSETEX–2025–27]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Texas, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Fee Schedule of NYSE Texas, Inc.

August 22, 2025.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) ¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) ² and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, ³ notice is hereby given that, on August 20, 2025, the NYSE Texas, Inc. (“NYSE Texas” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Fee Schedule of NYSE Texas, Inc. (the “Fee Schedule”) to amend rule text related to ports that provide connectivity to the Exchange, and adopt a fee for drop copy ports. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at www.nyse.com and at the principal office of the Exchange.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**1. Purpose**

The Exchange proposes to amend the Fee Schedule to amend rule text related to ports that provide connectivity to the Exchange. The proposed rule text is identical to rule text regarding ports on the Exchange’s affiliate, NYSE Arca, Inc. (“NYSE Arca”). ⁴ The Exchange also proposes to adopt a fee for drop copy ports. ⁵ The Exchange proposes to implement the proposed fee changes effective August 20, 2025. ⁶

The Exchange currently makes ports available that provide connectivity to the Exchange’s trading systems (*i.e.*, ports for the entry of orders and/or quotes (“order/quote entry ports”)) and charges \$455 per port per month. The proposed rule change would modify the Fee Schedule to harmonize the Exchange’s rules with respect to how fees for order/quote entry ports are charged with the rules of the Exchange’s affiliate, NYSE Arca. As proposed, the amended Fee Schedule would provide that the fee for order/quote entry ports would not apply to ports in the backup datacenter that are not utilized during the relevant billing month, and no fee would apply to order/quote entry ports in the backup datacenter that are utilized when the primary datacenter is unavailable. The amended Fee Schedule would further provide that the fee would apply if an order/quote entry port in the backup datacenter is utilized when the primary datacenter is available. Finally, the amended Fee Schedule would provide that the monthly fee for an order/quote entry port would be prorated to the number of trading days in a billing month, including any scheduled early closing days, that the port is connected to the Exchange. ⁷

⁴ See NYSE Arca Schedule of Fees, Connectivity Fees, at https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse-arca/NYSE_Arca_Marketplace_Fees.pdf.

⁵ Participant Firms receive confirmations of their orders and receive execution reports via the order/quote entry port that is used to enter an order or a quote. A “drop copy” contains redundant information that a firm chooses to have “dropped” to another destination (*e.g.*, to allow the firm’s back office and/or compliance department, or another firm—typically the firm’s clearing broker—to have immediate access to the information). Such drop copies can only be sent via a drop copy port. Drop copy ports cannot be used to enter orders and/or quotes.

⁶ The Exchange originally filed to amend the Fee Schedule on July 1, 2025 (SR–NYSETEX–2025–20). SR–NYSETEX–2025–20 was withdrawn on August 20, 2025, and replaced by this filing.

⁷ NYSE Arca similarly prorates the use of order/quote entry ports utilized by its members. See

Additionally, the Exchange proposes to adopt a fee for drop copy ports, ⁸ and charge \$455 per port per month. ⁹ As noted on the Fee Schedule, only one fee per drop copy port would apply, even if Participant Firms receive drop copies from multiple order/quote entry ports, except that no fee would apply to ports in the backup datacenter if configured such that it is duplicative of another drop copy port of the same user.

Also, as noted on the Fee Schedule, similar to order/quote entry ports, the monthly fee for a drop copy port would be prorated to the number of trading days in a billing month, including any scheduled early closing days, that the port is connected to the Exchange. ¹⁰

The Exchange believes that standardizing the port fees, whether a port is used for order/quote entry or for drop copies, would streamline the Exchange’s rules and reduce complexity for Participant Firms. The proposed change would also encourage users to become more efficient with their usage of the ports thereby resulting in a corresponding increase in the efficiency that the Exchange would be able to realize with respect to managing its own infrastructure.

The proposed changes are not otherwise intended to address any other issues, and the Exchange is not aware of any problems that Participant Firms would have in complying with the proposed change.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act, ¹¹ in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) of the Act, ¹² in particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members, issuers and other persons using its facilities and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers. The

NYSE Arca Schedule of Fees, Connectivity Fees, at https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse-arca/NYSE_Arca_Marketplace_Fees.pdf.

⁸ See note 5, *supra*.

⁹ The Exchange proposes to add language to the Fee Schedule to differentiate between drop copy ports and order/quote entry ports. This aspect of the proposed rule change also conforms to the fee schedule of NYSE Arca, which also provides its members with a drop copy port. See NYSE Arca Schedule of Fees, Connectivity Fees at https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse-arca/NYSE_Arca_Marketplace_Fees.pdf.

¹⁰ NYSE Arca similarly prorates fees for drop copy ports utilized by its members. See NYSE Arca Schedule of Fees, Connectivity Fees, at https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse-arca/NYSE_Arca_Marketplace_Fees.pdf.

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

Exchange also believes the proposal furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act¹³ in that the proposed rule change is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers and dealers. These beliefs are based on comparability; the proposed fees are comparable to those of similarly situated exchanges.

The proposed fee for NYSE Texas ports is comparable to the fee adopted by NYSE Arca.¹⁴

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change to amend the Fee Schedule to harmonize the manner in which the Exchange charges for order/quote entry ports with NYSE Arca is reasonable and constitutes an equitable allocation of fees because all similarly situated Participant Firms would be impacted by the proposed rule change and all such members would be subject to the fee. The Exchange believes that the proposal to harmonize the Exchange's rules with respect to how the fee for order/quote entry ports is charged with the rules of the Exchange's affiliate is reasonable as it would streamline the Exchange's rules and reduce complexity for Participant Firms. The proposed change is also reasonable because the per port rates would encourage users to become more efficient with, and reduce the number of ports used, thereby resulting in a corresponding increase in the efficiency that the Exchange would be able to realize with respect to managing its own infrastructure. The Exchange believes it is fair, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to charge flat fees for ports. The Exchange believes that the proposed fee for drop copy ports is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because it will apply on an equal basis to all users of drop copy ports and to all drop copy ports on the Exchange. In this regard, all Participant Firms will be able to request drop copy ports, as is the case with order/quote entry ports.

The Exchange also believes the proposal furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act¹⁵ in that the proposed rule change is designed to

promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers and dealers. In particular, the Exchange believes that the Exchange's pro-rating of port fees is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act since it would apply equally to all Participant Firms that connect to the Exchange and all Participant Firms would continue to receive the benefit of being charged only for the connectivity utilized during any trading month. As noted above, NYSE Arca similarly prorates fees for order/quote entry ports and for drop copy utilized by its members.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,¹⁶ the Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intermarket or intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange believes that the proposal would encourage Participant Firms to become more efficient with their use of ports. In this regard, the Exchange believes that the proposal would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because nothing in the Exchange's proposal would burden on the ability of other exchanges to compete. And as noted above, the fees referenced in this filing are comparable to the Exchange's affiliate, NYSE Arca.

Finally, nothing in the Exchange's proposal would place a burden on intramarket competition as the Exchange's ports are available to any Participant Firm at the same price on a non-discriminatory basis. The Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive. Because competitors are free to modify their own pricing and the services they offer in response, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited. As a result of all of these considerations, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes will impair the ability of Participant Firms or other execution

venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,¹⁷ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder¹⁸ the Exchange has designated this proposal as establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed on any person, whether or not the person is a member of the self-regulatory organization, which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-NYSETEX-2025-27 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to file number SR-NYSETEX-2025-27. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the filing will

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁴ NYSE Arca assesses \$621 per port per month for the use of order/quote entry ports and drop copy ports. See NYSE Arca Schedule of Fees at https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse-arca/NYSE_Arca_Marketplace_Fees.pdf.

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

¹⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

¹⁸ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-NYSETEX-2025-27 and should be submitted on or before September 17, 2025.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁹

Vanessa A. Countryman,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025-16361 Filed 8-26-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-103766; File No. SR-GEMX-2025-23]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq GEMX, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend GEMX Options 3, Sections 7 and 9

August 22, 2025.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on August 15, 2025, Nasdaq GEMX, LLC (“GEMX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items II and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Options 3, Section 7, Types of Orders and Order and Quote Protocols, and Options 3, Section 9, Trading Halts.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at <https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/rulebook/gemx/rulefilings> and at the principal office of the Exchange.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Options 3, Section 7, Types of Orders and Order and Quote Protocols, and Options 3, Section 9, Trading Halts.

The Exchange proposes to amend Options 3, Section 7(v) to lowercase “Block Order” which is not capitalized in Options 3, Section 11(a). The Exchange also proposes to amend Options 3, Section 7(w) to lowercase “Facilitation Order” which is not capitalized in Options 3, Section 11(b) and to add the term “paired” as a descriptive term to signify that a facilitation order is a two-sided order. The addition of the term “paired” will distinguish a Block Order, which is not two-sided, from a paired facilitation order. Finally, the Exchange proposes to amend Options 3, Section 7(x) to lowercase “SOM Order” which is not capitalized in Options 3, Section 11(d) and also include the term “paired” to distinguish this two-sided auction. The addition of the term “paired” will distinguish a Block Order, which is not two-sided, from a paired SOM order.

The Exchange proposes to amend Options 3, Section 9(a)(2) to note that “During a halt, existing auction orders and auction responses, as well as Crossing Orders, are rejected.” Today, the GEMX System will cancel auction orders, auction responses and Crossing Orders during a trading halt. This sentence is being added to make clear the current System behavior. Phlx has similar rule text in Options 3, Section 9(f).³

³ Phlx Options 3, Section 9(f) provides, that during a halt, the Exchange will maintain existing orders on the book (but not existing quotes), except as noted in Options 5, Section 4, accept orders and quotes, and process cancels. During a halt, existing quotes are cancelled and auction orders and auction responses, as well as Crossing Orders, are rejected.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁴ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁵ in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange’s proposal to amend Options 3, Section 7(v) to lowercase “Block Order,” and amend Options 3, Section 7(w) and (x) to lowercase “Facilitation Order” and “SOM Order” and add the term “paired,” are non-substantive amendments that are intended to provide consistency between these defined terms and the use of these terms in Options 3, Section 11(a), (b) and (d).

The Exchange’s proposal to amend Options 3, Section 9(a)(2) to note that “During a halt, existing auction orders and auction responses, as well as Crossing Orders, are rejected” is consistent with the Act because during a halt the System will not execute any auction orders, auction responses and Crossing Orders received during a trading halt as that interest will most likely become stale. This amendment represents the System’s current operation. The proposed rule text makes clear the treatment of auction orders, auction responses and Crossing Orders during a trading halt.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

The Exchange’s proposal to amend Options 3, Section 7(v) to lowercase “Block Order,” and amend Options 3, Section 7(w) and (x) to lowercase “Facilitation Order” and “SOM Order” and add the term “paired” does not impose any burden on intramarket or intermarket competition because the proposed changes are non-substantive amendments that are intended to provide consistency between these defined terms and the use of these terms in Options 3, Section 11(a), (b) and (d).

GEMX’s current rule text at Options 3, Section 9(a)(2) addresses the cancellation of quotes during a trading halt in the last sentence, but does not address the treatment of auction orders, auction responses and Crossing Orders during a trading halt.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.