

Employers submitting non-criteria clearance orders must attach Form ETA-790B to Form ETA-790 (which is approved under OMB Control Number 1205-0466). Pursuant to 2 CFR 200.334, SWAs must retain all Federal award records (which includes Forms ETA-790 and ETA-790B) for three years from the date of submission of their final financial report. While Form ETA-790B only applies to non-criteria clearance orders, the Department includes the estimated burden to the public for the completion of Form ETA-790, in addition to the estimated burden for the Form ETA-790B, to the extent that it applies to employers submitting non-criteria agricultural clearance orders that must complete both forms.

This information collection is subject to the PRA. A Federal agency generally cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information, and the public is generally not required to respond to an information collection, unless it is approved by OMB under the PRA and displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. In addition, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall generally be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information that does not display a valid Control Number. See 5 CFR 1320.5(a) and 1320.6.

Interested parties are encouraged to provide comments to the contact shown in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments must be written to receive consideration, and they will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of the final ICR. In order to help ensure appropriate consideration, comments should mention OMB Control Number 1205-0134.

Submitted comments will also be a matter of public record for this ICR and posted on the internet, without redaction. DOL encourages commenters not to include personally identifiable information, confidential business data, or other sensitive statements/information in any comments.

DOL is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, (e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses).

*Agency:* DOL-ETA.

*Type of Review:* Revision.

*Title of Collection:* Agricultural Recruitment System Forms Affecting Migratory Farm Workers.

*Form:* ETA-790B.

*OMB Control Number:* 1205-0134.

*Affected Public:* State Governments, Private Sector: Business or other for-profits, not-for-profit institutions, and farms.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 6,896.

*Frequency:* 1.

*Total Estimated Annual Responses:* 6,896.

*Estimated Average Time per*

*Response:* Varies.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden*

*Hours:* 6,034 hours.

*Total Estimated Annual Other Cost Burden:* \$0.

**Susan Frazier,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training, Labor.*

[FR Doc. 2025-15957 Filed 8-20-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-255; NRC-2024-0123]

### Holtec Palisades, LLC; Holtec Decommissioning International, LLC; Palisades Nuclear Plant; Petition

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Director's decision under 10 CFR 2.206; issuance.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has issued a director's decision with regard to a petition dated December 5, 2023, as supplemented by public meeting transcript dated April 10, 2024, filed by Beyond Nuclear, Michigan Safe Energy Future, and Don't Waste Michigan (the petitioner), requesting that the NRC take action with regard to Holtec Palisades, LLC and Holtec Decommissioning

International, LLC (the licensee). The petitioner's requests and the director's decision are included in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

**DATES:** The director's decision was issued on August 14, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2024-0123 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Website:* Go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2024-0123. Address questions about Docket IDs in *Regulations.gov* to Bridget Curran; telephone: 301-415-1003; email: [Bridget.Curran@nrc.gov](mailto:Bridget.Curran@nrc.gov). For technical questions, contact the individual(s) listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "Begin ADAMS Public Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, at 301-415-4737, or by email to [PDR.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:PDR.Resource@nrc.gov). For the convenience of the reader, instructions about obtaining materials referenced in this document are provided in the "Availability of Documents" section.

- *NRC's PDR:* The PDR, where you may examine and order copies of publicly available documents, is open by appointment. To make an appointment to visit the PDR, please send an email to [PDR.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:PDR.Resource@nrc.gov) or call 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. eastern time (ET), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Zachary M. Turner, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-2258; email: [Zachary.Turner@nrc.gov](mailto:Zachary.Turner@nrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The text of the director's decision is attached.

*Availability of Documents:* The documents identified in the following table are available to interested persons through ADAMS.

Document description	ADAMS accession No.
Palisades Exemption Request—Exemption from Certain Termination of License Requirements of 10 CFR 50.82, dated September 28, 2023.	ML23271A140.
Petition to Intervene and Request for Adjudicatory Hearing by Beyond Nuclear, Don't Waste Michigan, and Michigan Safe Energy Future, dated December 5, 2023.	ML23339A192.
Petitioners' Reply in Support of Petition for Leave to Intervene Against Palisades Exemption, dated December 18, 2023	ML23352A215.
NRC SECY Order referring the petition to the 10 CFR 2.206 process, dated December 18, 2023	ML23352A325.
NRC Management Directive 8.11, "Review Process for 10 CFR 2.206 Petitions," dated March 1, 2019	ML18296A043.
Email from the NRC to the Petitioners regarding Palisades Misuse of Decommissioning Trust Fund 2.206 Petition—Initial Screening Notification, dated February 1, 2024.	ML24032A244.
Email from the NRC to the Petitioners regarding Palisades Misuse of Decommissioning Trust Fund 2.206 Petition—Initial Assessment Notification, dated February 29, 2024.	ML24061A014.
Public Meeting Official Transcript of Proceedings, dated April 10, 2024	ML24114A016.
Email from the NRC to the Petitioners regarding Palisades Misuse of Decommissioning Trust Fund 2.206 Petition—Abeance Notification, dated May 15, 2024.	ML24170A881.
NRC Acknowledgement Letter, dated July 2, 2024	ML24137A014.
Proposed Director's Decision, dated June 18, 2025	ML25097A165 (package).
Proposed Director's Decision, dated June 18, 2025	ML25097A167.
NRC Letter—Proposed Director's Decision, dated June 18, 2025	ML25097A168.
NRC Letter—Proposed Director's Decision, dated June 18, 2025	ML25097A169.
Comments on Proposed Director's Decision, dated July 2, 2025	ML25183A399.
Director's Decision—DD-25-02, dated August 14, 2025	ML25199A053 (package).
Director's Decision—DD-25-02, dated August 14, 2025	ML25199A096.
Director's Decision—Letter to Petitioners, dated August 14, 2025	ML25199A095.
Regulatory Guide 1.184, Revision 1, "Decommissioning of Nuclear Power Reactors," published October 2013	ML13144A840.
Palisades Nuclear Plant, Post Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report (PDSAR) Including Site-Specific Decommissioning Cost Estimate for Palisades Nuclear Plant, dated December 23, 2020.	ML20358A232.
NRC Approval of Palisades Exemption—Exemption from 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) and 10 CFR 50.75(h)(1)(iv) for Holtec Decommissioning International, LLC, dated December 13, 2021.	ML21286A294 and ML21286A506.
Response to Palisades Nuclear Plant—Request for Additional Information Related to the Post-Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report, dated March 1, 2023.	ML23060A039.
NRC Letter—Palisades Nuclear Plant—Review of the Post-Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report, dated May 2, 2023	ML23087A036.
NRC Inspection Procedure 71801, "Decommissioning Performance and Status Reviews at Permanently Shutdown Reactors," dated January 1, 2021.	ML20240A293.
NRC Inspection Report No. 05000255/2023002, dated July 19, 2023	ML23200A142.
NRC Inspection Report No. 05000255/2023003, dated October 4, 2023	ML23276B452.
NRC Inspection Report No. 05000255/2023004, dated February 20, 2024	ML24045A147.
NRC Inspection Report No. 05000255/2024004, dated February 14, 2025	ML25041A225.
Calendar Year 2023—Report on Status of Decommissioning Funding for Reactors and Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations—Holtec Decommissioning International, LLC, dated March 29, 2024.	ML24089A117.
Calendar Year 2023—Results of the NRC Analysis of the Decommissioning Funding Status Reports for Various Decommissioning Reactors, dated September 18, 2024.	ML24240A169.

Dated: August 19, 2025.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Zachary Turner,**

*Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch II-1, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

**United States of America**

**Nuclear Regulatory Commission**

**Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation**

Jeremy Groom, Acting Deputy Director

*In the Matter of:* Holtec Palisades, LLC, and Holtec Decommissioning International, LLC, Palisades Nuclear Plant, Docket No. 50-255, License No. DPR-20

**Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206**

**I. Introduction**

On September 28, 2023, Holtec Decommissioning International, LLC (Holtec), on behalf of Holtec Palisades, LLC, submitted a request to exempt the Palisades Nuclear Plant (Palisades) from certain requirements in Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) 50.82, "Termination of license" (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML23271A140). By letter

dated December 5, 2023, and in response to Holtec's exemption request, Beyond Nuclear, Michigan Safe Energy Future, and Don't Waste Michigan (the petitioner) filed a petition to intervene and a request for hearing on that exemption request (ML23339A192). On December 18, 2023, the Commission denied the request for hearing and referred Contention 2 of the petition, which asserted Holtec's misuse of decommissioning funds, to the enforcement process under 10 CFR 2.206, "Requests for action under this subpart" (ML23352A325).

The underlying concern in Contention 2 of the December 5, 2023, request is that Holtec has misused the decommissioning trust fund (DTF) to keep Palisades in a status to restart the reactor, rather than to decommission the plant. The petitioner cited 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8) regarding appropriate uses of the DTF. Specifically, the petitioner asserted the following:

1. Holtec expended \$44 million from the Palisades DTF from June 28 to December 31, 2022.

2. Holtec continued improper utilization of the Palisades DTF from December 31, 2022, to the present.

The staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) assembled a Petition Review Board (PRB) in accordance with Management Directive (MD) 8.11, "Review Process for 10 CFR 2.206 Petitions," dated March 1, 2019, and its associated Directive Handbook 8.11, section III, dated March 1, 2019 (ML18296A043). On February 29, 2024 (ML24061A014), the petition manager informed the petitioner that the PRB's initial assessment was to not accept the petition for review. The PRB's position was that the petition did not meet the MD 8.11 criteria for acceptance under 10 CFR 2.206 because the issues raised regarding Holtec's use of the Palisades DTF in 2022 had already been addressed through the NRC's inspection and enforcement process.

In August and November 2023 (ML23276B452 and ML24045A147, respectively), the NRC completed inspections that addressed, in part, the use of the Palisades DTF. As a result of these inspections, the NRC identified several instances, totaling just over \$57,000, of the licensee using the Palisades DTF to pay for activities not considered legitimate decommissioning

expenses under the definition in 10 CFR 50.2, “Definitions.”

The NRC confirmed that the unauthorized reimbursements from the Palisades DTF resulted from inadvertent oversights or inattention to detail, or both, in the coding associated with the billing for various projects and community donations. The licensee has implemented several process revisions to address order coding modification issues and errors, as well as training to eliminate these issues from future DTF expenditures. The licensee also reimbursed the unauthorized expenses to the Palisades DTF. On February 20, 2024 (ML24045A147), the NRC issued Holtec a Severity Level IV noncited violation to address the illegitimate use of decommissioning funds at Palisades stemming from the staff’s findings during the November 2023 inspection.

On February 29, 2024, the petition manager offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB in a public meeting (ML24061A014), which subsequently took place on April 10, 2024. The transcript of that meeting (ML24114A016) is publicly available in ADAMS and is considered a supplement to the petition.

During the April 10, 2024, public meeting, the petitioner discussed DTF expenditures of \$120 million noted in the 2023 Decommissioning Funding Status Report for Palisades, which was submitted to the NRC on March 29, 2024 (ML24089A117). The petitioner also raised concerns about whether the \$120 million was properly used for decommissioning expenditures in accordance with NRC requirements and guidance.

Following the April 10, 2024, public meeting, the PRB met to consider what had been presented during the session. The PRB found that the issues regarding use of the Palisades DTF in 2022 have been thoroughly addressed through the NRC’s inspection and enforcement process, as noted above. Therefore, the PRB did not accept those issues into the 10 CFR 2.206 process. The concerns the petitioner raised at the public meeting regarding the recently submitted 2023 expenditure report for Palisades involved new information that the NRC had not yet fully considered. Therefore, in accordance with MD 8.11, section III.C, the PRB accepted that portion of the petitioner’s concern into the 10 CFR 2.206 process for further review.

On July 2, 2024, the NRC issued an acknowledgement letter (ML24137A014) informing the petitioner that the concerns raised in the petition, as supplemented, now meet the MD 8.11 criteria for acceptance.

Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC are accessible electronically through ADAMS in the NRC’s library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS, who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, or who want to inspect publicly available documents at the NRC’s Public Document Room at 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, should contact the NRC’s Public Document Room reference staff by telephone at 1–800–397–4209 or 301–415–4737, or by email at [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov).

#### *Petitioner’s Concern*

The petitioner asserts that Holtec misused the DTF to keep Palisades in a status to restart the reactor as opposed to decommissioning the plant. Specifically, as described in the April 10, 2024, public meeting transcript, the petitioner alleged that Holtec withdrew \$120 million from the Palisades DTF, as noted in Holtec’s 2023 Decommissioning Funding Status Report, and raised concerns about whether the \$120 million was properly used for decommissioning expenditures in accordance with the current NRC requirements and guidance.

#### **II. Discussion**

##### *Regulatory Requirements*

The NRC has a comprehensive, regulation-based framework that provides oversight of licensee DTFs. The regulation at 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i) specifies that licensees may use DTFs if the following three criteria are met:

(A) The withdrawals are for expenses for legitimate decommissioning activities consistent with the definition of decommissioning in § 50.2;

(B) The expenditure would not reduce the value of the decommissioning trust below an amount necessary to place and maintain the reactor in a safe storage condition if unforeseen conditions or expenses arise; and

(C) The withdrawals would not inhibit the ability of the licensee to complete funding of any shortfalls in the decommissioning trust needed to ensure the availability of funds to ultimately release the site and terminate the license.

“Decommission” is defined in 10 CFR 50.2 as—

. . . to remove a facility or site safely from service and reduce residual radioactivity to a level that permits—

(1) Release of the property for the unrestricted use and termination of the license; or

(2) Release of the property under restricted conditions and termination of the license.

Furthermore, as outlined in Regulatory Guide 1.184, Revision 1, “Decommissioning of Nuclear Power Reactors,” issued October 2013 (ML13144A840), which licensees may follow as a method of compliance with decommissioning requirements, the licensee’s ability to use the trust funds set aside for decommissioning depends on reaching certain milestones in the decommissioning process. The limitation on the accessibility of the decommissioning funds is designed to ensure that sufficient trust funds are always available to place the facility in a safe, stable condition that ultimately leads to decommissioning and license termination. Further information regarding the Palisades’ specific decommissioning plans and cost estimates can be found in the “Post Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report [PSDAR] including Site-Specific Decommissioning Cost Estimate [DCE] for Palisades Nuclear Plant,” dated December 23, 2020 (ML20358A232), as supplemented by letter dated March 1, 2023 (ML23060A039), which the NRC reviewed and approved by letter dated May 2, 2023 (ML23087A036).

On December 13, 2021, the NRC granted Holtec an exemption (ML21286A294 and ML21286A506) from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) to allow the use of a portion of the funds from the Palisades DTF for spent fuel management and site restoration activities at Palisades, in accordance with Holtec’s PSDAR and DCE.

##### *Reported Decommissioning Expenditures for Palisades*

As described in the NRC’s letter to the petitioner dated July 2, 2024, the agency limited the scope of the 10 CFR 2.206 petition to a review of the new information presented during the April 10, 2024, public meeting and the independent validation that the expenses claimed against the Palisades DTF, not previously reviewed by the NRC, were legitimate decommissioning expenses as opposed to expenses related to the Palisades restart initiative. During the April 10, 2024, public meeting, the petitioner referenced \$120 million of decommissioning expenditures, which can be found in enclosure 4 to Holtec’s 2023 Decommissioning Funding Status Report. In table 1 of enclosure 4 of that report, Holtec characterized its Palisades decommissioning expenses for 2022 and 2023 as summarized in table 1.

TABLE 1—SUMMARY OF HOLTEC’S DECOMMISSIONING EXPENSES AT PALISADES SINCE LICENSE TRANSFER

Year	License termination	Spent fuel management	Site restoration	Total <sup>1</sup>
<b>Holtec Decommissioning Expenditures—10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(v)(A) Since License Transfer</b>				
2022 .....	\$38M	\$6M	\$0M	\$44M
2023 .....	43M	56M	0M	99M
Total Spent to Date .....	81M	62M	0M	<sup>2</sup> 143M

<sup>1</sup> Columns may not add up due to rounding.

<sup>2</sup> \$120M of incurred costs have not been reimbursed as of December 31, 2023.

Note: M = million.

Holtec’s report shows that a total of \$143 million of Palisades’ decommissioning-related expenses were accounted for, with \$44 million in expenses for 2022 and an additional \$99 million in expenses for 2023. Notably, footnote 2 to table 1 clarifies that Holtec has only withdrawn \$23 million of the \$143 million of expenses from the Palisades DTF, and the \$120 million of expenses had not been reimbursed as of December 31, 2023. Table 2 of enclosure 4 explains that Holtec intends to withdraw the \$120 million of reimbursable funds from the DTF during the years 2040 and 2041 (\$60 million is expected to be withdrawn each of these years). This approach ensures that sufficient money remains in the DTF to complete decommissioning and is consistent with the regulations in 10

CFR 50.82 and guidance provided in Regulatory Guide 1.184.

The NRC staff reviewed Holtec’s decommissioning expenses during various routine inspections at Palisades and independently found that there have been several instances of misallocation of DTF funds, as described in the next section of this letter. The staff has taken appropriate action on these instances under its normal inspection and enforcement processes. However, as discussed below, the staff has determined that these misallocations are outside the scope of the petition because they were not related to Palisades’ restart-specific initiatives.

*NRC Inspection Activities*

The NRC staff performs routine inspections at Palisades, as required by the Decommissioning Power Reactor Inspection Program. Specifically, the NRC inspection activities at Palisades included review of Holtec’s decommissioning expenditures, in accordance with NRC Inspection Procedure 71801, “Decommissioning Performance and Status Reviews at Permanently Shutdown Reactors,” dated January 1, 2021 (ML20240A293). Table 2 lists the NRC staff’s inspection activities, their respective inspection reports, and their associated findings. The timeframe of the selected inspection reports below covers inspections for which the NRC staff reviewed Palisades’ 2023 DTF expenses and withdrawals.

TABLE 2—LIST OF NRC STAFF INSPECTION REPORTS FOR PALISADES COVERING CALENDAR YEAR 2023

Inspection report No.	Document date	Document ADAMS accession No.	Findings related to DTF
05000255/2023002 .....	July 19, 2023 .....	ML23200A142	None.
05000255/2023003 .....	October 4, 2023 .....	ML23276B452	Unresolved Item (URI) 05000255/2023003-01.
05000255/2023004 .....	February 20, 2024 .....	ML24045A147	Severity Level IV noncited violation.
05000255/2024004 .....	February 14, 2025 .....	ML25041A225	Severity Level IV noncited violation URI 05000255/2024004-01.

As previously described in the NRC’s letter to the petitioner dated July 2, 2024, Holtec’s use of the DTF up to October 2023 had already been reviewed by the NRC’s routine inspection and enforcement processes, which independently identified several instances in which Holtec used the Palisades DTF for nondecommissioning activities, totaling just over \$57,000. The staff described these issues in detail in Inspection Report Nos. 05000255/2023003, dated October 4, 2023 (ML23276B452), and 05000255/2023004, dated February 20, 2024 (ML24045A147), resulting in the NRC issuing a Severity Level IV noncited violation for Palisades.

As of the April 10, 2024, public meeting, the NRC staff had not yet completed a review of Holtec’s 2023 Decommissioning Funding Status Report and inspection of Palisades DTF use for October through December 2023, and therefore the petition was held in abeyance until these processes could be completed. The NRC concluded its annual financial assurance report review for Holtec’s 2023 Decommissioning Funding Status Report in a memorandum dated September 18, 2024 (ML24240A169). This review concluded that the licensee continued to satisfy the decommissioning funding assurance requirements of 10 CFR 50.82. In November 2024, the NRC conducted its

routine DTF inspection at Palisades, which is documented in Inspection Report No. 05000255/2024004, dated February 14, 2025 (ML25041A225). This inspection concluded that no withdrawals from the DTF were made from October 1 through December 31, 2023, which is the timeframe encompassed by the petitioner’s concern that had not already been reviewed when the staff accepted the petition. However, the inspection report does document several withdrawals made in 2024 that were not for legitimate decommissioning activities, which constituted a violation of NRC requirements. This review did not identify any withdrawals from the DTF for restart-specific activities; however,

the inspectors opened an unresolved item to address questions related to use of the DTF for routine site maintenance costs because the inspection identified that the licensee continued to use the DTF for these expenditures in 2024. The NRC will address these issues through its normal inspection and enforcement processes; because they do not concern withdrawals for restart-specific activities, they are beyond the scope of this 10 CFR 2.206 petition.

### III. Conclusion

In summary, the NRC has a comprehensive, regulation-based framework that provides for oversight of a licensee's use of the DTF. The NRC staff reviewed Holtec's 2023 Decommissioning Funding Status Report for Palisades, in addition to Holtec's 2023 decommissioning expenditures, and found that the NRC's inspection and enforcement process independently identified instances of DTF misuse for which the agency issued applicable violations as required by regulations. The sole unresolved item from the staff's inspections related to misuse of the DTF during the relevant timeframe does not relate to restart-specific activities. Therefore, based on the NRC's continuous oversight of Holtec's use of the Palisades DTF, no further action is necessary at this time to address the petitioner's concern.

As a result of the NRC staff's evaluation of the petitioner's concerns, the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has denied the petitioners' request to take enforcement action concerning Holtec's use of the Palisades DTF. The PRB concludes that the issues raised regarding Holtec's use of the Palisades DTF in 2023 have already been addressed through the NRC's inspection and enforcement process, that violations related to these inspection findings have already been cited, and that there is no indication that withdrawals from the DTF were for restart-specific activities. Therefore, there is insufficient basis on which to take further enforcement-related action against Holtec, and the petitioner's request is denied.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.206(c), a copy of this director's decision will be filed with the Secretary of the Commission for Commission review. As provided for by this regulation, the decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days after the date of the decision unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes a review of the decision within that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 14th day of August 2025.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

/RA/

Jeremy Groom,  
Acting Deputy Director, Reactor Safety  
Programs and Corporate Support Office of  
Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

### Attachment

#### Petitioner's Comments on Proposed Director's Decision and Nuclear Regulatory Commission Response

By letter dated July 2, 2025 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System Accession No. ML25183A399), the petitioner provided comments (ML25097A165) to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) on the proposed director's decision regarding Holtec Decommissioning International, LLC's (Holtec's), misuse of decommissioning funds, to the enforcement process under Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 2.206, "Requests for action under this subpart," regarding Palisades Nuclear Plant.

The petitioner's comments do not alter the NRC staff's conclusions in the proposed director's decision and therefore do not require modification to the final director's decision. This attachment provides the petitioner's comments on the proposed director's decision and the NRC's responses to the comments.

The petitioner's comments are summarized below.

*Comment 1:* Notably, 18 CFR 35.32(a)(6) warrants that.

(6) Absent the express authorization of the Commission, no part of the assets of the Fund may be used for, or diverted to, any purpose other than to fund the costs of decommissioning the nuclear power plant to which the Fund relates, and to pay administrative costs and other incidental expenses, including taxes, of the Fund.

That is, decommissioning funds must be spent on decommissioning. The proposed decision provides no discussion of how it is that expenditures for spent fuel management, costs indisputably incurred during the period following June 2022 during which Holtec actively sought and obtained federal and state assistance commitments for the restart of Palisades, can be deemed "costs of decommissioning."

*NRC Response to Comment 1:* The *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) is a codification of the general and permanent rules published in the **Federal Register** by the Executive departments and agencies of the Federal Government. The CFR is divided into 50 titles that represent broad areas subject to Federal regulation. Each title is divided into chapters, which usually bear the name of the issuing agency. Title 18, "Conservation of Power and Water Resources," is composed of two volumes. The first volume, containing parts 1–399, of which you reference 18 CFR part 35, "Filing of Rate Schedules and Tariffs," includes all current regulations codified under this title by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, U.S. Department of Energy.

The regulation in 18 CFR 1.101, "Definitions," defines "Commission" as the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, as referenced within 18 CFR. Therefore, the regulation that you cited (18 CFR 35.32(a)(6))

is outside the NRC's jurisdiction. However, the NRC has a similar regulation at 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i) which states, in part, the following:

Decommissioning trust funds may be used by licensees if—

(A) The withdrawals are for expenses for legitimate decommissioning activities consistent with the definition of decommissioning in [10 CFR] 50.2.

The regulation in 10 CFR 50.2, "Definitions," defines "Decommission" as— . . . to remove a facility or site safely from service and reduce residual radioactivity to a level that permits—

- (1) Release of the property for unrestricted use and termination of the license; or
- (2) Release of the property under restricted conditions and termination of the license.

Furthermore, on December 13, 2021, the NRC granted Holtec an exemption (ML21286A294, ML21286A506) from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A) to allow the use of a portion of the funds from the Palisades Decommissioning Trust Fund (DTF) for spent fuel management and site restoration activities at Palisades.

These points were previously detailed in the proposed director's decision section titled "Regulatory Requirements."

*Comment 2:* The Petitioners' original question still remains unanswered: *How could Holtec legitimately spend \$143,000,000 from the decommissioning trust fund when Holtec admits that it has never engaged in any decommissioning activity?*

*NRC Response to Comment 2:* As described in the proposed director's decision section titled "NRC Inspection Activities," the NRC staff reviewed Holtec's use of the DTF and verified the expenses incurred. The staff also independently identified several instances in which Holtec used the Palisades DTF for nondecommissioning activities and took appropriate enforcement action for these findings. Notably, in NRC Inspection Report No. 05000255/2024004 (ML25041A225), the NRC staff issued Unresolved Item (URI) 05000255/2024004–01, which seeks to clarify at what point a decommissioning plant undergoing a restart initiative should transition away from using the DTF for otherwise legitimate expenditures. This URI is still under review and is being dispositioned through the NRC's inspection and enforcement process.

In conclusion, the Petition Review Board has determined that the petitioner's comments did not provide any relevant additional information or support for the petition that was not previously considered. Thus, the comments did not change the conclusion of the proposed director's decision. The final director's decision denies the petitioner's request for enforcement action. The NRC appreciates the petitioner's comments and thanks the petitioner for raising concerns in the interest of protecting public health and safety.

[FR Doc. 2025–16022 Filed 8–20–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**