

that would allow valid parts to remain effective if other parts are found invalid; a “Contingent voidness” clause that would void any portion of the act disapproved by the U.S. Secretary of the Interior; and an “Effective date” clause, which makes HB 633 effective the date in which it is passed and approved.

The full text of the program amendment is available for you to read at the locations listed above under **ADDRESSES** or at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov).

### III. Public Comment Procedures

Under the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), we are seeking your comments on whether the amendment satisfies the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If we approve the amendment, it will become part of the State program.

#### *Electronic or Written Comments*

If you submit written or electronic comments on the proposed rule during the 30-day comment period, they should be specific, confined to issues pertinent to the proposed regulations, and explain the reason for any recommended change. We appreciate any and all comments, but those most useful and likely to influence decisions on the final regulations will be those that either involve personal experience or include citations to and analyses of SMCRA, its legislative history, its implementing regulations, case law, other pertinent State or Federal laws or regulations, technical literature, or other relevant publications.

We cannot ensure that comments received after the close of the comment period (see **DATES**) or sent to an address other than those listed (see **ADDRESSES**) will be included in the docket for this rulemaking and considered.

#### *Public Availability of Comments*

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

#### *Public Hearing*

If you wish to speak at the public hearing, contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by 4:00 p.m., M.D.T. on September 5, 2025. If you are disabled and need reasonable accommodations to attend a public

hearing, contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. We will arrange the location and time of the hearing with those persons requesting the hearing. If no one requests an opportunity to speak, we will not hold a hearing.

To assist the transcriber and ensure an accurate record, we request, if possible, that each person who speaks at the public hearing provide us with a written copy of his or her comments. The public hearing will continue on the specified date until everyone scheduled to speak has been given an opportunity to be heard. If you are in the audience and have not been scheduled to speak and wish to do so, you will be allowed to speak after those who have been scheduled. We will end the hearing after everyone scheduled to speak and others present in the audience who wish to speak, have been heard.

#### *Public Meeting*

If only one person requests an opportunity to speak, we may hold a public meeting rather than a public hearing. If you wish to meet with us to discuss the amendment, please request a meeting by contacting the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. All such meetings are open to the public and, if possible, we will post notices of meetings at the locations listed under **ADDRESSES**. We will make a written summary of each meeting a part of the administrative record.

### IV. Procedural Determinations

#### *Executive Order (E.O.) 12866—Regulatory Planning and Review and E.O. 13563—Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review*

E.O. 12866 provides that the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs in the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) will review all significant rules. Pursuant to OMB guidance, dated October 12, 1993, the approval of State program is exempted from OMB review under E.O. 12866. E.O. 13563 reaffirms and supplements E.O. 12866.

#### *Other Laws and E.O.s Affecting Rulemaking*

When a State submits a program amendment to OSMRE for review, our regulations at 30 CFR 732.17(h) require us to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** indicating receipt of the proposed amendment, its text or a summary of its terms, and an opportunity for public comment. We conclude our review of the proposed amendment after the close of the public comment period and determine whether the amendment should be approved,

approved in part, or not approved. At that time, we will also make the determinations and certifications required by the various laws and E.O.s governing the rulemaking process and include them in the final rule.

### List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 926

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

**Marcelo Calle,**

*Exercising the Delegated Authority of the Regional Director, Unified Regions 5, 7–11.*

[FR Doc. 2025–15985 Filed 8–20–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–05–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R09–OAR–2025–0303; FRL–12838–01–R9]

#### **Air Plan Approval; Arizona; Maricopa County Air Quality Department; Petroleum Solvent Dry Cleaning**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve a revision to the Maricopa County Air Quality Department (MCAQD) portion of the Arizona State Implementation Plan (SIP). This revision clarifies definitions used in a rule that limits volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emissions from petroleum solvents used in dry cleaning. We are proposing to approve the rule revisions under the Clean Air Act (CAA or “Act”). We are taking comments on this proposal and plan to follow with a final action.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 22, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R09–OAR–2025–0303 at <https://www.regulations.gov>. For comments submitted at [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov), follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov). The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to

make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>. If you need assistance in a language other than English or if you are a person with a disability who needs a reasonable accommodation at no cost to you, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** La Kenya Evans-Hopper, EPA Region IX, 35 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, CA

94105; telephone number: (415) 972–3245; email address: [evanshopper.lakenya@epa.gov](mailto:evanshopper.lakenya@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to the EPA.

**Table of Contents**

- I. The State’s Submittal
  - A. What rule did the State submit?
  - B. Are there other versions of this rule?
  - C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule revision?
- II. The EPA’s Evaluation and Action
  - A. How is the EPA evaluating the rule revisions?
  - B. Do the rule revisions meet the evaluation criteria?
  - C. Proposed Action and Public Comment
- III. Incorporation by Reference
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**I. The State’s Submittal**

*A. What rule did the State submit?*

In April 2016, the EPA analyzed Maricopa County’s SIP-approved rules to determine if any outdated rules could potentially be replaced by newer provisions that are currently only locally applicable. The analysis resulted in recommendations for updating the Arizona SIP by rescinding obsolete two- and three-digit rules either with, or without, replacement. On September 13, 2017, the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) submitted to the EPA requests from MCAQD to act on a series of rules, including the rescission of various local rules (“2017 SIP Submittal”). Table 1 lists the rule addressed by this proposal with the dates that it was adopted by the local air agency and submitted to the EPA by ADEQ.

TABLE 1—SUBMITTED RULE

Local agency	Rule No.	Rule title	Revised	Submitted
MCAQD .....	333	Petroleum Solvent Dry Cleaning .....	09/25/2013	09/13/2017

On March 13, 2018, the 2017 SIP submittal was deemed by operation of law to be complete. We have reviewed the submittal to ensure it meets the completeness criteria in 40 CFR part 51, appendix V.

*B. Are there other versions of this rule?*

On February 9, 1998 (63 FR 6489), we approved an earlier version of MCAQD Rule 333, “Petroleum Solvent Dry Cleaning,” (“Rule 333”), as revised on June 19, 1996, into the SIP. MCAQD adopted revisions to the SIP-approved version of Rule 333 on September 25, 2013, and ADEQ submitted them to us on September 13, 2017. In its submittal letter, ADEQ requested that, upon approval of the revised version of Rule 333, the EPA remove the old version of this rule from the SIP. If we finalize this rulemaking as proposed, the September 25, 2013 version of Rule 333 will replace the previously approved version of this rule in the SIP.

*C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule revision?*

In 2016, the EPA reformatted the Arizona SIP as codified in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) into a tabulated “notebook” format. While developing the updated SIP tables for that conversion, the EPA worked closely with ADEQ and the local air agencies to clarify what was in their applicable SIP, including older provisions that had not been updated or replaced to reflect local

rulemakings. The result of that coordination was that MCAQD requested to rescind or replace many obsolete rules in their federally enforceable SIP in favor of rules that reflect their current locally enforceable rulebook.

MCAQD Rule 333 regulates the emissions of VOCs from petroleum solvents used in dry cleaning and at dry cleaning facilities. Emissions of VOCs contribute to the production of ground-level ozone and smog that harm human health and the environment. Section 110(a) of the CAA requires states to submit regulations that control VOC emissions. MCAQD Rule 333 was revised to clarify that the definitions in MCAQD Rule 100<sup>1</sup> are applicable to revised MCAQD Rule 333. This includes the definition of VOC that was removed from MCAQD Rule 333 and added to MCAQD Rule 100.

The EPA’s technical support document (TSD) has more information about this rule.

**II. The EPA’s Evaluation and Action**

*A. How is the EPA evaluating the rule revisions?*

Under the provisions of CAA section 110(l), SIP revisions must not interfere with attainment, reasonable further progress (RFP), or any other applicable

requirement of the CAA. Therefore, we evaluated whether the revisions to Rule 333 would comply with CAA section 110(l).

Guidance and policy documents that we used to evaluate revision and/or relaxation requirements for the applicable criteria pollutants include the following:

1. “State Implementation Plans; General Preamble for the Implementation of Title I of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990,” 57 FR 13498 (April 16, 1992); 57 FR 18070 (April 28, 1992).

*B. Do the rule revisions meet the evaluation criteria?*

We evaluated the rule revisions to ensure they meet CAA requirements and are consistent with relevant guidance regarding SIP revisions. Based on our review, the revisions meet the relevant requirements and would strengthen the overall SIP by ensuring a consistent definition for VOC is being implemented in the MCAQD portion of the Arizona SIP. The revisions do not relax any requirements. The TSD has more information on our evaluation.

*C. Proposed Action and Public Comment*

As authorized in section 110(k)(3) of the Act, the EPA proposes to approve the submitted rule because it fulfills all relevant requirements. We will accept comments from the public on this

<sup>1</sup>MDAQD Rule 100, “General Provisions and Definitions,” revised August 9, 2023, SIP-approved April 4, 2024 (89 FR 23521).

proposal until September 22, 2025. If we take final action to approve the submitted rule, our final action will incorporate this rule into the federally enforceable SIP.

### III. Incorporation by Reference

In this document, the EPA is proposing to include in a final EPA rule regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is proposing to incorporate by reference Maricopa County Air Quality Department Rule 333, Petroleum Solvent Dry Cleaning, revised on September 25, 2013, which regulates VOC emissions from petroleum solvents used in dry cleaning. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials available through <https://www.regulations.gov> and at the EPA Region IX Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

### IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this proposed action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Is not subject to Executive Order 14192 (90 FR 9065, February 6, 2025) because SIP actions are exempt from review under Executive Order 12866;
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);

- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
  - Is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) because it proposes to approve a state program;
  - Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001); and
  - Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA.
- In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian Tribe has demonstrated that a Tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have Tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on Tribal governments or preempt Tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: August 7, 2025.

**Joshua F.W. Cook,**

*Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 2025-15984 Filed 8-20-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04-OAR-2024-0387; FRL-12923-01-R4]

#### Air Plan Approval; Alabama; Standards for Granting Permits and Major New Source Review Permit Rules

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve a revision to the Alabama State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) on December 20, 2023. The proposed SIP revision consists of minor changes to certain air permit regulations that have been revised by the State agency since EPA last approved those provisions.

EPA is proposing to approve the SIP revision pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 11, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R04-OAR-2024-0387 at [regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov). Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov). EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Faith Goddard, Multi-Air Pollutant Coordination Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air and Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. The telephone number is (404) 562-8757. Ms. Goddard can also be reached via electronic mail at [goddard.faith@epa.gov](mailto:goddard.faith@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

On December 20, 2023, ADEM submitted a revision to Alabama Administrative Code (Ala. Admin. Code) Chapter 335-3-14 in the Alabama SIP.<sup>1</sup> In the Alabama SIP, Chapter 335-

<sup>1</sup> The December 20, 2023, submittal contains revisions to other Alabama SIP-approved rules that are not addressed in this notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM). EPA will act on those changes in separate rulemakings. The December 20, 2023, SIP revision addressed in this NPRM originally transmitted changes to Ala. Admin. Code Chapters 335-3-14 and 335-3-15. On March 8, 2024, ADEM submitted a letter withdrawing the changes to Chapter 335-3-15 and certain changes to Chapter 335-3-14. The March 8, 2024, withdrawal letter is in the rulemaking docket for this proposed action (the March 8, 2024, withdrawal letter incorrectly notes the SIP submittal date as December 19, 2023).

Continued