

*Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments*

This rule does not have tribal implications warranting the application of E.O. 13175. It does not have substantial direct effects on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal government and Indian tribes.

*Regulatory Flexibility Act*

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601–612) applies to rules that are subject to notice and comment under section 553(b) of the APA or other laws. As noted in the above section regarding the applicability of the APA, DEA determined that there was good cause to exempt this final rule from notice and comment. Consequently, the RFA does not apply.

*Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995*

This action does not impose a new collection of information requirement

under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.<sup>8</sup> This action would not impose recordkeeping or reporting requirements on State or local governments, individuals, businesses, or organizations. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

*Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995*

In accordance with the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) of 1995, 2 U.S.C. 1532, DEA has determined that this action would not result in any Federal mandate that may result “in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100,000,000 or more (adjusted annually for inflation) in any 1 year.” Therefore, neither a Small Government Agency Plan nor any other action is required under UMRA of 1995.

*Congressional Review Act*

This rule is not a major rule as defined by the Congressional Review

Act (CRA), 5 U.S.C. 804. However, pursuant to the CRA, DEA is submitting a copy of this rule to both Houses of Congress and to the Comptroller General.

**List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 1308**

Administrative practice and procedure, Drug traffic control, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set out above, DEA amends 21 CFR part 1308 as follows:

**PART 1308—SCHEDULES OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES**

- 1. The authority citation for part 1308 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 811, 812, 871(b), 956(b), unless otherwise noted.

- 2. Amend § 1308.11 by adding paragraph (d)(105) to read as follows:

**§ 1308.11 Schedule I.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(105) 1-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-2-(dimethylamino)pentan-1-one (other names: dipentylone; *N,N*-dimethylpentylone) ..... 7552

\* \* \* \* \*

**Signing Authority**

This document of the Drug Enforcement Administration was signed on August 5, 2025, by Administrator Terrance Cole. That document with the original signature and date is maintained by DEA. For administrative purposes only, and in compliance with requirements of the Office of the Federal Register, the undersigned DEA Federal Register Liaison Officer has been authorized to sign and submit the document in electronic format for publication, as an official document of DEA. This administrative process in no way alters the legal effect of this document upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

**Heather Achbach,**

*Federal Register Liaison Officer, Drug Enforcement Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2025-15177 Filed 8-7-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-09-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**Department of the Army**

**32 CFR Part 516**

**[Docket ID: USA-2025-HQ-0002]**

**RIN 0702-AB14**

**Litigation; Amendment**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Executive Order titled “Defending Women from Gender Ideology Extremism and Restoring Biological Truth to the Federal Government,” this amendment rule removes text in this CFR part that promotes or otherwise inculcates gender ideology. This change is purely administrative.

**DATES:** This rule is effective August 8, 2025.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** LTC Ronson P. Honeychurch, Chief, General Litigation Branch, email at: *ronson.p.honeychurch.mil@army.mil*, or phone number: (703) 693-1079.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with Executive Order 14168, “Defending Women from Gender Ideology Extremism and Restoring Biological Truth to the Federal Government,” dated January 20, 2025, the Department of the Army is amending this CFR part to remove text that promotes or otherwise inculcates gender ideology. Specifically, it replaces the word “gender” with the word “sex”, consistent with Executive Order 14168, in one sentence of part 516.

It has been determined that publication of this CFR amendment for public comment is unnecessary because the amendment is an administrative change.

This rule is not significant under Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review.” This rule is not an Executive Order 14192 regulatory action, because this rule is not significant under Executive Order 12866.

**List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 516**

Administrative practice and procedure, Claims, Courts, Federal buildings and facilities, Fraud,

<sup>8</sup> 44 U.S.C. 3501-3521.

Government employees, Health care, Intergovernmental relations, Lawyers.

Accordingly, 32 CFR part 516 is amended to read as follows:

## PART 516—LITIGATION

■ 1. The authority citation for 32 CFR part 516 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 552; 10 U.S.C. 218, 1037, 1089, 1552, 1553, 2036; 18 U.S.C. 219, 3401; 28 U.S.C. 50, 513, 515, 543; 31 U.S.C. 3729 and 41 U.S.C. 51; 42 U.S.C. 290, 2651; 43 U.S.C. 666.

■ 2. Amend § 516.3 by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

### § 516.3 Explanation of abbreviations and terms.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) The masculine sex has been used throughout this regulation for simplicity and consistency. Any reference to the masculine sex is intended to include women.

**James W. Satterwhite Jr.,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2025-15081 Filed 8-7-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3711-CC-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2025-0274]

RIN 1625-AA00

#### Safety Zone; Gulf of America; Sand Key Beach, Clearwater, FL

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for certain waters of Sand Key Beach, during the Clearwater AquaX Grand Prix event. This action is necessary to provide for the safety of life on these navigable waters near Clearwater, FL, during a high-speed jet ski race. This rule prohibits persons and vessels from being in the safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector St. Petersburg or a designated representative.

**DATES:** This rule is effective daily from 8:00 a.m. until 5:30 p.m., on August 23, 2025, through August 24, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG-2025-0274 in the search box and click

“Search.” Next, in the Document Type column, select “Supporting & Related Material.”

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this rule, call or email Lieutenant Ryan McNaughton, Sector St. Petersburg Ports & Waterways Branch Chief, U. S. Coast Guard; telephone (571) 608-7131, email [Ryan.A.McNaughton@uscg.mil](mailto:Ryan.A.McNaughton@uscg.mil).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
DHS	Department of Homeland Security
FR	Federal Register
NPRM	Notice of proposed rulemaking
§	Section
U.S.C.	United States Code

#### II. Background Information and Regulatory History

On January 30, 2025, an organization notified the Coast Guard that it will be conducting a jet ski race on August 23, 2025, and August 24, 2025, near Sand Key Beach, Clearwater, FL. The Captain of the Port (COTP) Sector St. Petersburg has determined that potential hazards associated with high-speed races would be a safety concern for anyone within the designated racecourse area. The purpose of this rulemaking is to ensure the safety of vessels and the navigable waters within the racecourse, before, during, and after the scheduled event. The Coast Guard is enforcing this rulemaking under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034.

In response, on June 11, 2025, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) titled NPRM Clearwater AquaX Grand Prix (90 FR 24765). There we stated why we issued the NPRM and invited comments on our proposed regulatory action related to this marine event. During the comment period that ended July 14, 2025, we received 1 comment.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Delaying the effective date of this rule is impracticable because immediate action is needed to respond to the potential safety hazards associated with the high-speed jet ski race.

#### III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under the authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034. The Captain of the Port Sector St. Petersburg (COTP) has determined that potential hazards associated with the high-speed race. The purpose of this rule is to ensure safety of vessels and the navigable waters in the safety zone

before, during, and after the scheduled event.

#### IV. Discussion of Comments, Changes, and the Rule

As noted above, we received 1 comment on our NPRM published June 11, 2025. The comment was unrelated to the rule. There are no changes in the regulatory text of this rule from the proposed rule in the NPRM.

This rule establishes a safety zone from August 23, 2025, to August 24, 2025. The safety zone will cover all navigable waters within the vicinity of Sand Key Beach, Clearwater, FL. The duration of the zone is intended to ensure the safety of vessels and these navigable waters before, during, and after the event. No vessel or person will be permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or a designated representative.

#### V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders.

##### A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review) and 13563 (Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review) direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. Executive Order 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has not designated this rule a “significant regulatory action,” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, OMB has not reviewed it.

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, duration and time of day of the regulated area. Vessel traffic will not be impacted because the event occurs directly off the coast. Moreover, the Coast Guard would issue a Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF-FM marine channel 16 about the zone, and the rule would allow vessels to seek permission to enter the zone.

##### B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small