

TABLE 4—ESTIMATE OF FILING FEES FOR CPCs

Additional cost	Number of respondents (importers)	Number of filings with a fee	Total number of responses	Cost per response	Total burden cost
CPC Filing Fee	224,000	127	28,555,603	\$0.77	\$21,987,815

Request for Comments

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed renewal of this collection of information. The Commission specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

- Whether the collection of information described above is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission’s functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
- Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
- Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and
- Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

Alberta E. Mills,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–12979 Filed 7–10–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC–2012–0055]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Extension of Collection; Comment Request; Standards for the Flammability of Children’s Sleepwear

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice of information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) requests comments on a proposed extension of approval of information collection requirements associated with the Standard for the Flammability of Children’s Sleepwear: Sizes 0 Through 6X and the Standard for the Flammability of Children’s Sleepwear: Sizes 7 Through 14. The Office of

Management and Budget (OMB) previously approved the collection of information under control number 3041–0027. OMB’s most recent extension of approval will expire on September 30, 2025. The Commission will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting an extension of this collection of information from OMB.

DATES: Submit comments on the collection of information by September 9, 2025.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CPSC–2012–0055, within 60 days of publication of this notice by any of the following methods:

Electronic Submissions: Submit electronic comments to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at: <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit through this website: confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information that you do not want to be available to the public. The Commission typically does not accept comments submitted by email, except as described below.

Mail/Hand Delivery/Courier/Written Submissions: CPSC encourages you to submit electronic comments by using the Federal eRulemaking Portal. You may, however, submit comments by mail/hand delivery/courier to: Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504–7479.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this notice. CPSC may post all comments without change, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided, to: <https://www.regulations.gov>. If you wish to submit confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information that you do not want to be available to the public, you may submit such comments by mail, hand delivery, or courier, or you may email them to cpsc-os@cpsc.gov.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to: <https://www.regulations.gov>.

www.regulations.gov, insert docket number CPSC–2012–0055 into the “Search” box, and follow the prompts.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia Gillham, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; (301) 504–7791, or by email to: pra@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: CPSC seeks to renew the following currently approved collection of information:

Title: Standard for the Flammability of Children’s Sleepwear: Sizes 0 Through 6X; and the Standard for the Flammability of Children’s Sleepwear: Sizes 7 Through 14.

OMB Number: 3041–0027.

Type of Review: Renewal of collection.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Affected Public: Manufacturers and importers of children’s sleepwear.

General Description of Collection: The Standard for the Flammability of Children’s Sleepwear: Sizes 0 through 6X (16 CFR part 1615) and the Standard for the Flammability of Children’s Sleepwear: Sizes 7 through 14 (16 CFR part 1616) address the fire hazard associated with small-flame ignition sources for children’s sleepwear manufactured for sale in, or imported into, the United States. The standards also require manufacturers and importers of children’s sleepwear to collect information resulting from product testing and maintenance of the testing records. 16 CFR part 1615, subpart B; 16 CFR part 1616; subpart B.

Estimated Number of Respondents: Based on a review of past inspections and published industry information, CPSC staff estimates that there could be as many as 615 domestic children’s apparel manufacturers in the United States subject to the rule. However, not all these manufacturers will produce children’s sleepwear. Therefore, this figure is likely an overestimate of the actual number of firms responding to the collection of information in any given year. Furthermore, using the Harmonized Tariff System (HTS) codes for children’s sleepwear, CPSC staff found approximately 3,641 importers that supply children’s sleepwear to the U.S. market. Many of the 615 domestic manufacturers, along with many large U.S. retailers, may be among the importers, so this too could be an

overestimate of respondents to the information collection. If all 615 U.S. producers and all 3,641 importers introduced new children's sleepwear garments each year, the total number of respondents to the information collection would be 4,256 (615 + 3,641). As noted above, the actual number of respondents is likely lower.

Estimated Time per Response: PRA burden associated with testing and recordkeeping of each sleepwear item is approximately three hours.

Total Estimated Annual Burden: The 50 largest domestic manufacturers and the 100 largest importers may each introduce an average of 100 new children's sleepwear items annually. The annual burden for the 50 large domestic manufacturers and the 100 largest importers is estimated at 45,000 hours for PRA burden associated with testing and recordkeeping (150 firms × 100 items × 3 hours). Without adjusting for possible double-counting, CPSC staff estimates that the remaining 565 manufacturers and 3,541 importers may each introduce an average of 10 new children's sleepwear items, for a total burden of 123,180 hours (4,106 × 10 items × 3 hours.) Therefore, the total estimated potential annual burden imposed by the standard and regulations on all manufacturers and importers of children's sleepwear will be about 168,180 hours (45,000 + 123,180).

Total Estimated Annual Cost to Respondents: Using compensation data available from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, "Employer Costs for Employee Compensation," December 2024, Table 4, total compensation for management, professional, and related workers in goods-producing private industries, <http://www.bls.gov/ncs>, the annual cost to the industry is estimated to be \$12,748,044 based on an hourly wage of \$75.80 × 168,180 hours.

Request for Comments:

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed collection of information. The Commission specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

- whether the collection of information described above is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
- whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
- whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and

- whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

Alberta E. Mills,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025-13013 Filed 7-10-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for Optimization of the Powder River Training Complex

AGENCY: Department of the Air Force, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Air Force (DAF) is issuing this Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to assess the potential social, economic, and environmental impacts associated with optimization of the Powder River Training Complex (PRTC) (Unique Identification Number EISX-007-57-UAF-1729171028). The EIS will analyze the potential impacts from improvements to the airspace structure at PRTC to address shortfalls in day-to-day training for aircrews from Ellsworth Air Force Base (AFB) and Minot AFB and increasing Large Force Exercise (LFE) capability at PRTC to meet threats to National Security. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is participating as a cooperating agency.

DATES: A public scoping period will run through September 9, 2025. Comments will be accepted at any time during the environmental impact analysis process; however, to ensure DAF has sufficient time to consider public scoping comments during preparation of the Draft EIS, please submit comments within the defined scoping period. A schedule is provided on the project website (www.PRTCairspaceEIS.com) and will be updated as the project progresses.

DAF invites the public, stakeholders, and other interested parties to attend a public scoping meeting. Scoping meetings will be held on the following dates, times, and locations:

- July 28, 2025, 5:00 p.m.–7:00 p.m., Hardin, MT
- July 29, 2025, 5:00 p.m.–7:00 p.m., Ashland, MT

- July 30, 2025, 5:00 p.m.–7:00 p.m., Baker, MT
- July 31, 2025, 5:00 p.m.–7:00 p.m., Bowman, ND
- August 1, 2025, 5:00 p.m.–7:00 p.m., Elgin, ND
- August 11, 2025, 5:00 p.m.–7:00 p.m., Spearfish, SD
- August 12, 2025, 5:00 p.m.–7:00 p.m., Bison, SD
- August 13, 2025, 5:00 p.m.–7:00 p.m., Sundance, WY
- August 14, 2025, 5:00 p.m.–7:00 p.m., Rapid City, SD
- August 19, 2025, 5:00 p.m.–6:00 p.m., Virtual Meeting #1
- August 20, 2025, 5:00 p.m.–6:00 p.m., Virtual Meeting #2
- August 21, 2025, 5:00 p.m.–6:00 p.m., Virtual Meeting #3

All meeting times are in mountain time. For information on the virtual scoping meetings and to view meeting materials please see the project website (www.PRTCairspaceEIS.com).

ADDRESSES: The addresses for the in-person public scoping meetings listed in the **DATES** section will be published in local newspapers and on the project website a minimum of 15 days prior to the meetings. Written scoping comments can be submitted at an in-person scoping meeting, via the project website (www.PRTCairspaceEIS.com), or via postal mail to Attn. PRTC Airspace EIS, 501 Butler Farm Rd., Suite H, Hampton, VA 23666.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For inquiries regarding accommodations under the Americans with Disability Act, please contact Grace Keesling, NEPA Project Manager at AFCEC.CIE.airspace.workflow@us.af.mil, or by phone at 1-380-458-2617.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the Proposed Action is to facilitate training that ensures combat effectiveness and aircrew survivability. The Proposed Action is needed because the current PRTC does not allow aircrews to complete required high altitude day-to-day and LFE training, and because current limitations on LFEs prevent realistic training that ensures mission readiness and aircrew survivability.

DAF is considering two alternatives to implement the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative.

For Alternative 1, the ceiling of two of the primary airspace segments used for day-to-day training (named Powder River 2 and Gateway West Air Traffic Control Assigned Airspaces [ATCAAs]) would be raised to 60,000 feet from the current 26,000 feet, improving the high-altitude training capability. This