

Dr. José Rizal Park, 1007 12th Avenue South,  
Seattle, SG100011849

#### Spokane County

Hotel Collins, (Single Room Occupancy  
Hotels in Central Business District of  
Spokane MPS), 701–705–1/2 West Second  
Avenue (202–212 South Wall Street),  
Spokane, MP100011857

#### Thurston County

National Guard Armory—Olympia, 515  
Eastside Street SE, Olympia, SG100011856

Additional documentation has been  
received for the following resource(s):

#### MINNESOTA

##### Rice County

Rice County Courthouse and Jail (Additional  
Documentation), (Rice County MRA), 218  
3rd St. NW, Faribault, AD82003016

#### SOUTH CAROLINA

##### Greenville County

McBride's Office Supply (Additional  
Documentation), 832 Wade Hampton  
Blvd., Greenville, AD100010864

#### TEXAS

##### Presidio County

Fort D.A. Russell Historic District/Donald  
Judd Historic District (Additional  
Documentation), Roughly bounded by  
Ridge, El Paso, Kelly Sts., US 67 and FM  
2810, Marfa, AD06001152

#### VIRGINIA

##### Virginia Beach INDEPENDENT CITY

Pembroke Manor (Additional  
Documentation), E of jct. of Rtes. 627, 647,  
and U.S. 58, Virginia Beach (Independent  
City), AD70000887  
Land, Francis, House (Additional  
Documentation), 3133 Virginia Beach  
Blvd., Virginia Beach (Independent City),  
AD75002118

Nomination(s) submitted by Federal  
Preservation Officers:

The State Historic Preservation  
Office reviewed the following  
nomination(s) and responded to the  
Federal Preservation Officer within 45  
days of receipt of the nomination(s) and  
supports listing the properties in the  
National Register of Historic Places.

#### MISSOURI

##### Callaway County

Robert Newsom Farmstead, Address  
Restricted, New Bloomfield, SG100011846

*Authority:* Section 60.13 of 36 CFR  
part 60.

##### Sherry A. Frear,

*Chief, National Register of Historic Places/  
National Historic Landmarks Program.*

[FR Doc. 2025–07604 Filed 5–1–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–52–P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–593–596 and  
731–TA–1401–1406 (Review)]

### Large Diameter Welded Pipe From Canada, China, Greece, India, South Korea, and Turkey

#### Determinations

On the basis of the record <sup>1</sup> developed  
in the subject five-year reviews, the  
United States International Trade  
Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of  
1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the  
countervailing duty orders on large  
diameter welded pipe from China,  
India, South Korea, and Turkey and the  
antidumping duty orders on large  
diameter welded pipe from Canada,  
China, Greece, India, South Korea, and  
Turkey would be likely to lead to  
continuation or recurrence of material  
injury to an industry in the United  
States within a reasonably foreseeable  
time.

The Commission made affirmative  
determinations with respect to the  
countervailing duty orders covering  
large diameter welded line pipe from  
India and South Korea and the  
antidumping duty orders covering large  
diameter welded line pipe from Canada,  
China, Greece, India, South Korea, and  
Turkey. The Commission also made  
affirmative determinations with respect  
to the countervailing duty orders  
covering large diameter welded  
structural pipe from China, South  
Korea, and Turkey and the antidumping  
duty orders covering large diameter  
welded structural pipe from Canada,  
China, South Korea, and Turkey.

#### Background

The Commission instituted these  
reviews on February 1, 2024 (89 FR  
6543) and determined on May 6, 2024  
that it would conduct full reviews (89  
FR 46160, May 28, 2024). Notice of the  
scheduling of the Commission’s reviews  
and of a public hearing to be held in  
connection therewith was given by  
posting copies of the notice in the Office  
of the Secretary, U.S. International  
Trade Commission, Washington, DC,  
and by publishing the notice in the  
**Federal Register** on August 13, 2024 (89  
FR 65932). The Commission conducted  
its hearing on February 25, 2025. All  
persons who requested the opportunity  
were permitted to participate.

The Commission made these  
determinations pursuant to section

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the  
Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19  
CFR 207.2(f)).

751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It  
completed and filed its determinations  
in these reviews on April 28, 2025. The  
views of the Commission are contained  
in USITC Publication 5609 (April 2025),  
entitled *Large Diameter Welded Pipe  
from Canada, China, Greece, India,  
South Korea, and Turkey: Investigation  
Nos. 701–TA–593–596 and 731–TA–  
1401–1406 (Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 29, 2025.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2025–07636 Filed 5–1–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1389]

### Certain Computing Devices Utilizing Indexed Search Systems and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination To Review in Part and, on Review, Affirm a Final Initial Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337; Termination of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade  
Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission has  
determined to review in part and, on  
review, affirm a final initial  
determination (“ID”) issued by the  
presiding administrative law judge  
(“ALJ”) in the above-captioned  
investigation finding no violation of  
section 337. This investigation is hereby  
terminated.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard P. Hadorn, Esq., Office of the  
General Counsel, U.S. International  
Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW,  
Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202)  
205–3179. Copies of non-confidential  
documents filed in connection with this  
investigation may be viewed on the  
Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS)  
at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help  
accessing EDIS, please email  
[EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General  
information concerning the Commission  
may also be obtained by accessing its  
internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.  
Hearing-impaired persons are advised  
that information on this matter can be  
obtained by contacting the  
Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone  
(202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On  
January 29, 2024, the Commission  
instituted this investigation under  
section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as

amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based on a complaint filed by X1 Discovery, Inc. of Pasadena, California (“X1”). See 89 FR 5574–75 (Jan. 29, 2024). The complaint, as amended, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain computing devices utilizing indexed search systems and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,498,977 (“the ‘977 patent”) and 8,856,093 (“the ‘093 patent”). *Id.* The complaint also alleges that a domestic industry (“DI”) exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation names seven respondents: (1) ASUSTeK Computer Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan; (2) ASUS Computer International of Fremont, California; (3) Acer Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan; (4) Acer America Corporation of San Jose, California; (5) Dell Technologies Inc. of Round Rock, Texas; (6) Dell Products L.P. of Round Rock, Texas (collectively, the “Remaining Respondents”); and (7) Dell (Chengdu) Company Limited of Sichuan, China (“Dell (Chengdu)”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in this investigation.

On May 22, 2024, the Commission terminated respondent Dell (Chengdu) from the investigation based on partial withdrawal of the complaint. Order No. 8 (May 6, 2024), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (May 22, 2024). As a result, only the six Remaining Respondents remain in the investigation.

On September 23, 2024, the Commission terminated the investigation as to the following asserted claims based on partial withdrawal of the complaint: (i) claims 5, 8–11, 13, 15–16, and 20 of the ‘977 patent and (ii) claims 1–7, 11–12, 14–17, and 19 of the ‘093 patent. Order No. 15 (Aug. 27, 2024), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Sept. 23, 2024).

On October 25, 2024, the Commission granted summary determination of non-infringement of the asserted claims of the ‘977 patent and, thus, no violation of section 337 as to the ‘977 patent. Order No. 18 (Sept. 3, 2024), *aff’d with modified and supplemental reasoning by Comm’n Notice* (Oct. 25, 2024); see Comm’n Opinion (Oct. 25, 2024).

On February 26, 2025, the ALJ issued the final ID, which finds no violation of section 337 as to the remaining asserted claims (claims 13 and 18) of the ‘093 patent. Specifically, the ID finds that: (i) X1 failed to show that claims 13 and 18 have been infringed; (ii) the Remaining Respondents showed that claims 13 and 18 are invalid; (iii) X1 failed to satisfy

the technical prong of the DI requirement as to the ‘093 patent; and (iv) X1 has satisfied the economic prong of the DI requirement as to the ‘093 patent. The ID also includes the ALJ’s recommended determination (“RD”) on remedy and bonding. The RD recommends that, should the Commission determine that a violation of section 337 has occurred, the Commission should: (i) issue a limited exclusion order against the Remaining Respondents’ infringing products; (ii) issue CDOs against each of the Remaining Respondents; and (iii) impose no bond (zero percent bond) for importations of infringing products during the period of Presidential review. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission, having reviewed the record of the investigation, including the parties’ submissions to the ALJ and final ID, has determined to review the ID in part. Specifically, the Commission has determined to review the ID’s finding that X1 has satisfied the economic prong of the DI requirement as to the ‘093 patent. On review, the Commission has determined to take no position on this issue. See 19 CFR 210.45(c); *see also Beloit Corp. v. Valmet Oy*, 742 F.2d 1421, 1423 (Fed. Cir. 1984). The Commission has determined not to review the remaining findings in the ID. Accordingly, the Commission has determined to affirm the ID’s finding that X1 has not shown a violation of section 337 by the Remaining Respondents as to claims 13 and 18 of the ‘093 patent.

This investigation is hereby terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on April 28, 2025.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 28, 2025.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2025–07638 Filed 5–1–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1105–0099]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Reinstatement With Change of a Previously Approved Collection; U.S. Marshals Service Medical Forms

**AGENCY:** U.S. Marshals Service (USMS), Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 60-Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The US Marshals Service, Department of Justice (DOJ), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until July 1, 2025.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Assistant Chief Karl Slazer/Management Support Division, US Marshals Service Headquarters, 1215 S Clark St., Ste. 10017, Arlington, VA 22202–4387, by telephone at 703–740–2316 or by email at [karl.slazer@usdoj.gov](mailto:karl.slazer@usdoj.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g.,