

challenges of thinning markets in mind, especially at the regional level. Development could use certain advanced modeling techniques for aggregating and reporting market information. Such models may have the potential to incorporate valuable information related to premiums and discounts paid for fed cattle characteristics. Or, tailored alternative benchmark reports could be designed to enable market participants to develop their own models based on certain consistent widely reported transaction-specific information, as is the case under the FINRA's TRACE System for bonds.

5. What risks might exist during any transition away from the use of existing benchmarks, and in what ways could they be mitigated? Are certain external regulatory regimes valuable for USDA to learn from in this regard, such as how financial regulators handled the transition away from LIBOR, how FINRA implemented the TRACE System, or other models from financial or commodity trading markets?

#### D. Written Documentation Options

1. Should AMS require covered packers to submit annual documentation to AMS describing how the packer plans to conduct market activities in a fair manner, participate in price discovery for fed cattle, and ensure market access for small and medium-sized feeders?

2. Please comment on how packers should plan to structure market participation and procurement methods to contribute to market price discovery. Provide specific details about actions packers should take to achieve these goals and any market information or data that could be provided to the industry on a voluntary basis to improve market transparency.

3. What role should exchange trading play in considerations of price discovery? For example, for a covered packer to count as contributing to price discovery, would it be useful to establish a presumptive requirement that no less than a certain percentage (e.g., 15% or 30%) of cattle be either exchanged or auction purchased or paid as a market-making fee to the exchange or auction to cover the risks and costs of other producers in those transparent, competitive pricing venues?

4. Please discuss specific problems that feedlot sellers experience with market access and actions that packers could take to improve market access, especially for small and medium-sized producers. For example, would enhanced access to exchange trading be effective in supporting market access,

and could a market-making fee system that supported smaller producers be helpful—for example, from packers that chose to make contributing to such fee one part of their contribution to price discovery under their plan?

5. Please comment about specific concerns related to packer purchasing behavior during periods of supply and demand disruption (i.e., pandemics, disease outbreaks, production disruptions, etc.). What are the important elements of packer plans for maintaining adequate price discovery and market participation during these times?

6. Were AMS to require packers to report to PSD all pricing agreements that describe how base prices are determined for all cattle procured by the packer, describe any specific information or data that should be required for this documentation or otherwise associated to make this documentation more useful for AMS to monitor the market. Should AMS consider any other appropriate documentation requirements of covered packers?

7. In what ways could it be appropriate and useful for AMS to make aspects of the information from these documentation reports available to the public, subject to confidentiality protections? Which ones and why? Would a firm-specific approach, as opposed to an aggregated approach, ever be appropriate for public disclosure?

8. Would it be appropriate and useful for AMS to score or rank covered packers based on their contribution to price discovery, potentially similarly to how FINRA scores broker-dealers on certain metrics?<sup>80</sup> If so, what metrics would be appropriate for the scoring: cash trade and exchange trading purchases, purchases from smaller producers, or other factors? Should any deductions be taken, such as the use of TOMP contracts or delayed packer pickup? Should scoring be dynamic, such that improvements from year to year are recognized? Would any scoring or ranking be most appropriate and useful if provided confidentially to covered packers, or should any ranking be made available publicly?

#### V. Conclusions and Next Steps

Given the background, key regulatory challenges, and options for consideration outlined in this ANPR,

<sup>80</sup> See, e.g., FINRA, "Firm Summary Scorecard," available at <https://www.finra.org/compliance-tools/report-center/equity/firm-summary-scorecard> (last accessed Aug. 2024); "TRACE Quality of Market Report Cards—Treasuries," available at <https://www.finra.org/compliance-tools/report-center/trace/quality-of-markets-treasuries> (last accessed Aug. 2024).

AMS is seeking comment on potential and preferred paths forward. Comments received in response to this ANPR will inform AMS's approach to regulating the Nation's fed cattle markets. Substantive, well-reasoned, constructive comments, including comments that provide data to support views (for example, are based on industry surveys), will assist in identifying if there are unforeseen challenges or viable alternatives before the Agency moves forward. Comments generally in support or opposition to options identified in this ANPR will assist AMS in identifying the acceptability of the presented options in the absence of other alternatives.

Erin Morris,

Associate Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 2024-23528 Filed 10-10-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

## FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

### 12 CFR Parts 303 and 337

RIN 3064-AF99

#### Unsafe and Unsound Banking Practices: Brokered Deposits Restrictions; Extension of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** On August 23, 2024, the FDIC published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would make revisions to its regulations relating to the brokered deposit restrictions that apply to less than well-capitalized insured depository institutions. The proposed rule provided for a 60-day comment period, which closes on October 22, 2024. The FDIC has determined that an extension of the comment period until November 21, 2024, is appropriate. This action will allow interested parties additional time to analyze the proposal and prepare comments.

**DATES:** The comment period for the document that published at 89 FR 68244 (August 23, 2024) is extended. Comments must be received on or before November 21, 2024.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments on this document using any of the following methods:

- Agency Website: <https://www.fdic.gov/resources/regulations/>

*federal-register-publications/*. Follow the instructions for submitting comments on the agency website.

- *Email: comments@fdic.gov*. Include RIN 3064–AF99 in the subject line of the message.

- *Mail: James P. Sheesley, Assistant Executive Secretary, Attention: Comments—RIN 3064–AF99, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20429.*

- *Hand Delivery: Comments may be hand delivered to the guard station at the rear of the 550 17th Street NW Building (located on F Street) on business days between 7 a.m. and 5 p.m.*

- *Public Inspection: Comments received, including any personal information provided, may be posted without change to <https://www.fdic.gov/resources/regulations/federal-register-publications/>. Commenters should submit only information that the commenter wishes to make available publicly. The FDIC may review, redact, or refrain from posting all or any portion of any comment that it may deem to be inappropriate for publication, such as irrelevant or obscene material. The FDIC may post only a single representative example of identical or substantially identical comments, and in such cases will generally identify the number of identical or substantially identical comments represented by the posted example. All comments that have been redacted, as well as those that have not been posted, that contain comments on the merits of the notice will be retained in the public comment file and will be considered as required under all applicable laws. All comments may be accessible under the Freedom of Information Act.*

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

*Division of Risk Management Supervision: Thomas F. Lyons, Associate Director, 202–898–6850, [TLyons@fdic.gov](mailto:TLyons@fdic.gov); Karen J. Currie, Chief, 202–898–3981, [KCurrie@fdic.gov](mailto:KCurrie@fdic.gov); Judy E. Gross, Senior Policy Analyst, 202–898–7047, [JuGross@fdic.gov](mailto:JuGross@fdic.gov).*

*Legal Division: Vivek Khare, Senior Counsel, 202–898–6847, [VKhare@fdic.gov](mailto:VKhare@fdic.gov); Chantal Hernandez, Counsel, 202–898–7388, [ChHernandez@fdic.gov](mailto:ChHernandez@fdic.gov); Ryan McCarthy, Counsel, 202–898–7301, [RyMccarthy@fdic.gov](mailto:RyMccarthy@fdic.gov).*

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On August 23, 2024, the FDIC published in the *Federal Register*<sup>1</sup> a proposed rule amending the brokered deposits-related regulations implementing section 29 of the FDI Act.<sup>2</sup> The proposed rule stated that the comment period would close on

October 22, 2024. However, to provide additional opportunity for the public to prepare comments to address the matters raised by the proposed rule, the FDIC is extending the comment period for the brokered deposits-related proposed rule from October 22, 2024, to November 21, 2024.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Dated at Washington, DC, on October 8, 2024.

**James P. Sheesley,**  
*Assistant Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2024–23631 Filed 10–10–24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6714–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA–2024–2055; Airspace Docket No. 22–AWP–56]

RIN 2120–AA66

#### **Modification of Class D Airspace, Establishment of Class E Airspace; San Bernardino International Airport, San Bernardino, CA**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This action proposes to modify the Class D airspace and establish Class E airspace designated as an extension to a Class D surface area at San Bernardino International Airport, CA (KSBD). Additionally, this action proposes an administrative amendment to update the airport’s existing Class D airspace legal description. These actions would support the safety and management of instrument flight rules (IFR) and visual flight rules (VFR) operations at the airport.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before November 25, 2024.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments identified by FAA Docket No. FAA–2024–2055 and Airspace Docket No. 22–AWP–56 using any of the following methods:

- \* *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- \* *Mail:* Send comments to Docket Operations, M–30; U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W12–140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

- \* *Hand Delivery or Courier:* Take comments to Docket Operations in

Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

- \* *Fax:* Fax comments to Docket Operations at (202) 493–2251.

*Docket:* Background documents or comments received may be read at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) at any time. Follow the online instructions for accessing the docket or go to the Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FAA Order JO 7400.11J, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, and subsequent amendments can be viewed online at [www.faa.gov/air\\_traffic/publications/](http://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/). You may also contact the Rules and Regulations Group, Office of Policy, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–8783.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Nathan A. Chaffman, Federal Aviation Administration, Western Service Center, Operations Support Group, 2200 S 216th Street, Des Moines, WA 98198; telephone (206) 231–3460.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Authority for This Rulemaking**

The FAA’s authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency’s authority. This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of the airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it would modify Class D airspace and establish Class E airspace to support IFR and VFR operations at KSBD.

**Comments Invited**

The FAA invites interested persons to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments, data, or views. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the proposal, explain the reason for any

<sup>1</sup> 89 FR 68244.

<sup>2</sup> 12 U.S.C. 1831f.