

relevant to Commerce’s conduct of Sunset Reviews is set forth in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final*

Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

Initiation of Review

In accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c), we are

initiating the Sunset Reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty order(s) and suspended investigation(s):

DOC case No.	ITC case No.	Country	Product	Commerce contact
A-201-820	731-TA-747	Mexico	Fresh Tomatoes (5th Review).	Jacqueline Arrowsmith, (202) 482-5255.
A-570-088	731-TA-1420	China	Steel Racks (1st Review)	Thomas Martin, (202) 482-3936.
A-570-090	731-TA-1421	China	Steel Trailer Wheels (1st Review).	Mary Kolberg, (202) 482-1785.
C-570-089	701-TA-608	China	Steel Racks (1st Review)	Thomas Martin, (202) 482-3936.
C-570-091	701-TA-609	China	Steel Trailer Wheels (1st Review).	Mary Kolberg, (202) 482-1785.

Filing Information

As a courtesy, we are making information related to sunset proceedings, including copies of the pertinent statute and Commerce’s regulations, Commerce’s schedule for Sunset Reviews, a listing of past revocations and continuations, and current service lists, available to the public on Commerce’s website at the following address: <https://enforcement.trade.gov/sunset/>. All submissions in these Sunset Reviews must be filed in accordance with Commerce’s regulations regarding format, translation, and service of documents. These rules, including electronic filing requirements via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS), can be found at 19 CFR 351.303.

In accordance with section 782(b) of the Act, any party submitting factual information in an AD/CVD proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information. Parties must use the certification formats provided in 19 CFR 351.303(g). Commerce intends to reject factual submissions if the submitting party does not comply with applicable revised certification requirements.

Letters of Appearance and Administrative Protective Orders

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(d), Commerce will maintain and make available a public service list for these proceedings. Parties wishing to participate in any of these five-year reviews must file letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d). To facilitate the timely preparation of the public service list, it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding submit an entry of appearance within 10 days of the

publication of the Notice of Initiation. Because deadlines in Sunset Reviews can be very short, we urge interested parties who want access to proprietary information under administrative protective order (APO) to file an APO application immediately following publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation. Commerce’s regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304-306. Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.¹

Information Required From Interested Parties

Domestic interested parties, as defined in sections 771(9)(C), (D), (E), (F), and (G) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b), wishing to participate in a Sunset Review must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with Commerce’s regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to participate from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, Commerce will automatically revoke the order without further review.²

If we receive an order-specific notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, Commerce’s regulations provide that *all parties*

wishing to participate in a Sunset Review must file complete substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response, on an order-specific basis, are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for respondent and domestic parties. Also, note that Commerce’s information requirements are distinct from the ITC’s information requirements. Consult Commerce’s regulations for information regarding Commerce’s conduct of Sunset Reviews. Consult Commerce’s regulations at 19 CFR 351 for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning AD and CVD proceedings at Commerce.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).

Dated: July 23, 2024.

Scot Fullerton,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2024-16988 Filed 7-31-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-833]

Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022-2023

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that certain producers/

¹ See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

² See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii).

exporters subject to this administrative review did not make sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the July 1, 2022, through June 30, 2023, period of review (POR). Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable August 1, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joy Zhang or Matthew Palmer, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1168 or (202) 482-1678, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 25, 2018, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on citric acid from Thailand.¹ On July 3, 2023, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the *Order*.² On September 11, 2023, based on timely requests for review, in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), Commerce initiated an administrative review of the *Order* covering three companies.³ Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, Commerce extended the deadline for the preliminary results until July 30, 2024.⁴ On July 22, 2024, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by seven days.⁵ The deadline for the preliminary results of this administrative review is now August 6, 2024. For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁶

¹ See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Belgium, Colombia and Thailand: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 83 FR 35214 (July 25, 2018) (*Order*).

² See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review and Join Annual Inquiry Service List*, 88 FR 42693 (July 3, 2023).

³ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 88 FR 62322 (September 11, 2023).

⁴ See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated March 1, 2024.

⁵ See Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings,” dated July 22, 2024.

⁶ See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Thailand; 2022–2023,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this *Order* is citric acid from Thailand. For a full description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act. Export price and constructed export price are calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. NV is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice.

Rate for Non-Selected Companies

The Act and Commerce’s regulations do not directly address the establishment of a rate to be applied to individual companies not selected for examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual review in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.”

In this administrative review, we preliminarily calculated dumping margins of zero percent for both COFCO Biochemical (Thailand) Co., Ltd. (COFCO) and Sunshine Biotech International Co., Ltd. (Sunshine). Thus, in accordance with the expected method, and consistent with the Court

of Appeals for the Federal Circuit’s decision in *Albemarle*,⁷ we preliminarily assigned to the non-selected company a zero percent rate, based on the rates calculated for the two mandatory respondents.

Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period July 1, 2022, through June 30, 2023:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
COFCO Biochemical (Thailand) Co., Ltd	0.00
Sunshine Biotech International Co., Ltd	0.00
Xitrical Group Co. LTD	0.00

Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce intends to disclose to interested parties the calculations performed for these preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of this notice.⁸ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs to Commerce no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.⁹ Interested parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding must submit: (1) a table of contents listing each issue; and (2) a table of authorities.¹⁰

As provided under 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), in prior proceedings we have encouraged interested parties to provide an executive summary of their brief that should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. In this review, we instead request that interested parties provide at the beginning of their briefs a public, executive summary for each issue raised in their briefs.¹¹ Further, we request that interested parties limit their public executive summary of each issue

⁷ See *Albemarle Corp. v. United States*, 821 F.3d 1345, 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2016) (*Albemarle*) (holding that Commerce may only use “other reasonable methods” if it reasonably concludes that the expected method is “not feasible” or “would not be reasonably reflective of potential dumping margins”).

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

¹¹ We use the term “issue” here to describe an argument that Commerce would normally address in a comment of the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

to no more than 450 words, not including citations. We intend to use the public executive summaries as the basis of the comment summaries included in the issues and decision memorandum that will accompany the final results in this administrative review. We request that interested parties include footnotes for relevant citations in the public executive summary of each issue. Note that Commerce has amended certain of its requirements pertaining to the service of documents in 19 CFR 351.303(f).¹²

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, using Enforcement and Compliance's ACCESS system within 30 days of publication of this notice.¹³ Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, we will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing at a time and location to be determined.¹⁴ Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing no fewer than two days before the scheduled date. Parties are reminded that all briefs and hearing requests must be filed electronically using ACCESS and received successfully in their entirety by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), Commerce will issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised by the parties in their case briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Verification

On December 18, 2023, the petitioners, Archer Daniels Midland Company, Cargill, Incorporated, and Primary Products Ingredients Americas LLC, requested that Commerce conduct verification of COFCO's and Sunshine's responses.¹⁵ Accordingly, in accordance with section 782(i) of the Act, Commerce conducted on-site

verifications of the information and data submitted by COFCO and Sunshine.¹⁶

Assessment Rates

Upon issuing the final results, Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. If the weighted-average dumping margin for companies listed above are not zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* AD assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).¹⁷ If the respondent has not reported entered values, we will calculate a per-unit assessment rate for each importer by dividing the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales made to that importer by the total quantity associated with those sales. To determine whether an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate is *de minimis*, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we also will calculate an importer-specific *ad valorem* ratio based on estimated entered values. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.¹⁸

In accordance with Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each respondent which did not know that its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate entries not reviewed at the all-others rate established in the original less-than-fair value (LTFV) investigation (i.e., 11.25 percent) if there is no rate for

the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be that established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not covered in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific cash deposit rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 11.25 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.¹⁹ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

¹² See *Administrative Protective Order, Service, and Other Procedures in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings; Final Rule*, 88 FR 67069 (September 29, 2023).

¹³ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.310.

¹⁵ See Petitioners' Letter, "Petitioners' Request For Verification," dated December 18, 2023.

¹⁶ See Memoranda, "Sales Verification of Sunshine Biotech International Co., Ltd.," dated June 20, 2024; and "Verification of the Sales Response of COFCO in the Antidumping Administrative Review of Citric Acid from Thailand," dated June 20, 2024.

¹⁷ In the preliminary results, Commerce applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

¹⁸ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

¹⁹ See *Order*.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: July 25, 2024.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Application of Facts Available
- V. Discussion of the Methodology
- VI. Currency Conversion
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2024–16994 Filed 7–31–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A–583–854]

Certain Steel Nails From Taiwan: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Administrative Review; 2022–2023; Correction

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) published notice in the **Federal Register** of July 16, 2024 in which Commerce published its preliminary results and rescission, in part, of the 2022–2023 administrative review of the antidumping (AD) order on certain steel nails from Taiwan. In that notice, Commerce incorrectly listed a company in Appendix I, and incorrectly stated the name of a company in Appendix II.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paris Montgomery or Henry Wolfe, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1537 or (202) 482–0574, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On July 16, 2024, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** its preliminary results and partial

rescission in the 2022–2023 administrative review of certain steel nails from Taiwan.¹ In that notice, we incorrectly listed Integral Building Products Inc. in Appendix I as a company for which Commerce is rescinding the administrative review. Additionally, Liang Chyuan Industrial Co., Ltd. was correctly listed as a company which is not selected for individual examination in Appendix II. Commerce has previously determined that Liang Chyuan Industrial Co., Ltd. and Integral Building Products Inc. comprise a single entity.² Because Liang Chyuan Industrial Co., Ltd. remains under review as a company not selected for individual examination, we did not intend to rescind the review with respect to Integral Building Products Inc., as it is part of a single entity with Liang Chyuan Industrial Co, Ltd.

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of July 16, 2024, in FR Doc 2024–15603, on page 57860, in the first column, correct the appendix titled “Appendix I, Companies for Which Commerce is Rescinding the Administrative Review” by removing “Integral Building Products Inc.” Additionally, on page 57860 in the second column, correct the appendix titled “Appendix II—Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination,” by replacing “Liang Chyuan Industrial Co., Ltd.” with “Liang Chyuan Industrial Co., Ltd.; Integral Building Products Inc.” The corrected appendices entitled “Appendix I, Companies for Which Commerce is Rescinding the Administrative Review” and “Appendix II—Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination” are attached to this notice.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

¹ See *Certain Steel Nails from Taiwan: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Administrative Review; 2022–2023*, 89 FR 57856 (July 16, 2024).

² See *Certain Steel Nails from Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018*, 84 FR 48116 (September 12, 2019), unchanged in *Certain Steel Nails from Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Determination of No Shipments; 2017–2018*, 85 FR 14635 (March 13, 2020).

Dated: July 26, 2024.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—Companies for Which Commerce Is Rescinding the Administrative Review

1. A-Jax Enterprises Limited
2. A-Jax International Company Limited
3. A-Stainless International Company Limited
4. Advanced Global Sourcing Limited
5. Aimreach Enterprises Company Limited
6. Alishan International Group Co., Ltd.
7. Alisios International Corporation
8. Allwin Architectural Hardware Inc.
9. A.N. Cooke Manufacturing Co., Pty., Limited
10. Asia Engineered Components
11. Asia Link Industrial Corporation
12. Asia Smarten Way Corp. (Taiwan)
13. Astrotech Steels Private Ltd.
14. Autolink International Company Limited
15. BCR Inc.
16. Boltun Corporation Ltd.
17. Budstech CI Limited
18. Bulls Technology Company Limited
19. Canatex Industrial Company Limited
20. Cata Company Limited
21. Cenluxmetals Company Limited
22. Chang Bin Industrial Co., Ltd.
23. Chang Chin Industry Corporation
24. Chang Yu Industrial Company
25. Chen Nan Iron Wire Co., Ltd.
26. Chen Yu-Lan
27. Chia Da Fastener Company Limited
28. Chia Long Enterprise Co. Ltd.
29. Chiang Shin Fasteners Industries Ltd.
30. Chin Tai Sing Precision Manufactory Co., Ltd.
31. Chun Yu Works & Company Limited
32. Cornwall Enterprise Co., Ltd.
33. Cross International Co., Ltd.
34. Da Wing Industry Company Limited
35. DFK Industrial Corp.
36. Eagre International Trade Co., Ltd.
37. Ever-Top Hardware Corporation
38. Excel Components Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
39. Excellence Industrial Co. Ltd.
40. Fastguard Fastening Systems Inc.
41. Feng Yi Steel Co. Ltd.
42. Fong Yien Industrial Co., Ltd.
43. Fujian Xinhong Mech. & Elec. Co., Ltd.
44. Funtec International Co., Ltd.
45. Fuzhou Royal Floor Co., Ltd.
46. FWU Kuang Enterprise Co., Ltd.
47. H-H Fasteners Company
48. H-Locker Components Inc.
49. Hau Kawang Enterprise Co., Ltd.
50. Hecny Group
51. Hi-Sharp Industrial Corp., Ltd.
52. Hom Wei Enterprise Corporation
53. Hor Liang Industrial Corp.
54. HWA Hsing Screw Industry Co., Ltd.
55. Hwaguo Industrial Fasteners Co., Ltd.
56. Hy-Mart Fastener Co., Ltd.
57. Hyup Sung Indonesia
58. In Precision Link Co., Ltd.
59. Intai Technology Corporation
60. Ji Li Deng Fasteners Co., Ltd.
61. Jinhai Hardware Co., Ltd.