USPTO using the contact information at the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section for special instructions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Matthew Sked, Senior Legal Advisor, at 571–272–7627; or Nalini Mammalenni, Senior Legal Advisor, at 571–270–1647, both with the Office of Patent Legal Administration, Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patents.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 13, 2024, the USPTO published guidance titled “Inventorship Guidance for AI-Assisted Inventions,” to provide clarity for USPTO stakeholders and personnel, including the Central Reexamination Unit and the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, on how the USPTO will analyze inventorship issues now that artificial intelligence (AI) systems, including generative AI, are playing a greater role in the innovation process (89 FR 10043, February 13, 2024). After the comment period for the guidance closed, the USPTO became aware of some continued stakeholder interest in submitting comments on the guidance. Therefore, the USPTO is reopening the written comment period for the guidance to ensure that all stakeholders have a sufficient opportunity to submit comments. The USPTO will also treat as timely any comments received between the original comment period deadline of May 13, 2024, and the publication date of this notice.

Katherine K. Vidal,
Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

[FR Doc. 2024–12429 Filed 6–5–24; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–16–P

CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU
[Docket No. CFPB–2024–0021]

Request for Information Regarding Fees Imposed in Residential Mortgage Transactions

AGENCY: Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.

ACTION: Request for information.

SUMMARY: The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (Bureau or CFPB) is seeking information and comments from the public related to fees charged by providers of mortgages and related settlement services.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 2, 2024.

ADDRESSES: You may submit information or comments, identified by Docket No. CFPB–2024–0021, by any of the following methods:
• Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
• Email: 2024-RFI-ResidentialMortgageFees@CFPB.gov. Include Docket No. CFPB–2024–0021 in the subject line of the message.
• Mail/Hand Delivery/Courier: Comment Intake—Residential Mortgage Fees Assessment, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, 1700 G Street NW, Washington, DC 20552.

Instructions: The Bureau encourages the early submission of comments. All submissions should include document title and docket number. Please note the number of the topic on which you are commenting at the top of each response (you do not need to address all topics). Because paper mail in the Washington, DC area and at the Bureau is subject to delay, commenters are encouraged to submit comments electronically. In general, all comments received will be posted without change to https://www.regulations.gov.

All comments, including attachments and other supporting materials, will become part of the public record and subject to public disclosure. Proprietary information or sensitive personal information, such as account numbers or Social Security numbers, or names of other individuals, should not be included. Comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
George Karithanom, Regulatory Implementation & Guidance Program Analyst, Office of Regulations, at (202) 435–7700 or https://reginquiries.consumerfinance.gov/. If you require this document in an alternative electronic format, please contact CFPB Accessibility@cfpb.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
I. Background
People rely on mortgage loans to buy their homes, tap home equity at key life moments, and refinance those loans when interest rates decline. Mortgages come with many associated fees and costs, referred to as “closing costs,” that are due by the time the loan closes or when the borrower signs the loan agreement. These closing costs, and particularly the costs the lender imposes on the borrower as part of the cost of getting the loan, have recently risen sharply.1 From 2021 to 2023, median total loan costs increased by over 36% on home purchase loans. The median dollar amount paid by borrowers in 2022 was nearly $6,000 in these costs and fees. This, along with increased home prices and interest rates, have placed increased pressure on borrowers’ budgets, contributing to a lack of access to credit and decreased home affordability. Many of these costs are fixed and do not change based on the size of the loan, resulting in an outsized impact on borrowers with smaller mortgages, such as lower income or first-time homebuyers.2

Lenders are also impacted by rising closing costs. The cost for credit scores, credit reports, and employment verification, for example, have all increased markedly over the last few years. Dominant market players have driven up costs through annual price increases that significantly outpace inflation, leaving lenders with little choice but to pay these higher rates. These higher costs are passed on to the consumer or eat into lenders’ bottom lines, in a market where mortgage originators are already facing financial challenges.3 Lenders facing higher costs for evaluating applicants due to increasing costs for the basic information in credit reports may rationally choose to evaluate fewer applicants, potentially resulting in decreased access to credit.

Under Federal law and CFPB regulations,4 borrowers receive disclosures of closing costs through the Loan Estimate and Closing Disclosure.5 These standardized disclosures list out the closing costs and divide them into loan costs and other costs.6 Loan costs are further divided into required services that the consumer can shop for (such as lender’s title insurance) and required services that cannot be shopped for (such as credit reporting costs). The largest disclosed closing costs are origination fees paid to the lender (including discount points). Title fees (including title insurance, title search, and settlement fees) are the next largest category of closing costs (and loan costs).7

1 https://www.consumerfinance.gov/about-us/blog/junk-fees-are-driving-up-housing-costs-the-cfpb-wants-to-hear-from-you/
3 Lenders keep losing money on every loan produced. MBA says 1 National Mortgage News.
6 Other costs include items such as recording fees, homeowners’ insurance, and property taxes.
Borrowers may encounter dozens of different fees, all of which can impact the overall cost of a mortgage. The Mortgage Industry Standards Maintenance Organization (MISMO) lists more than 200 fees that have been found on closing disclosures. This complex set of fees may result in borrowers paying more. A recent study performed by the CFPB demonstrates that consumers pay more when prices are separated into multiple fees. Other research has demonstrated that mortgage borrowers under-react to closing cost pricing. The financial impact of these closing costs can be amplified when they are financed and included in the loan amounts.

Credit reports are an example of a cost that impacts both lenders and consumers and which has risen steeply over the last two years. The credit reporting industry is highly concentrated, with a handful of dominant players dictating the price of credit reports and scores. Credit reports play a critical role in the mortgage origination process. They help lenders price loans, and investors gauge credit risk. However, lenders have few options due to a lack of competition.

Lenders typically pull credit reports at least twice, once at the initial application stage (a “soft pull”) and once when they are finalizing the loan terms (a “hard pull”). Lenders report that “soft pulls,” often used to prescreen applicants, were significantly discounted until recently and now cost the same as the “hard pull.” Smaller recent increases in the cost of the “hard pull” may have an outsized effect because the Government Sponsored Enterprises (GSEs) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, as well as Federal Housing Administration (FHA), United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), and Veterans Affairs (VA), currently require that mortgage lenders use credit reports from the three national credit report companies, known as “tri-merge.”


11. The CFPB welcomes stakeholders to submit stories, data, and information about mortgage closing costs. To assist commenters in developing responses, the CFPB has crafted the below questions that commenters may answer. The CFPB is interested in hearing from consumers, industry participants, social services organizations, small business owners, consumer rights and advocacy organizations, legal aid attorneys, academics and researchers, and State and local government officials.

II. Request for Information

This request for information seeks input from the public on the impact closing costs have on borrowers and the mortgage market, including the degree to which they add overall costs or otherwise cause borrower harm, and any impact such fees may have on the ability to purchase a home, anticipate and afford monthly payments, or refinance an existing mortgage. In addition to hearing from the general public, the CFPB is particularly interested in hearing from consumers, industry participants, social services organizations, small business owners, consumer rights and advocacy organizations, legal aid attorneys, academics and researchers, and State and local government officials.

The CFPB welcomes stakeholders to submit stories, data, and information about mortgage closing costs. To assist commenters in developing responses, the CFPB has crafted the below questions that commenters may answer. However, the CFPB is interested in receiving any comments relating to mortgage closing costs.

1. Are there particular fees that are concerning or cause hardships for consumers?
2. Are there any fees charged that are not or should not be necessary to close the loan?
3. Provide data or evidence on the degree to which consumers compare closing costs across lenders.
4. Provide data or evidence on the degree to which consumers shop for closing costs across settlement providers.
5. How are fees currently set? Who profits from the various fees? Who benefits from the service provided? What leverage or oversight do lenders have over third-party costs that are passed onto the consumer?
6. Which closing costs have increased the most over the past several years? What is the cause of such increases? Do they differ for purchase or refinance? Please provide data to support if possible.
7. What is driving the recent price increases of credit reports and credit scores? How are different parts of the credit report chain (credit score provider, national credit reporting agencies, reseller) contributing to this increase in costs? What competitive forces are or can be brought to bear on these costs? What are the impacts on consumers of the increased costs?
8. Would lenders be more effective at negotiating closing costs than typically range from 0.5%–1.0% of the purchase price.
consumers? Are there reports or evidence that are relevant to the topic?
9. What studies or data are available to measure the potential impact closing costs may have on overall costs, housing affordability, access to homeownership, or home equity?

Rohit Chopra,
Director, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2024–12443 Filed 6–5–24; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4810–AM–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

[Docket ID: USA–2024–HQ–0002]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of the Army, Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: 30-Day information collection notice.

SUMMARY: The DoD has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by July 8, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Reginald Lucas, (571) 372–7574, whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-information-collections@mail.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Associated Form; and OMB Number: Experiences of Junior Soldiers in Alaska; OMB Control Number 0702–ALSK.

Type of Request: New.
Number of Respondents: 400.
Responses per Respondent: 1.
Annual Responses: 400.
Average Burden per Response: 30 minutes.
Annual Burden Hours: 200.

Needs and Uses: At the direction of the Vice Chief of Staff of the Army and the Assistant Secretary for Manpower & Reserve Affairs (ASA M&RA), the Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences is completing a longitudinal examination of performance, attitudes, and behaviors of Soldiers who select to come to Alaska as their first duty station at time of enlistment, also known as Option 20 Soldiers. The U.S. Army has initiated a variety of actions aimed at improving quality of life for Soldiers in Alaska in order to reduce rates of harmful behaviors and increase the ability for Soldiers to thrive. This survey collection will collect data from a sample of Army junior enlisted Soldiers in Alaska to investigate the impacts of living and working in Alaska on effectiveness, quality of life, cohesion, leadership, recruiting and retention. ARI is also investigating potential differences between Option 20 Soldiers and Non-Option 20 Soldiers.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent’s Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: Ms. Jasmeet Seehra.

You may also submit comments and recommendations, identified by Docket ID number and title, by the following method:


Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name, Docket ID number, and title for this Federal Register document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the internet at http://www.regulations.gov as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

DoD Clearance Officer: Mr. Reginald Lucas.

Requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Lucas at whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-information-collections@mail.mil.

DATED: May 30, 2024.

Aaron T. Siegel,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2024–12443 Filed 6–5–24; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6001–FR–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

[Docket ID: USA–2024–HQ–0004]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), Department of the Army, Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: 30-Day information collection notice.

SUMMARY: The DoD has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by July 8, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Reginald Lucas, (571) 372–7574, whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-information-collections@mail.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Associated Form; and OMB Number: USACE Regulatory Program General Forms; ENG Forms 4336, 4345, 6082, 6233, 6284–6287, 6294, and 6295; OMB Control Number 0710–0003.

Type of Request: Revision.
Number of Respondents: 240,444.
Responses per Respondent: 1.
Annual Responses: 240,444.
Average Burden per Response: 2.04 hours.
Annual Burden Hours: 490,506.

Needs and Uses: The USACE (Corps), through its Regulatory Program, regulates certain activities in waters of the United States (WOTUS), pursuant to section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA). WOTUS are defined under 33 CFR part 328. The Corps also regulates certain activities in “navigable waters of the United States” pursuant to Sections 9 and 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) of 1899. The information collected is used to evaluate, as required by law, proposed construction or filling in WOTUS that result in impacts to the aquatic environment and nearby properties, and to determine which type of permit would be required if one was needed. Respondents are private landowners, businesses, nonprofit