reflect the current statute. OPM appreciates this comment. A different commenter (OPM-2023-0002-0003) generally supported the interim final rule. This individual stated that, although spouses of active-duty members face the hardship challenges of finding employment, the change to the recruitment date would give them a positive advantage. This commenter suggested that if the intent of the rule is to enhance the recruitment and hiring of military spouses for permanent Federal positions in the competitive service, then OPM should remove the January 1, 2029 date. OPM appreciates this comment but notes the January 1, 2029 recruitment date is specified in statute and that OPM cannot alter it via regulation. OPM is adopting the interim final rule with no changes.

Expected Impact of This Final Rule

This final rule adopts, without change, the interim final rule implementing statutory changes codified at 5 U.S.C. 3330d, which extended the eligibility date for noncompetitive appointment of military spouses married to a member of the armed forces on active duty until December 31, 2028, and removed the temporary agency reporting requirements established under section 573(d) of Public Law 115–232. OPM did not receive any comments on the costs identified in the interim final rule. The final rule will benefit military spouses married to military members on active duty and hiring agencies by reflecting the statutory sunset date for the noncompetitive appointment hiring authority in the FY 2023 NDAA. This rule also benefits agencies by removing duplicative reporting requirements originally established under the FY 2019 NDAA provisions.

Administrative Procedure Act

On September 28, 2023, OPM published an interim final rule (88 FR 66677) and determined that there was a basis under the Administrative Procedure Act for issuing the interim final rule with immediate effect. OPM has considered all relevant input and information contained in the comments submitted in response to the interim final rule and has concluded that no changes to the interim final rule are warranted. OPM is adopting the provisions of the interim final rule as a final rule with no changes.

Regulatory Review

Executive Orders 13563, 12866, and 14094 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). The Office of Management and Budget has determined that this final rule is not a “significant regulatory action” under Section (3)(f) Executive Order 12866, as amended by Executive Order 14094.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Director of OPM certifies that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because it applies only to Federal agencies and employees.

Federalism

This final rule will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 13132, OPM has determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications that require preparation of a federalism summary impact statement.

Civil Justice Reform

OPM has determined this final rule meets the relevant standards of Executive Order 12988.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

This final rule will not result in the expenditure by State, local or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of more than $100 million annually. Thus, no written assessment of unfunded mandates is required.

Congressional Review Act

OMB’s Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has determined this final rule does not satisfy the criteria listed in 5 U.S.C. 804(2).


This final regulatory action will not impose any additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

List of Subjects in 5 CFR Part 315

Government employees.
Interpretive Rule. c/o Legal Division Docket Manager, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, 1700 G Street NW, Washington, DC 20552. Because paper mail in the Washington, DC area and at the CFPB is subject to delay, commenters are encouraged to submit comments electronically.

Instructions: The CFPB encourages the early submission of comments. All submissions must include the document title and docket number. In general, all comments received will be posted without change to https://www.regulations.gov. All submissions, including attachments and other supporting materials, will become part of the public record and subject to public disclosure. Proprietary information or sensitive personal information, such as account numbers or Social Security numbers, or names of other individuals, should not be included. Submissions will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Interpretive Rule

A. Executive Summary

Over the past three years, the CFPB has extensively analyzed lenders marketing their loans as “Buy Now, Pay Later.” This includes a major study published in 2022, insights from supervisory examinations, and other market monitoring and investigation. Although market participants’ loan offers vary in this lending sector, the CFPB is publishing this interpretive rule to clarify existing obligations for market participants with specific business practices.

This interpretive rule’s legal analysis states that lenders that issue digital user accounts that consumers use from time to time to access credit products to purchase goods and services are “card issuers” under Regulation Z, including when those products are marketed as Buy Now, Pay Later (BNPL). Such lenders are “card issuers” because such digital user accounts are “credit cards” under Regulation Z. Traditional BNPL products are closed-end loans payable in fewer than four installments, with no finance charge, used to make purchases on credit. Consequently, BNPL loans are subject to some, but not all, of Regulation Z’s credit card regulations. Digital user accounts that consumers use to access BNPL credit mimic conventional credit cards. They meet the regulatory definition of “credit cards” as defined at 12 CFR 1026.2(a)(15)(i). Lenders that issue such digital user accounts are “card issuers” as defined at 12 CFR 1026.2(a)(7) and “creditors” for purposes of subpart B of Regulation Z, as defined at 12 CFR 1026.2(a)(17)(i)(i). However, traditional BNPL products do not meet the definition of “open-end credit” as defined at 12 CFR 1026.2(a)(20) or of a “credit card account under an open-end (not home-secured) consumer credit plan” as defined at 12 CFR 1026.2(a)(15)(ii).

Accordingly, lenders that issue digital user account to access BNPL credit are subject to the regulations appearing in subpart B of Regulation Z, including, most importantly, provisions governing credit card dispute and refund rights.

Although subpart B is labeled “Open-End Credit,” 12 CFR 1026.2(a)(17)(i) specifically states that subpart B also applies to credit that is not open end if, as with BNPL, the credit is not subject to a finance charge and is not payable by written agreement in more than four installments. This is the case because Congress expressly instructed the Bureau to apply open-end credit regulations to this form of credit that is not open end. The Truth in Lending Act (TILA) says that “the Bureau shall, by regulation, apply [open-end credit] requirements to [card issuers that extend credit with no finance charge that is payable in four or fewer installments], to the extent appropriate, even though the requirements [of the open-end credit provisions] are by their terms applicable only to creditors offering open-end credit plans.” 1 Lenders that issue digital user accounts to access BNPL credit are generally not subject to the credit card regulations appearing in subpart G of Regulation Z (e.g., penalty fee limits and ability-to-repay requirements). 2

B. Background

Since the mid-2010s, a financing method marketed as “Buy Now, Pay Later” (BNPL) has rapidly gained popularity as an alternative to conventional credit cards in the United States and abroad. 3 While variations of

2 Subpart G generally applies only to “credit card account(s) under an open-end (not home-secured) consumer credit plan.” However, 12 CFR 1026.1205 in subpart G may apply.
4 Other variations of BNPL include loans that, for example, incur interest or other finance charges (often referred to as point-of-sale loans). Depending on their features, such loans might be subject to other provisions of Regulation Z, including subparts C or G.
6 Id. at 14–15.
BNPL is popular among a broad range of consumers, but certain groups have shown a significantly higher likelihood of using BNPL. These groups include Black, Hispanic, and female consumers, as well as consumers with an annual household income between $20,001–$50,000 and consumers under the age of 35. In comparison to non-BNPL borrowers, BNPL borrowers tend to have higher levels of debt, carry balances on their conventional credit cards, have delinquencies on traditional credit products, and make use of higher-cost financial services like payday loans, pawn, and overdraft. BNPL borrowers are also more likely to use other credit products like conventional credit cards, personal loans, and student loans, but have less liquidity and savings compared to non-BNPL borrowers.

Consumers often use BNPL offerings as an alternative to conventional credit cards, and the two share many similarities. Both combine payment processing and credit services. Both charge transaction fees to merchants and are extensively used for retail transactions. And consumers often use these two payment methods in a similar manner. In fact, often when a consumer is making purchases online from a merchant’s website, the only options for paying on credit consist of conventional credit cards and BNPL, which are presented next to each other as alternatives.

The CFPB has been closely monitoring the BNPL market by issuing reports based on collected BNPL data and supervising certain BNPL lenders. In December 2021, the CFPB issued mandatory data collection orders to five large BNPL lenders to understand market trends and practices. These responses formed the basis of the September 2022 report “Buy Now Pay Later: Market Trends and Consumer Impacts,” which highlighted industry growth, as well as consumer benefits and risks associated with BNPL loans. The report noted, among other findings, a lack of standardized disclosures and challenges in resolving disputes. In March 2023, the CFPB published “Consumer Use of Buy Now, Pay Later,” which used data from the annual Making Ends Meet survey and credit bureaus to identify demographic and other characteristics of BNPL borrowers. In March 2024, the CFPB released its “Consumer Response Annual Report” for 2023, which noted issues consumers faced with merchants regarding BNPL, such as non-receipt of items and challenges in canceling loans. Through monitoring consumer complaints, the CFPB has further refined its understanding of the BNPL market. The CFPB continues to observe the industry and monitor new market and product trends.

Recognizing the importance of adequate consumer protections for BNPL loans, the CFPB is issuing this interpretive rule so that BNPL providers understand their obligations. As this interpretive rule explains, lenders that issue BNPL digital user accounts are “card issuers” under Regulation Z, because the digital user accounts they issue constitute “credit cards” under Regulation Z. The term “credit card”—which, as defined by TILA and Regulation Z, includes the term “other credit device” or “other single credit device” used for the purpose of obtaining credit—encompasses digital accounts that consumers can use through websites, mobile apps, browser extensions, or integrations with merchant websites or mobile apps to access BNPL credit for the purchase of goods and services. The CFPB also affirms through this interpretive rule that BNPL lenders that extend credit—even though that credit is not subject to a finance charge and is not payable by written agreement in more than four installments—are creditors subject to subpart B of Regulation Z, including those provisions governing cost of credit disclosures and billing disputes.

C. Legal Analysis

This interpretive rule discusses the application of subpart B of Regulation Z to lenders that issue digital user accounts that consumers use from time to time to access credit, which includes those lenders that market their loans as “Buy Now, Pay Later.” Regulation Z requires that the Truth in Lending Act (TILA). The purpose of TILA is to assure a meaningful disclosure of credit terms so that the consumer will be able to compare more readily the various credit terms available to him and avoid the uninformed use of credit, and to protect the consumer against inaccurate and unfair credit billing and credit card practices.” Accordingly, TILA and its implementing regulation
generally establish uniform methods for calculating the cost of credit, require meaningful disclosure of those costs to consumers, and provide standardized mechanisms for resolving credit billing disputes.\(^{26}\)

Although subpart B primarily covers open-end credit, many of its provisions apply more broadly, including to closed-end credit, under certain circumstances.\(^{27}\) Certain subpart B provisions, such as those governing cardholder liability, apply to any “card issuer,” regardless of the type of credit card offered. Regulation Z defines “card issuer” as “a person that issues a credit card or that person’s agent with respect to the card.”\(^{28}\) Additionally, “card issuers” are considered “creditors” for purposes of subpart B if they also extend “either open-end credit or credit that is not subject to a finance charge and is not payable by written agreement in more than four installments.”\(^{29}\) Such “creditors” are broadly subject to the provisions of subpart B, including those governing disclosures and billing dispute resolution. Thus, BNPL lenders that issue a credit card as defined by Regulation Z are card issuers for purposes of the regulation. And as they also extend credit, even though that credit is not subject to a finance charge and not payable by written agreement in more than four installments, those BNPL lenders are creditors subject to the provisions of subpart B.

The definition of “credit card” in TILA and Regulation Z is not limited to a plastic or metal embossed physical card. While the term certainly includes those, it also includes archaic forms of credit devices like plates and coupon books, and non-physical credit devices like account numbers, including virtual credit cards where the account number itself is the “credit card.” In creating these definitions, Congress understood the need for flexibility to cover evolving types of credit devices, reflecting the rapid advancement of credit mechanisms at the time of enactment. TILA defines “credit card” as “any card, plate, coupon book or other credit device existing for the purpose of obtaining money, property, labor, or services on credit.”\(^{30}\) Regulation Z similarly defines “credit card” as “any card, plate, or other single credit device that may be used from time to time to obtain credit.”\(^{31}\)

The CFPB interprets the terms “other credit device” and “other single credit device” found within the TILA and Regulation Z definitions of credit card to include a BNPL digital user account that a consumer can use through websites, mobile apps, browser extensions, or integrations with merchant websites or mobile apps to access BNPL credit, to the extent the user account is used to draw, transfer, or authorize the draw or transfer of credit in the course of authorizing, settling, or otherwise completing transactions to obtain goods or services.\(^{32}\) The broad catch-all terms “other credit device” and “other single credit device” are not defined by TILA and Regulation Z.\(^{33}\) However, this interpretation is consistent with the ordinary meaning and historical context of the words.

The CFPB’s interpretation flows from the ordinary meaning of the word “device.” Merriam-Webster Dictionary contains several definitions for the word “device,” including “something devised or contrived: such as . . . [a] plan, procedure, [or] technique . . . [or] a piece of equipment or a mechanism designed to serve a special purpose or perform a special function.”\(^{34}\)

Webster defines a ‘device’ as follows: ‘That which is devised, or formed by conception, a contrivance, and invention, a project, a scheme, often a scheme to deceive, a stratagem, an artifice.’\(^{35}\)

\(15\) U.S.C. 1602(i).
\(12\) CFR 1026.2(a)(15)(i). The term is also defined to include “a hybrid prepaid-credit card as defined in §1026.61.”\(^{36}\)

\(26\) Regulation Z defines credit broadly as the right to defer payment of debt or to incur debt and defer its payment. BNPL credit meets this definition.

\(27\) This includes BNPL credit, which for purposes of this interpretive rule is defined as a closed-end consumer loan for a retail transaction that is repaid in four (or fewer) interest-free installments and does not otherwise impose a finance charge. See also 12 CFR 1026.2(b)(17)(ii)–(iv). To the extent that subpart B applies to business-purpose credit cards, this would also include business-purpose BNPL credit. See 12 CFR 1026.12(a)(b).

\(28\) See 12 CFR 1026.12, which covers unauthorized issuance and liability for unauthorized use. Other provisions of Regulation Z may also apply to “card issuers” regardless of the type of credit. See 12 CFR 1026.66, in subpart G, which outlines the rules for credit and charge card applications and solicitations.

\(29\) 12 CFR 1026.2(a)(7). Where a BNPL provider partners with another party to extend credit, including a bank, depending on the facts and circumstances both entities may be “card issuers.”

\(30\) See 12 CFR 1026.2(a)(15)(ii) (one of several independent tests by which an entity can qualify as a “creditor” under 12 CFR 1026.2(a)(17)). Of course, were a BNPL provider to charge a finance charge, or allow repayment in more than four installments, they would meet one or more of the other independent tests for qualifying as a “creditor.”

\(31\) Subpart B includes 12 CFR 1026.6 through .9, which cover disclosures, and 12 CFR 1026.13, which covers billing error resolution.

\(32\) 12 CFR 1026.2(a)(15)(i). The term is also defined to include “a hybrid prepaid-credit card as defined in §1026.61.”

\(33\) A BNPL integration includes, for example, a BNPL lender’s code or process embedded in the checkout flow of a merchant website or mobile app that allows a consumer to access a digital user account to obtain BNPL credit.

\(34\) In addition, there is no well-understood definition of “other credit device” or “other single credit device” or “other credit card” for purposes of TILA; Telco Commerce’s Grp., Inc. v. Race Rock of Orlando, LLC, 57 F. Supp. 2d 340, 343 (E.D. Va. 1999) (holding that telephone calling cards can be credit cards); Munoz v. Seventh Ave., Inc., No. 04 C 2219, 2004 WL 1593606, at *4 (N.D. Ill. July 15, 2004) (“Section 1602(k) contains definition of ‘credit card’ which encompasses items that are not within the common understanding of the term credit card, such as a ‘coupon book’ or ‘other credit device.’”).
credit cards became widespread.\(^41\)

In this context, Congress appears to have intended a flexible and comprehensive definition of “credit card” that could encompass both the entire range of existing credit devices and also those “other credit devices” that might not yet exist. Indeed, the Board, which previously had jurisdiction over Regulation Z, adopted a similarly broad interpretation of “other single credit device” in 2010. The Board clarified in Official Staff Interpretations of Regulation Z that non-physical devices—in that case, account numbers—could be considered “credit cards” under the definition.\(^42\)

The Board explained in the rulemaking preamble that while Congress did not generally intend to treat all account numbers as credit cards—for example, where credit is transferred into a consumer’s asset account—it would be inconsistent with Congressional intent not to do so when the account number could be used to access credit for the purchase of goods and services.\(^43\)

As an example, the Board provided a hypothetical scenario in which an open-end credit account was designed for online purchases, functioning like a conventional credit card account, but only accessible with an account number.\(^44\) In such circumstances, the Board stated, it believed that TILA’s credit card protections should apply.\(^45\)

This analysis applies equally in the BNPL context. BNPL is a product primarily designed for the online purchase of goods and services and a digital BNPL user account functions like a conventional credit card. Consumers can use their BNPL digital user accounts through BNPL websites, mobile apps, browser extensions, or integrations with merchant websites or mobile apps to access credit for purchases. Given its similarities to conventional credit cards, a consumer’s BNPL digital user account is among the types of “credit devices” that Congress would have had in mind in enacting TILA.

In order for a device to constitute a credit card under Regulation Z, it must be usable from time to time to obtain credit.\(^46\) The commentary to Regulation Z interprets the term “time to time” to involve the possibility of repeated use of a single device.\(^47\)

The CFPB interprets the phrase “usable from time to time” to cover a consumer’s BNPL digital user account that is issued as part of a business model designed for repeat use that can be used through websites, mobile apps, browser extensions, or integrations with merchant websites or mobile apps, to access credit for the purchase of goods and services.\(^48\) Like conventional credit cards, the BNPL business model is designed around the repeat use of a digital user account to make real-time purchases on credit.\(^49\)

The CFPB therefore interprets the term “credit cards” to include such digital credit devices for purposes of TILA and Regulation Z.

Of course, not all digital user accounts are credit cards. However, digital user accounts with the purpose of giving consumers access to credit from time to time in the course of completing transactions to purchase goods or services, including those marketed as BNPL, meet the regulatory definition of “credit card.” When consumers use them through websites, mobile apps, browser extensions, and integrations, they get credit in the course of completing transactions to pay for a product at checkout or even in physical stores.\(^50\) And these digital user accounts “exist for [that] purpose.”\(^51\) They are, effectively, digital replacements for conventional credit cards, and consumers use them in the same way as conventional credit cards. The statutory and regulatory definitions of “credit card” are broad enough to capture new, technologically advanced “devices” designed to mimic the core features of conventional credit cards.

Consequently, BNPL providers issuing the credit cards (and their agents with respect to the credit card) are “card issuers” for purposes of Regulation Z. Additionally, as noted above, a “card issuer” is a “creditor” for purposes of subpart B if it extends credit, even though that credit is not subject to a finance charge and not payable by written agreement in more than four installments. Thus, BNPL lenders that issue credit cards are “creditors” for purposes of subpart B and must comply with its requirements, including the provisions related to disclosures and billing dispute resolution.

II. Regulatory Matters

This is an interpretive rule issued under the Bureau’s authority to interpret TILA and Regulation Z, including under section 1022(b)(1) of the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010, which authorizes guidance as may be necessary or appropriate to enable the CFPB to administer and carry out the purposes and objectives of Federal consumer financial laws.\(^52\) While not required under the APA, the CFPB is collecting comments and may make revisions to the interpretive rule at a later time as appropriate in light of feedback received. The CFPB may take no further action if no revisions are warranted.

By operation of TILA section 130(f), no provision of TILA sections 130, 108(b), 108(c), 108(e), or section 112 imposing any liability applies to any act done or omitted in good faith in conformity with this interpretive rule, notwithstanding that after such act or omission has occurred, the interpretive rule is amended, rescinded, or determined by judicial or other authority to be invalid for any reason.\(^53\)

The CFPB has determined that this interpretive rule does not impose any new or revise any existing recordkeeping, reporting, or disclosure requirements on covered entities or members of the public that would be collections of information requiring approval by the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act.\(^54\)

Pursuant to the Congressional Review Act,\(^55\) the Bureau will submit a report containing this interpretive rule and other required information to the United States Senate, the United States House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to the rule’s published effective date. The Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has designated this interpretive

---

\(^{41}\) See John R. Webster & William F. Davis III., Bank Credit Plans: Innovations in Consumer Financing, 1 Loy. L. A. L. Rev. 49 (1968); see also 113 Cong. Rec. 28765 (1967) (member of Congressional Committee on Banking and Currency referring to credit cards as “the new credit device”).\(^\)

\(^{42}\) See Regulation Z, comment 2(a)(15)–2 ii.I.C. An “account number” contemplated by the Board’s interpretation is distinct from a BNPL digital user account.

\(^{43}\) See 76 FR 22948 (Apr. 25, 2011). In that rulemaking, the Board was only considering open-end credit, but the CFPB believes that the logic applies similarly to closed-end credit.

\(^{44}\) Id.

\(^{45}\) Id.
rule as a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Rohit Chopra,
Director, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2024–11192 Filed 5–30–24; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4810–AM–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 21
[Docket No. FAA–2022–1548]

Airworthiness Criteria: Special Class
Airworthiness Criteria for the Archer
Aviation, Inc. Model M001 Powered-Lift

Correction

In rule document 2024–11192, beginning on page 45944, make the following correction: On page 45976, in the second column, on the fifteenth line beginning on page 45944, make the following change:

“AM1.2816 Propeller Critical Parts” should read “AM1.2816 Propeller Critical Parts”.

[FR Doc. CI–2024–11192 Filed 5–30–24; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 0099–10–D

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71
[Docket No. FAA–2023–1758; Airspace Docket No. 23–AWP–44]

 Modification of Class E Airspace; 
Mammoth Lakes Airport, Mammoth Lakes, CA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action modifies the Class E airspace designated as surface area, modifies the Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface, and removes the Class E airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface at Mammoth Yosemite Airport, Mammoth Lakes, CA. Additionally, this action updates the administrative portion of the airport’s Class E airspace legal descriptions. These actions support the safety and management of instrument flight rules (IFR) operations at the airport.

DATES: Effective date 0901 UTC, September 5, 2024. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under 1 CFR part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order JO 7400.11 and publication of conforming amendments.

ADDRESSES: A copy of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), all comments received, this final rule, and all background material may be viewed online at www.regulations.gov using the FAA Docket number. Electronic retrieval help and guidelines are available on the website. It is available 24 hours each day, 365 days each year.

FAA Order JO 7400.11H, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, and subsequent amendments can be viewed online at www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/. You may also contact the Rules and Regulations Group, Office of Policy, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–8783.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Jeffrey Drasin, Federal Aviation Administration, Western Service Center, Operations Support Group, 2200 S 216th Street, Des Moines, WA 98198; telephone: (206) 231–2248.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Authority for This Rulemaking

The FAA’s authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency’s authority. This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart 1, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of the airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it modifies and removes Class E airspace to support IFR operations at Mammoth Yosemite Airport, Mammoth Lakes, CA.

History

The FAA published a notice of proposed rulemaking for Docket No. FAA–2023–1758 in the Federal Register (88 FR 88546; December 22, 2023) for the removal and modification of Class E airspace at Mammoth Yosemite Airport, Mammoth Lakes, CA. Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking effort by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments were received.

Differences From the NPRM

The legal description of the Class E airspace designated as a surface area within the NPRM included the following language: “This Class E airspace area is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Air Missions. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Chart Supplement.” The FAA subsequently determined that the Class E airspace at Mammoth Yosemite Airport is effective 24 hours a day. Accordingly, the final rule does not include this language.

Incorporation by Reference

Class E2 and E5 airspace area designations are published in paragraphs 6002 and 6005, respectively, of FAA Order JO 7400.11. Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 on an annual basis. These amendments will be published in the next update to FAA Order JO 7400.11.

FAA Order JO 7400.11H lists Class A, B, C, D, and E airspace areas, air traffic service routes, and reporting points.

The Rule

This action amends 14 CFR part 71 by modifying the Class E airspace designated as surface area, modifying the Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface, and removing the Class E airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface at Mammoth Yosemite Airport, Mammoth Lakes, CA. The Class E surface area extension east of the airport centered on the 099° bearing is recentered to the airport’s 096° bearing. Additionally, the width is reduced from 1.8 miles to 1 mile either side of the bearing, and the extension length is reduced from 5.6 miles to 4.6 miles east of the airport. This will better contain arriving IFR operations between the surface and 1,000 feet above the surface while executing the Area Navigation (RNAV) (Global Positioning System [GPS]) Runway (RWY) 27 approach.

The Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface at the airport is extended eastward to include that airspace within 2.6 miles either side of the airport’s 091° bearing extending from the 6.6-mile radius to 13.1 miles east of the airport. This will contain arriving IFR operations below 1,500 feet above the surface while