the regulated area via Local Notice to Mariners, Marine Safety Information Bulletins, Broadcast Notice to Mariners, and on-scene designated representatives.

Dated: April 23, 2024.

F.J. DelRosso,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Charleston.

[FR Doc. 2024–09051 Filed 4–30–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

36 CFR Part 1225

[FDMS No. NARA-24-0008; NARA-2024-026]

RIN 3095-AC12

Federal Records Management: GAO Concurrence

AGENCY: National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

ACTION: Direct rule.

SUMMARY: The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) is amending our records management regulations to limit the role of the Government Accountability Office (GAO) in approving certain deviations in agency records schedules. Under the updated regulation, Federal agencies will only require GAO approval for records schedules that propose retention periods for accountable officer records that are shorter than the retention periods provided in the General Records Schedule (GRS). 1.1, item 010 for Accountable Officer records. GAO approval will no longer be required for other deviations from the GRS. GAO approval will also not be required for records schedules that dispose of program records less than three years old. GAO has concurred with this change.

DATES: Send comments on or before July 1, 2024.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments on this rule, identified by RIN 3095–AC12, by any of the following methods:

Federal eRulemaking Portal: https://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

Email: Regulation_comments@ nara.gov. Include RIN 3095–AC12 in the subject line of the message.

Mail (for paper, disk, or CD–ROM submissions): Send comments to Regulation Comments Desk (External Policy Program, Strategy & Performance Division (MP)); Suite 4100; National Archives and Records Administration; 8601 Adelphi Road; College Park, MD 20740–6001.

Hand delivery or courier: Deliver comments to the front desk at 8601 Adelphi Road, College Park, MD, addressed to: Regulations Comments Desk, External Policy Program; Suite 4100.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Edward Germino, Strategy and Performance Division, by email at regulation_comments@nara.gov, or by telephone at 301–837–3758. Contact rmstandards@nara.gov with any questions on records management standards and policy.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Federal Records Act at 44 U.S.C. 3309 requires Government Accountability Office approval in situations where an agency seeks to dispose of records pertaining to claims and demands by or against the Government of the United States or to accounts in which the Government of the United States is concerned. NARA regulations have expanded the statutory requirement to require approval in two situations. First, agencies require GAO approval to dispose of agency program records that are less than three years old. Second, GAO approval is needed before an agency disposes of records in any way that deviates from what is provided in former General Records Schedule (GRS) 2–10. GRS 2–10 has been superseded by GRS 1.1, Financial Management and Reporting Records.

Practically, the current regulatory requirement to obtain GAO approval before the disposal of certain records means that agencies must seek GAO approval of numerous records schedules unrelated to GAO's mission. The required approval by GAO has created an additional burden on agencies requesting approval of these proposed records schedules and delays NARA's evaluation and approval processes.

NARA and GAO agree that the review required by this regulation is no longer necessary or appropriate. GAO review of records disposals under this regulation was originally established to support GAO authority under 44 U.S.C. 3309, which provides that records related to claims and demands by or against the U.S. Government cannot be disposed of by the agency head unless they have been settled and adjusted by GAO. However, the General Accounting Office Act of 1996 and the Legislative Branch Appropriations Act of 1996 transferred the authority to settle accounts to the Executive Branch. However, GAO retained the authority to relieve

accountable officers from their liability under 31 U.S.C. 3527. Therefore, NARA is amending its records management regulation to only require GAO approval of records schedules that would provide retention periods for records of accountable officers that are shorter than what is authorized in the GRS.

Regulatory Analysis

Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and Executive Order 13563 Improving Regulation and Regulation Review

OMB has reviewed this rulemaking and determined it is not "significant" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866. It is not significant because it applies only to Federal agencies, updates the regulations due to a statutory requirement (to incorporate technological developments and to account for changing technology and agency practices), and is not establishing a new program. Although the proposed revisions change existing requirements and add new ones for agencies, the requirements are necessary to keep the existing regulations up-todate, comply with the statute, and ensure agencies are preserving records for the United States.

Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601, et seq.)

This review requires an agency to prepare an initial regulatory flexibility analysis and publish it alongside the proposed rule. This requirement does not apply if the agency certifies that the rulemaking will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities (5 U.S.C. 603). NARA certifies, after review and analysis, that this rulemaking will not have a significant adverse economic impact on small entities.

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.)

The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.) requires that agencies consider the impact of paperwork and other information collection burdens imposed on the public and, under the provisions of PRA section 3507(d), obtain approval from OMB for each collection of information they conduct, sponsor, or require through regulations. This rulemaking does not impose additional information collection requirements on the public that are subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act.

Executive Order 13132, Federalism

Executive Order (E.O.) 13132 requires agencies to ensure that State and local officials have the opportunity for

meaningful and timely input when those agencies are developing regulatory policies that may have a substantial, direct effect on the states, on the relationship between the Federal Government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. If the effects of the rule on State and local governments are sufficiently substantial, the agency must prepare a Federal assessment to assist senior policymakers. This rulemaking will not have any effects on State and local governments within the meaning of the E.O. Therefore, no federalism assessment is required.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (Sec. 202, Pub. L. 104–4; 2 U.S.C. 1532)

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act requires that agencies determine whether any Federal mandate in the rulemaking may cause State, local, and Tribal governments, in the aggregate, or cause the private sector to expend \$100 million in any one year. NARA certifies that this rulemaking does not contain a Federal mandate that may result in such an expenditure.

List of Subjects in 36 CFR Part 1225

Archives and records, Records management, Records schedules, Scheduling records.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, NARA amends 36 CFR part 1225 as follows:

PART 1225—SCHEDULING RECORDS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 1225 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 2111, 2904, 2905, 3102, and Chapter 33.

■ 2. Amend § 1225.20 to read as follows:

§ 1225.20 When do agencies have to get GAO approval for schedules?

- (a) If an agency requests a deviation from the GRS related to accountable officer records that would authorize a retention period shorter than the retention period provided in the GRS, the agency must obtain approval from the Comptroller General.
- (b) This approval must be obtained before NARA will approve the proposed agency records schedule.

Colleen J. Shogan,

Archivist of the United States.
[FR Doc. 2024–09396 Filed 4–30–24; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7515–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 268

[EPA-HQ-OLEM-2023-0372; FRL 11026-02-OLEM]

Department of Energy Hanford Mixed Radioactive Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Variance

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is granting a treatment variance, requested by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) in an August 1, 2023, petition, from the Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR) treatment standards for approximately 2,000 gallons of mixed hazardous low-activity radioactive waste from DOE's Test Bed Initiative (TBI) for the Hanford Site in Washington State. The petition requested approval for DOE to treat the TBI waste to the LDR technology standard of stabilization (STABL) with verification of meeting LDR concentration-based and Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure-based standards as applicable for the relevant waste codes.

DATES: This final rule is effective on May 1, 2024.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OLEM-2023-0372. All documents in the docket are listed on the http://www.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically through http:// www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bethany Russell, Waste Characterization Branch, Materials Recovery and Waste Management Division, Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery (5304P), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: 202–566–0823; email address: russell.bethany@epa.gov.

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

This action applies only to DOE's Hanford facility located in Richland, Washington.

B. What action is the Agency taking?

The EPA is finalizing the variance from the LDR treatment standards for approximately 2,000 gallons of mixed hazardous low-activity radioactive waste from DOE's TBI requested by DOE in an August 1, 2023, petition, for the Hanford Site in Washington State. The EPA is finalizing the variance without alteration and codifying the proposed modification to Table 1 to paragraph (o) of 40 CFR 268.44 for the TBI demonstration petition for the reasons stated in the preamble to the November 28, 2023, proposal and in the Agency's responses to the comments received on the proposal.

C. What is the Agency's authority for taking this action?

Sections 3004(d) through (g) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), 42 U.S.C. 6294(d)-(g), prohibit the land disposal of hazardous wastes unless such wastes meet the LDR treatment standards (or treatment standards) established by EPA (or the Agency). Section 3004(m) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6924(m), requires EPA to set levels or methods of treatment, if any, which substantially diminish the toxicity of the waste or substantially reduce the likelihood of migration of hazardous constituents from the waste so that short-term and long-term threats to human health and the environment are minimized. EPA has established treatment standards for all hazardous wastes.

However, when facilities generate hazardous wastes which cannot be treated to the specified levels, or when it is technically inappropriate for such wastes to undergo the prescribed treatment, they can apply for a variance from a treatment standard.1 The requirements for a treatment variance are found at 40 CFR 268.44. An applicant for a treatment variance may demonstrate that it is inappropriate to require a waste to be treated to the level or by the method specified as the treatment standard, even though such treatment is technically possible. This is the criterion pertinent to this action.²

 $^{^1}See~51$ FR 40605–40606 (November 7, 1986); see also 62 FR 64504 (December 5, 1997).

² According to 40 CFR 268.44(a)(2), a petitioner may obtain a variance from an applicable treatment standard if it is inappropriate to require the waste to be treated to the level specified in the treatment standard or by the method specified as the