#### Tameka Owens,

Assistant Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.

[FR Doc. 2024–07164 Filed 4–3–24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–30–C

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### **Food and Nutrition Service**

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Assessment of Administrative Costs of Electronic Healthy Incentives Projects (eHIP)

**AGENCY:** Food and Nutrition Service (FNS), USDA.

#### ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice invites the general public and other public agencies to comment on this proposed information collection. This is a new collection for the study "Assessment of Administrative Costs of Electronic Healthy Incentives Projects (eHIP)." This study will calculate costs incurred by eHIP, which will provide incentives through EBT integration to increase purchase of healthy foods (*e.g.,* fruits and vegetables) by Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) participants.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before June 3, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be sent to: Kathleen Patton, Food and Nutrition Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1320 Braddock Place, 5th Floor, Alexandria, VA 22314. Comments may also be submitted via email to *Kathleen.Patton@usda.gov.* Comments will also be accepted through the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Go to *http://www.regulations.gov* and follow the online instructions for submitting comments electronically.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval. All comments will be a matter of public record.

### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of this information collection should be directed Kathleen Patton at *Kathleen.Patton@usda.gov* or 703–305– 2813.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the

proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions that were used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

*Title:* Assessment of Administrative Costs of Electronic Healthy Incentives Projects (eHIP).

*Form Number:* Not Applicable. *OMB Number:* 0584–NEW. *Expiration Date:* Not Yet Determined. *Type of Request:* New Collection.

Abstract: The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), administered by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Food and Nutrition Service (FNS), distributes benefits to eligible lowincome households through Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT) card technology. In fiscal year 2023 FNS awarded grants to three States, Colorado, Louisianna, and Washington for implementing **Electronic Healthy Incentives Projects** (eHIP) to leverage EBT integration to deliver financial incentives at point of purchase to SNAP households when they purchase qualifying foods (e.g., fruits and vegetables). The aim of this study is to calculate the costs of eHIP in the three States to determine the startup and ongoing costs of administering incentives to SNAP households through EBT integration and to estimate the cost of administering eHIP at scale. The study will quantify startup and ongoing administrative costs to State grantees, retailers, and other eHIP stakeholders. It will also compare administrative costs to the amount of funding distributed as incentives.

Data will be collected from the three project States and multiple entities working with these States, including retailers, EBT processors, third-party processors (TPPs). These data will include both cost data, collected through cost data templates submitted to the States/entities, as well as interviews with State and other project representatives to contextualize the cost data. In addition, existing national data (such as SNAP caseloads and SNAP authorized retailers) and State data from non-project States (such as State wage rates) will be examined in order to estimate the cost of nationwide expansion of eHIP. Lastly, data from select Gus Schumacher Nutrition Incentive Program (GusNIP) granteesthat do not use EBT integration for

delivering incentives to SNAP households for purchasing fruits and vegetables will be examined to estimate the costs and return on investment (ROI) of GusNIP and compare these to the eHIP costs and ROI, in order to provide information on how these two incentive delivery modalities differ in costs and economic impact.

Data collection is expected to occur beginning in March 2025 with an approximate end date of May 2026. Data collection activities will be designed to address the three main objectives for the study:

1. Quantify, to the extent possible, the cost of administering eHIP;

2. Estimate the cost of nationwide expansion of eHIP; and

3. Compare the cost of administering eHIP with other incentive programs for SNAP households that do not use EBT integration.

Design consists of building and populating a central cost model for estimating the costs of implementing and administering eHIP. This model will then be expanded, through the use of publicly available State and national data, to estimate the nationwide costs of implementation and administration. Finally, existing data on GusNIP programs will be used to compare costs between eHIP and GusNIP.

*Affected Public:* State respondents are eHIP project staff. For-profit and not-forprofit business respondents are eHIPparticipating EBT vendor staff, TPP staff, and retailer staff.

Estimated Number of Respondents: The estimated number of respondents is 38. Within each State, the study expects responses from 4 State staff (4 staff  $\times$  3 States = 12 State staff). In addition, the study expects to have responses from 6 retailer staff for each eHIP State (6 staff  $\times$  3 States = 18 retailer staff), as well as 2 TPP staff for each eHIP State (2 staff  $\times$  3 States = 6 TPP staff). Finally, the study expects responses from 2 EBT processor staff, 1 each from the two EBT processor firms working with the three eHIP States.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* Across all respondents, the average number of responses is 7.3 (277 responses across 38 respondent). The number of responses will vary by respondent group and the specific data collection activity.

For the State SNAP agency staff: • One staffer within each State will be asked to respond once to the pre-test of the cost templates and three times to the cost data templates data collection.

• Two staffers in each State will be asked to respond twice to the phone interview data collection. Staff will receive an electronic letter (*i.e.*, email)

for inviting them to participate and for scheduling the interview. They will also receive an email reminder for the interview, as well as a thank you email.

For the EBT processors, one staff from each of the two EBT processors will be asked to respond two times to phone interview data collection (including invitation to schedule, reminder, interview, and thank you note). For the retailer staff, 6 retailer staff from each State will be asked to respond two times to phone interview data collection (including invitation to schedule, reminder, interview, and thank you note). For the TPP staff, two staff from each State will be asked to respond two times to phone interview data collection (including invitation to schedule, reminder, interview, and thank you note).

We expect a 100 percent response rate from all categories of respondents.

*Estimated Total Annual Responses:* The estimated number of total annual responses is 277 (38 respondents and no nonrespondents). *Estimated Time per Response:* The estimated time of response varies from 0.083 hours to 7 hours depending on the instrument, as shown in the table below. The average estimated time per response is 0.644 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* The estimated total annual burden on respondents 178.315 hours. See the table below for estimated total annual burden for each type of respondent.

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

Total Burden Estimates																
					F	lesponsive				Non-	Responsive				All Respo	ndents
Respondent Category	Type of respondents	Instruments	Sample Size	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Total Annual responses	Hours per response <sup>a</sup>	Annual burden (hours)	Number of non- respondents	Frequency of response	Total Amnual responses	Hours per response	Annual burden (hours)	Grand Total Annual Burden Estimate (hours)	Hourly Wage Rate <sup>b</sup>	Total Annualized Cost of Respondent Burden <sup>c</sup>
		Pre-test with Cost Templates	3	3	1	3	1.5	4.5	0	0	0	0	0	4.5	\$ 40.85	\$ 183.83
State Government <sup>d</sup>	Project Staff	Electronic Letter with Data Request	3	3	3	9	0.167	1.503	0	0	0	0	0	1.503	\$ 40.85	\$ 61.40
		Cost Data Templates Data Collection	3	3	3	9	7	63	0	0	0	0	0	63	\$ 40.85	\$ 2,573.55
		Electronic Letter with Request to Schedule Phone Interview	6	6	2	12	0.167	2.004	0	0	0	0	0	2.004	\$ 40.85	\$ 81.86
		Electronic Letter with Reminder about Phone Interview	6	6	2	12	0.083	0.996	0	0	0	0	0	0.996	\$ 40.85	\$ 40.69
		In-Depth Phone Interview (includes consent)	6	б	2	12	1.5	18	0	0	0	0	0	18	\$ 40.85	\$ 735.30
		In-Depth Interview Follow Up and Thank You Note	6	6	2	12	0.083	0.996	0	0	0	0	0	0.996	\$ 40.85	\$ 40.69
State Sub-To	tal		12	. 12	6	69	1.3188261	90.999	0	.0	0	. 0 .	0	90,999		\$ 3,717.31

Businesses		Electronic Letter with Request to Schedule Phone Interview	2	2	2	4	0.167	0.668	0	0	0	0	0	0.668	\$ 83.49	\$ 55.77
	EBT Processors <sup>e</sup>	Electronic Letter with Reminder about Phone Interview	2	2	2	4	0.083	0.332	0	0	0	0	0	0.332	\$ 83.49	\$ 27.72
	EBT	In-Depth Phone Interview (includes consent)	2	2	2	4	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	\$ 83.49	\$ 333.96
		In-Depth Interview Follow Up and Thank You Note	2	2	2	4	0.083	0.332	0	0	0	0	0	0.332	\$ 83.49	\$ 27.72
		Electronic Letter with Request to Schedule Phone Interview	18	18	2	36	0.167	6.012	0	0	0	0	0	6.012	\$ 59.07	\$ 355.13
	Retailers <sup>f</sup>	Electronic Letter with Reminder about Phone Interview	18	18	2	36	0.083	2.988	0	0	0	0	0	2.988	\$ 59.07	\$ 176.50
	R	In-Depth Phone Interview (includes consent)	18	18	2	36	1.5	54	0	0	0	0	0	54	\$ 59.07	\$ 3,189.78
		In-Depth Interview Follow Up and Thank You Note	18	18	2	36	0.083	2.988	0	Û	0	0	0	2.988	\$ 59.07	\$ 176.50

Federal Register / Vol. 89, No. 66 / Thursday, April 4, 2024 / Notices

		Electronic Letter with Request to Schedule Phone Interview	6	6	2	12	0.167	2.004	0	0	0	0	0	2.004	\$ 83.49	\$ 167.31
	Third Party Processors <sup>d</sup>	Electronic Letter with Reminder about Phone Interview	6	6	2	12	0.083	0.996	0	0	0	0	0	0.996	\$ 83.49	\$ 83.16
	Third Par	In-Depth Phone Interview (includes consent)	6	6	2	12	1	12	0	U	0	0	0	12	\$ 83.49	\$ 1,001.88
		In-Depth Interview Follow Up and Thank You Note	6	6	2	12	0.083	0.996	0	0	0	0	0	0.996	\$ 83.49	\$ 83.16
Business Sub-	-Total		26	26	б	208	0.4197885	87,316	0	0	0	0	.0	65		\$ 5,678.59
	TOTAL			38	12	277	0.6437365	178.315	0	0	0	0	0	178.315		\$ 9,395.90

Notes:

<sup>a</sup>Decimal values have been calculated by multiplying the decimal unit value of one minute (.0167) by the total number of minutes (Conversion of Minutes to Decimals)

<sup>b</sup>All hourly wage rates are fully loaded.

Costs are rounded up to the next whole cent.

<sup>d</sup>Job category "Management Occupations" code #11-9151 "Social and Community Service Managers" industry "State Government" for state level mean hourly wage of \$40.85.

\*Job category "Management Occupations" code #11-3021 "Computer and Information Systems Managers" mean hourly wage \$83.49.

<sup>f</sup>Job category "Management Occupations" code #11-1021 "General and Operations Managers" mean hourly wage \$59.07.

## Tameka Owens,

Assistant Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service. [FR Doc. 2024–07163 Filed 4–3–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-C

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### **Forest Service**

## Newspapers Used for Publication of Legal Notices by the Alaska Region

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, Agriculture (USDA).

### ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by the Ranger Districts, Forests, and Regional Office of the Alaska Region to publish legal notices required under Forest Service regulations. The intended effect of this action is to inform interested members of the public which newspapers the Forest Service will use to publish notices of proposed actions and notices of decision. This will provide the public with constructive notice of Forest Service proposals and decisions; provide information on the procedures to comment, object, or appeal; and establish the date that the Forest Service will use to determine if comments, appeals, or objections were timely.

**DATES:** This list of newspapers will remain in effect for one year from the date of publication when another notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** Robin Dale, Alaska Region Group Leader for Administrative Reviews, Litigation, FOIA, Records, and Directives; Forest Service, Alaska Region; P.O. Box 21628; Juneau, Alaska 99802–1628.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robin Dale; Alaska Region Group Leader for Administrative Reviews, Litigation, FOIA, Records, and Directives; (907) 586–9344 or *robin.dale@usda.gov.* 

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The administrative procedures at 36 CFR parts 218 and 219 require the Forest Service to publish notices in a newspaper of general circulation. The content of the notices is specified in 36 CFR parts 218 and 219. In general, the notices will identify: the decision or project by title or subject matter; the name and title of the official making the decision; how to obtain additional information; and where and how to file comments or appeals/objections. The date the notice is published will be used

to establish the official date for the beginning of the comment, appeal, or objection period. The newspapers to be used are as follows:

# Alaska Regional Office

Decisions of the Alaska Regional Forester: *Juneau Empire*, published daily except Saturday and official holidays in Juneau, Alaska; and the *Anchorage Daily News*, published daily in Anchorage, Alaska.

### Chugach National Forest

Decisions of the Chugach Forest Supervisor and the Glacier and Seward District Rangers: *Anchorage Daily News*, published daily in Anchorage, Alaska.

Decisions of the Cordova District Ranger: *Cordova Times*, published weekly in Cordova, Alaska.

#### Tongass National Forest

Decisions of the Tongass Forest Supervisor and the Craig, Ketchikan/ Misty Fjords, and Thorne Bay District Rangers: *Ketchikan Daily News*, published daily except Sundays and official holidays in Ketchikan, Alaska.

Decisions of the Admiralty Island National Monument, the Juneau District Ranger, the Hoonah District Ranger, and the Yakutat District Ranger: *Juneau Empire,* published daily except Saturday and official holidays in Juneau, Alaska.

Decisions of the Petersburg District Ranger: *Petersburg Pilot*, published weekly in Petersburg, Alaska.

Decisions of the Sitka District Ranger: *Daily Sitka Sentinel,* published daily except Saturday, Sunday, and official holidays in Sitka, Alaska.

Decisions of the Wrangell District Ranger: *Wrangell Sentinel*, published weekly in Wrangell, Alaska.

Supplemental notices may be published in any newspaper, but the timeframes for filing objections will be calculated based upon the date that legal notices are published in the newspapers of record listed in this notice.

Dated: March 29, 2024.

#### **Troy Heithecker**,

Associate Deputy Chief, National Forest System.

[FR Doc. 2024–07119 Filed 4–3–24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3411–15–P

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### **Forest Service**

Newspapers Used for Publication of Legal Notices by the Pacific Northwest Region, Oregon, Washington, and Parts of California

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, Agriculture (USDA).

**ACTION:** Notice of newspapers of record.

SUMMARY: This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by the ranger districts, national forests, and the regional office of the Pacific Northwest Region to publish legal notices required under the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The intended effect of this action is to inform interested members of the public which newspapers the Forest Service will use to publish notices of proposed actions and notices of decision. This will provide the public with constructive notice of Forest Service proposals and decisions; provide information on the procedures to comment, object or appeal; and establish the date that the Forest Service will use to determine if comments or appeals/objections were timely.

**DATES:** The list of newspapers will remain in effect for one year from the date of publication when another notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** Christine Pyle, Program Specialist, Pacific Northwest Region, 1220 Southwest Third Avenue, Portland, OR 97204.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christine Pyle, Program Specialist, Pacific Northwest Region, by telephone at 971–245–0269 or by email at *Christine.pyle@usda.gov.* 

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The administrative procedures at 36 CFR 214, 218, and 219 require the Forest Service to publish notices in a newspaper of general circulation. The content of the notices is specified in 36 CFR 214, 218, and 219. In general, the notices will identify: the decision or project by title or subject matter; the name and title of the official making the decision; how to obtain additional information; and where and how to file comments or appeals/objections. The date the notice is published will be used to establish the official date for the beginning of the comment or appeal/ objection period.

The newspapers to be used are as follows: