Procedures, paragraph 5-6.5a, which categorically excludes from further environmental impact review rulemaking actions that designate or modify classes of airspace areas, airways, routes, and reporting points (see 14 CFR part 71, Designation of Class A, B, C, D, and E Airspace Areas; Air Traffic Service Routes; and Reporting Points), and paragraph 5-6.5i, which categorically excludes from further environmental review the establishment of new or revised air traffic control procedures conducted at 3,000 feet or more above ground level (AGL); procedures conducted below 3,000 feet AGL that do not cause traffic to be routinely routed over noise sensitive areas; modifications to currently approved procedures conducted below 3,000 feet AGL that do not significantly increase noise over noise sensitive areas; and increases in minimum altitudes and landing minima, and paragraph 5–6.5k, which categorically excludes from further environmental review the publication of existing air traffic control procedures that do not essentially change existing tracks, create new tracks, change altitude, or change concentration of aircraft on these tracks. As such, this action is not expected to result in any potentially significant environmental impacts. In accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, paragraph 5-2 regarding Extraordinary Circumstances, the FAA has reviewed this action for factors and circumstances in which a normally categorically excluded action may have a significant environmental impact requiring further analysis. Accordingly, the FAA has determined that no extraordinary circumstances exist that warrant preparation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact study.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order JO 7400.11H, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 11, 2023, and effective September 15, 2023, is amended as follows:

 $Paragraph\ 4000.\ Class\ C\ Airspace.$

ASO PR C San Juan, PR [Amended]

Luis Munoz Marin International Airport, PR (Lat. 18°26′22″ N, long. 066°00′08″ W)

That airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 4,000 feet MSL within a 5-mile radius of the Luis Munoz Marin International Airport beginning at lat. 18°30′24″ N, long. 066°03′16″ W, clockwise to lat. 18°26'41" N, long. 066°05'23" W, thence east to lat. 18°26'42" N, long 066°03'34" W, thence north to the beginning point; and that airspace extending upward from 2,800 feet MSL to 4,000 feet MSL within a 10-mile radius of the Luis Munoz Marin International Airport from the 129° bearing from the airport clockwise to the 189° bearing from the airport; and that airspace extending upward from 1,700 feet MSL to 4,000 feet MSL within a 10-mile radius of the airport from the 189° bearing from the airport clockwise to the 229° bearing from the airport; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet MSL to 4,000 feet MSL within a 10-mile radius of the airport from the 229° bearing from the airport clockwise to the 129° bearing from the airport.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 29, 2024.

Frank Lias,

Manager, Rules and Regulations Group. [FR Doc. 2024–07086 Filed 4–3–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2024-0204] RIN 1625-AA00

Safety Zone; Kokosing ROV Survey Operation, Straits of Mackinac, MI

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for navigable waters within a 500-yard radius of Tug Nancy Anne, Tug Champion, Tug General, Tug WM Boyd, Tug Shirley Ann and crew boat Timmy V. The safety zone is needed to protect the remotely operated vehicle survey operations from other vessels. Entry of

vessels into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Northern Great Lakes.

DATES: This rule is effective without actual notice from April 4, 2024 through May 15, 2024. For the purposes of enforcement, actual notice will be used from April 1, 2024 until April 4, 2024.

ADDRESSES: To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to https://www.regulations.gov, type USCG-2024-0204 in the search box and click "Search." Next, in the Document Type column, select "Supporting & Related Material."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If

you have questions about this rulemaking, call or email LT Rebecca Simpson, telephone 906–635–3223, email ssmprevention@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations
DHS Department of Homeland Security
FR Federal Register
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking
§ Section
U.S.C. United States Code
ROV Remotely Operated Vehicle

II. Background Information and Regulatory History

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary rule under authority in 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B). This statutory provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are "impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest." The Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because it is impracticable to publish an NPRM because we must establish this safety zone by April 1, 2024.

Also, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. This rule is needed to protect the vessels and personnel involved in the ROV survey operations from other vessels transiting the Straits of Mackinac at the same time this project is being conducted.

III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034. The Captain of the Port Sector Northern Great Lakes (COTP) has determined that potential hazards associated with the ROV survey starting April 1, 2024, will be a safety concern for anyone within a 500-yard radius of the equipment, including Tug Nancy Anne, Tug Champion, Tug General, Tug WM Boyd, Tug Shirley Ann and crew boat Timmy V. This rule is needed to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment in the navigable waters within the safety zone while the stone laying operation is being conducted.

IV. Discussion of the Rule

This rule establishes a safety zone from April 1, 2024 through May 15, 2024. The safety zone will cover all navigable waters within 500 yards of Tug Nancy Anne, Tug Champion, Tug General, Tug WM Boyd, Tug Shirley Ann and crew boat Timmy V. The duration of the safety zone is intended to protect personnel and vessels involved with conducting the ROV survey operations. No vessel or person will be permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP.

V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. This rule has not been designated a "significant regulatory action," under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, as amended by Executive Order 14094 (Modernizing Regulatory Review). Accordingly, this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

This regulatory action determination is based on the size and location of the safety zone. Vessel traffic will be able to safely transit around this safety zone which would impact a small designated area of the Straits of Mackinac. Moreover, the Coast Guard will issue a Local Notice to Mariners about the safety zone, and the rule would allow vessels to seek permission to enter the zone.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on

small entities during rulemaking. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the safety zone may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section V.A above, this rule would not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please call or email the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1-888-REG-FAIR (1-888-734-3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism

principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023-01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and **Environmental Planning COMDTINST** 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves all vessels. Normally such actions are categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L[60a] of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this rule.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to call or email the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping

requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION **AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 46 U.S.C. 70034, 70051, 70124; 33 CFR 1.05-1, 6.04-1, 6.04-6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 00170.1, Revision No. 01.3.

■ 2. Add § 165.T09-0207 to read as follows:

§ 165.T09-0207 Safety Zone; Tugs Nancy Anne, Champion, General, WM Boyd, Shirley Ann, and crew boat Timmy V operating in the Straits of Mackinac, MI.

- (a) Location. The following areas are safety zones: All navigable water within 500 yards of the Tugs Nancy Anne, Champion, General, WM Boyd, Shirley Ann, and crew boat Timmy V while conducting ROV survey operations within one nautical mile of charted submerged pipeline or cable within the Straits of Mackinac RNA.
- (b) Definitions. As used in this section, designated representative means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard coxswain, petty officer, or other officer operating a Coast Guard vessel and a Federal, State, and local officer designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port Northern Great Lakes (COTP) in the enforcement of the safety zone.
- (c) Regulations. (1) Under the general safety zone regulations in subpart D of this part, you may not enter the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section unless authorized by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.
- (2) To seek permission to enter, contact the CÔTP or the COTP's representative by VHF Channel 16 or telephone at (906) 635-3233. Those in the safety zone must comply with all lawful orders or directions given to them by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.
- (d) Enforcement period. This section will be enforced from 12:01 a.m. April 1, 2024, through 11:59 p.m. on May 15, 2024

Dated: March 29, 2024.

J.R. Bendle,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector Northern Great Lakes.

[FR Doc. 2024-07079 Filed 4-3-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

34 CFR Chapter VI

[ED-2024-OPE-0002]

and definition.

Augustus F. Hawkins Centers of **Excellence Program**

AGENCY: Office of Postsecondary Education, Department of Education. **ACTION:** Final priorities, requirements,

SUMMARY: The Department of Education (Department) issues priorities, requirements, and definition for use in the Augustus F. Hawkins Centers of Excellence (Hawkins) Program, Assistance Listing Number 84.428A. The Department may use one or more of these priorities, requirements, and definition for competitions in fiscal year (FY) 2024 and later years. We intend for these priorities, requirements, and definition to help increase the number of, and retain, well-prepared teachers from diverse backgrounds, resulting in a more diverse teacher workforce prepared to teach in our Nation's underserved elementary and secondary schools and close student opportunity and achievement gaps.

DATES: These priorities, requirements, and definition are effective May 6, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr.

Vicki Robinson, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW, 5th floor, Washington, DC 20202. Telephone: (202) 453-7907. Email: Vicki.Robinson@ed.gov. You may also contact Ashley Hillary, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW, 5th floor, Washington, DC 20202. Telephone: (202) 453-7880. Email: Ashlev.Hillarv@ed.gov.

If you are deaf, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability and wish to access telecommunications relay services, please dial 7-1-1.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose of Program: The Hawkins Program, authorized under Part B of Title II of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA), is designed to support comprehensive, high-quality State-accredited teacher preparation programs by creating centers of excellence at Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs); Tribal Colleges or Universities (TCUs); or Minority Serving Institutions (MSIs), such as Hispanic-Serving Institutions (HSIs). The Hawkins Program will help increase the number of, and retain, wellprepared teachers from diverse backgrounds, resulting in a more diverse teacher workforce prepared to teach in our Nation's most-underserved

elementary and secondary schools and close student opportunity and achievement gaps. This program focuses on the various aspects of the teacher preparation pipeline, including the recruitment, preparation, support, placement, retention and retraining of teachers for and in under-resourced schools to support underserved students. Through this program, the Secretary seeks to fund applicants that propose to incorporate evidence-based practices into their teacher preparation

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1033-1033a.

We published a notice of proposed priorities, requirements, and definition in the Federal Register on February 1, 2024 (89 FR 6470) (NPP). That document contained background information and the Department's reasons for proposing the particular priorities, requirements, and definition. There are no substantive differences between the proposed priorities, requirements, and definition and these final priorities, requirements, and definition.

Public Comment: In response to our invitation in the NPP, six parties submitted comments on the proposed priorities, requirements, and definition. Generally, we do not address technical and other minor changes, or suggested changes that the law does not authorize us to make under applicable statutory authority. In addition, we do not address general comments that raised concerns not directly related to the proposed priorities, requirements, or definition.

Analysis of Comments and Changes: An analysis of the comments and of any changes in the priorities, requirements, and definition since publication of the NPP follows.

General Comments

Comments: Two commenters expressed support for components from several of the proposed priorities, including the emphasis on evidencebased components of teacher preparation programs, the focus on clinical experiences and high-quality mentoring, the support for teacher candidates serving in schools in roles that assist students and teachers, the recognition that the retention and preparation of teacher candidates from diverse backgrounds benefits all students, and the use of HBCUs, TCUs, and MSIs to prepare teachers. One commenter expressed support for the definition of "pre-service."

Discussion: We appreciate the support

of the priorities and the definition.

Changes: None.