comments filed in response to the FNPRM.

E. Steps Taken To Minimize the Significant Economic Impact on Small Entities, and Significant Alternatives Considered

21. The RFA requires an agency to describe any significant alternatives that could minimize impacts to small entities that it has considered in reaching its approach, which may include the following four alternatives, among others: “(1) the establishment of differing compliance or reporting requirements or timetables that take into account the resources available to small entities; (2) the clarification, consolidation, or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements under the rule for such small entities; (3) the use of performance, rather than design, standards; and (4) and exemption from coverage of the rule, or any part thereof, for such small entities.”

22. In the FNPRM the Commission seeks comment on several alternatives that may impact small entities. The Commission seeks comment on whether wireless providers have effectively obtained consent to make robocalls and send robotexts to their own subscribers by virtue of their unique relationship with their subscribers and whether this consent extends to telemarketing or other messages, or if providers must obtain consent from their subscribers for such robocalls and robotexts. The Commission seeks comment on whether the right to revoke consent for robocalls and robotexts extends to wireless subscribers when they receive unwanted robocalls and robotexts from their own wireless provider, just as it does to any robocalls or robotexts sent to a consumer. The Commission seeks comment on whether wireless providers must honor any revocation or opt-out requests from their own subscribers that are made through any reasonable means and at any time.

23. This proposal, if adopted, would apply to all wireless providers, including small wireless entities. The Commission expects that the obligation to honor revocation requests will not be unduly burdensome to small wireless providers and recognizes that some wireless providers already honor opt-out requests on many communications to subscribers. The Commission observes that other entities have implemented such measures to honor revocation requests for decades to comply with the Commission’s rules. Nevertheless, the Commission seeks comment on ways to reduce any new burdens such requirements might create for smaller wireless providers. Lastly, the Commission seeks comment on any burdens imposed by requiring all artificial or prerecorded voice calls to provide an automated opt-out mechanism to stop such calls including any alternatives that would minimize the impact on small entities.

F. Federal Rules That May Duplicate, Overlap, or Conflict With the Proposed Rules

24. None.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene Dortch.

Secretary.
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SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), are extending the comment period on our February 2, 2024, proposed rule that proposes new regulations and updates to existing policy to ensure that the biological integrity, diversity, and environmental health (BIDEH) of the National Wildlife Refuge System (Refuge System) are maintained, and where appropriate, restored and enhanced, in accordance with the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997. We are extending the comment period for 60 days to give all interested parties an additional opportunity to comment.

Comments previously submitted need not be resubmitted as they are already incorporated into the public record and will be fully considered in our final determination.

DATES: The comment period on the proposed rule that published February 2, 2024, at 89 FR 7345, is extended. We will accept comments on the proposed rule and proposed revisions to the Service Manual chapter at 601 FW 3 that are received or postmarked on or before May 6, 2024.
rule opened a 30-day public comment period, ending March 4, 2024. During the public comment period, we received requests to extend the comment period. With this document, we are extending the public comment period for our February 2, 2024, proposed rule by an additional 60 days (see DATES, above) to allow the public further opportunity to provide comments on the proposed rule.

For further background on BIDEH and information on the types of comments that would be helpful to us in promulgating this rulemaking action, please refer to the February 2, 2024, proposed rule (89 FR 7345).

Request for Comments

If you already submitted comments or information on the February 2, 2024, proposed rule (89 FR 7345), please do not resubmit them. Any such comments are incorporated as part of the public record of the rulemaking proceeding, and we will fully consider them in the preparation of any final rule.

You may submit your comments and materials concerning this proposed rule by one of the methods listed under ADDRESSES. We will not accept comments sent by email or fax to an address not listed under ADDRESSES.

If you submit information via https://www.regulations.gov, your entire submission—including your personal identifying information—will be posted on the website. If your submission is made via a hardcopy that includes personal identifying information, you may request at the top of your document that we withhold this information from public review. However, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. We will post all hardcopy submissions on https://www.regulations.gov.

Shannon Estenoz,
Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.
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