

statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Where the respondent reported reliable entered values, we calculated importer—(or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to each importer (or customer).<sup>9</sup> Where Commerce calculated a weighted-average dumping margin by dividing the total amount of dumping for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions, Commerce will direct CBP to assess importer—(or customer-) specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit rates.<sup>10</sup> Where an importer—(or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is greater than *de minimis* (*i.e.*, 0.50 percent), Commerce will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation.<sup>11</sup> Where an importer—(or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is zero or *de minimis*, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.<sup>12</sup>

For the company not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the methodology described in the “Rates for Non-Examined Companies” section, above.

Consistent with Commerce’s assessment practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Hankook, Nexen, or the non-examined companies for which the producer did not know that its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.<sup>13</sup>

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for the companies listed in these final results will be equal

to the weighted-average dumping margins established in the final results of this review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment in which the company was reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 21.74 percent,<sup>14</sup> the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 351.221(b)(5) of Commerce’s regulations.

<sup>14</sup> See *Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand: Antidumping Duty Orders and Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination for Thailand*, 86 FR 38011 (July 19, 2021).

Dated: January 30, 2024.

**Abdelali Elouaradia**,  
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

### Appendix I

#### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
- V. Discussion of the Issues
  - Comment 1: Hankook’s Inventory Carrying Cost Adjustment
  - Comment 2: Hankook’s Freight Revenue Offset
  - Comment 3: Hankook’s Affiliated-Party Sales in the Home Market
  - Comment 4: Hankook’s Beginning Sale Dates in the U.S. Market
  - Comment 5: Hankook’s U.S. Commissions
  - Comment 6: Hankook’s Tire & Technology Co., Ltd.’s Name
  - Comment 7: Nexen’s Beginning Sales Dates in the Home Market and U.S. Market
  - Comment 8: Nexen’s Home Market Physical Characteristics
  - Comment 9: Nexen’s Levels of Trade
  - Comment 10: Nexen’s Home Market Logistics Revenue
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2024–02235 Filed 2–2–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–533–824]

#### Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Second Correction 2021–2022

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that SRF Limited/SRF Limited of India/SRF Limited Packaging Films (SRF) did not make sales of subject merchandise to the United States at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) July 1, 2021, through June 30, 2022. We will apply SRF’s rate to Jindal Poly Films Ltd. (India) (Jindal) and Polyplex Corporation Ltd. (Polyplex) for these final results.

**DATES:** Applicable February 5, 2024.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jacqueline Arrowsmith, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5255.

<sup>9</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

<sup>10</sup> *Id.*

<sup>11</sup> *Id.*

<sup>12</sup> See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

<sup>13</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

On August 3, 2023, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* and invited interested parties to comment on those results.<sup>1</sup> This review originally covered eight respondents: SRF; Jindal; Ester Industries Ltd.; Garware Polyester Ltd.; MTZ Polyesters, Ltd.; Polyplex; Uflex Ltd.; and Vacmet India Limited (Vacmet). We rescinded the review for six of these companies: Ester Industries Ltd.; Garware Polyester Ltd.; MTZ Polyesters, Ltd.; Polyplex; Uflex Ltd.; and Vacmet. We erroneously rescinded the review with respect to Polyplex, which we corrected in the *Preliminary Results Correction* on November 24, 2023.<sup>2</sup>

On September 26, 2023, Commerce issued a second supplemental questionnaire to SRF regarding sections B and C of the original questionnaire.<sup>3</sup> SRF submitted its response along with new home market and U.S. sales datasets on October 16, 2023.<sup>4</sup> We used these data sets for the final results along with SRF's original cost data set, submitted on February 9, 2023.<sup>5</sup>

On November 29, 2023, SRF submitted its response to the third supplemental questionnaire<sup>6</sup> in which Commerce requested further clarifications about the company name.<sup>7</sup> In its supplemental questionnaire response, SRF stated that "SRF Limited" is the official name of the company and that going forward, SRF Limited will use only that name.<sup>8</sup> In addition, SRF stated that "SRF Limited" is the official name of the company while "Packaging Film" is one division within the legal entity of SRF Limited.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews; 2021–2022*, 88 FR 51298 (August 3, 2023) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

<sup>2</sup> See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2021–2022; Correction*, 88 FR 82321 (November 24, 2023) (*Preliminary Results Correction*); see also "Analysis of Comments Received," below.

<sup>3</sup> See Commerce's Letter, "Second Supplemental Questionnaire," dated September 26, 2023.

<sup>4</sup> See SRF's Letter, "Submission of 2nd Supplemental response of Anti-Dumping Admin Review Questionnaire," dated October 16, 2023.

<sup>5</sup> See SRF's Letter, "Cost Data," dated February 9, 2023.

<sup>6</sup> See SRF's Letter, "Submission of 3rd Supplemental response of Anti-Dumping Admin Review Questionnaire," dated November 29, 2023 (SRF 3rd SQR).

<sup>7</sup> See Commerce's Letter, "Supplemental Questionnaire," dated November 22, 2023.

<sup>8</sup> See SRF 3rd SQR at S3–1.

<sup>9</sup> *Id.*

Given that SRF Limited may have used all three of these names on subject merchandise, the company has been reviewed as SRF Limited/SRF Limited of India/SRF Limited Packaging Films.

**Scope of the Order**<sup>10</sup>

The products covered by the *Order* are all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed PET film, whether extruded or coextruded. Excluded are metalized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches thick. Imports of polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip are currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3920.62.00.90. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the *Order* is dispositive.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

On August 31, 2023, Polyplex USA LLC (Polyplex USA) submitted a letter in lieu of a case brief.<sup>11</sup> In the letter, Polyplex USA explained that although Commerce "recognizes in the *Preliminary Determination* {sic} {*Results*} that it 'initiated a review of eight companies,' it erroneously stat{e} that the review request for Polyplex was 'timely withdrawn.'" <sup>12</sup> We agreed with Polyplex USA and, on November 24, 2023, Commerce published a correction notice.<sup>13</sup> In the *Correction to the Preliminary Results*, we correctly assigned SRF's rate of zero percent to Polyplex.

Commerce received no comments on the *Preliminary Results* beyond the request to amend the *Preliminary Results* to include a review of Polyplex and apply the rate calculated for SRF. Moreover, the data SRF submitted in its supplemental questionnaire responses did not change the rate we calculated for SRF in the *Preliminary Results*, and we have not otherwise modified our analysis. Thus, although we are issuing a final calculation memorandum in these final results,<sup>14</sup> no issues and

<sup>10</sup> See *Notice of Amended Final Antidumping Duty Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India*, 67 FR 44175 (July 1, 2002) (*Order*).

<sup>11</sup> See Polyplex USA's Letter, "Polyplex USA LLC's Letter in Lieu of Case Brief," dated August 31, 2023 (Polyplex USA's Letter).

<sup>12</sup> See Polyplex USA's Letter at 2; see also *Preliminary Results* at 51299.

<sup>13</sup> See *Preliminary Results Correction*.

<sup>14</sup> See Memorandum, "SRF's Final Analysis Memorandum," dated concurrently with this **Federal Register** notice.

decision memorandum accompanies this **Federal Register** notice. We are adopting the analysis contained in the *Preliminary Results* as the final results of this review.

**Second Correction to Partial Rescission**

In the *Preliminary Results* and *Preliminary Results Correction*, we stated that we were rescinding the antidumping duty (AD) administrative review with respect to Vacmet India instead of Vacmet India Limited.<sup>15</sup> With the publication of this notice, Commerce is clarifying that we rescinded the AD administrative review with respect to Vacmet India Limited.

**Final Results of Review**

As a result of this review, Commerce determines that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period July 1, 2021, through June 30, 2022:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Jindal Poly Films Ltd .....	0.00
SRF Limited/SRF Limited of India/SRF Limited Packaging Films .....	0.00
Polyplex Corporation Ltd .....	0.00

**Disclosure and Public Comment**

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these final results of review to interested parties within five days after public announcement of the final results or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

**Assessment Rates**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, ADs on all appropriate entries covered by this review. Because we calculated a zero percent margin in the final results of this review for SRF and assigned a zero percent rate to Jindal and Polyplex, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to ADs.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of

<sup>15</sup> See *Preliminary Results*, 88 FR at 51299; see also *Preliminary Results Correction*, 88 FR at 82321.

publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for SRF, Jindal, and Polyplex will be zero, the rate established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not covered in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this or any previous review or in the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review or the LTFV investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the all-others rate of 5.71 percent, which is the all-others rate established by Commerce in the LTFV investigation.<sup>16</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of ADs prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of ADs

<sup>16</sup> See *Order* at 44176 (showing the dumping margin computed for all other producers/exporters as 24.14 percent); and *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India*, 67 FR 34899, 34901 (May 16, 2002) (showing an adjustment of 18.43 percent for export subsidies found in the companion countervailing duty investigation). The cash deposit rate for all other exporters is the net of these figures (*i.e.*, 5.71 percent).

occurred and the subsequent assessment of double ADs.

### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

### Notification to Interested Parties

These results are being issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5) and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: January 29, 2024.

**Abdelali Elouaradia**,  
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement  
and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2024-02181 Filed 2-2-24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-428-849]

### Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet From Germany: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review, and Intent To Revoke the Antidumping Duty Order, in Part

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily intends to revoke, in part, the antidumping duty (AD) order on common alloy aluminum sheet (CAAS) from Germany with respect to certain lithographic-grade aluminum sheet. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Applicable February 5, 2024.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephanie Trejo, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4390.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### Background

On April 27, 2021, Commerce published the AD order on common alloy aluminum sheet from Germany.<sup>1</sup> On May 9, 2023, Eastman Kodak Company (Kodak), a U.S. importer of subject merchandise, requested that Commerce conduct a changed circumstances review (CCR), and revoke, in part, the *CAAS AD Germany Order* with respect to certain lithographic-grade aluminum sheet pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.216(b).<sup>2</sup> On July 24, 2023, Commerce issued proposed partial revocation language for the scope in which it omitted references to end-use certificates which had been included by Kodak and solicited interested parties comments on that language.<sup>3</sup> On July 31, 2023, Commerce initiated the requested CCR.<sup>4</sup> In the *Initiation Notice*, Commerce invited interested parties to provide comments and/or factual information regarding the CCR, including comments on industry support and the proposed partial revocation language.<sup>5</sup> On August 30, 2023, the Aluminum Association Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet Trade Enforcement Working Group and its individual members (Aluminum Association) and Kodak commented on the CCR.<sup>6</sup> On September 6, 2023, Kodak responded to the Aluminum Association's comments.<sup>7</sup>

### Scope of the CAAS AD Germany Order

The products covered by the *Order* are common alloy aluminum sheet, which is a flat-rolled aluminum product

<sup>1</sup> See *Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from Bahrain, Brazil, Croatia, Egypt, Germany, India, Indonesia, Italy, Oman, Romania, Serbia, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Taiwan and the Republic of Turkey: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 86 FR 22139 (April 27, 2021) (*CAAS AD Germany Order or Order*).

<sup>2</sup> See Kodak's Letter, "Request for Expedited Changed Circumstances Review," dated May 9, 2023 (CCR Request); see also Kodak's Letter, "Supplemental Questionnaire Response," dated June 9, 2023 (Kodak's Supplemental Questionnaire Response).

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, "Proposed Exclusion Language," dated July 24, 2023.

<sup>4</sup> See *Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from Germany: Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review, and Consideration of Revocation, in Part, of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 88 FR 49446 (July 31, 2023) (*Initiation Notice*).

<sup>5</sup> *Id.*, 88 FR at 49448.

<sup>6</sup> See Aluminum Association's Letter, "Petitioners' Response to Department's Initiation Notice," dated August 30, 2023 (Aluminum Association's Comments) and Kodak's Letter, "Comments on Changed Circumstances Review," dated August 30, 2023 (Kodak's Comments).

<sup>7</sup> See Kodak's Letter, "Rebuttal Comments on Changed Circumstances Review," dated September 6, 2023.