continue or recur if the Order were revoked, and determined to the report to the ITC rates up to 19.30 percent as the margin of dumping likely to prevail.5 We invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary Results.6 We received a case brief from Archer Daniels Midland Company, Cargill, Incorporated, and Primary Products Ingredients Americas LLČ (collectively, the domestic interested parties), which notes their agreement with the preliminary determination that revocation of the Order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, which they state was appropriately based on Commerce's finding that import volumes of the subject merchandise declined significantly after issuance of the Order.7

We received no further case or rebuttal briefs from interested parties; thus, the record reflects no opposition to the Preliminary Results nor presents substantive issues which require further consideration. Accordingly, the final results remain unchanged from the Preliminary Results.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this Order includes all grades and granulation sizes of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate in their unblended forms, whether dry or in solution, and regardless of packaging type. For a full description of the scope of the Order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the Order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at a weightedaverage dumping margin up to 19.30 percent.

As noted above, Commerce received no comments in opposition to its Preliminary Results. As a result, we have not modified our analysis, and no issues and decision memorandum accompanies this Federal Register notice. We are adopting the Preliminary Results as the final results.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

This five-year sunset review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c)(5)(A), 752(c), and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(3).

Dated: December 15, 2023.

Abdelali Elouaradia,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2023–28138 Filed 12–20–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-823-815]

Oil Country Tubular Goods From Ukraine: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2021– 2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from Ukraine were sold at prices below normal value during the period of review (POR) July 1, 2021, through June 30, 2022.

DATES: Applicable December 21, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Toni

Page, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1398.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 3, 2023, Commerce published the Preliminary Results of this administrative review. 1 Interpipe, 2 the sole mandatory respondent, and the domestic interested party Vallourec Star, L.P. (Vallourec), each submitted comments on the Preliminary Results.3 For a description of the events since the Preliminary Results, as well as a full discussion of the issues raised by parties for these final results, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴ Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order 5

The products covered by the Order are OCTG from Ukraine. For a full description of the scope, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in Interpipe's case brief and Vallourec's letter in lieu of rebuttal brief are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of these issues is attached as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at https://access.trade.gov/ public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx.

Final Results of Review

We have calculated the following estimated weighted-average dumping

⁵ See Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Belgium: Preliminary Results of the Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order, 88 FR 65365 (September 22, 2023) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum. 6 Id., 88 FR at 65366.

⁷ See Domestic Interested Parties' Letter, "Domestic Industry's Case Brief," dated October 23, 2023. The domestic interested parties note that, should the final results of the 2021-2022 administrative review of the Order be finalized prior to the instant sunset final results, the affirmative decision that revocation would likely lead to the continuance of dumping could be additionally supported with a finding that dumping continued after issuance of the Order. However because the final results of the 2021-2022 administrative review have not yet been issued at the time of signature of this notice, we continue to decline to consider the unfinalized dumping margins of the ongoing 2021-2022 administrative review for the purposes of the instant determination.

¹ See Oil Country Tubular Goods from Ukraine: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2021–2022, 88 FR 51289 (August 3, 2023) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum

² Commerce has previously determined that Interpipe Europe S.A.; Interpipe Ukraine LLC; PJSC Interpipe Niznedneprovsky Tube Rolling Plant; and LLC Interpipe Niko Tube are affiliated and treated as a single entity (i.e., Interpipe). See Preliminary Results PDM at "Summary.

³ See Interpipe's Letter, "Case Brief for Interpipe," dated September 5, 2023; see also Vallourec's Letter, "Letter in Lieu of Rebuttal Brief," dated September 11, 2023.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Oil Country Tubular Goods from Ukraine, 2021–2022." dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

 $^{^{5}}$ See Termination of the Suspension Agreement on Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from Ukraine, Rescission of Administrative Review, and Issuance of Antidumping Duty Order, 84 FR 33918 (July 16, 2019) (Order).

margin for Interpipe for the POR, July 1, 2021, through June 30, 2022:

Exporter or producer	Weighted- average dumping margin (percent)
Interpipe Europe S.A./Interpipe Ukraine LLC/PJSC Interpipe Niznedneprovsky Tube Rolling Plant/LLC Interpipe Niko Tube	4.89

Disclosure

Normally, Commerce will disclose the calculations performed in connection with the final results of a review to interested parties within five days of the date of publication of the notice of final results in the **Federal Register**.⁶ However, because Commerce made no change to the preliminary weighted-average dumping margin calculation for Interpipe, there are no calculations to disclose.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with these final results of review.7 For Interpipe, we will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importerspecific ad valorem assessment rate is not zero or de minimis, Commerce will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation.

Consistent with Commerce's assessment practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Interpipe for which it did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.⁸

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for Interpipe will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in these final results; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or in a prior segment of the proceeding, but the producer was covered, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be the all-others rate of 7.47 percent established in the lessthan-fair-value investigation.9

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment

of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: December 14, 2023.

Abdelali Elouaradia,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Scope of the Order

IV. Discussion of the Issue

Comment: Whether to Grant Interpipe a Constructed Export Price Offset

V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2023–28036 Filed 12–20–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-583-837]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2021– 2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Nan Ya Plastics Corporation (Nan Ya) made no sales of subject merchandise to the United States at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) July 1, 2021, through June 30, 2022. We also continue to find that Shinkong Materials Technology Corporation (SMTC)/Shinkong Synthetic Fibers Corporation (SSFC) had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.

DATES: Applicable December 21, 2023. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Charles DeFilippo, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3797.

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

⁷ See Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

⁸ See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

⁹ See Order, 84 FR at 33919.