II. Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315(b), FMCSA may grant an exemption from the FMCSRs for no longer than a 5-year period if it finds such exemption would likely achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to, or greater than, the level that would be achieved absent such exemption. The statutes also allow the Agency to renew exemptions at the end of the 5-year period. FMCSA grants medical exemptions from the FMCSRs for a 2-year period to align with the maximum duration of a driver's medical certification.

The 10 individuals listed in this notice have requested an exemption from the hearing requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(11). Accordingly, the Agency will evaluate the qualifications of each applicant to determine whether granting the exemption will achieve the required level of safety mandated by statute.

The physical qualification standard for drivers regarding hearing found in §391.41(b)(11) states that a person is physically qualified to drive a CMV if that person first perceives a forced whispered voice in the better ear at not less than 5 feet with or without the use of a hearing aid or, if tested by use of an audiometric device, does not have an average hearing loss in the better ear greater than 40 decibels at 500 Hz, 1,000 Hz, and 2,000 Hz with or without a hearing aid when the audiometric device is calibrated to American National Standard (formerly ASA Standard) Z22.5—1951.

This standard was adopted in 1970 and was revised in 1971 to allow drivers to be qualified under this standard while wearing a hearing aid. (35 FR 6458, Apr 6, 1970) and 36 FR 12857 (July 8, 1971), respectively.

On February 1, 2013, FMCSA announced in a Notice of Final Disposition titled, “Qualification of Drivers; Application for Exemptions; National Association of the Deaf,” (78 FR 7479), its decision to grant requests from 40 individuals for exemptions from the Agency’s physical qualification standard concerning hearing for interstate CMV drivers.

III. Qualifications of Applicants

Jesse Aguiar
Jesse Aguiar, 49, holds a class D driver’s license in Arizona.

Antonio Brown
Antonio Brown, 46, holds a class E driver’s license in Louisiana.

Daniel Crawford
Daniel Crawford, 42, holds a class D driver’s license in Virginia.

Scott Humphal
Scott Humphal, 42, holds a class C driver’s license in California.

Ryan King
Ryan King, 27, holds a class C driver’s license in North Carolina.

Jordan Marqus
Jordan Marqus, 29, holds a class 10 driver’s license in Rhode Island.

John Mast
John Mast, 47, holds a class D driver’s license in Ohio.

Lacey McLaughlin
Lacey McLaughlin, 24, holds a class C driver’s license in North Carolina.

Barry Schmidt
Barry Schmidt, 62, holds a class R driver’s license in Colorado.

Grover Vincent
Grover Vincent, 44, holds a class CM driver’s license in Texas.

IV. Request for Comments

In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315(b), FMCSA requests public comment from all interested persons on the exemption petitions described in this notice. We will consider all comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated under the DATES section of the notice.

Larry W. Minor,
Associate Administrator for Policy.

BILLING CODE 4910–EX–P
accidents/incidents reported to FRA where one of the cause codes was related to weather conditions (cause codes M102, M103, M105, M199, and T109 on FRA Form 6180.54). Over half of these accidents/incidents were main-track derailments. A detailed breakdown is provided below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accident cause</th>
<th>Number of incidents</th>
<th>Number of mainline derailments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M102—Extreme environmental condition—TORNADO</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M103—Extreme environmental condition—FLOOD</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M105—Extreme environmental condition—EXTREME WIND VELOCITY</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M199—Other extreme environmental conditions</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T109—Track alignment irregular (buckled/sun kink)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FRA has previously issued Safety Advisories concerning weather-related accidents/incidents. On September 4, 1997, FRA issued Safety Advisory 97–1, recommending safety practices to reduce the risk of casualties from train derailments caused by damage to tracks, roadbeds, and bridges resulting from uncontrolled water flows and similar weather-related phenomena. FRA amended Safety Advisory 97–1 on November 14, 1997, by revising the recommendation concerning the transmission of flash flood warnings to train dispatchers or other employees controlling the movement of trains.

Additionally, FRA issued Safety Advisory 2012–03 on July 16, 2012, to remind track owners, railroads, and their employees of the importance of complying with their continuous welded rail (CWR) plan procedures and reviewing their current internal engineering instructions that address inspecting CWR track to identify conditions that increase the likelihood of buckling of rail. To heighten awareness of the potential consequences of an unexpected track buckle, particularly considering the unusually high and prolonged, record-breaking heat conditions that affected much of the United States in the summer of 2012, Safety Advisory 2012–03 highlighted a series of train accidents that were caused by the rail buckling under severe heat conditions (commonly referred to as sun kinks in the rail). The number of mainline derailments caused by track buckles or sun kinks continues to be unacceptable to FRA.

In addition to FRA’s Safety Advisories, MxV Rail Service released a Technology Digest Article earlier this year, addressing some of the challenges the rail industry is experiencing with weather and heat-related track defects. Recommendations

In light of the continued occurrence of weather-related rail accidents/incidents, FRA is making the following recommendations to railroads:

1. Railroads should evaluate their communication and training programs, rules, policies, and procedures related to severe weather and ensure those programs are adequate to ensure weather-related action plans can be promptly implemented. In evaluating these rules, policies, and procedures, railroads should ensure preparation and response training curriculums are up to date and include critical information necessary for operating personnel, whether simulated drills are performed to test employee response and recovery from severe weather events, whether employees receive sufficient training on weather monitoring software (including updated new training when software enhancements are introduced); whether policies and procedures for communicating weather events are adequate; whether backup communication and dispatching systems are present and tested regularly; and whether evacuation and safety plans are all-encompassing, to include railroad personnel working in the field and those in transit (e.g., on the rails, in yards, and traveling on roadways).

2. Railroads should evaluate their weather forecasting policies and procedures. In assessing the relevant policies and procedures, railroads should consider integrating weather forecasting policies and procedures (and the outcomes from those policies and procedures) into dispatch operations and whether those policies and procedures should be incorporated into positive train control systems. Railroads should additionally consider whether the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and United States Geological Survey (USGS) predicting, and monitoring capabilities are utilized adequately and consistently within those policies and procedures.

3. Railroads should evaluate their operating infrastructure to identify critical and geographical elements susceptible to severe weather events. Railroads should identify operating infrastructure sensitive to extreme weather events and review plans and policies to monitor the infrastructure proactively and reactively. Railroads should consider issues such as whether technology can be introduced to monitor critical infrastructure in real-time and how weather-related action plans can be revised to establish standardized interfaces with other railroads, agencies, and municipalities (e.g., United States Coast Guard and local and State authorities) in the event of a weather-related event. Railroads should review and update these plans and policies periodically and ensure weather-related action plans address specific risks to the identified critical infrastructure.

4. Railroads should evaluate existing weather-related action plans and ensure that those plans detail the necessary proactive planning, maintenance, communication, and other actions necessary to address the risks presented by severe weather conditions. As part of these action plans, railroads should consider developing and implementing an auditing program for severe weather alert systems or other alternative methods to ensure such systems remain in working condition. Railroads should ensure such systems are tested routinely, and their functionality is consistent with all current weather-related action plans.

5. Railroads should establish standard operating thresholds to ensure their weather-related action plans adequately prepare for severe weather events. Railroads should ensure sufficient rules, policies, and procedures are implemented and periodically reviewed and updated to enable effective determinations as to when it is safe to operate in extreme weather conditions and when it is not (considering environmental exposures for railroad personnel and other relevant factors). Rules, policies, and procedures should address weather events such as wind,
heat, cold, flooding, flash flooding, tornadoes, hurricanes, fire, visibility, snow, ice, sand drifts, earthquakes, landslides, and environmental factors such as the air quality index.

6. Railroads should work together to develop best practices for utilizing weather forecasting technologies, predictive weather models, and weather-related action plans throughout the industry. In doing so, railroads should consider how much deviation exists between railroads related to operational weather rules, policies, and procedures. Railroads should consider whether those deviations are justified and to what extent rail safety would benefit from industry-wide standardization of weather-related rules, policies, procedures, and weather-related action plans in general. Railroads should also consider whether individual railroad weather-related rules, policies, and action plans include adequate collaboration with tenant and interchange railroads.

Conclusion

FRA encourages all railroad industry members to take actions consistent with the recommendations of this Safety Advisory to prevent weather-related accidents/incidents. FRA may modify this Safety Advisory, issue additional safety advisories, or take other appropriate action necessary to ensure the highest level of safety on the Nation’s railroads, including pursuing other corrective measures under its rail safety authority.

Issued in Washington, DC.
John Karl Alexy,
Associate Administrator for Railroad Safety
Chief Safety Officer.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
Office of Foreign Assets Control
Notice of OFAC Sanctions Actions

AGENCY: Office of Foreign Assets Control, Treasury.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury’s Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) is publishing the names of one or more persons that have been placed on OFAC’s Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List (SDN List) based on OFAC’s determination that one or more applicable legal criteria were satisfied. All property and interests in property subject to U.S. jurisdiction of these persons are blocked, and U.S. persons are generally prohibited from engaging in transactions with them.

DATES: See SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section for effective date(s).


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Electronic Availability

The SDN List and additional information concerning OFAC sanctions programs are available on OFAC’s website (https://www.treasury.gov/ofac).

Notice of OFAC Action(s)

On November 16, 2023, OFAC determined that the property and interests in property subject to U.S. jurisdiction of the following persons are blocked under the relevant sanctions authorities listed below.