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I. Executive Summary

FY 2024 H–2B Supplemental Cap

With this temporary final rule (TFR), the Secretary of Homeland Security, following consultation with the Secretary of Labor, is authorizing the release of an additional 64,716 H–2B visas for FY 2024, subject to certain conditions. The 64,716 visas are divided into the following allocations:

- For the first half of FY 2024: 20,716 immediately available visas limited to returning workers, in other words, those workers who were issued H–2B visas or held H–2B status in fiscal years 2021, 2022, or 2023, regardless of country of nationality. These petitions must request employment start dates on or before March 31, 2024;
- For the early second half of FY 2024 (April 1 to May 14): 19,000 visas limited to returning workers, in other words, those workers who were issued H–2B visas or held H–2B status in fiscal years 2021, 2022, or 2023 regardless of country of nationality. These early second half of FY 2024 petitions must request employment start dates from April 1, 2024, to May 14, 2024. Furthermore, employers must file these petitions no earlier than 15 days after
the second half statutory cap is reached:
• For the late second half of FY 2024: (May 15 to September 30): 5,000 visas limited to returning workers, in other words, those workers who were issued H–2B visas or held H–2B status in fiscal years 2021, 2022, or 2023 regardless of country of nationality. These late second half of FY 2024 petitions must request employment start dates from May 15, 2024, to September 30, 2024. Furthermore, employers must file these petitions no earlier than 45 days after the second half statutory cap is reached; and
• For the entirety of FY 2024: 20,000 visas reserved for nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, and Costa Rica (country-specific allocation) as attested by the petitioner (regardless of whether such nationals are returning workers). Employers requesting an employment start date in the first half of FY 2024 may file such petitions immediately after the publication of this TFR. Employers requesting an employment start date in the second half of FY 2024 must file such petitions no earlier than 15 days after the second half statutory cap is reached.

To qualify for the FY 2024 supplemental caps provided by this temporary final rule, eligible petitioners must:
• Meet all existing H–2B eligibility requirements, including obtaining an approved temporary labor certification (TLC) from DOL before filing the Form I–129, Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker, with USCIS;
• Properly file the Form I–129, Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker, with USCIS at its Texas Service Center on or before September 16, 2024;
• Submit an attestation affirming, under penalty of perjury, that the employer is suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm without the ability to employ all of the H–2B workers requested on the petition, and that they are seeking to employ returning workers only, unless the H–2B worker is a Salvadoran, Guatemalan, Honduran, Haitian, Colombian, Ecuadorian, or Costa Rican national and counted towards the 20,000 cap exempt from the returning worker requirement; and
• Prepare and retain a detailed written statement describing how the employer is suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm and how evidence demonstrates irreparable harm and supports their application.

Employers filing an H–2B petition 30 or more days after the certified start date on the TLC, must attest to engaging in the following additional steps to recruit U.S. workers:
• No later than 1 business day after filing the petition, place a new job order with the relevant State Workforce Agency (SWA) for at least 15 calendar days;
• Contact the nearest American Job Center serving the geographic area where work will commence and request staff assistance in recruiting qualified U.S. workers;
• Contact the employer’s former U.S. workers, including those the employer furloughed or laid off beginning on January 1, 2022, and until the date the H–2B petition is filed, disclose the terms of the job order and solicit their return to the job;
• Provide written notification of the job opportunity to the bargaining representative for the employer’s employees in the occupation and area of employment, or post notice of the job opportunity at the anticipated worksite if there is no bargaining representative;
• Where the occupation is traditionally or customarily unionized, provide written notification of the job opportunity to the nearest American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL–CIO) office covering the area of intended employment, by providing a copy of the job order and requesting assistance in recruiting qualified U.S. workers for the job opportunity;
• Contact in writing and in a language understood by the worker, all U.S. workers currently employed at the place of employment, disclose the terms of the job order, and request assistance in recruiting qualified U.S. workers for the job;
• Where the employer maintains a website for its business operations, post the job opportunity in a conspicuous location on the employer’s website; and
• Hire any qualified U.S. worker who applies or is referred for the job opportunity until the later of either (1) the date on which the last H–2B worker departs for the place of employment, or (2) 30 days after the last date of the SWA job order posting.

Petitioners filing H–2B petitions under this FY 2024 supplemental cap must retain documentation of compliance with the attestation requirements for 3 years from the date DOL approved the TLC, and must provide the documents and records upon the request of DHS or DOL, as well as fully cooperate with any compliance reviews such as audits. Through audits and investigations, both Departments have received evidence of employer non-compliance with the terms and conditions of the H–2B program, as well as violations of other labor and employment laws. DOL Office of Foreign Labor Certification (OFLC), DOL Wage and Hour Division (WHD), and USCIS Fraud Detection and National Security (FDNS) personnel have encountered non-compliance issues such as failure to pay the promised wage, failure to employ returning workers, failure to demonstrate irreparable harm, failure to conduct the additional recruitment steps, and failure to accurately disclose the beneficiary’s work location(s).

Such non-compliance can harm U.S. workers by undermining wages and working conditions. It also directly harms H–2B workers. Further, H–2B workers depend on ongoing employment with the petitioning employer to maintain status in the United States. This dependence creates a power imbalance between the employer and H–2B worker, making the H–2B worker particularly vulnerable to exploitation and violations. In recognition of the substantial impact that non-compliance can have on both U.S. workers and H–2B workers, DHS and DOL again intend to conduct a significant number of audits focusing on irreparable harm and other worker protection provisions. And as it did as part of the FY 2022 second half H–2B supplemental cap TFR and the FY 2023 H–2B supplemental cap TFR, DHS will again subject employers that have committed labor law violations in the H–2B program to additional scrutiny in the supplemental cap petition process.2 DHS intends for this additional scrutiny to help ensure compliance with H–2B program requirements and obligations.

Specifically, falsifying information in H–2B program attestation(s) can result not only in penalties relating to perjury, but also in, among other things, a finding of fraud or willful misrepresentation; denial or revocation of the H–2B petition requesting supplemental workers; and debarment by DOL and DHS from the H–2B program and any other foreign labor

1 The term “statutory cap” refers to the 66,000 cap set forth in INA section 214(g)(1)(B) or the 33,000 semiannual caps at INA section 214(g)(10).

programs administered by DOL. Falsifying information also may subject a petitioner/employer to other criminal and/or civil penalties.

DHS will not approve H–2B petitions filed in connection with the FY 2024 supplemental cap authority on or after October 1, 2024.

H–2B Portability

In addition to exercising its time-limited authority to make additional FY 2024 H–2B visas available, DHS is again providing additional flexibilities to H–2B petitioners under its general programmatic authority by allowing nonimmigrant workers in the United States in valid H–2B status and who are beneficiaries of non-frivolous H–2B petitions received on or after January 25, 2024, or who are the beneficiaries of non-frivolous H–2B petitions that are pending as of January 25, 2024, to begin work with a new employer after an H–2B petition supported by a valid TLC is filed and before the petition is approved, generally for a period of up to 60 days. However, such employment authorization would end 15 days after USCIS denies the H–2B petition or such petition is withdrawn. This H–2B portability ends one year after the provision’s effective date of January 25, 2024, in other words, at the end of January 24, 2025.4

II. Background

A. Legal Framework

The Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), as amended, establishes the H–2B nonimmigrant classification for a nonagricultural temporary worker “having a residence in a foreign country which he has no intention of abandoning who is coming temporarily to the United States to perform temporary nonagricultural service or labor if unemployed persons capable of performing such service or labor cannot be found in this country.” INA section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b). Employers must petition DHS for classification of prospective temporary workers as H–2B nonimmigrants. INA section 214(c)(1), 8 U.S.C. 1184(c)(1). Generally, DHS must approve this petition before the beneficiary can be considered eligible for an H–2B visa. In addition, the INA requires that “[t]he question of importing any alien as [an H–2B nonimmigrant . . . in any specific case or specific cases shall be determined by [DHS].” 3 after consultation with appropriate agencies of the Government.” INA section 214(c)(1), 8 U.S.C. 1184(c)(1). The INA generally charges the Secretary of Homeland Security with the administration and enforcement of the immigration laws, and provides that the Secretary “shall establish such regulations . . . and perform such other acts as he deems necessary for carrying out his authority” under the INA. See INA section 103(a)(1), (3), 8 U.S.C. 1103(a)(1), (3); see also 8 U.S.C. 202(d) (charging the Secretary with “[e]stablishing and administering rules . . . governing the granting of visas or other forms of permission . . . to enter the United States to individuals who are not a citizen or an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the United States”). With respect to nonimmigrants in particular, the INA provides that “[t]he admission to the United States of any alien as a nonimmigrant shall be for such time and under such conditions as the Secretary may by regulations prescribe.” INA section 214(a)(1), 8 U.S.C. 1184(a)(1); see also INA section 274A(a)(1) and (b)(3), 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1) and (b)(3) (prohibiting employment of noncitizens not authorized for employment). The Secretary may designate officers or employees to take and consider evidence concerning any matter that is material or relevant to the enforcement of the INA. INA sections 267(a)(1), (b), 8 U.S.C. 1357(a)(1), (b) and INA section 235(d)(3), 8 U.S.C. 1225(d)(3).

Finally, under section 101 of the HSA, 6 U.S.C. 111(b)(1)(F), a primary mission of DHS is to “ensure that the overall economic security of the United States is not diminished by efforts, activities, and programs aimed at securing the homeland.”

DHS regulations provide that an approved TLC from the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL), issued pursuant to regulations established at 20 CFR part 655, or from the Guam Department of Labor if the workers will be employed on Guam, must accompany an H–2B petition for temporary employment in the United States. 8 CFR 214.2(b)(6)(iii)(A) and (C) through (E), (h)(6)(i)(v)(A); see also INA section 103(a)(6), 8 U.S.C. 1103(a)(6). The TLC serves as DHS’s consultation with DOL with respect to whether a qualified U.S. worker is available to fill the petitioning H–2B employer’s job opportunity and whether a foreign worker’s employment in the job opportunity will adversely affect the wages and working conditions of similarly-employed U.S. workers. See INA section 214(c)(1), 8 U.S.C. 1184(c)(1); 8 CFR 214.2(b)(6)(iii)(A) and (D).

To determine whether to issue a TLC, the Departments have established regulatory procedures under which DOL certifies whether a qualified U.S. worker is available to fill the job opportunity described in the employer’s petition for a temporary nonagricultural worker, and whether a foreign worker’s employment in the job opportunity will adversely affect the wages or working conditions of similarly employed U.S. workers. See 20 CFR part 655, subpart A. The regulations establish the process by which employers obtain a TLC and rights and obligations of workers and employers.

Once the petition is approved, under the INA and current DHS regulations, H–2B workers do not have employment authorization outside of the validity period listed on the approved petition unless otherwise authorized, and the workers are limited to employment with the H–2B petitioner. See 8 U.S.C. 1184(c)(1), 8 CFR 274a.12(b)(9). An employer or U.S. agent generally may submit a new H–2B petition, with a new, approved TLC, to USCIS to request an extension of H–2B nonimmigrant status for the validity of the TLC or for a period of up to 1 year. 8 CFR 214.2(b)(15)(ii)(C). Except as provided in the proceeding H–2B supplemental cap TFRs 7 and in this rule, and except

---

3 The term “United States” includes the continental United States, Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Guam, the Virgin Islands of the United States, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. INA section 101(a)(38), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(38).

4 On September 20, 2023, DHS issued a Modernizing H–2 Program Requirements, Oversight, and Worker Protections Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), 88 FR 65040, 65066, with a 60-day public comment period that ends on November 20, 2023. In that NPRM, DHS proposed to extend portability to H–2A and H–2B workers on a permanent basis. The Department’s proposal does not interfere with the portability provision of this rule, however, should DHS publish a final rule making H–2B portability permanent, any such provision would not expire on a specific date, unlike the portability provision made effective by this temporary final rule.


6 For purposes of this discussion, the Departments use the term “noncitizen” colloquially to be synonymous with the term “alien” as it is used in the Immigration and Nationality Act.

7 For instance, the FY 2023 H–2B supplemental cap TFR included a portability provision at 8 CFR 214.2(b)(29)(i)(B)(4), which ran in effect through January 24, 2024. See e.g., Exercise of Time-Limited Authority To Increase the Numerical Limitation for FY 2023 for the H–2B Temporary Nonagricultural Worker Program and Portability.
for certain professional athletes being traded among organizations.8 H–2B workers seeking to extend their status with a new employer may not begin employment with the new employer until the new H–2B petition is approved.

The INA also authorizes DHS to impose appropriate remedies against an employer for a substantial failure to meet the terms and conditions of employing an H–2B nonimmigrant worker, or for a willful misrepresentation of a material fact in a petition for an H–2B nonimmigrant worker. INA section 214(c)(14)(A), 8 U.S.C. 1184(c)(14)(A). The INA expressly authorizes DHS to delegate certain enforcement authority to DOL. INA section 214(c)(14)(B), 8 U.S.C. 1184(c)(14)(B); see also INA section 103(a)(6), 8 U.S.C. 1103(a)(6). DHS has delegated its authority under INA section 214(c)(14)(A)(i), 8 U.S.C. 1184(c)(14)(A)(i), to DOL. See DHS, Delegation of Authority to DOL under Section 214(c)(14)(A) of the INA (Jan. 16, 2009); see also 8 CFR 214.2(b)(6)(ix) (stating that DOL may investigate employers to enforce compliance with the conditions of an H–2B petition and a DOL-approved TLC). This enforcement authority has been delegated within DOL to the Wage and Hour Division (WHD), and is governed by regulations at 29 CFR part 503.

B. H–2B Numerical Limitations Under the INA

The maximum annual number (“statutory cap”) of noncitizens who may be issued H–2B visas or otherwise provided H–2B nonimmigrant status to perform temporary nonagricultural work is 66,000, distributed semiannually beginning in October and April. See INA sections 214(g)(1)(B) and (g)(10), 8 U.S.C. 1184(g)(1)(B) and (g)(10).

Accordingly, with certain exceptions as described below, up to 33,000 noncitizens may be issued H–2B visas or provided H–2B nonimmigrant status in the first half of a fiscal year, and the remaining annual allocation, including any unused nonimmigrant H–2B visas from the first half of a fiscal year, are available for employers seeking to hire H–2B workers during the second half of the fiscal year.9 If the number of petitions approved by DHS is insufficient to use all H–2B numbers in a given fiscal year, DHS cannot carry over the unused numbers for petition approvals for employment start dates beginning on or after the start of the next fiscal year.

In FYs 2005, 2006, 2007, and 2016, Congress exempted H–2B workers identified as returning workers from the annual H–2B cap of 66,000.10 A returning worker is an H–2B worker who was previously counted against the annual H–2B cap during a designated period of time.11 For example, Congress designated that returning workers for FY 2016 needed to have been counted against the cap during FY 2013, 2014, or 2015 to qualify for the exemption.12 DHS and the Department of State (DOS) worked together to confirm that all workers requested under the returning worker provision in fact were eligible for exemption from the annual cap (in other words, were issued an H–2B visa or provided H–2B status during one of the prior 3 fiscal years) and were otherwise eligible for H–2B classification.

Because of the strong demand for H–2B visas in recent years, the statutorily limited semiannual visa allocation, the DOL regulatory requirement that employers apply for a TSL 75 to 90 days before the start of date of work,13 and the DHS regulatory requirement that approved TLC accompany all H–2B petitions,14 employers that wish to obtain visas for their workers under the semiannual allotment must act early to receive a TLC and file a petition with U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS). As a result, the date on which USCIS has reached sufficient H–2B petitions to reach the first half of the fiscal year statutory cap has generally trended earlier in recent years.15 For FY 2022, for the first time in more than a decade, USCIS received sufficient H–2B petitions to reach the first half of the fiscal year statutory cap before the start of the fiscal year.16 This occurred even earlier in FY 2023, when USCIS received enough H–2B petitions to reach the FY 2023 first-half statutory cap on September 12, 2022.17 For FY 2024, USCIS received sufficient H–2B petitions to reach the first half of the fiscal year statutory cap on October 11, 2023.18 While this date was slightly later than the prior two years, the Departments note that DOL received 2,157 applications for the first half of the FY 2024 statutory cap during the initial three-day filing window of July 3–5, 2023, covering 40,947 worker positions; a 59% increase in TLC workload when compared to the same time period in 2022.19 This trend in recent years of increased demand for H–


16 On October 12, 2021, USCIS announced that it had received sufficient petitions to reach the congressionally mandated cap on H–2B visas for temporary nonagricultural workers for the first half of fiscal year 2022, and that September 30, 2021 was the final receipt date for new cap-subject H–2B worker petitions requesting an employment start date before April 1, 2022. See USCIS, USCIS Reaches H–2B Cap for the First Half of Fiscal Year 2022, https://www.uscis.gov/newsroom/alerts/uscis-reaches-h-2b-cap-for-first-half-of-fy-2022 (Oct 12, 2021).

17 On September 14, 2022, USCIS announced that it had received sufficient petitions to reach the congressionally mandated cap on H–2B visas for temporary nonagricultural workers for the first half of fiscal year 2023, and that September 12, 2022 was the final receipt date for new cap-subject H–2B worker petitions requesting an employment start date before April 1, 2023. See USCIS, USCIS Reaches H–2B Cap for the First Half of Fiscal Year 2023, https://www.uscis.gov/newsroom/alerts/uscis-reaches-h-2b-cap-for-first-half-of-fy-2023 (Sept. 14, 2022).

18 On October 13, 2023, USCIS announced that it had received sufficient petitions to reach the congressionally mandated cap on H–2B visas for temporary nonagricultural workers for the first half of fiscal year 2024, and that October 11, 2023 was the final receipt date for new cap-subject H–2B worker petitions requesting an employment start date before April 1, 2024. See USCIS, USCIS Reaches H–2B Cap for First Half of FY 2024, https://www.uscis.gov/newsroom/alerts/uscis-reaches-h-2b-cap-for-first-half-of-fy-2024 (Nov 15, 2023).

2B workers is even more apparent in the second half of the fiscal year. In recent years, DOL has received an increasing number of TLC applications for an increasing number of H–2B workers with April 1 start dates: DOL received 4,500 applications on January 1, 2018, covering more than 81,600 worker positions; DOL received 5,276 applications by January 8, 2019, covering more than 96,400 worker positions; DOL received 5,677 applications during the initial three-day filing window in 2020 covering 99,362 worker positions; and current demand has, for the last three years, covering more than 96,400 worker positions; DOL received 4,500 applications on January 1, 2021, covering more than 80,932 worker positions. DOL received 5,577 applications during the initial three-day filing window in 2021 covering 96,641 worker positions; DOL received 7,875 applications by January 7, 2022, covering 136,553 worker positions; and DOL received 8,593 applications during the initial three-day filing window in 2023, covering 142,796 worker positions. See DOL, Announcements, https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/foreign-labor/news. Specifically, section 303 of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2017, Public Law 115–31 (FY 2017 Omnibus); section 205 of Division M of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2018, Public Law 115–141 (FY 2018 Omnibus); section 105 of Division H of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2019, Public Law 116–6 (FY 2019 Omnibus); section 105 of Division I of the Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2020, Public Law 116–94 (FY 2020 Omnibus); section 105 of Division O of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, Public Law 116–260 (FY 2021 Omnibus); section 105 of Division O of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2022, Public Law 117–103 (FY 2022 Omnibus). The Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2023, Public Law 117–328 (Dec. 29, 2022).
of the H–2B petitions received under the FY 2017 and FY 2018 supplemental caps requested premium processing (Form I–907) 28 and were adjudicated within 15 calendar days.

On May 8, 2019, DHS and DOL jointly published a temporary final rule authorizing an increase of up to 30,000 additional H–2B visas for the remainder of FY 2019.29 The additional visas were limited to returning workers who had been counted against the H–2B cap or were otherwise granted H–2B status in the previous three fiscal years, and for those businesses that attested to a level of need such that, if they did not receive all of the workers requested on the Form I–129, they were likely to suffer irreparable harm, in other words, suffer a permanent and severe financial loss.30 The Secretary determined that limiting returning workers to those who were issued an H–2B visa or granted H–2B status in the past 3 fiscal years was appropriate, as it mirrored the standard that Congress designated in previous returning worker provisions. On June 5, 2019, approximately 30 days after the supplemental visas became available, USCIS announced that it received sufficient petitions filed pursuant to the FY 2019 supplemental cap increase. USCIS did not conduct a lottery for the FY 2019 supplemental cap increase. The total number of H–2B workers approved towards the FY 2019 supplemental cap increase was 32,680.31 The vast majority of these petitions requested premium processing and were adjudicated within 15 calendar days.

Although Congress provided the Secretary of Homeland Security with the authority to increase the H–2B cap in FY 2020, the Secretary did not exercise that authority. DHS initially intended to exercise its authority and, on March 4, 2020, announced that it would make available 35,000 supplemental H–2B visas for the second half of the fiscal year.32 On March 13, 2020, then-President Trump declared a National Emergency concerning COVID–19, a communicable disease caused by the coronavirus SARS–CoV–2.33 On April 2, 2020, DHS announced that the rule to increase the H–2B cap was on hold due to economic circumstances, and that DHS would not release additional H–2B visas until further notice.34 DHS also noted that the Department of State had suspended routine visa services.35

In FY 2021, DHS in consultation with DOL determined it was appropriate to increase the H–2B cap for FY 2021 coupled with additional protections (for example, post-adjudication audits, investigations, and compliance checks), based on the demand for H–2B workers in the second half of FY 2021, continuing economic growth, the improving job market, and increased visa processing capacity by the Department of State. Accordingly, on May 25, 2021, DHS and DOL jointly published a temporary final rule authorizing an increase of up to 22,000 additional H–2B visas for the remainder of FY 2021.36 The supplemental visas were available only to employers that attested they were likely to suffer irreparable harm without the additional workers. The allocation of 22,000 additional H–2B visas under that rule consisted of 16,000 visas available only to H–2B returning workers from one of the last three fiscal years (FY 2018, 2019, or 2020) and 6,000 visas that were initially reserved for nationals of the Northern Central American countries of El Salvador, Guatemala, and Honduras, who were exempt from the returning worker requirement. By August 13, 2021, USCIS had received enough petitions for returning workers to reach the additional 22,000 H–2B visas made available under the FY 2021 H–2B supplemental visa temporary final rule.37 The total number of H–2B workers approved towards the FY 2021 supplemental cap increase was 30,707.38 This total number included approved H–2B petitions for 23,937 returning workers, as well as 6,805 beneficiaries from the Northern Central American countries.39

On January 28, 2022, DHS and DOL jointly published a temporary final rule authorizing an increase of up to 20,000 additional H–2B visas for FY 2022 positions with start dates on or before March 31, 2022.40 These supplemental visas were available only to employers that attested they were suffering or would suffer impending irreparable harm without the additional workers. The allocation of 20,000 additional H–2B visas under that rule consisted of 13,500 visas available only to H–2B returning workers from one of the last three fiscal years (FY 2019, 2020, or 2021) and 6,500 visas reserved for Salvadoran, Guatemalan, Honduran, and Haitian nationals, who were exempted from the returning worker requirement. USCIS also noted that the total number of H–2B workers approved towards the first half FY 2022 supplemental cap increase was 17,381, including 14,150 workers under the returning worker allocation, as well as 3,231 workers approved towards the Haitian/Northern Central American allocation.41 For the second half of FY 2022, DHS in consultation with DOL determined it was appropriate to increase the H–2B cap for FY 2022 positions with start dates beginning on April 1, 2022.


through September 30, 2022, based on the continued demand for H–2B workers for the remainder of FY 2022, continuing economic growth, increased labor demand, and increased visa processing capacity by the Department of State. Accordingly, on May 18, 2022, DHS and DOL jointly published a temporary final rule authorizing an increase of no more than 35,000 additional H–2B visas for the second half of FY 2022. As in the January 2022 TFR, the supplemental visas were available only to employers that attested they were suffering or would suffer the impending irreparable harm without the additional workers. The allocation of 35,000 additional H–2B visas under the rule applicable to the second half of FY 2022 consisted of 23,500 visas available only to H–2B returning workers from one of the last three fiscal years (FY 2019, 2020, or 2021) and 11,500 visas reserved for Salvadoran, Guatemalan, Honduran, and Haitian nationals, who were exempted from the returning worker requirement. By May 25, 2022, USCIS had received enough petitions for returning workers to reach the additional 23,500 H–2B visas made available under the second half FY 2022 H–2B supplemental visa temporary final rule. USCIS data show that the total number of H–2B workers approved towards the second half FY 2022 supplemental cap increase was 43,798, including 31,480 workers under the returning worker allocation, as well as 12,318 workers approved towards the Haitian/Northern Central American allocation. Finally, on December 15, 2022, DHS and DOL jointly published a temporary final rule authorizing an increase of up to 64,716 additional H–2B visas for the entirety of FY 2023. As in the FY 2022 TFRs, the additional visas were available only to employers that attested they were suffering or would suffer the impending irreparable harm without the additional workers. The 64,716 additional visas included 44,716 reserved for returning workers from one of the last three fiscal years (FY 2020, 2021, or 2022), which were distributed in several allocations based on date of employer need: 18,216 for employers with requested employment start dates on or before March 31, 2023; 16,500 for employers with requested employment start dates from April 1, 2023, to May 14, 2023 (early second half allocation); and 10,000 for employers with requested employment start dates from May 15, 2023, to Sept. 30, 2023 (late second half allocation). The remaining 20,000 visas were available for the entirety of FY 2023, and were set aside for nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, and Haiti, who were exempt from the returning worker requirement. By January 30, 2023, USCIS received enough petitions to reach the cap for the additional 18,216 H–2B visas made available for returning workers for the first half of fiscal year, and by March 30, 2023, USCIS received enough petitions to reach the cap for the additional 16,500 H–2B visas made available for returning workers for the early second half of fiscal year. USCIS data show that the total number of H–2B workers approved towards the FY 2023 supplemental cap increase was 78,302, including 54,470 workers under the returning worker allocation, as well as 23,832 workers approved towards the Haitian/Northern Central American allocation. Once again, DHS in consultation with DOL believes that it is appropriate to increase the H–2B cap for FY 2024 based on the demand for H–2B workers in the first half of FY 2024, anticipated demand for the second half of FY 2024, recent economic growth, and strong labor demand. Similar to the preceding temporary rule, DHS and DOL also believe that it is appropriate and important to couple this cap increase with additional worker protections, as described below.

D. Joint Issuance of the Final Rule

As in FY 2017, FY 2018, FY 2019, FY 2021, FY 2022, and FY 2023, DHS and DOL (the Departments) have determined that it is appropriate to jointly issue this temporary final rule. The determination to issue the temporary final rule jointly follows conflicting court decisions concerning DOL’s authority to independently issue legislative rules to carry out its consultative and delegated functions pertaining to the H–2B program under the INA. Although DHS and DOL each have authority to independently issue rules implementing their respective duties under the H–2B program, the Departments are implementing the numerical increase in this manner to ensure there can be no question about the authority underlying the

44 The term “strong labor demand” in this context relies on the most recently released figure from a Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) survey at the time this TFR was written. The BLS Job Openings and Labor Turnover Survey (JOLTS) reports 9.6 million job openings in August 2023. See DOL, BLS, Job Openings and Labor Turnover—August 2023, https://www.bls.gov/news.release/archives/jolts_10032023.htm.


administration and enforcement of the temporary cap increase. This approach is consistent with rules implementing DOL’s general consultative role under INA section 214(c)(1), 8 U.S.C. 1184(c)(1), and delegated functions under INA sections 103(a)(6) and 214(c)(14)(B), 8 U.S.C. 1103(a)(6), 1184(c)(14)(B). 52

E. Comments and Responses to Comments on the FY 2023 TFR

In connection with the FY 2023 TFR, the Departments solicited public comment for 60 days. During that comment period, the Departments received 10 substantive comments. In the following discussion, the Departments discuss and respond to those comments by topic.

Timing and Distribution of Visas

Comment: Several commenters expressed support for the Departments’ release of the maximum number of visas authorized by Congress. In addition, these commenters indicated that the Departments anticipated the early release of supplemental visas in 2023 than in prior years, noting that the FY 2023 TFR offered certainty that was beneficial to employers. The commenters encouraged the Departments to similarly make future supplemental visas available early in the relevant fiscal year.

Response: The Departments thank the commenters for their feedback. The Departments are again making applications for the earlier release of supplemental visas for FY 2023. The commenters noted that the United States is not experiencing a labor shortage and disagreed with the Departments’ usage of official unemployment rate data to justify the decision to release 64,716 supplemental visas for FY 2023. The comment centers on a critique of official government statistics produced by the Department of Labor. More specifically, the comment noted the long-term decline in the labor force participation rate and, further, alleges that the official unemployment rate is flawed because it excludes persons who are considered to no longer be in the labor force.

Response: The Departments appreciate the comment regarding justification for the number of supplemental visas. However, the Departments disagree that the rule did not sufficiently justify the number of supplemental visas. Specifically, the Departments disagree with the assertion that official government statistics are incorrect or inadequate. Furthermore, the Departments (as branches of the Federal Government) believe that it is reasonable to rely on official labor market statistics produced by subject-matter experts within the U.S. Government when assessing the labor market. Additionally, the Departments note that they did not rely on any single statistic to determine either the general need for supplemental visas or the specific number of supplemental visas, but rather considered a number of factors including demand for H–2B workers (in the form of TLC data) and labor market conditions (in the form of multiple labor market statistics).

Finally, the Departments believe that aspects of this comment, specifically the discussion regarding long-term labor force trends that (by the commenters’ description) are impacted by multiple variables other than short-term labor needs, are out of scope of the FY 2023 Temporary Final Rule.

Comment: Some commenters expressed support for the Departments’ FY 2023 distribution of the supplemental H–2B visas in multiple seasonal allocations including two allocations for the second half of the fiscal year. These commenters noted that this distribution was beneficial to employers who hire later in the fiscal year.

Response: The Departments thank the commenters for their feedback and will again make multiple allocations available including two allocations for the second half of FY 2024.

Comment: One commenter requested that the Departments consider combining the supplemental allocations for the second half of the fiscal year into a single allocation in future TFRs. The commenter stated that administering multiple allocations creates more work for the Departments when they are already struggling to process applications and petitions in a timely manner. The commenter also stated that the allocations for the second half of the fiscal year were “woefully insufficient” to meet employer demand.

Response: The Departments have again decided to reserve supplemental visas for the late second half of FY 2024. As noted by the commenter, administering multiple allocations involves some level of additional work. This includes both the work performed by USCIS in the actual administration of each allocation cap, as well as a potential increase in DOL workload as TLC requests may increase. However, the Departments have attempted to balance such workload challenges with the importance of addressing the needs of U.S. employers, including those late season employers who otherwise may not have the opportunity to file for cap-subject H–2B workers. As explained in last year’s TFR and again in this TFR, the intense competition for employers requesting an April 1 start date has resulted in H–2B visas being effectively unavailable for many employers who need workers to start late in the season, and thus the late season allocation is intended to directly assist those employers. 53 For FY 2024, as in FY 2023, the Departments believe that there is sufficient demand and need for the late second half to justify the additional work and potential impact on processing times.

Regarding the claim that the total allocation for the second half of FY 2023 was inadequate, the Departments reiterate that the 33,000 cap was statutory, and the second half’s total returning worker supplemental allocation of 26,500 visas was more than the first half’s returning worker allocation of 18,216. In addition, while the 20,000 allocation for nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, and Haiti was available for start dates throughout FY 2023, the majority of visas issued under that allocation went to workers with second half start dates. 54 As with the FY 2023 TFR, the Departments will continue to make more total visas available for the second half of FY 2024 than the first half.

Comment: One commenter recommended reallocating unused visas from one sub-allocation to another if there were unused visas, such as unused visas from the allocation for nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, and Haiti, to the returning worker allocation. Another commenter more specifically suggested that the Departments coordinate with DOS to verify all visas under the first half allocation are actually used and roll over any supplemental visas that were “used” (counted on a petition) but not issued (by DOS) from the first half cap to the second half cap, or from the early

52 See 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(iii)(A) and (C), (h)(6)(i)(v)(A).


54 Under the FY 2023 TFR allocation for nationals of Northern Central America and Haiti, a total of over 17,600 visas were issued, with around 5,000 of those visas issued to workers with first half start dates and the remainder issued to workers with second half start dates. See DHS, USCIS, Office of Performance and Quality, CLAIMS, VIBE, DOS Visa Issuance Data, queried 10/2023, TRK 13122, FY 2023 H–2B Northern Central American Cap Approvals by Validity Start Date Month.
rollover process would be operationally burdensome as noted above.  

Comment: A commenter requested the Departments to prioritize the allocation of late second half visas to essential and critical infrastructure employers, including seafood processors, as designated by DHS. Another commenter similarly requested the Departments to prioritize critical and essential infrastructure seafood industry jobs.  

Response: The Departments decline the suggestion to prioritize certain industries or jobs in the allocation of supplemental cap visas. As noted in the FY 2023 TFR and this TFR, the Departments interpret the use of the phrase “the needs of American businesses” in the relevant statutory authority for the supplemental caps as providing discretion to identify the business needs that are most relevant, while bearing in mind the need to protect U.S. workers. The Departments have implemented the irreplaceable harm standard in order to prioritize the most pressing business needs. Prioritizing certain industries as “essential and critical,” separate from the irreplaceable harm consideration already in use, could also harm industries DHS does not designate as such. The Departments believe considering the irreplaceable harm to individual employers better addresses the needs of employers than designating entire industries for prioritization. In addition, the Departments do not believe such prioritization is necessary as the decision to provide a late second half allocation again for FY 2024 should provide some relief to seafood processors (one of the industries highlighted in the comments) and other similar companies facing a need for additional workers in the late second half.  

FY 23 Allocation for Nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, and Haiti  

Comment: Two commenters expressed general opposition to the allocation of supplemental visas for nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, and Haiti. These commenters opined that the H-2B program is not an appropriate strategy for addressing humanitarian needs and that the H-2B program would not provide permanent, durable solutions for these countries’ nationals.  

Response: The country-specific allocation within the H-2B program is an important part of the administration’s overall strategy to expand access to lawful pathways for individuals in these countries to stem irregular migration. These allocations are just one of the additional lawful pathways offered to these nationals and others, including new family reunification parole processes for certain nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Cuba, and Haiti; and 16,713 visas were issued out of 20,000 authorized under the allocation for nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala,574 Cuba, and Haiti, which published on May 25, 2021—to use a visa under this allocation the petition had to have been received by July 8, 20211. In FY 2022, 2,481 visas out of 6,500 authorized were issued under the allocation for nationals of Northern Central America in the FY 2021 TFR, which published on January 22, 2022; 7,405 visas out of 11,500 authorized were issued under the allocation for nationals of Northern Central America and Haiti in the first half FY 2022 TFR, which published on May 18, 2022; and 16,713 visas were issued out of 20,000 authorized under the allocation for nationals of Northern Central America and Haiti in the FY 2021 TFR, which published on December 15, 2022. See DHS, USCIS, Office of Performance and Quality, CLAIMS3, VIBE, DOS Visa Issuance Data, queried 10/2023, TRK 13122, H-2B Visa Issuance Report September 30, 2023. 

55 In FY 2021, 3,079 visas out of 6,000 authorized were issued under the allocation for nationals of Northern Central America in the FY 2021 TFR, which published on May 25, 2021—to use a visa under this allocation the petition had to have been received by July 8, 20211. In FY 2022, 2,481 visas out of 6,500 authorized were issued under the allocation for nationals of Northern Central America and Haiti in the second half FY 2022 TFR, which published on December 15, 2022. See DHS, USCIS, Office of Performance and Quality, CLAIMS3, VIBE, DOS Visa Issuance Data, queried 10/2023, TRK 13122, H-2B Visa Issuance Report September 30, 2023.
addressee other drivers to irregular migration.

Comment: A commenter stated that the allocation of supplemental visas for nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, and Haiti was too high. The Departments have again decided to set aside 20,000 supplemental visas for nationals of certain countries and believe all 20,000 visas will be utilized in FY 2024 for the following reasons. First, H–2B visa issuance growth data for nationals of these countries for the past several years supports the Departments’ decision. Under the dedicated allocations in prior TFRs, H–2B visas were issued to 3,079 out of 6,000 authorized for nationals of Northern Central America under the FY 2021 TFR; 9,866 out of 11,500 authorized for nationals of Northern Central America and Haiti under the two FY 2022 TFRs; and 16,713 out of 20,000 authorized for nationals of Northern Central America and Haiti under the FY 2023 TFR.64 These numbers show a steady increase in utilization over time. In addition, the issuance of this rule early in the fiscal year and the fact that this is the fourth year that DHS will make a specific allocation available for workers from the Northern Central American countries and Haiti, as well as the inclusion of nationals from Colombia, Ecuador, and Costa Rica, will increase the likelihood that all 20,000 set-aside visas for FY 2024 will be used.

Comment: A commenter requested including nationals of Ukraine in the same priority allocation as nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, and Haiti. Response: The Departments thank the commenter but will decline this suggestion. While DHS is committed to providing support to Ukrainian nationals, the allocation for Northern Central American/Haitian nationals was intended to support the administration’s efforts to reduce irregular migration and expand lawful pathways from across the Western Hemisphere, and the Departments are making a similar separate allocation for nationals of specified countries this year for the same reasons. DHS continues to support Ukrainian nationals through other processes, such as Unit Visas for Ukraine.65 The Departments further note that, historically, Ukrainian nationals have received relatively high numbers of H–2B visas compared to nationals of other countries.66 Including Ukrainian nationals in the 20,000 allocation would take away from the number of supplemental visas available to help achieve the administration’s overall goal of expanding lawful pathways from the Americas.

Data Transparency

Comment: Several commenters requested the Departments disclose more data about the H–2B program. Specifically, commenters requested that DHS post “close to real time” data about jobs for which employers are seeking H–2B workers including the employer name, wages and working conditions and dates of need; provide more information through the USCIS H–2B Employer Data Hub including information on cap-exempt petitions; and provide additional information on usage of the allocation for Northern Central American and Haitian nationals, including the number of visas that were issued to nationals from each country, as well as which industries, employers and recruiters were involved. With regard to suggestions for DOL, commenters recommended enhancing the seasonaljobs.gov website’s utility, including by ensuring that workers know in real time when an employer is actively hiring.

Response: The Departments appreciate these comments and note that transparency and access to data and information continue to be among our priorities.67 DHS/USCIS has sought to increase transparency in employment-based visa programs, including through the USCIS H–2B Employer Data Hub which provides detailed information on H–2B petitions including employer name, state, worksite state, industry, occupation, and wage levels.68 Notably, the goal of improving data transparency is among the objectives included in a recently published report by the H–2B Worker Protection Taskforce.69 Specifically, one of the action items described in the report is the leveraging of existing data to increase transparency and reduce the vulnerability of H–2B and H–2A workers, including by improving interagency data sharing; improving publicly available data to inform outreach and advocacy efforts, including through new anonymized quarterly data reports and on DHS’s H–2B Data Hub; and by publishing anonymized, aggregated data by gender, sector, and occupation to provide an additional transparency to the H–2 programs and aid efforts to prevent gender discrimination.

In addition, USCIS included some data about visas allocated under the FY 2022 allocation for nationals of Northern Central American countries and Haiti in its most recent report to Congress (which is available to the public) about characteristics of the H–2B program.70 The Departments will consider the suggestions provided by these commenters as they seek to improve clarity and transparency of data for the public. However, the Departments believe that many of the

suggestions, as well as other data enhancements, can be accomplished outside of the regulatory process. Therefore, DHS declines to adopt these suggestions as part of this temporary final rule.

Irreparable Harm Standard

Comment: Two commenters expressed concerns related to the irreparable harm standard as articulated. One commenter stated that the standard is unclear, overly burdensome, applied inconsistently by the Departments, and disruptive to business operations. The commenter felt that, if the standard is retained, the Departments should provide clearer guidance on what specific documents are required and sufficient, and recommended that the Departments issue step-by-step instructions for participating in the program to assist employers with understanding their obligations and reducing the risk of noncompliance.

Response: As discussed in greater detail below, because the authority to increase the statutory cap is tied to the needs of businesses, the Departments think it is reasonable for employers to attest that they are suffering irreparable harm or that they will suffer impending irreparable harm without the ability to employ all of the H–2B workers requested on their petition and to retain and be able to produce (upon request) documentation of that harm as well as a statement describing the harm and explaining the relevance of the documentation. The Departments also think that the standard is sufficiently clear to allow compliance, and that listing out specific documents that must be provided in each case is not an appropriate approach. Each determination of irreparable harm is made on a case-by-case basis. This inherently means that some documentation presented in one case may not be sufficient in another case presenting a different set of facts. In addition, not listing specific documents provides more flexibility for employers across occupations and industries to provide documentation that is relevant to their types of businesses.

Recruitment Requirements

Comment: One commenter stated that the additional recruitment requirements included in the TFR create an undue burden for participating employers. Specifically, the commenter stated that the requirement to provide a copy of the job notice to the AFL–CIO is unnecessary, and “purely duplicative, given the steps already required of petitioners to recruit U.S. workers.” The commenter also asserted that the requirement failed to acknowledge the rate at which workers are unionized, noting the low rate of unionization in the residential construction industry, and suggested that in some areas alternative organizations—such as state and local trade associations or workforce boards—may be better positioned to conduct recruitment efforts in place of the AFL–CIO.

Response: As discussed in the FY 2023 TFR and below, while the Departments recognize that the recruitment requirements create some burden on employers, the Departments believe they are necessary to ensure that the employer’s recruitment has not become stale and that there are no U.S. workers available for the relevant job opportunity. The Departments reiterate that the additional recruitment requirements are only applicable if an employer files their I–129 petition 30 or more days after their certified start dates of work. The Departments, as discussed in the FY 2023 TFR and below, believe that the requirement to provide a copy of the job notice to the AFL–CIO is complementary to, rather than duplicative of, the other recruitment requirements for several reasons. For example, the Departments explained in the prior TFR that the State Federations of Labor and local unions to which SWAs would circulate relevant job orders, based on their knowledge of the local labor market, are composed of various union organizations and may not always include the AFL–CIO. At the same time, the requirement to contact the AFL–CIO increases outreach to qualified U.S. workers as H–2B job opportunities in traditionally or customarily unionized occupations tend to fall within those industries most likely to be organized or represented by AFL–CIO member unions. See 87 FR 76816, 76844–45. The Departments disagree that they have not taken the rate of unionization into account as the Departments previously provided, and will continue to provide, a list of occupations that they believe are typically or customarily unionized. See, e.g., 87 FR 76816, 76844 n.145 (noting the occupations or industries listed are ones in which the Department has typically observed substantial union presence). Finally, the Departments agree that other organizations in addition to the AFL–CIO are well positioned to assist employers with recruitment activities as demonstrated by the requirement to post a new job order with the SWA and to engage with the local AJC to assist with recruitment.

Attestation Form

Comment: One commenter stated that the attestation form is required “to demonstrate irreparable harm” under the TFR is “overly burdensome” and may discourage employer participation when noncitizen workers are needed to address labor shortages,” and urged the Departments to exclude the attestation form from subsequent rulemakings. The commenter indicated the Departments should recognize that a petitioner’s investment of resources into seeking a TLC and filing Form I–129 with accompanying documentation shows “the implied need for H–2B workers.”

Response: The Departments disagree with this comment. The attestation form contains information needed to establish eligibility for supplemental H–2B visas that is not captured on other forms. It also contains information that the Departments need to properly administer the allocations under this rule. For example, among other things, the petitioner must indicate which allocation they are requesting workers under, attest that they are suffering or will suffer impending irreparable harm and indicate the types of evidence that they have retained to demonstrate irreparable harm. The Departments believe that the additional attestation is the least burdensome way to collect information needed to establish eligibility and to properly administer the supplemental visa allocations. The Departments also disagree that the attestation form is overly burdensome as DOL estimated that the total time burden for the ETA–9142–B–CAA–7 is 1 hour. It is unlikely that an employer would be discouraged from seeking H–2B workers because of this 1 hour burden, especially if the employer is suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm without the ability to employ those workers.

Legal Issues

Comment: One commenter stated that DHS violated the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) by failing to provide any analysis to justify its assertion that adding up to 64,716 visas would not result in “meaningful, calculable change in environment effect,” or to justify its conclusion that the FY 2023 TFR therefore fits within a categorical exclusion.

Response: The Departments disagree with the commenter regarding the sufficiency of the NEPA analysis in the FY 2023 TFR. As explained in the FY

71 The Departments are retaining the attestation form requirement, and the total time burden for the FY 2024 attestation form, ETA–9142–B–CAA–6, remains 1 hour.
2023 TFR, an additional 64,716 H–2B nonimmigrant visas will not result in any meaningful, calculable change in environmental effect with respect to the current H–2B limit or in the context of a current U.S. population exceeding 331,893,745, which represents a maximum temporary increase of 0.0195 percent. As further explained, the FY 2023 TFR is a stand-alone temporary authorization and not a part of any larger action and presents no extraordinary circumstances creating the potential for significant environmental effects.

Comment: While a commenter agreed with DHS that there was good cause to immediately increase the cap, the commenter opined that there was not good cause for the other “ancillary policy provisions,” particularly the requirement to “affirmatively contact” the nearest AFL–CIO office and provide written notice of the job order placed with the SWA when the employment is in a traditionally or customarily unionized occupation or industry. Accordingly, the commenter urged the Departments to reissue the FY 2023 TFR as two separate rules, a final rule to release the supplemental visas and a proposed rule that contains the other provisions.

Response: The Departments maintain there was good cause to couple the release of supplemental visas with additional provisions, such as the additional recruitment requirements, in a temporary final rule. The Departments provided their rationale for the recruitment requirements in the FY 2023 TFR 72 and articulated sufficient good cause to forgo notice and comment rulemaking for all aspects of the temporary final rule. As indicated in the FY 2023 temporary final rule, the duration of the authorization to make supplemental cap visas available, combined with the urgent need of American businesses for H–2B workers did not provide sufficient time to conduct pre-promulgation notice and comment rulemaking on any aspect of the TFRs, including additional recruitment requirements.

Suggestions Outside the Departments’ Authority

Comment: Two commenters urged the administration to consider an “Alternative Model for Labor Migration” that would give workers in the H–2B visa program, and more broadly in all work visa programs, more control over their visas by allowing them to self-petition and be matched with employers via a government database, and would enable workers to petition for citizenship. The commenters set forth a detailed plan regarding how the model would function, including specific DOL and USCIS procedures, and they provided an analysis of the benefits of the alternative model relative to the current program. The commenters asserted that the supplemental cap TFR represents an opportunity for the Departments to “partially implement” the model described. Specifically, the commenters suggested that the Departments could implement a lottery open to all returning workers by which they could apply to be assigned a priority ranking. Employers approved through the TLC and petition processes would be required to post the number of open H–2B positions and procedures for applying publicly on seasonaljobs.dol.gov, and any returning H–2B worker would be eligible to apply directly to the employer or the employer’s designated agent. If the applications from returning H–2B workers exceeded the vacancies, workers’ priority would be based on their assigned lottery rank.

Response: As implicitly acknowledged by the commenters in their suggestions that the proposed model could be “partially” implemented by regulation, many aspects of the commenters’ proposed “Alternative Model for Labor Migration,” such as enabling workers to self-petition and to pursue citizenship, are clearly outside the Departments authority under the current statutory scheme. It is unclear whether the Departments have authority to otherwise “partially implement” the model as suggested. Regardless, even assuming such authority, the Departments note that the proposal would not be feasible in the context of a temporary and time-limited statutory authority and rule such as the current TFR, due to the level of changes to existing processes and the development of new systems and processes that would be required for implementation.

Broader Program Reforms

Some commenters made suggestions for broader program reforms that would require Congressional action. For example, commenters made suggestions relating to permanently increasing the H–2B annual statutory cap, exempting certain workers from that cap, and increasing funding for DOL’s H–2B enforcement. However, the Departments decline to further detail and respond to these comments, as the recommendations are all outside of the Departments’ authority to accomplish.

In addition to the issues discussed above, the public comments included numerous suggestions for the Departments to make permanent changes to the H–2B program, with several commenters expressing that the Departments should not exercise their authority to increase the number of H–2B visas unless and until the program is more broadly reformed. The recommendations for permanent program reforms included suggestions for both DHS and DOL regarding ways to increase protections for both foreign and U.S. workers, and to improve the overall integrity and efficiency of the program. Specifically, commenters suggested that one or both Departments should implement the following changes to the H–2B program before or instead of authorizing supplemental visas:

• Provide a grace period with employment authorization so workers can leave employers for any reason;
• Notify beneficiaries about their own immigration status;
• Provide workers access to information about their rights and about available resources to enforce those rights;
• Improve access to deferred action for H–2 workers who experience or witness labor rights violations, including an expedited process for issuance of statements of interest from government entities;
• Fully implement the existing provision at 8 CFR 214.2(h)(17)(ii) to protect workers who leave abusive employers from accruing unlawful presence;
• Do more to prevent discrimination and discriminatory hiring practices in the H–2B program;
• Collect and release more and better data about the H–2B program; 73
• Provide increased real-time information about available job opportunities;
• Require employers to give priority to anyone in the U.S. with employment authorization (including “individuals with unexpired valid H–2B visas”) for any open unfilled position for which an employer sought or obtained H–2B labor certification;
• Prioritize petitions for industries with the lowest unemployment rate(s) instead of using a lottery system;


73 See above comment and response under the heading “Data Transparency” for further discussion on this topic.
Allocate visas to employers who pay the highest wages instead of using a random lottery system;
Do not issue H–2B visas to employers who are engaged in labor disputes, and only issue visas to direct employers and end outsourcing and labor contractors;74
Grant work authorization to spouses of H–2 nonimmigrants;
Impose greater employer accountability for actions of contractors, recruiters, and agents;
Seek ways to enforce the ban on recruitment fees without penalizing workers;
Allow H–2B workers to pursue permanent labor certification or “other applications for permanent residence;”
Prohibit the imposition of unnecessary requirements for entry-level positions;
Improve health and safety standards at H–2B workplaces;
Require employers to undertake both local and national recruitment efforts before looking abroad;
Require employers to pay for housing and daily transportation to and from the worksite for both U.S. and H–2B workers;
Require full contract compliance, including all hours promised;
Cease issuance of H–2B labor certifications for work in certain areas, such as “labor surplus areas or occupations” or “high unemployment regions and industries;”
Create a streamlined process for reporting program violations;
Create an avenue for stakeholders, including U.S. workers, to raise concerns about job orders and labor certifications;
Reinstate the Interagency Working Group for the Consistent Enforcement of Federal Labor, Employment and Immigration Laws to strengthen deconfliction efforts between key agencies and support affirmative protections for immigrant and nonimmigrant workers;
Create a civil society advisory group to promote decent work in the Central American regional strategy;
Update the H–2B prevailing wage methodology in various ways;
Implement the additional U.S. recruitment requirements;
Improve language access for workers;
Keep job postings active until all positions are actually filled, and require employers to update the job postings with new information;
Keep labor violators out of the program, including by creating an employer screening and/or registration program;
Establish a formal registration process for international recruiters, as well as U.S. agents;
Require employers to disclose every person authorized to engage in recruitment on their behalf;
Work with Department of State to enhance consulates’ H–2B job verification services by verifying recruiters associated with the job order;
Increase enforcement in various ways, such as by debarring all recruiters that engage in any prohibited practice, creating stiffer penalties for employer violations, and/or instituting processing fees at sufficient levels to fund robust enforcement;
Change the visa allocation procedures for the statutory 66,000 cap, including allocation in 4 different increments, and using less than 33,000 visas during the first half of the fiscal year;
Modify the current process for randomizing H–2B TLC applications in such a manner as to give H–2B employers opportunities to participate without regard to the date specified as the first date for employment;
Reduce the period a worker is required to be outside the United States following 3 years in H–2B status to 60 days;
Provide notice of seasonal job openings to unions representing workers in relevant occupations so that they may dispatch members in response;
Limit the duration of H–2B eligible job orders to 7 months;
Cap at 100 the number of visas that any single employer can receive;
The permanent changes to the H–2B program that commenters have suggested are not appropriate for inclusion in a rule of temporary duration such as the current TFR, and the Departments therefore decline to discuss each of these suggestions with further specificity. The Departments appreciate the thoughtful recommendations for permanent program reforms, however, and note that they are actively engaged in reform efforts outside of this rulemaking, including efforts to address some of the issues discussed in the suggestions.
Notably, on September 20, 2023, DHS published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to modernize and improve both the H–2B and H–2A programs by providing greater flexibility and protections for participating workers, and improving the program’s efficiency.75 The NPRM contains discussions and proposals related to some of the reform concepts included in the commenters’ suggestions including, for example, providing grace periods during which an H–2 worker can leave work to seek new employment, ensuring greater accountability for employers and recruiters with past violations, reducing the required amount of time to be spent outside the United States after reaching 3 years in H–2B status, and allowing workers to take steps toward permanent residence without violating their nonimmigrant status on that basis. DHS is currently accepting public comments specific to the NPRM through November 20, 2023, and will consider all such comments in developing a subsequent final rule. In addition, both Departments are involved in an H–2B Worker Protection Taskforce, convened by the White House, which focuses on threats to H–2B program integrity, H–2B workers’ fundamental vulnerabilities, and the impermissible use of the program to avoid hiring U.S. workers.76 On October 19, 2023, the H–2B Worker Protection Taskforce published a report announcing new actions to be taken by four federal agencies—DHS, DOL, DOS, and the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID)—to strengthen protections for vulnerable workers.77
With regard to commenters’ specific recommendation that the Departments decline to provide supplemental H–2B visas unless and until the program is broadly reformed, the Departments disagree with that recommendation. While permanent reforms to the relevant DHS regulations are being considered outside of this rulemaking as noted above, the Departments have determined, as discussed in greater detail below, that an increase in H–2B visas for businesses facing irreparable harm is warranted and justified under the authority provided in section 303 of the FY 2023 Omnibus, as extended by Public Law 118–15.

74 These recommendations were specifically for USCIS, however, the Departments note that visas are issued by the Department of State.
75 Modernizing H–2 Program Requirements, Oversight, and Worker Protections, 88 FR 65040 (Sep. 20, 2023).
III. Discussion

A. Statutory Determination

Following consultation with the Secretary of Labor, the Secretary of Homeland Security has determined that some U.S. employers cannot satisfy their needs in FY 2024 with U.S. workers who are willing, qualified, and able to perform temporary nonagricultural labor. In accordance with the FY 2024 continuing resolution extending the authority provided in section 303 of the FY 2023 Omnibus, the Secretary of Homeland Security has determined that it is appropriate, for the reasons stated below, to raise the numerical limitation on H–2B nonimmigrant visas through the end of FY 2024 by up to 64,716 additional visas for those American businesses that attest that they are suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm, in other words, a permanent and severe financial loss, without the ability to employ all of the H–2B workers requested on their petition. These businesses must retain documentation, as described below, supporting this attestation.

As in connection with the FY 2021, FY 2022, and FY 2023 H–2B supplemental visa temporary final rules, with existing authority, DHS and DOL intend to conduct a significant number of audits with respect to petitions filed under this TFR requesting supplemental H–2B visas during the period of temporary need. The Departments will use their discretion to select which petitions to audit, and the Departments will use the audits to verify compliance with H–2B program requirements, including the irreparable harm standard as well as other key worker protection provisions implemented through this rule. If the Departments find that an employer’s documentation does not meet the irreparable harm standard, or that the employer fails to provide evidence demonstrating irreparable harm or comply with the audit process, the Departments may consider it to be a substantial violation resulting in an adverse agency action against the employer, including revocation of the petition and/or TLC or program debarment. Of the audits completed so far, some audits conducted of employers that received visas under the supplemental cap in FY 2021, FY 2022, and FY 2023 revealed concerns surrounding payment of the promised wage, employment of returning workers, documentation of irreparable harm, and employment at the listed location, which may warrant further review and action.

As he did in FY 2021, FY 2022, and FY 2023, the Secretary of Homeland Security has also again determined, following consultation with the Secretary of Labor, that for certain employers, additional recruitment steps are necessary to confirm that there are no qualified U.S. workers available for the positions. In addition, the Secretary of Homeland Security has determined, following consultation with the Secretary of Labor, that the supplemental visas will be limited to returning workers, with the exception that up to 20,000 of the 64,716 visas will be exempt from the returning worker requirement and will be reserved for H–2B workers who are nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti, Columbia, Ecuador, and Costa Rica.78 DHS is reserving these 20,000 H–2B visas for nationals of these countries to further the United States’ objectives in the Western Hemisphere to manage irregular migration through various lines of efforts including increasing and expanding access to lawful pathways for nationals of countries that have extensively collaborated with the United States on migration issues, such as through endorsing the Los Angeles Declaration on Migration and Protection (L.A. Declaration).79 Joining the United States to ramp up efforts to address the irregular migration flows through the Darien,80 and hosting Safe Mobility Offices so that migrants do not trek north to the U.S. Southwest Border.81

The 20,000 set-aside will also deliver on the objectives of E.O. 14010, which, among other initiatives, instructs the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of State to implement measures to enhance access to visa programs for nationals of the Northern Central American countries.82 DHS is also allocating these visas to specific countries to further promote development and economic stability of these countries to reduce irregular migration throughout the Western Hemisphere.83


77 These conditions and limitations are not inconsistent with sections 214(g)(3) (“first in, first out”) H–2B processing (fiscal year H–2B allocations) because noncitizens covered by the special allocation under section 303 of the FY 2023 Omnibus are not “subject to the numerical limitations of [section 214(g)].” For example, see, e.g., INA section 214(g)(3); INA section 214(g)(10); Continuing Appropriations Act, 2024, div. A, sec. 101(b) (extending the authority provided in FY 2023 Omnibus div. O, sec. 303 (“Notwithstanding the numerical limitation set forth in section 214(g)(1)(B) of the INA. . .”)).


80 See Section 3(c) of E.O. 14010, Creating a Comprehensive Regional Framework To Address the Causes of Migration, To Manage Migration Throughout North and Central America, and To Provide Safe and Orderly Processing of Asylum Seekers at the United States Border, signed February 2, 2021, https://www.whitehouse.gov/content/pkg/FR-2021-02-05/pdf/2021-02561.pdf.

81 See https://twitter.com/DHSgov/status/1582010213114419437 (this supplemental allocation to workers from Haiti, Honduras, Guatemala, and El Salvador “advances the Biden Administration’s pledge, under the L.A. Declaration to expand legal pathways as an alternative to irregular migration”);

82 See Section 3(c) of E.O. 14010, Creating a Comprehensive Regional Framework To Address the Causes of Migration, To Manage Migration Throughout North and Central America, and To Provide Safe and Orderly Processing of Asylum Seekers at the United States Border, signed February 2, 2021, https://www.whitehouse.gov/content/pkg/FR-2021-02-05/pdf/2021-02561.pdf.

83 We also note Congress’ recent statement, in a provision within the FY 2023 Omnibus, that it is the policy of the United States to support the sustainable rebuilding and development of Haiti. See Section 102 of Division V of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2022, Public Law 117–103. See also DHS, Identification of Foreign Countries Whose Nationals Are Eligible To Participate in the H–2A and H–2B Nonimmigrant Worker Programs, 86 FR 65256 (Nov. 10, 2021) [sustainable development and the stability of Haiti is vital to the interests of the United States as a close partner and neighbor].
approving petitions on behalf of 6,805 beneficiaries under the FY 2021 allocation, 12,318 beneficiaries for the second half of the fiscal year FY 2022, and 23,832 beneficiaries under the FY 2023 allocation. In addition, DHS and the Department of State issued 3,079 visas to nationals from these countries, See DHS, USCIS, Office of Performance and Quality, CLAIM3, VIBE, DOS Visa Issuance Data, queried 10/2023, TRK 13122, H–2B Visa Issuance Report September 30, 2023. This discrepancy can be attributed to adverse impacts on consular processing caused by the COVID–19 pandemic, travel restrictions, as well as lack of readily available processes to efficiently match workers from Northern Central American countries with U.S. recruiters/employers on an expedited timeline.


The Secretary of Homeland Security has also determined to limit the supplemental visas to H–2B returning workers, unless the employer indicates on the new attestation form that it is requesting workers who are nationals of the specified countries and who are therefore counted towards the 20,000 country-specific allocation regardless of whether they are new or returning workers. If the 20,000 country-specific allocation is reached and visas remain available under the returning worker cap, USCIS would reject a petition seeking workers under the 20,000 allocation and return any fees submitted to the petitioner. In such a case, a petitioner may continue to request workers who are nationals of one of these countries, but the petitioner must file a new Form I–129 petition, with fee, and attest that these noncitizens will be returning workers, in other words, workers who were issued H–2B visas or were otherwise granted H–2B status in FY 2021, 2022, or 2023.

Like the temporary final rules for the first half and for the second half of FY 2022 and FY 2023, if the 20,000 returning worker exemption cap for specific nationalities remains unfilled, DHS will not make unfilled visas reserved for these nationals available to the general returning worker cap. The DHS decision not to make available unfilled visas from the country-specific allocation to the general supplemental cap for returning workers is consistent with the administration’s goal of providing a lawful pathway for such nationals to temporarily work in the United States. To that end, not permitting rollover into the returning worker allocation provides employers with more time to petition for, and bring in, workers from these countries and encourages full use of the 20,000 country-specific allocation to workers requested on their petition. This, in turn, contributes to our country’s efforts to promote and improve safety, security and economic stability in these countries to help stem the flow of irregular migration to the United States.

The Secretary of Homeland Security’s determination to increase the numerical limitation is based, in part, on the conclusion that some businesses are suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm without the ability to employ all of the H–2B workers requested on their petition. In recent years, members of Congress have informed the Secretaries of Homeland Security and Labor about the needs of some U.S. businesses for H–2B workers (after the statutory cap for the relevant half of the fiscal year has been reached) and about the potentially negative impact on state and local economies if the cap is not increased.

For purposes of this rule, these returning workers could have been H–2B cap exempt or extended H–2B status in FY 2021, 2022, or 2023. Additionally they may have been previously counted against the annual H–2B cap of 66,000 visas during FY 2021, 2022, or 2023, or the supplemental caps in FY 2021, 2022, or 2023.

The returning worker allocation is for workers who were issued H–2B visas or held H–2B status in fiscal years 2021, 2022, or 2023, regardless of country of nationality. Therefore, a petitioner may choose to petition for Salvadoran, Guatemalan, Honduran, Haitian, Colombian, Ecuadorian, or Costa Rican nationals who meet this requirement under an available returning worker allocation, regardless of whether the separate 20,000 allocation for these nationals has been reached.
workers under the statutory cap, including potential wage and job losses by their U.S. workers, as well as other adverse downstream economic effects. At the same time, the Secretary of Homeland Security believes it is appropriate to condition receipt of supplemental visas on adherence to additional worker protections, as discussed below.

The decision to afford the benefits of this temporary cap increase to U.S. businesses that need H–2B workers because they are suffering irreparable harm, is, in Secretary of Labor, to identify the business needs that are most relevant, while bearing in mind the need to protect U.S. workers. Within that context, for the below reasons, the Secretary of Homeland Security has determined to allow an overall increase of up to 64,716 additional visas solely for the businesses facing permanent, severe financial loss or those who will face such loss in the near future.

First, DHS interprets the reference to “the needs of American businesses” in section 303, as extended by Public Law 118–15, as describing a need different from the need ordinarily required of employers in petitioning for an H–2B worker. Under the generally applicable H–2B program, each individual H–2B employer must demonstrate that it has a temporary need for the services or labor for which it seeks to hire H–2B workers. See 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(ii); 20 CFR 655.6. The use of the phrase “needs of American businesses,” which is not found in INA section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(B), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(B), or the regulations governing the standard H–2B cap, authorizes the Secretary of Homeland Security in allocating additional H–2B visas under section 303, as extended by Public Law 118–15, to require that employers establish a need above and beyond the normal standard under the H–2B program, that is, an inability to find sufficient qualified U.S. workers willing and available to perform temporary services or labor and that the employment of the H–2B worker will not adversely affect the wages and working conditions of U.S. workers, see 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(ii)(A). DOL concurs with this interpretation. Accordingly, the Secretaries have determined that it is appropriate, within the limits discussed below, to tailor the availability of this temporary cap increase to those businesses that are suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm, in other words, those facing permanent and severe financial loss.

Second, the approach set forth in this rule, which is similar to the implementation of the supplemental caps in previous fiscal years, provides protections against adverse effects on U.S. workers that may result from a cap increase, including, as in previous rules, requiring employers seeking H–2B workers under the supplemental cap to engage in additional recruitment efforts for U.S. workers.

In sum, this rule increases the numerical limitation by up to 64,716 additional H–2B visas for the entirety of FY 2024, but also restricts the availability of those additional visas by prioritizing only the most significant business needs, and limiting eligibility to H–2B returning workers, unless the worker is a national of one of the countries included in the 20,000 country-specific allocation that is exempt from the returning worker limitation. This rule also distributes the supplemental visas in several allocations to assist U.S. businesses that need workers to begin work on different start dates. These provisions are each described in turn below.

B. Numerical Increase and Allocations for Fiscal Year 2024

Making the Maximum Number of Visas Available

The increase of up to 64,716 visas will help address the urgent needs of eligible employers for additional H–2B workers for those employers with employment needs in fiscal year 2024. The determination to allow up to 64,716 additional H–2B visas reflects a balancing of a number of factors including: the demand for H–2B visas during the first half of FY 2024 and expected demand for the second half of FY 2024; current labor market conditions; the general trend of increased demand for H–2B visas from FY 2017 to FY 2023; H–2B returning worker data; the amount of time for employers to hire and obtain H–2B workers in this fiscal year; and the objectives of E.O. 14010 and the L.A. Declaration. DHS believes the numerical increase both addresses the needs of U.S. businesses, as explained in more detail below, furthers the foreign policy interests of the United States.

Section 303 of the FY 2023 Omnibus, as extended by Public Law 118–15, sets the highest number of H–2B returning workers who were exempt from the cap in any previous years as the maximum limit for any increase in the H–2B numerical limitation for FY 2024. Consistent with the statute’s reference to H–2B returning workers, in determining the appropriate number by which to increase the H–2B numerical limitation, the Secretary of Homeland Security focused on the number of visas allocated to such workers in years in which Congress enacted returning worker exemptions from the H–2B numerical limitation. During each of the years the returning worker provision was in force, U.S. employers’ standard business needs for H–2B workers exceeded the statutory 66,000 cap. The highest number of H–2B returning workers approved was 64,716 in FY 2007. In setting the number of
additional H–2B visas to be made available for FY 2024, DHS considered this number, overall indications of increased need, and the availability of U.S. workers, as discussed below. On the basis of these considerations, DHS determined that it is appropriate to make available up to 64,716 additional visas, which is the maximum allowed, under the FY 2024 supplemental cap authority. The Secretary further considered the objectives of E.O. 14010 and the L.A. Declaration, both of which focus in part on addressing the root causes of irregular migration and managing migration through lawful pathways. Accordingly, the Secretary determined that it is appropriate to reserve up to 20,000 of the up to 64,716 additional visas and exempt this number from the returning worker requirement for nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, or Costa Rica.

In past years, the number of beneficiaries covered by H–2B petitions filed exceeded the number of additional visas allocated under recent supplemental caps. In FY 2018, USCIS received petitions for approximately 29,000 beneficiaries during the first 5 business days of filing for the 15,000 supplemental cap. USCIS therefore conducted a lottery on June 7, 2018, to randomly select petitions that it would accept under the supplemental cap. Of the selected petitions, USCIS issued approvals for 15,672 beneficiaries.96 In FY 2019, USCIS received sufficient petitions for the 30,000 supplemental cap on May 31, 2019, but did not conduct a lottery to randomly select petitions that it would accept under the supplemental cap. Of the petitions received, USCIS issued approvals for 32,717 beneficiaries. In FY 2021, USCIS received a sufficient number of petitions for the 22,000 supplemental cap on August 13, 2021, including a significant number for workers from Northern Central American countries.97 Of the petitions received, USCIS issued approvals for 30,707 beneficiaries, including approvals for 6,805 beneficiaries under the allocation for the nationals of the Northern Central American countries.98

In FY 2022, DHS made the supplemental cap available twice, once in January 2022 and again in May 2022. Under the earlier FY 2022 supplemental cap for petitions with start dates in the first half of FY 2022, USCIS had issued approvals for 17,381 beneficiaries, including approvals for 3,231 beneficiaries under the allocation for nationals of the Northern Central American countries and Haiti.99 For the second half of FY 2022, within the first five business days of filing, USCIS received petitions for more beneficiaries than the additional 23,500 supplemental visas made available for returning workers, thus necessitating a random selection of petitions to meet the returning worker allotment.100 Of the petitions received for the second half of FY 2022, USCIS issued approvals for 43,798 beneficiaries under the supplemental cap. USCIS issued approvals for 12,318 beneficiaries under the allocation for nationals of the Northern Central American countries and Haiti.101

In FY 2023, USCIS received enough petitions to reach the cap for the additional 18,216 H–2B visas made available for returning workers for the first half of fiscal year by January 30, 2023, and USCIS received enough petitions to reach the cap for the additional 16,500 H–2B visas made available for returning workers for the early second half of fiscal year by March 30, 2023.102 Of the petitions for supplemental H–2B visas in FY 2023, USCIS issued approvals for 78,302 beneficiaries, including 7,157 beneficiaries under the allocation of 10,000 visas made available for returning workers for the late second half of the fiscal year and 23,832 beneficiaries under the allocation of 20,000 visas reserved for nationals of the Northern Central American countries and Haiti.103

Data for the first half of FY 2024 clearly indicate an immediate need for additional supplemental H–2B visas for employers with start dates on or before March 31, 2024. USCIS received a sufficient number of H–2B petitions to reach the first half of the FY 2024 fiscal year statutory cap on October 11, 2023. Further, the date on which USCIS received sufficient H–2B petitions to reach the first half semiannual statutory cap has generally trended earlier in recent years. In fiscal years 2017 through 2024, USCIS received a sufficient number of H–2B petitions to reach or exceed the relevant first half statutory cap on January 10, 2017, December 15, 2017, December 6, 2018, November 15, 2019, November 16, 2020, September 30, 2021, September 30, 2022, and September 30, 2023.104

Authorized for the second half of FY 2022 to allow for the possibility that some approved workers would either not seek a visa or admission, would not be issued a visa, or would not be admitted to the United States.105

In August 2023, the industry unemployment for NAICS 56 was 3.7 percent, which is 1.31 points lower than its 10-year average of 5.01 percent, while the industry unemployment rate for NAICS 71 was 4.5 percent which is 3.85 points lower than its 10-year average of 8.35 percent. The August 2023 industry unemployment rate for NAICS 11 (6.10 percent) was 2.13 points lower than its 10-year average of 8.23 percent while the rate for NAICS 23 (3.9 percent) was 2.53 points lower than its 10-year average of 6.43 percent. The industry unemployment rate for NAICS 11 (5.80 percent) was 1.96 points lower than its 10-year average of 7.76 percent. The relatively low unemployment rate across these industries is a clear indication of a strong labor demand within these industries. The Departments believe that the supplemental allocation of H–2B visas described in this temporary final rule will help to meet demand in these industries.

Economy-wide data also indicate that labor-market tightness continues to exist. The most recent Employment Situation released by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) stated that the unemployment rate was 3.8 percent in September 2023. Historically, the availability of H–2B visas addressed a need in the labor market during periods of lower unemployment. Chart 1 made by this rule shows that the H–2B visa allocations for Fiscal Year 2024 made by this rule Cap for First Half of FY 2024, https://www.uscis.gov/newsroom/alerts/uscis-reaches-h-2b-cap-for-first-half-of-fy-2024 (Oct. 13, 2023). USCIS analysis of DOL OLFC Performance data.


Within these industries, DOL data show higher labor demand relative to recent history. More specifically, industry unemployment data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) show that the industry unemployment rate in each of these industries is lower than the long term (10-year) average.107

### 10-year Average of Industry Unemployment Rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAICS</th>
<th>NAICS 56*</th>
<th>NAICS 71</th>
<th>NAICS 72</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>5.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
*Supersector is used as a proxy, see footnote 102.

### August 2023 Industry Unemployment Rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAICS</th>
<th>NAICS 56*</th>
<th>NAICS 71</th>
<th>NAICS 72</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
*Supersector is used as a proxy, see footnote 102.
Given the level of demand for H–2B workers, the continued economic recovery, and continued job growth, DHS believes it is appropriate to release the maximum amount of additional visas at this time.

Making Allocations for All of FY 2024 in a Single Rule

As in FY 2023, DHS believes that it is appropriate to issue a single rule for the entire fiscal year for multiple reasons. First, DHS expects that there is demand for supplemental visas in the first half of FY 2024. As previously discussed, USCIS already received enough petitions to reach the congressionally mandated cap on H–2B visas for temporary nonagricultural workers for the first half of FY 2024. Further, the date on which USCIS received sufficient H–2B petitions to reach the first half semiannual statutory caps has generally trended earlier in recent years. In fiscal years 2017 through 2024, USCIS received a sufficient number of H–2B petitions to reach or exceed the relevant first half statutory cap on January 10, 2017, December 15, 2017, December 6, 2018, November 15, 2019, November 16, 2020, September 30, 2021, September 12, 2022, and October 11, 2023, respectively.

Second, based on relevant data, DHS expects that USCIS will reach the statutory cap for the second half of FY 2024 and that there will accordingly be demand for supplemental visas in the second half of FY 2024. For example, in fiscal years 2017 through 2023, USCIS received a sufficient number of H–2B petitions to reach or exceed the relevant second half statutory cap on March 13, 2017, February 27, 2018, February 19, 2019, February 18, 2020, February 12, 2021, February 25, 2022, and February 27, 2023. In addition, DOL data shows consistently high demand in recent years, particularly during the second half of the fiscal year. In recent years, DOL has received an increasing number of TLC applications for an increasing number of H–2B workers with April 1 start dates; DOL received 4,500 applications on January 1, 2018, covering more than 81,600 worker positions; DOL received 5,276 applications by January 8, 2019, covering more than 96,400 worker positions; DOL received 5,677 applications during the initial three-day filing window in 2020 covering 99,362 worker positions; DOL received 5,377 applications during the initial three-day filing window in 2021 covering 96,641 worker positions; DOL received 7,875 applications by January 7, 2022, covering 136,555 worker positions; and DOL received 8,693 applications during the initial three-day filing window in 2023, covering 142,796 worker positions.


half. As noted in comments received in response to the FY 2023 TFR, this approach allows businesses to better plan ahead for their seasonal workforce needs.117

Filing Deadline of September 16, 2024 for All Petitions

The authority to approve H–2B petitions under this FY 2024 supplemental cap expires at the end of the fiscal year, i.e., the end of September 30, 2024. Therefore, DHS is requiring employers requesting any supplemental visas under this TFR, regardless of the employment start date(s), to properly file their H–2B petition with USCIS no later than September 16, 2024. USCIS will reject any cases that are received after September 16, 2024. See new 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(xiv)(C). Because DHS believes that 15 days from the end of the fiscal year is generally the minimum time needed for petitions to be adjudicated, but also to account for the fact that September 15, 2024 falls on a Sunday,118 DHS has set September 16, 2024 as the last day to file in order to provide USCIS with adequate time for petition processing before the expiration of the authority at the end of the fiscal year, although USCIS cannot guarantee the time period will be sufficient for adjudication of petitions in all cases.

In addition, the filing deadline will be earlier than September 16, 2024 if the applicable numerical limit for the relevant supplemental visa allocation is reached before that date. See new 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(xiv)(C). In such a case, USCIS will also reject any cases that are received after the applicable numerical limitation has been reached.

Returning Worker Allocation for the First Half of FY 2024 (October 1, 2023 Through March 31, 2024)

For the first half of FY 2024, DHS will make 20,716 visas immediately available upon publication of this TFR that are limited to returning workers, in other words, those workers who were issued H–2B visas or held H–2B status in fiscal years 2021, 2022, or 2023, regardless of country of nationality.

These petitions must request a date of need starting on or before March 31, 2024. See new 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(xiv)(C).

DHS anticipates that employers will use all of the first half allocation for returning workers, given how quickly USCIS reached the FY 2024 first half statutory cap and the first half supplemental allocation for FY 2023. As noted previously, USCIS received enough H–2B petitions to reach the FY 2024 first half statutory cap on October 11, 2023.119 Under the FY 2023 TFR, which published on December 15, 2022, USCIS received enough petitions to reach the 18,216 first half allocation by January 31, 2023.120 Similarly, the relatively early publication of this rule will provide interested employers more time to prepare their petitions, increasing the likelihood that the first half allocation for returning workers will be used.121 To the extent that the first half allocation for returning workers is used, this TFR may provide affected employers with some relief by making available a separate allocation of visas for nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, and Costa Rica, which will be available for the entirety of FY 2024.

In the event that USCIS approves insufficient petitions to use all 20,716 visas, the unused numbers will not carry over for the second half allocation because DHS believes that the operational burdens of calculating and administering a process to carry over unused visas, combined with the potential confusion for the public and adjudicators that could result from having different filing cutoff dates for the different allocations, would outweigh the benefits. In order to make any unused first half visas available for employers with second half start dates, DHS would need to set a filing cutoff date prior to September 16, 2024 for the first half allocation, upon which it would stop accepting such petitions and make a calculation of how many visas should be re-released for second half employers. Calculating visas to be re-released could also entail an additional cap allocation, additional announcements to the public, and potentially an additional lottery, all of which would significantly increase operational burdens. In addition to increasing operational burdens, DHS believes that the opening, closing, and potential re-opening of this allocation (and/or other cap allocations) could cause confusion for the public and adjudicators. Furthermore, not setting a filing cutoff date prior to September 16, 2024 will maximize employers’ opportunity to avail themselves of the first half allocation. While DHS acknowledges that this approach could potentially result in some employers with no demonstrated business need in the second half of the fiscal year losing the opportunity to receive a supplemental visa, it is DHS’s expectation that there will be sufficient demand from employers with first half start dates to use the entire allocation.

Initial Returning Worker Allocation for the Early Second Half (April 1, 2024, Through May 14, 2024)

For the second half of FY 2024, DHS will initially make available 10,000 visas limited to returning workers, in other words, those workers who were issued H–2B visas or held H–2B status in fiscal years 2021, 2022, or 2023, regardless of country of nationality. These petitions must request a date of need starting on or after April 1, 2024, through and including May 14, 2024.

Limiting this allocation to employers with employment start dates on or before May 14, 2024 reflects DHS’s intentions to give employers with needs later in the season a better opportunity to access the H–2B program, and to prevent employers from petitioning under both of the second-half allocations to fill the same need.

To mitigate complications from concurrent administration of the statutory second half cap, these petitions must be filed no earlier than 15 days after the second half statutory cap is reached, a date that USCIS will identify in a public announcement.122 When USCIS announces that it has received a sufficient number of petitions to reach the second half statutory cap, it will also announce the earliest possible filing date for petitions after the second half statutory cap (for this allocation). Concurrent administration of the second half statutory cap with the second half supplemental cap would pose significant operational challenges, particularly considering the volume of H–2B petitions USCIS would have to

122 Pursuant to new 8 CFR 214.2(b)(6)(xiv)(C) (2024).
process at the same time. A cushion of 15 days after the second half statutory cap is reached should provide USCIS with sufficient time to process H–2B petitions filed under the second half statutory cap and prepare to process petitions under this supplemental cap, and should also provide petitioners not selected under the statutory cap with enough time to refile under this supplemental cap. Furthermore, making this allocation available after the second half statutory cap has been reached builds in flexibility to account for variations in the timing of that cap being reached. DHS cannot predict with certainty when the FY 2024 second half statutory cap will be reached (or if it will be reached), and therefore, did not specify a date for when to first allow petitioners to file for FY 2024 second half supplemental visas. In the event that the statutory second half FY 2024 cap is not reached, the supplemental allocation for returning workers for the second half of FY 2024 will not become available.

Based on historical data showing increasingly high demand for H–2B workers with April 1 start dates, DHS expects all 19,000 visas to be used quickly once the supplemental allocation becomes available. However, in the event that USCIS approves insufficient petitions to use all 19,000 visas, the unused numbers will not carry over for petition approvals for employment start dates beginning on or after May 15, 2024. DHS chose to limit these 19,000 visas to start dates on or before May 14, 2024, without the ability for these visas to be carried over into the next allocation. As previously stated, DHS believes that the operational burdens of calculating and administering a process to carry over unused visas, combined with the potential confusion for the public and adjudicators that could result from having different filing cutoff dates for the different allocations, would outweigh the benefits. In order to make any unused visas from this allocation available for late season workers in recent years to begin work during the late spring and summer seasons in the fiscal year (also referred to as “late season employers”), these petitions must request a date of need starting on or after May 15, 2024. These petitions must be filed no sooner than 45 days after the second half statutory cap is reached, a date that USCIS will identify in a public announcement.122 When USCIS announces that it has received a sufficient number of petitions to reach the second half statutory cap, it will also announce the earliest possible filing date (45 days after the second half statutory cap) for this allocation. The cushion of 45 days after the second half statutory cap is reached is intended to provide USCIS with sufficient time to process H–2B petitions filed under the second half statutory cap that remain pending, as well as to process the expected influx of petitions under the early second half supplemental cap that will begin 15 days after the second half statutory cap is reached.124 By allowing USCIS to manage its workload in this way, the 45-day period will help USCIS prepare to process petitions under the late second half supplemental cap and mitigate the complications from concurrent administration of these various caps.

This is the second supplemental cap reserved for late season employers that need workers to begin work during the late spring and summer seasons in the fiscal year.125 By regulation, employers may only apply for a TLC 75 to 90 days before the start date of need.126 and, as such, employers needing workers to begin work on or after May 15 are not eligible to file TLC applications until on or after February 15. As noted in the FY 2023 TFR, in past years, because of this requirement and the strong demand for workers in recent years to begin work on the earliest employment start date (i.e., April 1), late season employers were unable to receive cap-subject H–2B workers because they did not have an opportunity to file visa petitions for cap-subject H–2B workers before the second seasonal statutory cap was reached. Since, based on recent years’ data,127 USCIS has typically received sufficient H–2B petitions to meet the statutory cap for the second half of the fiscal year around mid-February, many of these late season employers may have decided to not file a TLC application.

DHS, in consultation with DOL, has again determined that it is appropriate to make a separate allocation available for late season employers whose late season labor needs may have put them at a disadvantage in filling patterns, as well as an assumption that employers will try act quickly to secure workers consistent with their dates of need.

123 Pursuant to new 8 CFR 214.2(b)(6)(xiv)(C)(3), USCIS will reject petitions filed pursuant to paragraph (b)(6)(xiv)(A)(1) of this section requesting employment start dates from May 15, 2024 to September 30, 2024, that are received earlier than 45 days after the INA section 214(c) cap for the second half FY 2024 has been met.

124 While petitioners may continue to submit petitions under the early second half supplemental cap through September 16, DHS expects the heaviest filing to occur soon after the visas become available. This expectation is based on historical filing patterns, as well as an assumption that employers will try act quickly to secure workers consistent with their dates of need.


126 See 20 CFR 655.15(b).

127 As noted above, in fiscal years 2017 through 2023, USCIS received a sufficient number of H–2B petitions to reach or exceed the relevant second half statutory cap on March 13, 2017, February 27, 2018, February 19, 2019, February 18, 2020, February 12, 2021, February 25, 2022, and February 27, 2023 respectively.

Therefore, in order to meet the employer demand in the late second half of FY 2024, while still maximizing the overall usage of supplemental visas, DHS has determined it is appropriate to limit the late second half allocation for FY 2024 to up to 5,000 visas. DHS, in consultation with DOL, has determined that authorizing two allocations for the second half of FY 2024 based on an employer’s start date of need, in addition to requiring that the employer’s start date of need on the Form I–129 match the start date of need on the approved TLC, will provide employers with late season needs a better opportunity to receive H–2B workers to avoid irreparable harm. Specifically, employers with early season needs that need work to begin on or after April 1 will have the opportunity to file H–2B petitions under both the statutory cap and the first allocation of the supplemental cap, while employers with late season needs do not have that opportunity.

To mitigate complications from concurrent administration of the additional returning worker allocation for the second half of the fiscal year for late season employers and either the statutory second half cap or the initial supplemental allocation for returning workers for the second half of the fiscal year (or both), these petitions must be filed no earlier than 45 days after the second half statutory cap is reached. When USCIS announces that it has received a sufficient number of petitions to reach the second half statutory cap, it will also announce the earliest possible filing date (45 days after the second half statutory cap) for this allocation. In the event that the statutory second half FY 2024 cap is not reached, this supplemental allocation for late season filers will not become available. Furthermore, in the event that USCIS does not approve sufficient petitions to use all 5,000 visas for late season employers, DHS will not carry over the unused numbers for petition approvals for any other allocation. For example, any unused numbers would not carry over to petitions under the country-specific allocation. As noted above, DHS believes the operational burdens of calculating and administering a process to carry over unused visas would outweigh the benefits because of the potential confusion for the public and adjudicators that could result from having different filing cutoff dates for the different allocations. A process to carry over unused visas could also entail an additional cap allocation, additional announcements to the public, and potentially an additional lottery, all of which significantly increase operational burdens and may add further confusion to the public and adjudicators.

Allocation for Nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, and Costa Rica

DHS will make available 20,000 additional visas that are reserved for nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, and Costa Rica, as attested by the petitioner (regardless of whether such nationals are returning workers). These 20,000 visas will be available for petitioners requesting an employment start date before the end of FY 2024, up to and including September 30, 2024.

While prior fiscal years’ allocations for nationals of the Northern Central American countries and Haiti have not been reached, DHS anticipates a higher likelihood that the 20,000 visas allocated for certain nationals by this rule will be reached by the end of this fiscal year. As discussed above, DHS observed robust employer interest in response to the FY 2021 H–2B supplemental visa allocation for Salvadoran, Guatemalan, and Honduran nationals and the FY 2022 and FY 2023 supplemental visa allocations for Salvadoran, Guatemalan, Honduran, and Haitian nationals, and the data show a trend of increased participation by Haitian, Salvadoran, Guatemalan, and Honduran workers in the H–2B program. Furthermore, the inclusion of nationals from the additional countries of Colombia, Ecuador, and Costa Rica also increases the likelihood that the 20,000 visas will be used.

Employers requesting workers under the country-specific allocation with an employment start date in the first half of FY 2024 may file their petitions immediately after the publication of this TFR. Employers requesting workers under the country-specific allocation with an employment start date in the second half of FY 2024 must file their petitions no earlier than 15 days after the second half statutory cap is reached. The requirement to file the petition no earlier than 15 days after the second half statutory cap is reached is consistent with the approach taken for the initial returning worker allocation for the early second half of the fiscal year, and is in line with the Departments’ interpretation of their authority to make available supplemental (or in other words, additional) visas as contingent upon the exhaustion of visas under the statutory cap.

As in FY 2023, the Departments have decided not to further divide the 20,000 visas for workers from specific countries into separate allocations for the first and second half of the fiscal year. The Departments intend for this additional flexibility of allowing any employment start date within FY 2024 to encourage U.S. employers that are suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm to seek out workers from such countries, and, at the same time, increase interest among nationals of the Northern Central American countries, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, and Costa Rica seeking a legal pathway for temporary employment in the United States. While this approach could potentially result in employers with start dates in the first half of FY 2024 using all 20,000 visas for nationals of the specified countries, and consequently, employers with start dates in the second half of FY 2024 losing the opportunity to utilize this particular allocation, DHS believes that the benefits of increasing the flexibility of this allocation outweighs the potential risk. Moreover, employers with start dates in the second half of FY 2024 seeking to employ nationals under the country-specific allocation may request a visa under one of the two second half supplemental allocations which are available for returning workers regardless of country of nationality.

In the event that USCIS does not approve sufficient petitions to use all 20,000 visas under the country-specific allocation by the end of FY 2024, DHS will not carry over the unused numbers for petition approvals for any other allocation. For example, any unused numbers would not carry over to petitions under the country-specific allocation. As noted above, DHS believes the operational burdens of calculating and administering a process to carry over unused visas would outweigh the benefits because of the potential confusion for the public and adjudicators.

The Departments intend for this additional flexibility of allowing any employment start date within FY 2024 to encourage U.S. employers that are suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm to seek out workers from such countries, and, at the same time, increase interest among nationals of the Northern Central American countries, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, and Costa Rica seeking a legal pathway for temporary employment in the United States. While this approach could potentially result in employers with start dates in the first half of FY 2024 using all 20,000 visas for nationals of the specified countries, and consequently, employers with start dates in the second half of FY 2024 losing the opportunity to utilize this particular allocation, DHS believes that the benefits of increasing the flexibility of this allocation outweighs the potential risk. Moreover, employers with start dates in the second half of FY 2024 seeking to employ nationals under the country-specific allocation may request a visa under one of the two second half supplemental allocations which are available for returning workers regardless of country of nationality.

In the event that USCIS does not approve sufficient petitions to use all 20,000 visas under the country-specific allocation by the end of FY 2024, DHS will not carry over the unused numbers for petition approvals for any other allocation. For example, any unused numbers would not carry over to petitions under the country-specific allocation. As noted above, DHS believes the operational burdens of calculating and administering a process to carry over unused visas would outweigh the benefits because of the potential confusion for the public and adjudicators.

130 As previously noted, USCIS approved petitions on behalf of 6,805 beneficiaries under the FY 2021 allocation, 3,231 beneficiaries under the FY 2022 first half supplemental allocation, 12,318 beneficiaries for the second half of the fiscal year FY 2022, and 23,832 beneficiaries under the FY 2023 allocation. See DHS, USCIS, Office of Performance and Quality, CLAIMS3, VIBE, DOS Visa Issuance Data, queried 10/2023, TRK 13122, H–2B Visa Issuance Report September 30, 2023.

131 Pursuant to new 8 CFR 214.2(b)(6)(xiv)(C)(4). USCIS will reject petitions filed pursuant to paragraph (b)(6)(xiv)(A)(2) of this section that have a date of need on or after April 1, 2024 and are received earlier than 15 days after the INA section 214(g) cap for the second half of FY 2024 is met.
adjudicators that could result from having different filing cutoff dates for the different allocations. A process to carry over unused visas could also entail an additional cap allocation, additional announcements to the public, and potentially an additional lottery, all of which significantly increase operational burdens and may add further confusion to the public and adjudicators. Further, this single filing cutoff approach provides employers with incentive and more time to petition for, and bring in, workers from El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, and Costa Rica to meet employer needs, consistent with the administration’s efforts and outreach to promote and improve safety, security, and economic stability in these countries.

Process if Cap Allocations Are Reached

Finally, recognizing the high demand for H–2B visas, it is plausible that the additional H–2B supplemental allocations provided in this rule will be reached prior to September 16, 2024. Specifically, the following scenarios may still occur:

- The 20,716 supplemental cap visas limited to returning workers that will be immediately available for employers with dates of need on or after October 1, 2023, through March 31, 2024, will be reached before September 16, 2024;
- The 19,000 supplemental cap visas limited to returning workers that will be available for employers with dates of need starting on or after April 1, 2024, through May 14, 2024, will be reached before September 16, 2024;
- The 5,000 supplemental cap visas limited to returning workers that will be available for late season employers with dates of need on or after May 15, 2024, through September 30, 2024, is reached before September 16, 2024; or
- The 20,000 supplemental cap visas limited to nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, and Costa Rica will be reached before September 16, 2024.

Under this rule, new 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(xiv)(D) reaffirms the existing processes that are in place when H–2B numerical limitations under INA section 214(g)(1)(B) or (g)(10), 8 U.S.C. 1184(g)(1)(B) or (g)(10), are reached, as applicable to each of the scenarios described above that involve numerical limitations of the supplemental cap. Specifically, for each of the scenarios mentioned above, DHS will monitor petitions received, and make projections of the number of petitions necessary to achieve the projected numerical limit of approvals. USCIS will also notify the public of the dates that USCIS has received the necessary number of petitions (the “final receipt dates”) for each of these scenarios. The day the public is notified will not control the final receipt dates. Moreover, USCIS may randomly select, via computer-generated selection, from among the petitions received on the final receipt date the remaining number of petitions deemed necessary to generate the numerical limit of approvals for each of the scenarios involving numerical limitations to the supplemental cap. USCIS will not be required to conduct a lottery if the 20,716 supplemental cap visas limited to returning workers that will be immediately available for employers with dates of need on or after October 1, 2023, through March 31, 2024, is reached before September 16, 2024; the 19,000 supplemental cap visas limited to returning workers that will be available for employers with dates of need on or after April 1, 2024, through May 14, 2024, is reached before September 16, 2024; the 5,000 supplemental cap visas limited to returning workers that will be available for late season employers with dates of need on or after May 15, 2024, through September 30, 2024, is reached before September 16, 2024; or the 20,000 visas limited to certain nationals is reached before September 16, 2024. Similar to the processes applicable to the H–2B semiannual statutory cap, if the final receipt date is any of the first 5 business days on which petitions subject to the applicable numerical limit may be received (in other words, if the numerical limit is reached on any one of the first 5 business days that filings can be made), USCIS will randomly apply all of the numbers among the petitions received on any of those 5 business days.

C. Returning Workers

As noted above, to address the increased and, in some cases, impending need for H–2B workers in this fiscal year, the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of Labor, has determined that employers may petition for supplemental visas on behalf of up to 44,716 workers who were issued an H–2B visa or were otherwise granted H–2B status in fiscal years 2020, 2021, or 2022. This temporal limitation mirrors the prior fiscal year’s temporal limitation in the returning worker definition and the temporal limitation Congress imposed in previous returning worker statutes. Such workers (in other words, those who recently participated in the H–2B program and who now seek a new H–2B visa from DOS) may obtain their new visas through DOS and begin work more expeditiously because they have previously obtained H–2B visas and therefore have been vetted by DOS and would have departed the United States as generally required by the terms of their nonimmigrant admission. DOS has informed DHS that, in general, H–2B visa applicants who are able to demonstrate clearly that they have previously abided by the terms of their status granted by DHS have a higher visa issuance rate when applying to renew their H–2B visas, as compared with the overall visa applicant pool from a given country. Furthermore, consular officers are authorized to waive the in-person interview requirement for certain nonimmigrant visa applicants, including certain H–2B applicants renewing visas in the same classification within 48 months of the prior visa’s expiration, who otherwise meet the strict limitations set out under INA section 222(h), 8 U.S.C. 1202(h). Limiting the supplemental cap to returning workers is beneficial because these workers have generally followed immigration law in good faith and demonstrated their willingness to return home when they have completed their temporary labor or services or their

Program and Portability Flexibility for H–2B Workers Seeking To Change Employers, 87 FR 76816 (Dec. 15, 2022) (defining “returning workers” as those who were issued H–2B visas or held H–2B status in fiscal years 2020, 2021, or 2022).

period of authorized stay, which is a condition of H–2B status. The returning worker condition therefore provides a basis to believe that H–2B workers under this cap increase will again abide by the terms and conditions of their visa or nonimmigrant status.

The returning worker condition also benefits employers that seek to re-hire known and trusted workers who have a proven positive employment track record while previously employed as workers in this country. While the Departments recognize that the returning worker requirement may limit to an extent the flexibility of employers that might wish to hire non-returning workers, the requirement provides an important safeguard against H–2B abuse, which DHS considers to be a significant consideration.

To ensure compliance with the requirement that additional visas only be made available to returning workers, DHS will require petitioners seeking H–2B workers under the supplemental cap to attach an employee requested or instructed to apply for a visa under the FY 2024 supplemental cap was issued an H–2B visa or otherwise granted H–2B status in FY 2021, 2022, or 2023, unless the H–2B worker is a national of one of the specific countries and is counted towards the 20,000 cap. This attestation will serve as prima facie initial evidence to DHS that each worker, unless a national of one of these countries who is counted against the 20,000 country-specific cap, meets the returning worker requirement. DHS and DOS retain the right to review and verify that each beneficiary is in fact a returning worker any time before and after approval of the petition or visa. DHS has authority to review and verify this attestation during the course of an audit or investigation, as otherwise discussed in this rule.

With respect to satisfying the returning worker requirement, employers must maintain evidence that the employer requested and/or instructed that each of the workers petitioned by the employer in connection with this temporary rule were issued H–2B visas or otherwise granted H–2B status in FY 2021, 2022, or 2023, unless the H–2B worker is a national of one of the specific countries counted towards the 20,000 cap. Such evidence would include, but is not limited to, a date-stamped written communication from the employer to its agent(s) and/or recruiter(s) that instructs the agent(s) and/or recruiter(s) to only recruit or instruct regarding an application for an H–2B visa to those foreign workers who were previously issued an H–2B visa or granted H–2B status in FY 2021, 2022, or 2023.

D. 20,000 Allocation for Nationals of Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, or Costa Rica

As described above, the Secretary of Homeland Security has determined that up to 20,000 additional H–2B visas will be limited to workers who are nationals of Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, or Costa Rica. These 20,000 visas will be exempt from the returning worker requirement. Because the returning worker allocations have no restrictions related to a worker’s country of nationality, if the 20,000 visa limit has been reached and the 44,716 returning worker cap has not, petitioners may continue to request workers who are nationals of one of these countries, but the workers must be specifically requested as returning workers who were issued H–2B visas or were otherwise granted H–2B status in FY 2021.

While DHS reiterates the benefits of allocating visas under the supplemental cap to returning workers, the Secretary of Homeland Security has determined that the 20,000 country-specific allocation which is exempted from the returning worker requirement is beneficial for following reasons. First, this country-specific allocation furthers the U.S. foreign policy objective of managing irregular migration with partner countries through expanding access to lawful pathways to nationals of these countries seeking economic opportunity in the United States. Several of these countries have extensively collaborated with the United States on migration issues such as through endorsing the L.A. Declaration, joining the United States to reduce irregular migration and expand lawful pathways to nationals of these countries with whom the United States Government has engaged in outreach efforts to promote the H–2B program. Second, in addition to the allocation for returning workers, the country-specific allocation will also address the needs of certain H–2B employers that have been implementing a six-month pilot phase of SMOs since June 12, 2023. On June 4, 2023, the United States and Colombia announced the impending establishment of SMOs that would identify, register, and categorize the reasons for irregular migration and channel those who qualify through lawful pathways from Colombia to the United States. The Safe Mobility initiative launched in Colombia on June 28, 2023, with SMOs currently operational in three cities. Furthermore, on June 12, 2023, the United States and the Government of Costa Rica, in furtherance of bilateral partnership and addressing hemispheric challenge of irregular migration, launched an exploratory six-month implementation of SMOs. This allocation for nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, and Costa Rica will promote safe, orderly and lawful migration to the United States, as well as help provide U.S. employers with additional labor from these countries with whom the United States Government has engaged in outreach efforts to promote the H–2B program. Second, in addition to the allocation for returning workers, the country-specific allocation will also address the needs of certain H–2B employers that


137 See United States Department of State, U.S.-Costa Rica Joint Commitment to Address the Hemispheric Challenge of Irregular Migration (June 12, 2023), https://www.state.gov/u-s-costa-rica-joint-commitment-to-address-the-hemispheric-challenge-of-irregular-migration/.


are suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm. Third, the 20,000 set-aside will deliver on the objectives of E.O. 14010, which, among other initiatives, instructs the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of State to implement measures to enhance access for nationals of the Northern Central American countries to visa programs, as appropriate and consistent with applicable law. E.O. 14010 also directs relevant government agencies to create a comprehensive regional framework to address the causes of migration, and to manage migration throughout North and Central America.41

Fourth, DHS is allocating these visas to specific countries to further promote development and economic stability of these countries to reduce irregular migration throughout the Western Hemisphere.42

As in prior years, DOS will work with the relevant countries to facilitate consular interviews, if required, and channels for reporting incidents of fraud and abuse within the H–2 programs. Further, each country’s own consular networks will maintain contact with the workers while in the United States and ensure the workers know their rights and responsibilities under the U.S. immigration laws, which are all valuable protections to the immigration system, U.S. employers, U.S. workers, and workers entering the country on H–2 visas. DHS has determined that reserving 20,000 supplemental H–2B visas towards the country-specific allocation and inclusion of additional countries this fiscal year is reasonable given the progressively increasing use of H–2B visas in recent years among the Northern Central American and Haitian populations, as noted above. DHS believes these aspects will encourage U.S. employers that are suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm to seek out workers from such countries, while, at the same time, increase interest among such nationals seeking a legal pathway for temporary employment in the United States. DHS also believes its outreach efforts with the governments of these countries, along with efforts in some of these countries by USAID to increase access to the H–2B program, support the decision to provide this allocation of 20,000 visas. USAID has worked to build government capacity in Northern Central America to facilitate access to temporary worker visas under the H–2 program. Collaborating closely with the governments of El Salvador, Guatemala, and Honduras, USAID has strengthened the capacity of relevant government ministries to transparently and efficiently match qualified workers to temporary labor opportunities in the United States. In Fiscal Years 2021, 2022, and 2023 USAID increased funding to expand capacity building activities in El Salvador, Guatemala, and Honduras in response to the increased demand generated by the supplemental allocations of H–2B visas for Northern Central American nationals included in the FY 2021, FY 2022, and FY 2023 TFRs. The acceleration of USAID’s activities likely helped increase uptake of H–2B visas issued under the FY 2021, FY 2022, and FY 2023 TFRs, as H–2B visas issuances to Salvadorans, Guatemalans and Hondurans increased significantly over prior years, and USAID’s assistance helped reduce the average period of time to match qualified workers from these three countries to requests from U.S. employers—from 42 days to 14 days in El Salvador, 55 days to 20 days in Guatemala, and 24 days to 8 days in Honduras.43 USAID’s programs also strengthen worker protections by helping crowd out unethical recruiters and providing labor rights education and resources to seasonal workers.

DOS issued a combined total of approximately 26,630 H–2B visas to nationals of the Northern Central American countries and Haiti from FY 2015 through FY 2020, an average of approximately 4,400 per year.44 In FY 2021, the first year in which supplemental H–2B visas were reserved for nationals of Northern Central American countries, DOS issued a combined total of 6,277 H–2B visas to nationals of those countries.45 In FY 2022, DOs issued a combined total of 15,058 H–2B visas to nationals of Haiti and the Northern Central American countries.46 In FY 2023, DOS issued a combined total of 23,816 H–2B visas to nationals of Haiti and the Northern Central American countries.47 This increase is likely due in part to the additional H–2B visas made available to nationals of these countries by the FY 2021, FY 2022, and FY 2023 H–2B supplemental visa temporary final rules. In addition, based in part on the vital U.S. interest of promoting sustainable development and the stability of Haiti, in November 2021, DHS added Haiti to the list of countries whose nationals are eligible to participate in the H–2A and

---


144 See, e.g., https://twitter.com/DHSgov/status/1508301211931419476 (this supplemental allocation to workers from Haiti, Honduras, Guatemala, and El Salvador “advances the Biden Administration’s pledge, under the L.A. Declaration to expand legal pathways as an alternative to irregular migration”); The White House, Fact Sheet: The Los Angeles Declaration on Migration and Protection, https://www.whitehouse.gov/fact-sheets/the-los-angeles-declaration-on-migration-and-protection-u-s-government-and-foreign-partner-deliverables/ (addressing several measures, including the H–2B allocation for nationals of Haiti, as part of “the President’s commitment to support the people of Haiti”).

145 As noted previously, some consular sections may waive the in-person interview requirement for H–2B applicants whose prior visa expired within a specific timeframe and who otherwise meet the strict limitations set out under INA section 221(h), 8 U.S.C. 1212(h). The authority allowing for waiver of interview requirements is temporary agricultural and non-agricultural workers) applicants is extended through the end of 2023. Certain applicants renewing a visa in the same classification within 48 months of the prior visa’s expiration are also eligible for interview waiver.


H–2B programs. Therefore, as previously stated, DHS has determined that the additional increase in FY 2024 will not only provide U.S. businesses that have been unable to find qualified and available U.S. workers with potential workers, but also promote further expansion of lawful immigration and lawful employment authorization for nationals of the specified countries. The exemption from the returning worker requirement recognizes the small, albeit increasing, number of individuals from the three Northern Central American countries and Haiti, and the small number of individuals from Colombia, Ecuador, and Costa Rica, who were previously granted H–2B visas in recent years. Absent this exemption, there may be an insufficient number of qualifying workers from these countries to use the allocated visas. Exempting this population from the returning worker requirement will increase the ability of workers from these countries to pursue lawful temporary work in the U.S., encourage employers to seek out individuals from these countries, and maximize the chance of meeting the goal of reaching the full allocation. USCIS will stop accepting petitions received under the country-specific allocation after September 16, 2024. This end date should provide H–2B employers ample time, should they choose, to petition for, and bring in, workers under the country-specific allocation. This, in turn, provides an opportunity for employers to contribute to our country’s efforts to promote and improve safety, security and economic stability in these countries to help stem the flow of irregular migration to the United States. Nothing in this rule will limit the authority of DHS or DOS to deny, revoke, or take any other lawful action with respect to an H–2B petition or visa application at any time before or after approval of the H–2B petition or visa application.

E. Business Need Standard—Irreparable Harm and FY 2024 Attestation

To file any H–2B petition under this rule, petitioners must meet all existing H–2B eligibility requirements, including having an approved, valid, and unexpired TLC. See 8 CFR 214.2(b)(6) and 20 CFR part 655, subpart A. The TLC process focuses on establishing whether a petitioner has a temporary need for workers and whether there are U.S. workers who are able, willing, qualified, and available to perform the temporary service or labor, and does not address the harm a petitioner is facing or will face in the absence of such workers; the attestation addresses this question. In addition, under this rule, the petitioner must submit an attestation to USCIS in which the petitioner affirms, under penalty of perjury, that it meets the business need standard—that they are suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm (that is, permanent and severe financial loss) without the ability to employ all of the H–2B workers requested on their petition.154 In addition to asserting that it meets the business need standard, the employer must attest that, by the time of submission of the petition to USCIS, they have prepared and retained a detailed written statement describing how the evidence gathered in support of their petition demonstrates that irreparable harm is occurring or impending. The employer must also attest that, upon request, it will provide to DHS and/or DOl all documentary evidence that supports its claim of irreparable harm, along with the detailed written statement it prepared by the time of submitting the petition to USCIS, describing how such evidence demonstrates irreparable harm. The petitioner must submit the attestation only to USCIS, together with Form I–129, the approved and valid TLC, and any other necessary documentation. As in the rules implementing prior years’ temporary cap increases, employers will be required to complete the new attestation form which can be found at https://www.foreignlaborcert.doleta.gov/form.cfm.156

The irreparable harm standard is the same as in the temporary final rule for the second half of FY 2022 and the temporary final rule for FY 2023. The irreparable harm standard requires employers to attest that they are suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm without the ability to employ all of the H–2B workers requested on the petition filed under this rule. As noted above, Congress authorized the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of Labor, to increase the total number of H–2B visas available “upon the determination that the needs of American businesses cannot be satisfied” with U.S. workers. The irreparable harm standard in this rule aligns with this determination that Congress requires DHS to make before increasing the number of H–2B visas available to U.S. employers. In particular, requiring employers to attest that they are suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm without the ability to employ all of the requested H–2B workers is directly relevant to the needs of the business—if an employer is suffering or will suffer irreparable harm, then their needs are not being satisfied. Because the authority to increase the statutory cap is tied to the needs of businesses, the Departments think it is reasonable for employers to attest that they are suffering irreparable harm or that they will suffer impending irreparable harm without the ability to employ all of the H–2B workers requested on their petition. If such employers are unable to attest to such harm and retain and produce (upon request) documentation of that harm, it calls into question whether the need set forth in this rule cannot in fact be satisfied without the ability to employ H–2B workers.

As in the FY 2023 rule, this rule also requires an employer to attest that it has prepared a detailed written statement describing (i) how the employer’s business is suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm without the ability to employ all H–2B workers requested on the I–129 petition, and (ii) how each type of evidence

---


154 An employer may request fewer workers on the H–2B petition than the number of workers listed on the TLC. See Instructions for Petition for Nonimmigrant Worker, providing that “the total number of workers you request on the petition must not exceed the number of workers approved by the Department of Labor or an unexpired TLC, if required, on the temporary labor certification.” Since July 26, 2019, USCIS has been accepting a printed copy of the electronic one-page ETA–9142B, Final Determination: H–2B Temporary Labor Certification Approval, as an original approved TLC. See Notice of DHS’s Requirement of the Temporary Labor Certification Final Determination Under the H–2B Temporary Worker Program, 85 FR 13178, 13179 (Mar. 6, 2020).

155 The attestation requirement does not apply to noncitizens who are exempt from the fiscal year 2024 H–2B statutory cap, including those who are extending their stay in H–2B status. Accordingly, petitioners that are filing on behalf of such workers are not subject to the attestation requirement.

156 See section 303 of Public Law 117–328, as extended by Public Law 118–15.
relied upon by the employer demonstrates the applicable irreparable harm. The employer will not submit this detailed written statement to DHS with its petition for supplemental visas, but will attest on the attestation form to having prepared a detailed written statement. The detailed written statement must be provided to DHS and/or DOL upon request in the event of an audit or during the course of an investigation. This requirement was first introduced in the FY 2023 TFR to provide additional clarity informed by the Departments’ experiences in assessing the irreparable harm standard in previous years.

As explained in the FY 2023 TFR, the attestation that irreparable harm is occurring or is impending cannot be based on a speculative analysis that permanent or severe financial loss “may occur” or “is likely to occur.” Rather, as of the time of submission to DHS, employers must have concrete evidence establishing that severe and permanent financial loss is occurring, with the scope and severity of harm clearly articulable, or that severe and permanent financial loss will occur in the near future without access to the supplemental visas. Even if no irreparable harm ultimately occurs because the employer is approved for supplemental visas under this rule, the employer must be able to articulate how permanent and severe financial loss was impending at the time of filing. Additionally, in DOL’s experience, employers sometimes do not retain the documentation they specifically attested they would retain, or will not or cannot explain how this documentation demonstrates the relevant irreparable harm to which they attested, which indicates that some of the employers seeking to benefit from hiring H–2B workers are not thoughtfully considering, or considering at all, whether their business needs qualify them for supplemental H–2B visas under these rules.

Additionally, the Departments continue to believe that the written statement is necessary in the case of an audit or investigation to explain, in detail, the employer’s reasoning as to why irreparable harm was occurring or impending without the ability to employ H–2B workers, and how the evidence supports the employer’s reasoning. In audits and investigations, some employers have provided hundreds of pages of evidence without any explanation as to how this evidence demonstrates irreparable harm, leaving DOL or DHS to determine how a voluminous compilation of complex and, sometimes, seemingly unrelated documents demonstrates irreparable harm without any understanding of the employer’s intent when providing the documents. A detailed, thoughtful explanation from the employer will clarify the purpose of these documents and allow the employer to clearly make their case that the business was experiencing irreparable harm or would experience impending irreparable harm at the time of petitioning for supplemental visas.

As such, the Departments believe that it is prudent to require employers to identify how they are suffering irreparable harm (that is, permanent or severe financial loss), or will suffer impending irreparable harm, and how the evidence they will maintain shows that harm was occurring or impending, at the time they petition for H–2B visas under this rule. The written statement should identify, in detail, the severe and permanent financial loss that is occurring or will occur in the near future without access to the supplemental visas and should describe how the information contained in the documentary evidence demonstrates this severe and permanent financial loss. A written statement explaining that no irreparable harm occurred because the employer was approved for supplemental H–2B visas is insufficient; if no irreparable harm actually occurred, the employer must be able to show that irreparable harm was impending at the time of the petition’s filing. Supporting evidence of the employer’s irreparable harm (either occurring or impending) must be maintained and discussed in the detailed written statement may include, but is not limited to, the following types of documentation:

1. Evidence that the business is suffering or will suffer in the near future permanent and severe financial loss due to the inability to meet financial or existing contractual obligations because they were unable to employ H–2B workers, including evidence of contracts, reservations, orders, or other business arrangements that have been or would be cancelled, and evidence demonstrating an inability to pay debts/bills;
2. Evidence that the business is suffering or will suffer in the near future permanent and severe financial loss, as compared to prior years, such as financial statements (including profit/loss statements) comparing the employer’s period of need to prior years; bank statements, tax returns, or other documents showing evidence of current and past financial condition; and relevant tax records, employment records, or other similar documents showing hours worked and payroll comparisons from prior years to the current year;
3. Evidence showing the number of workers needed in the previous three seasons (FY 2021, 2022, and 2023) to meet the employer’s need as compared to those currently employed or expected to be employed at the beginning of the start date of need. Such evidence must indicate the dates of their employment, and their hours worked (for example, payroll records) and evidence showing the number of H–2B workers it claims are needed, and the workers’ actual dates of employment and hours worked; and/or
4. Evidence that the petitioner is reliant on obtaining a certain number of workers to operate, based on the nature and size of the business, such as documentation showing the number of workers it has needed to maintain its operations in the past, or will in the near future need, including but not limited to: a detailed business plan, copies of purchase orders or other requests for goods and services, or other reliable forecast of an impending need for workers.

These examples are not exhaustive, nor will they necessarily establish that the business meets the irreparable harm standard; petitioners may retain other types of evidence they believe will satisfy these standards. Such evidence must be maintained and provided, with the written statement, to DOL and/or DHS upon request.

While the employer will not submit the detailed written statement nor the supporting evidence to DHS at the time of filing a petition for H–2B visas under this rule, the Departments emphasize that the employer must prepare the detailed written statement and compile the evidence at the time of filing. The employer must complete the analysis as to whether the employer is experiencing irreparable harm or will experience impending irreparable harm at the time the employer petitions for supplemental visas using evidence available at this time. In the interest of efficiency, the Departments do not require the submission of this statement to DHS at the time of filing the petition. Instead, the employer must attest that it has prepared the detailed written statement. The attestation form will serve as prima facie initial evidence to DHS that the petitioner’s business is suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm. USCIS may reject in accordance with 8 CFR 103.2(a)(7)(ii) or deny in accordance with 8 CFR 103.2(b)(8)(ii), as applicable, any petition requesting H–2B workers under this FY 2024 supplemental cap that is lacking the requisite attestation.
form. Although this regulation does not require submission of evidence and/or a detailed written statement at the time of filing of the petition, other than an attestation, the employer must have such evidence and the accompanying detailed written statement on hand and ready to present to DHS and/or DOL at any time starting with the date of filing the I–129 petition, through the prescribed document retention period discussed below.

As with petitions filed under the FY 2021, FY 2022, and FY 2023 Supplemental TFRs, the Departments intend to select a significant number of petitions for audit examination to verify compliance with program requirements, including the irreparable harm standard and recruitment provisions implemented through this rule. The Departments may consider failure to provide evidence demonstrating irreparable harm, to prepare or provide the detailed written statement explaining irreparable harm, or to comply with the audit process to be a substantial violation resulting in an adverse agency action on the employer, including assessment of a civil money penalty, revocation of the petition and/or TLC, or program debarment. Similarly, failure to cooperate with any compliance review, evaluation, verification, or inspection conducted by DHS and/or DOL as required by 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(xiv)(B)(2)(v) and (vii) may constitute a violation of the terms and conditions of an approved petition and lead to petition revocation under 8 CFR 214.2(h)(1)(iii)(A)(3).

The attestation submitted to USCIS will also state that the employer:

(1) meets all other eligibility criteria for the available visas, including the returning worker requirement, unless exempt because the H–2B worker is a national of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, or Costa Rica who is counted against the 20,000 visas reserved for such workers;
(2) will comply with all assurances, obligations, and conditions of employment set forth in the Application for Temporary Employment Certification (Form ETA 9142B and appendices) certified by DOL for the job opportunity (which serves as the TLC);
(3) will conduct additional recruitment of U.S. workers in accordance with the requirements of this rule and discussed further below; and
(4) will document and retain evidence of such compliance.

Because petitioners will submit the attestation to USCIS as initial evidence with Form I–129, DHS considers the attestation to be evidence that is incorporated into and a part of the petition consistent with 8 CFR 103.2(b)(1). Accordingly, USCIS may deny or revoke, as applicable, a petition based on or related to statements made in the attestation, including but not limited to the following grounds: (1) the employer failed to demonstrate employment of all of the requested workers is necessary under the appropriate business need standard; or (2) the employer failed to demonstrate that it requested and/or instructed that each worker petitioned for is a returning worker, or a national of one of the specified countries, as required by this rule. The petitioner may appeal any denial or revocation on such basis, however, under 8 CFR part 103, consistent with DHS regulations and existing USCIS procedures.

It is the view of the Secretaries of Homeland Security and Labor that requiring a post-TLC attestation to USCIS is the most practical approach to applying the eligibility requirements of this rule without causing undue delays in the filing or adjudication processes for those employers with start dates in the first half of the fiscal year, many of whom will have already begun or completed the TLC application process. The Departments have determined that, if such employers were required to submit the attestation form to DOL before filing a petition with DHS, the attendant delays would negatively impact the ability of American businesses to timely get the help that they need given TLC processing timeframes. For consistency and to avoid confusion, the Departments will also maintain the post-TLC attestation process for employers with start dates in the second half of the fiscal year that seek supplemental H–2B visas under this rule. This approach, in conjunction with additional integrity safeguards, has been used consistently in prior supplemental H–2B temporary final rules, and the Departments will continue to monitor its effectiveness and sufficiency.

As in prior years, all employers under this rule are required to retain documentation, which the employer must provide upon request by DHS and/or DOL, supporting the new attestations regarding (1) the irreparable harm standard; (2) the returning worker requirement, or, alternatively, documentation supporting that the H–2B worker(s) requested is a national of one of the specified countries who is counted against the 20,000 country-specific allocation (which may be satisfied by the separate Form I–129 that employers are required to file for such workers in accordance with this rule); and (3) a recruitment report for any additional recruitment required under this rule for a period of 3 years. See 20 CFR 655.65. Although the employer must have such documentation on hand at the time it files the petition, the Departments do not believe it is necessary or efficient for all employers to submit such documentation to USCIS at the time of filing the petition. However, as noted above, the Departments will employ program integrity measures, including additional scrutiny by DHS of employers that have committed labor law violations in the H–2B program, and continue to conduct audits, investigations, and/or post-adjudication compliance reviews on a significant number of H–2B petitions. As part of that process, USCIS may issue a request for additional evidence, a notice of intent to revoke, or a revocation notice, based on the review of such documentation, see 8 CFR 103.2(b) and 8 CFR 214.2(h)(11), and DOL’s OFLCC and WHD will be able to review this documentation and enforce the attestations during the course of an audit examination or investigation.

In accordance with the attestation requirements, under which petitioners attest that they meet the irreparable harm standard, that they are seeking to employ only returning workers (unless exempt as described above), and that they meet the document retention requirements at 20 CFR 655.65, petitioners must retain documents and records fulfilling their responsibility to demonstrate compliance with this rule for 3 years from the date the TLC was approved, and must provide the documents and records upon the request of DHS and/or DOL. With regard to the irreparable harm standard, employers attesting that they are suffering irreparable harm must be able to provide concrete evidence establishing severe and permanent financial loss that is occurring; the scope and severity of the harm must be clearly articulable. Employers attesting that they will suffer impending irreparable harm must be able to demonstrate that severe and permanent financial loss will occur in the near future without access to the supplemental visas. It will not be enough to provide evidence suggesting that such harm may or is likely to occur; rather, the documentary evidence must show that impending harm is occurring or will occur and document the form of such harm. Examples of possible types of evidence to be maintained are listed earlier in this section.

When a petition is selected for audit examination, or investigation, DHS and/or DOL will review all evidence.
available to it to confirm that the petitioner properly attested to DHS, at the time of filing the petition, that their business was suffering irreparable harm or would suffer impending irreparable harm, and that they petitioned for and employed only returning workers, unless the H–2B worker is a national of one of the specific countries counted towards the 20,000 country-specific allocation, among other attestations. If DHS subsequently finds that the evidence does not support the employer’s attestations, DHS may deny or, if the petition has already been approved, revoke the petition at any time consistent with existing regulatory authorities. DHS may also, or alternatively, refer the petitioner to DOL for further investigation. In addition, DOL may independently take enforcement action, including by, among other things, debarring the petitioner from the H–2B program for not less than one year or more than five years from the date of the final agency decision, which also disqualifies the debarred party from filing any labor certification applications or labor condition applications with DOL for the same period set forth in the final debarment decision. See, e.g., 20 CFR 655.73; 29 CFR 503.20, 503.24.158

Evidence reflecting a preference for hiring H–2B workers over U.S. workers may warrant an investigation by additional agencies enforcing employment and labor laws, such as the Immigrant and Employee Rights Section (IER) of the Enforcement and Removal Operations (ERO) of the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). See INA section 274B, 8 U.S.C. 1324b (prohibiting certain types of employment discrimination based on citizenship status or national origin). Moreover, DISA and DOL may refer potential discrimination to IER pursuant to applicable interagency agreements. See IER, Partnerships, https://www.justice.gov/crt/partnerships (last visited Sept. 26, 2023). In addition, if members of the public have information that a participating employer may be abusing this program, DISA invites them to notify USCIS by completing the online fraud tip form, https://www.uscis.gov/report-fraud.

DHS, in exercising its statutory authority under INA section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b), and section 303 of the FY 2023 Omnibus, as extended by Public Law 118–15, is responsible for adjudicating eligibility for H–2B classification. As in all cases, the burden rests with the petitioner to establish eligibility by a preponderance of the evidence. INA section 291, 8 U.S.C. 1361. Matter of Chawathe, 25 I&N Dec. 369, 375–76 (AAO 2010). Accordingly, as noted above, where the petition lacks initial evidence, such as a properly completed attestation, USCIS may, as applicable, reject the petition in accordance with 8 CFR 103.2(a)(7)(ii) or deny the petition in accordance with 8 CFR 103.2(b)(8)(ii). Further, where the initial evidence submitted with the petition contains inconsistencies or is inconsistent with other evidence in the petition and the underlying TLC, USCIS may issue a Request for Evidence, Notice of Intent to Deny, or Denial in accordance with 8 CFR 103.2(b)(8). In addition, where it is determined that an H–2B petition filed pursuant to the FY 2023 Omnibus, as extended by Public Law 118–15, was granted erroneously, the H–2B petition approval may be revoked. See 8 CFR 214.2(b)(11).

Because of the particular circumstances of this regulation, and because the attestation and other requirements of this rule play a vital role in achieving the purposes of this rule, DHS and DOL intend that the attestation requirement, DOL procedures, and other aspects of this rule be non-severable from the remainder of the rule, including the increase in the numerical allocations.160 Thus, if the attestation requirement or any other part of this rule is enjoined or held invalid, the Departments intend for the remainder of the rule, with the exception of the retention requirements being codified in 20 CFR 655.65, to cease operation in the relevant jurisdiction, without prejudice to workers already present in the United States under this regulation, as consistent with law.

F. Portability

As an additional option for employers that cannot find U.S. workers, and as an additional flexibility for H–2B employees seeking to begin work with a new H–2B employer, this rule allows petitioners to immediately employ certain H–2B workers who are present in the United States in H–2B status without waiting for approval of the H–2B petition, generally for a period of up to 60 days. Such workers must be beneficiaries of a timely, non-frivolous H–2B petition requesting an extension of stay received on or after January 25, 2024, but no later than 1 year after that date.161 In addition, such workers must have been lawfully admitted to the United States and have not worked without authorization subsequent to such lawful admission. Additionally, petitioners may immediately employ individuals who are beneficiaries of a non-frivolous H–2B petition requesting an extension of the worker’s stay that is pending as of January 25, 2024 without waiting for approval of the H–2B petition. To be eligible for portability, employers must have received an approved TLC demonstrating that they have completed a test of the U.S. labor market, and that DOL determined that there were no qualified U.S. workers available to fill these temporary positions. DHS is making this portability available for an additional one-year period in order to provide greater certainty for H–2B employers and workers.162

The portability provision at new 8 CFR 214.2(h)(31) is substantively the same as the portability provision offered in the FY 2023 H–2B supplemental visa temporary final rule, which was codified at 8 CFR 214.2(h)(29), and will begin upon the expiration of that provision. See new 8 CFR 214.2(h)(31). Additionally, the provision is similar to temporary flexibilities that DHS has used previously to improve employer

158 Pursuant to the statutory provisions governing enforcement of the H–2B program, INA section 214(c)(4), 8 U.S.C. 1184A(c)(4), a violation exists under the H–2B program where there has been a willful misrepresentation of a material fact in the petition or a substantial failure to meet any of the terms and conditions of the petition. A substantial failure is a willful failure to comply that constitutes a significant deviation from the terms and conditions. See, e.g., INA section 214(c)(14)(D), 8 U.S.C. 1184A(c)(14)(D); see also 29 CFR 503.19.

159 DHS may publicly disclose information regarding the H–2B program consistent with applicable law and regulations. Information about DHS disclosure of information contained in a system of records, see https://www.dhs.gov/system-records-notices-orsins. Additional general information about DHS privacy policy can be accessed at https://www.dhs.gov/privacy.

160 The Departments’ intentions with respect to non-severability extend to all features of this rule other than the portability provision, which is described in the section below.

161 Individuals who are the beneficiaries of petitions filed on the basis of 8 CFR 214.1(c)(4) are not eligible to port to a new employer under 8 CFR 214.2(h)(31).

162 On September 20, 2023, DHS issued a Modernizing H–2 Program Requirements, Oversight, and Worker Protections Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), 88 FR 65040, 65066, with a 60-day public comment period that ends on November 20, 2023. In that NPRM, DHS proposed to extend portability to H–2A and H–2B workers on a permanent basis. The Department’s proposal does not interfere with the portability provision of this rule, however, should DHS publish a final rule making H–2B portability permanent, any such provision will not expire on a specific date, unlike the portability provision made effective by this temporary final rule.
access to noncitizen workers during the COVID–19 pandemic.163

The employment authorization provided under this provision would end 15 days after USCIS denies the H–2B petition or such petition is withdrawn. This 15-day period of employment following an H–2B petition denial or withdrawal is consistent with prior H–2B supplemental cap temporary final rules, as well as the 15-day period of employment following petition denial under existing DHS regulations at 8 CFR 274a.12(b)(21) for certain E-Verify participants to employ H–2A workers. As in the prior temporary final rules, the 15-day period is intended to account for the passage of time between USCIS denial of the H–2B petition and the petitioner receiving notice of such denial.164

DHS is strongly committed not only to protecting U.S. workers and helping U.S. businesses receive the documented workers authorized to perform temporary nonagricultural services or labor that they need, but also to protecting the rights and interests of H–2B workers (consistent with Executive Order 13563 and in particular its reference to “equity,” “fairness,” and “human dignity”). In the FY 2020 DHS Further Appropriations Act (Pub. L. 116–94), Congress directed DHS to provide options to improve the H–2A and H–2B visa programs, to include options that would protect worker rights.165 DHS has determined that providing H–2B nonimmigrant workers with the flexibility of being able to begin work with a new H–2B petitioner immediately and avoid a potential job loss or loss of income while the new H–2B petition is pending, provides some certainty to H–2B workers who may have found themselves in situations that warrant a change in employers.166 This flexibility also provides an alternative to H–2B petitioners who have not been able to find U.S. workers and who have not been able to obtain H–2B workers subject to the statutory or supplemental caps who have the skills to perform the job duties. In that sense as well, it is equitable and fair.

G. Compliance With Employment-Related Laws

In recent supplemental cap TFRs issued during the COVID–19 public health emergency, the Departments have specifically required petitioners to attest that they will comply with all Federal, State, and local employment-related laws and regulations, including, where applicable, with laws related to COVID–19 worker protections and any right to time off or paid time off for COVID–19 vaccination, or to reimbursement for travel to and from the nearest vaccination site. See, e.g., 8 CFR 214.2(b)(29)(iii)(B). In addition, the Departments have required petitioners to attest that they would notify any H–2B workers approved under the supplemental cap, in a language understood by the worker as necessary or reasonable, that all persons in the United States, including nonimmigrants, have equal access to COVID–19 vaccines and vaccine distribution sites. As the public health emergency is no longer in effect, the Departments no longer believe it is necessary to include the requirements that are specific to COVID–19.

In addition, after removing the language related to COVID–19, the Departments believe that the remaining attestation from these prior rules would overlap with the general requirement found at 20 CFR 655.20(z) and 29 CFR 503.16(z) that all employers, as a condition of their labor certification, comply with employment-related laws including health and safety laws. To avoid confusion the Departments are also removing this attestation from the TFR. While there is no additional attestation with respect to H–2B petitioners that do not avail themselves of the supplemental H–2B visas made available under this rule, the Departments remind all H–2B employers that they must comply with all Federal, State, and local employment-related laws and regulations, including health and safety laws. To the extent that Federal, State, or local laws and regulations relating to COVID–19 remain in effect, the Departments note that an employer remains obligated to comply with them. Failure to comply with such laws and regulations would be contrary to the attestation 7 on ETA 9142B—Appendix B, and therefore may be a basis for DHS to remove the petition under 8 CFR 214.2(b)(4)(iii)(A)(9) for violating terms and conditions of the approved petition.168

H. DHS Petition Procedures

To petition for H–2B workers under the supplemental allocations in this rule, the petitioner must file a Form I–129 at the USCIS Texas Service Center169 in accordance with applicable regulations and form instructions, along


164 A similar portability provision exists in DHS regulations related to H–1B nonimmigrant workers, but does not include a 15-day period. See 8 CFR 214.2(b)(2)(ii)(H)(ii)(2).

165 The Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the Fiscal Year (FY) 2020 Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Further Consolidated Appropriations Act (Pub. L. 116–94) states, “Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, DHS, the Department of Labor, the Department of State, and the United States Digital Service are directed to report on options to improve processing efficiencies; combating human trafficking; protecting worker rights; and reducing employer burden, to include the disadvantages imposed on such employers due to the current semiannual distribution of H–2B visas on October 1 and April 1 of each fiscal year. USCIS is encouraged to leverage prior year materials relating to the issuance of additional H–2B visas, to include previous temporary final rules, to improve processing efficiencies.”

166 The White House, The National Action Plan to Combat Human Trafficking, Priority Action 1.5.3, at p. 25 (Dec 2021); The White House, The National Action Plan to Combat Human Trafficking, Priority Action 1.6.3, at p. 20–21 (2020) (Stating that “[w]orkers sometimes find themselves in abusive work situations, but because their immigration status is dependent on continued employment with the employer in whose name the visa has been issued, workers may be left with few options to leave that situation.”). By providing the option of changing employers, the potential for job loss or a loss of income through the publication of this rule, DHS believes that H–2B workers may be more likely to leave abusive work situations, and thereby are afforded greater worker protections.


168 During the period of employment specified on the TLC, the employer must comply with all applicable Federal, State and local employment-related laws and regulations, including health and safety laws. 20 CFR 655.20(c). By submitting the TLC as evidence supporting the petition, it is incorporated into and considered part of the benefit request under 8 CFR 103.2(b)(1).

169 This is a different filing location from the FY 2023 TFR.
with an unexpired TLC and the attestation Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8. Petitions filed for supplemental allocations under this rule at any location other than the USCIS Texas Service Center will be rejected and the filing fees will be returned. For all petitions filed under this rule and the H–2B program, generally, employers must establish, among other requirements, that insufficient qualified U.S. workers are available to fill the petitioning H–2B employer’s job opportunity and that the foreign worker’s employment in the job opportunity will not adversely affect the wages or working conditions of similarly-employed U.S. workers. INA section 214(c)(1), 8 U.S.C. 1184(c)(1); 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(iii)(A) and (D); 20 CFR 655.1. To meet this standard of protection for U.S. workers and, in order to be eligible for additional visas under this rule, employers must have applied for and received a valid TLC in accordance with 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(iv)(A) and (D) and 20 CFR part 655, subpart A. Under DHS’s H–2B regulations, TLCs are valid only for the period of employment certified by DOL and expire on the last day of authorized employment. 20 CFR 655.55(a).

In order to have a valid TLC, therefore, the employment start date on the employer’s H–2B petition must not be different from the employment start date certified by DOL on the TLC. See 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(iv)(D). Under generally applicable DHS regulations, the only exception to this requirement applies when an employer files an amended H–2B petition, accompanied by a copy of the previously approved TLC and a copy of the initial visa petition approval notice, at a later date to substitute workers as set forth under 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(viii)(B). This rule also requires additional recruitment for certain petitioners, as discussed below.

All H–2B petitions must state the nationality of all the requested H–2B workers, whether named or unnamed, even if there are beneficiaries from more than one country. See 8 CFR 214.2(h)(2)(iii). If filing multiple Forms I–129 based on the same TLC (for instance, one requesting returning workers and another requesting workers under the country-specific allocation), each H–2B petition must include a copy of the TLC and reference all previously-filed or concurrently-filed petitions associated with the same TLC. The total number of requested workers may not exceed the total number of workers indicated on the approved TLC.

Petitioners seeking H–2B classification for nationals under the 20,000 country-specific visa allocation that are exempt from the returning worker provision must file a separate Form I–129 for those nationals only. See new 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(xiv). In this regard, a petition must be filed with a single Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8 that clearly indicates that the petitioner is only requesting nationals from El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, or Costa Rica who are exempt from the returning worker requirement. Specifically, if the petitioner checks the first box of Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8, then the petition accompanying that form must be filed only on behalf of nationals of one or more of these and not other countries. In such a case, if the Form I–129 petition is requesting beneficiaries from countries other than one of these countries, then USCIS may reject it or issue a request for evidence, notice of intent to deny, or denial, or, in the case of a non-frivolous petition, a partial approval limiting the petition to the number of beneficiaries who are from Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, or Costa Rica. Requiring the filing of separate petitions to request returning workers and to request workers who are nationals of the specified countries is necessary to ensure the operational capability to properly calculate and manage the respective additional cap allocations and to ensure that all corresponding visa issuances are limited to qualifying applicants, particularly when such petitions request unnamed beneficiaries or are relied upon for subsequent requests to substitute beneficiaries in accordance with 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(viii).

The attestations must be filed on Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8, Attestation for Employers Seeking to Employ H–2B Nonimmigrant Workers Under Section 303 of Division O of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023, Public Law 117–328, as extended by sections 101(b) and 106 of Division A of the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2024 and Other Extensions Act, Public Law 118–15. See 20 CFR 655.64. Petitioners are required to retain a copy of such attestations and all supporting evidence for 3 years from the date the associated TLC was approved, consistent with 20 CFR 655.56 and 29 CFR 503.17. See 20 CFR 655.65. Petitions submitted to DHS pursuant to Public Law 118–15, which extended the FY 2023 Omnibus, will be processed in the order in which they were received within the relevant supplemental allocation, and pursuant to processes parallel to those in place for when numerical limitations are reached under INA section 214(g)(1)(B) or (g)(10), 8 U.S.C. 1184(g)(1)(B) or (g)(10).

All filings under the supplemental allocations in this rule must be filed at the USCIS Texas Service Center. USCIS will reject petitions filed under the supplemental allocations in this rule at any location other than the USCIS Texas Service Center and will return the filing fees for any such petition.

Immediately upon publication of the rule, but no earlier than that date, USCIS will begin accepting returning worker H–2B petitions requesting dates of need starting on or before March 31, 2024, as well as H–2B petitions for workers under the country-specific allocation with dates of need in the first half of FY 2024. Beginning no later than 15 days after the second half statutory cap is reached, USCIS will begin accepting returning worker H–2B petitions requesting work to begin on or after April 1, 2024, through May 14, 2024, as well as H–2B petitions for workers under the country-specific allocation with dates of need on or after April 1, 2024 through September 30, 2024. Finally, beginning no earlier than 45 days after the second half statutory cap is reached, USCIS will begin accepting returning worker H–2B petitions requesting work to begin on or after May 15 through September 30, 2024.

USCIS will reject any returning worker petition that is received after September 16, 2024, or after the applicable numerical limitation has been reached. DHS believes that 15 days from the end of the fiscal year is the minimum time needed for petitions to be adjudicated, although USCIS cannot guarantee the time period will be sufficient in all cases. Therefore, even if the country-specific allocation and second half supplemental allocations provided in this rule have not yet been reached, USCIS will stop accepting petitions under those allocations that are received after September 16, 2024. See new 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(xiv)(C). Such petitions will be rejected and the filing fees will be returned. Petitioners may choose to request premium processing of their petitions under 8 CFR 106.4, which allows for expedited processing for an additional fee. Based on the time-limited authority granted to DHS by Public Law 118–15, on the same terms as section 303 of the
FY 2023 Omnibus, DHS is notifying the public that USCIS cannot approve petitions seeking H-2B workers under this rule on or after October 1, 2024. See new 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(x)(C). Petitions pending with USCIS that are not approved before October 1, 2024 will be denied and any fees will not be refunded. See new 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(x)(C).

I. DOL Procedures

As noted above, all employers are required to have an approved and valid TLC from DOL in order to file a Form I-129 petition with DHS. See 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(i)(C) and (D). The standards and procedures governing the submission and processing of Applications for Temporary Employment Certification for employers seeking to hire H-2B workers are set forth in 20 CFR 655.15 and meet all the requirements of 20 CFR part 655, subpart A. An employer that seeks to hire H-2B workers must request a TLC in compliance with the application filing requirements set forth in 20 CFR 655.15 and meet all the requirements of 20 CFR part 655, subpart A, to obtain a valid TLC, including the criteria for certification set forth in 20 CFR 655.51. See 20 CFR 655.64(a) and 655.50(b). Employers with an approved TLC have conducted recruitment, as set forth in 20 CFR 655.40 through 655.48, to determine whether U.S. workers are qualified and available to perform the work for which employers sought H-2B workers.

The H-2B regulations require that, among other things, an employer seeking to hire H-2B workers in a non-emergency situation must file a completed Application for Temporary Employment Certification with the National Processing Center (NPC) designated by the OFLC Administrator no more than 90 calendar days and no fewer than 75 calendar days before the employer’s date of need (i.e., start date for the work). See 20 CFR 655.15.

Emergency Procedures

Under 20 CFR 655.17, an employer may request a waiver of the time period(s) for filing an Application for Temporary Employment Certification based on “good and substantial” cause, provided that the employer has sufficient time to thoroughly test the domestic labor market on an expedited basis and the OFLC certifying officer (CO) has sufficient time to make a final determination as required by the regulation. To rely on this provision, as the Departments explained in the 2015 H-2B Interim Final Rule, the employer must provide the OFLC CO with detailed information describing the "good and substantial cause" necessitating the waiver. Such cause may include the substantial loss of U.S. workers due to Acts of God, or a similar unforeseeable human-made catastrophic event that is wholly outside the employer’s control, unforeseeable changes in market conditions, or pandemic health issues. Thus, to ensure an adequate test of the domestic labor market and to protect the integrity of the H-2B program, the Departments clearly intended that use of emergency procedures must be narrowly construed and permitted in extraordinary and unforeseeable catastrophic circumstances that have a direct impact on the employer’s need for the specific services or labor to be performed. Even under the existing H-2B statutory visa cap structure, DOL considers USCIS’ announcement(s) that the statutory cap(s) on H-2B visas has been reached, which may occur with regularity every six months depending on H-2B visa need, as foreseeable, and therefore not within the meaning of “good and substantial cause” that would justify a request for emergency procedures. Accordingly, employers cannot rely solely on the supplemental H-2B visas made available through this rule as good and substantial cause to use emergency procedures under 20 CFR 655.17.

Additional Recruitment

In addition to the recruitment already conducted in connection with a valid TLC, to ensure the recruitment has not become stale, employers that wish to obtain visas for their workers under 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(x)(iv), and who file an I-129 petition 30 or more days after the certified start date of work on the TLC must conduct additional recruitment for U.S. workers. As noted in the 2015 H-2B Interim Final Rule, U.S. workers seeking employment in temporary nonagricultural jobs typically do not search for work months in advance and cannot make commitments about their availability for employment far in advance of the work start date. See 80 FR 24041, 24071; 87 FR 30334, 30353–54. The Departments continued to use this 30-day requirement in the FY 2023 H-2B supplemental cap TFR, the Departments determined that this 30-day requirement is consistent with provisions contained in previous TFRs and better aligns with the goal of offering workers an adequate opportunity to apply for jobs closer to when they tend to search for temporary employment, as explained in the 2015 H-2B Interim Final Rule, which found that U.S. applicants applying for temporary positions typically offered by H-2B employers are often not seeking job opportunities, or making informed decisions about such work, several months in advance. See 80 FR 24041, 24071; 87 FR 30334, 30353–54. The Departments continued to use this 30-day requirement in the FY 2023 H-2B supplemental cap TFR based on the rationale provided in the FY 2022 second half H-2B supplemental cap TFR. See 87 FR 76816, 76842–76843. The Departments have determined that this requirement is necessary to provide U.S. workers an opportunity to pursue jobs for which employers are seeking supplemental visas.

An employer that files an I-129 petition under 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(x)(iv) fewer than 30 days after the certified start date of work on the TLC must submit the TLC and a completed Form ETA–9142B–CAA–8 but is not required to conduct additional recruitment for U.S. workers beyond the recruitment already conducted as a condition of certification. Only those employers with still-valid TLCs with a certified start date of work that is 30 or more days before the date they file a petition will be required to conduct recruitment in addition to that conducted prior to being granted a TLC and attest that the recruitment will be conducted, as follows.
Placement of New Job Orders With State Workforce Agencies

Employers that are required to engage in additional recruitment must place a new job order for the job opportunity with the State Workforce Agency (SWA) serving the area of intended employment no later than the next business day after submitting an I–129 petition for H–2B workers to USCIS, and inform the SWA that the job order is being placed in connection with a previously submitted and certified Application for Temporary Employment Certification for H–2B workers by providing the SWA with the unique OFLC TLC case number. Under this rule, employers must also provide the OFLC NPC with the unique TLC case number concurrently with their placement of new job orders with the SWAs. This notification will allow OFLC to cross reference and repost information about the job opportunities that are provided on the employers’ certified Applications for Temporary Labor Certification and posted by OFLC on SeasonalJobs.dol.gov, which is DOL’s electronic job registry authorized under 20 CFR 655.34. Once posted by OFLC, information about the employer’s certified job opportunity will remain posted for a period of at least 15 calendar days, which is consistent with the period of time SWAs post job orders for intrastate and interstate clearance to recruit U.S. workers, as discussed below. The Departments continue to believe this additional notification is a reasonable and cost-efficient method of disseminating available job opportunities to a wider audience and those U.S. workers who may be interested in applying. While not meant to recreate it, this action will serve the same functional purpose as the posting on Seasonal Jobs. To help employers who must conduct this notification requirement, DOL encourages employers to notify the OFLC NPC, at the same time notification is sent to the SWA, by sending an email to H-2Bs supplemental visas@dol.gov, and including the words “H–2B TFR 2024 Recruitment” followed by the unique TLC case number in the subject line of the email.

The new job order placed with the SWA must contain the job assurances and contents set forth in 20 CFR 655.18 for recruitment of U.S. workers at the place of employment, and remain posted for at least 15 calendar days. The employer must also follow all applicable SWA instructions for posting job orders and receive applications in all forms allowed by the SWA, including online applications. The Departments have concluded that keeping the job order posted for a period of at least 15 calendar days, during the period the employer is conducting the additional recruitment steps explained below and OFLC reposts the job opportunity information, will effectively ensure U.S. workers are apprised of the job opportunity and are referred for employment, if they are willing, qualified, and available to perform the work. The minimum 15 calendar day period also is consistent with the employer-conducted recruitment activity period applicable under 20 CFR 655.40(b).

Once the SWA places the new job order on its public labor exchange system, the SWA will perform its normal employment service activities by circulating the job order for intrastate clearance, and in interstate clearance by providing a copy of the job order to other SWAs with jurisdiction over listed worksites as well as those States the OFLC CO designated in the original Notice of Acceptance issued under 20 CFR 655.33. Where the occupation or industry is traditionally or customarily unionized, the SWA will also circulate a copy of the new job order to the central office of the State Federation of Labor in the State(s) in which work will be performed, and the office(s) of local union(s) representing workers in the same or substantially equivalent job classification in the area(s) in which work will be performed, consistent with its current obligation under 20 CFR 655.33(b)(5). To facilitate an effective dissemination of these job opportunities, DOL encourages union(s) or hiring halls representing workers in occupations typically used in the H–2B program to proactively contact and establish partnerships with SWAs in order to obtain timely information on available temporary job opportunities. This will aid the SWAs’ prompt and effective outreach under the rule. DOL’s OFLC maintains a comprehensive directory of contact information for each SWA at https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/foreign-labor/contact.

Contact With American Job Centers

The employer also must conduct additional recruitment steps during the period of time the SWA is actively circulating the job order for intrastate clearance. First, the employer must contact, by email or other electronic means, the nearest American Job Center(s) (AJC) serving the area of intended employment where work will commence to request staff assistance to advertise and recruit U.S. workers for the job opportunity. AJCs bring together a variety of programs providing a wide range of employment and training services for U.S. workers, including job search services and assistance for prospective workers and recruitment services for employers through the Wagner-Peyser Program. Therefore, AJCs can offer assistance to employers with recruitment of U.S. workers, and contact with local AJCs will facilitate contemporaneous and effective recruitment activities that can broaden dissemination of the employer’s job opportunity through connections with other partner programs within the One-Stop System to locate qualified U.S. workers to fill the employer’s labor need. For example, the local AJC, working in concert with the SWA, can coordinate efforts to contact community-based organizations in the geographic area that serve potentially qualified workers or, when a job opportunity is in an occupation or industry that is traditionally or customarily unionized, the local AJC may be better positioned to identify and circulate the job order to appropriate local union(s) or hiring hall(s), consistent with 20 CFR 655.33(b)(5). In addition, as a partner program in the One-Stop System, AJCs are connected with the State’s unemployment insurance program, thus an employer’s connection with the AJC will help facilitate knowledge of the job opportunity to U.S. workers actively seeking employment. When contacting the AJC(s), the employer must provide staff with the job order number or, if the job order number is unavailable, a copy of the job order.

To increase navigability and to make the process as convenient as possible, DOL offers an online service for employers to locate the nearest local AJC at https://www.careeronestop.org/ and by selecting the “Find Local Help” feature on the main homepage. This feature will navigate the employer to a search function called “Find an American Job Center” where the city, state or zip code covering the geographic area where work will commence can be entered. Once entered and the search function is executed, the online service will return a listing of the name(s) of the AJC(s) serving that geographic area as well as a contact option(s) and an indication as to whether the AJC is a “comprehensive” or “affiliate” center. Employers must contact the nearest “comprehensive” AJC serving the area of intended employment where work will commence or, when a “comprehensive” AJC is not available, the nearest “affiliate” AJC. A “comprehensive” AJC tends to be a
large office that offers the full range of employment and business services, and an "affiliate" AJC typically is a smaller office that offers a self-service career center, conducts hiring events, and provides workshops or other select employment services for workers. Because a “comprehensive” AJC may not be available in many geographic areas, particularly among rural communities, this rule permits employers to contact the nearest “affiliate” AJC serving the area of intended employment where a “comprehensive” AJC is not available. In order to facilitate efficient access to AJC services, this rule requires that employers utilize available electronic methods to contact the nearest AJC to meet the contact and disclosure requirements in this rule.

Contact With AFL–CIO for Jobs in Traditionally or Customarily Unionized Occupation or Industry

When a job is in a traditionally or customarily unionized occupation or industry, during the time the SWA is actively circulating the job order the employer must affirmatively contact the nearest American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL–CIO) office covering the area of intended employment to provide written notice of the job opportunity and request assistance in recruiting qualified U.S. workers who may be interested in applying for the job opportunity. The employer must provide the AFL–CIO office (by mail, email, or other effective written means) a copy of the job order placed with the SWA. To determine which occupations are traditionally or customarily unionized, and to obtain information about the proper AFL–CIO office to contact, employers should search the resources available on the OFLC website, under the “Customarily Unionized H–2B Occupations” tab on the lefthand side of the OFLC homepage: https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/foreign-labor. In addition, to help employers who must conduct this additional recruitment step, employers may also contact the national AFL–CIO and request assistance in circulating the job order to the nearest AFL–CIO office covering the area of intended employment to advertise and recruit U.S. workers for the job opportunity. The most effective means of contacting the national AFL–CIO is to email the job order and request for assistance to H-2B@aflcio.org, but employers may also visit https://aflcio.org to obtain information on other effective means of contacting the organization for assistance. Upon receipt, the national AFL–CIO will distribute a copy of the job order, on behalf of the employer, to the most appropriate AFL–CIO office(s) serving the area of intended employment for that job opportunity. The Department believes that this approach will be more straightforward and simpler for employers, and therefore encourages employers to meet the notification requirement by contacting the national AFL–CIO directly.

When applicable, the employer must include information in its recruitment report confirming that either the national or nearest AFL–CIO office was contacted and notified in writing of the job opportunity or opportunities. In the recruitment report, the employer must state whether the nearest AFL–CIO office referred qualified U.S. worker(s), including the number of referrals, or indicate that it was non-responsive to the employer’s requests. The employer must retain all documentation establishing that it has contacted either the national or nearest AFL–CIO office and submit all such information upon request from the Departments.

172 The Departments have determined that the requirements for employers to contact the nearest AFL–CIO office properly balances the goal of increasing U.S. worker outreach in those H–2B job opportunities that are in traditionally or customarily unionized occupations, while still providing employers with necessary guidance on recruitment requirements. The AFL–CIO is a voluntary federation of more than 60 national and international labor unions covering a substantial number of union employees. AFL–CIO, About Us, https://aflcio.org/about-us (last visited October 11, 2023). The H–2B job opportunities in traditionally or customarily unionized occupations most frequently fall within those industries most likely to be organized or represented by AFL–CIO member unions. Additionally, the AFL–CIO’s status as the largest federation of labor unions in the United States provides for comprehensive national coverage and increases the chances that a U.S. worker will be hired. See AFL–CIO Press Release, https://aflcio.org/press-releases/washington-trust-and-bny-mellon-expand-retirement-planning-options (last visited October 11, 2023) (noting the AFL–CIO is “the nation’s largest federation of labor unions”). As discussed below, the SWAs provide for comprehensive national coverage and increases the chances that a U.S. worker will be hired. See AFL–CIO Press Release, https://aflcio.org/press-releases/washington-trust-and-bny-mellon-expand-retirement-planning-options (last visited October 11, 2023) (noting the AFL–CIO is “the nation’s largest federation of labor unions”). As discussed below, the SWAs provide for comprehensive national coverage and increases the chances that a U.S. worker will be hired.
suited for the job opportunity. For example, potential U.S. workers may be more inclined to contact an employer directly upon learning of the job opportunity rather than utilize the SWA as an intermediary since the application process could be quicker and demonstrates a willingness by employers to consider union workers. Direct contact between employers and unions may also initiate a dialogue between employers and unions that could lead to a future working relationship that fulfills the workforce needs of employers. Therefore, in providing timely and meaningful notice of job opportunities in traditionally or customarily unionized industries to the AFL–CIO, employers build on efforts by SWAs to circulate job orders to state and local unions, which may differ from the AFL–CIO, and thus broaden the scope of their U.S. worker outreach.

Contact With Former U.S. Workers

During the period of time the SWA is actively circulating the job order described in paragraph (a)(4)(i) of 20 CFR 655.64 for intrastate clearance, the employer must make reasonable efforts to contact (by mail or other written effective means) its former U.S. workers that it employed in the occupation at the place of employment (except those who were dismissed for cause or who abandoned the worksite) during the period beginning January 1, 2022 until the date the I–129 petition required under 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(xiv) is submitted. Among the employees the employer must contact are those who have been furloughed173 or laid off during this period. The employer must disclose to its former employees the terms of the job order placed with the SWA, and solicit their return to the job. The employer must provide the contact and disclosures required by this paragraph in a language understood by the worker, as necessary or reasonable, and in writing to ensure the recruitment effort is effective and meaningful in reaching each former U.S. worker.

Contact With Current U.S. Workers

As was required in the FY 2023 H–2B supplemental visa TFR, employers must again contact U.S. workers currently employed at the place of employment to inform them of the job opportunity and request their assistance in recruiting qualified U.S. workers who may be seeking employment. The Departments continue to believe this recruitment step is a reasonable and cost-effective method of broadening dissemination of available job opportunities and increasing the likelihood that qualified U.S. workers will apply. We believe the requirement that employers contact their current U.S. workers employed at the place(s) of employment and solicit their assistance in recruiting other qualified U.S. workers will complement the requirement that employers post the job order in the places and manner described in 20 CFR 655.45(b), enhance word-of-mouth recruiting, which is a common method of soliciting referrals of qualified U.S. workers, and increase the likelihood of locating U.S. workers suited for the job opportunity more quickly and efficiently. U.S. workers currently employed by the employer, who are more likely to be familiar with the nature of the employer’s business operations and services or labor to be performed, will generally refer other U.S. workers who are qualified and may be more inclined to contact an employer directly upon learning of the job opportunity from a family, friend, or colleague with experience working for the employer.

Accordingly, during the period of time the SWA is actively circulating the job order described in paragraph (a)(4)(i) of 20 CFR 655.64 for intrastate clearance, the employer must make reasonable efforts to contact (by mail or other effective written means) all U.S. workers it currently employs at the place(s) of employment under the certified TLC. The employer must disclose to each of its current U.S. workers the terms of the job order placed with the SWA, and request assistance in recruiting qualified U.S. workers who may be interested in applying for the job opportunity. The contacts, disclosures, and requests for assistance required by this paragraph must be provided in a language understood by the worker, as necessary or reasonable, and in writing to ensure the recruitment effort is effective and meaningful in reaching each current U.S. worker.

The employer must retain all documentation establishing that it has contacted each U.S. worker it currently employs at the place(s) of employment under the certified TLC and submit all such information upon request from the Departments. Documentation or evidence that would help employers establish compliance with this regulatory requirement may include, but is not limited to the following: documentation proving the job order, along with a request for assistance to recruit workers, was shipped and delivered to each current U.S. worker’s address (e.g., copy of the job order and request for assistance along with the certificate of shipment provided by the U.S. Postal Service or other courier mail or parcel delivery services and/or any other form of delivery confirmation); evidence confirming that the job order, along with a request for assistance to recruit workers, was emailed to the current U.S. worker (e.g., copies of emails); or copies of any correspondence exchanged (e.g., letter, email) between the employer and the current U.S. worker regarding referrals of other qualified U.S. workers.

The requirements to contact current and former U.S. workers and provide notice to the bargaining representative or post the job order must be conducted in a language understood by the workers, as necessary or reasonable. This requirement would apply, for example, in situations where an employer has one or more employees who do not speak English as their primary language and who have a limited ability to read, write, speak, or understand English. This requirement would allow those workers to make informed decisions regarding the job
opportunity, and is a reasonable interpretation of the recruitment requirements in 20 CFR part 655, subpart A, in light of the need to ensure that the test of the U.S. labor market is as comprehensive as possible. Consistent with existing language requirements in the H–2B program under 20 CFR 655.20(l), DOL intends to broadly interpret the necessary or reasonable qualification, and apply an exemption only in those situations where having the job order translated into a particular language would both place an undue burden on an employer and not significantly disadvantage the employee.

Posting of the Job Opportunity on the Employer’s Website If the Employer Has a Website

Finally, as was required in the FY 2023 H–2B supplemental visa TFR, where the employer maintains a company website for its business operations, the employer must post an electronic advertisement of the job opportunity in a conspicuous location on this website.

Although the vast majority of small businesses in the United States maintain a website, the Departments acknowledge that not all employers maintain a company website. As discussed in the prior TFR, although there is no parallel requirement for employers without a website, the Departments believe that continuing to require employers with websites to post the job announcement on their website is reasonable because this population of employers uses their websites to inform the public about their existence and/or the services they may provide. Thus, these employers’ advertisement of the job opportunity, via their websites, is consistent with these employers’ use of the internet/electronic means to communicate with the public.

Accordingly, this recruitment requirement will continue to apply only to employers that maintain a website for business operations. For employers who must conduct this additional recruitment step, the electronic advertisement of the job opportunity on the company website must be posted in a conspicuous location. This means access to the electronic advertisement on the company website must be clearly visible on the website’s homepage or easily accessible from the website’s homepage using any job search tool(s) or direct links from the homepage to a subsequent web page where other available jobs or careers are normally posted by the employer.

The Departments have concluded that keeping the electronic advertisements on company websites posted for a period of at least 15 calendar days, along with the other additional recruitment steps discussed above, will effectively ensure that U.S. workers are apprised of the job opportunity and are referred for employment, if they are willing, qualified, and available to perform the work. The minimum 15 calendar day period is also consistent with the employer-conducted recruitment activity period applicable under 20 CFR 655.40(b).

The employer must retain all documentation establishing that it has posted the electronic advertisement of the job opportunity in compliance with regulatory requirements and submit all such information upon request from the Departments. Documentation or evidence for employers to establish compliance with these regulatory requirements can include screenshots of the company website on which the advertisement appears for a period of no less than 15 days and screen shots of the web pages establishing the path that U.S. workers must follow to access the advertisement on the website.

Hiring U.S. Workers

The employer must hire any qualified U.S. worker who applies or is referred for the job opportunity until either (1) the date on which the last H–2B worker departs for the place of employment, or (2) 30 days after the last date on which the SWA job order is posted, whichever is later. Additionally, consistent with 20 CFR 655.40(a), applicants may be rejected only for lawful job-related reasons. Given that the employer, SWA, and AJC(s) will be actively engaged in conducting recruitment and broader dissemination of the job opportunity during the period of time the job order is active, this requirement provides an adequate period of time for U.S. workers to contact the employer or SWA for referral to the employer and completion of the additional recruitment steps described above. As explained above, the Departments have determined that if employers file a petition 30 or more days after their dates of need, they have not conducted recruitment recently enough for the Departments to reasonably conclude that there are currently an insufficient number of U.S. workers qualified, willing, and available to perform the work absent additional recruitment.

Because of the abbreviated timeline for the additional recruitment required for employers whose initial recruitment has gone stale, the Departments have determined that this hiring period is necessary to approximate the hiring period under normal recruitment procedures and ensure that domestic workers have access to these job opportunities, consistent with the Departments’ mandate. Additionally, given the relatively brief period during which additional recruitment will occur, additional time may be necessary for U.S. workers to have a meaningful opportunity to learn about the job opportunities and submit applications. The Departments remind all H–2B employers that the job opportunity must be, through the recruitment period set forth in this rule, open to any qualified U.S. worker regardless of race, color, sex, national origin, religion, citizenship, age, disability, or citizenship, as specified under 20 CFR 655.20(f). Further, employers that wish to require interviews must conduct those interviews by phone or provide a procedure for the interviews to be conducted in the location where the worker is being recruited so that the worker incurs little or no cost. Employers cannot provide potential H–2B workers with more favorable treatment with respect to the requirement for, and conduct of, interviews. See 20 CFR 655.40(d).

Any U.S. worker who applies or is referred for the job opportunity and is not considered by the employer for the job opportunity, experiences difficulty accessing or understanding the material terms and conditions of the job opportunity, or believes they have been improperly rejected by the employer may file a complaint directly with the SWA serving the area of intended employment. Each SWA maintains a complaint system for public labor exchange services, established under 20 CFR part 658, subpart E, and any complaint filed with the SWA by, or on behalf of, a U.S. worker about a specific H–2B job order will be processed under this existing complaint system.

Depending on the circumstances, the SWA may seek informal resolution by working with the complainant and the employer to resolve, for example, miscommunications with the employer to be considered for the job opportunity or other concerns or misunderstandings related to the terms of the job opportunity; or issue a formal, written determination where informal
resolution cannot be reached. In other circumstances, such as allegations involving discriminatory hiring practices or violations of other employment-related laws, the SWA will formally enter the complaint and refer the matter to an appropriate enforcement agency for prompt action. As mentioned above, DOL’s OFLC maintains a comprehensive directory of contact information for each SWA that can be used to obtain more information on how to file a complaint.

Although the hiring period may require some employers to hire U.S. workers after the start of the contract period, this is not unprecedented. For example, in the H–2A program, employers have been required to hire U.S. workers through 50 percent of the contract period since at least 2010, which “enhance[s] protections for U.S. workers, to the maximum extent possible, while balancing the potential costs to employers,” and is consistent with the Departments’ responsibility to ensure that these job opportunities are available to U.S. workers. 74 FR 45906, 45917. The Department acknowledges that hiring workers after the start of the contract period imposes an additional cost on employers, but that cost can be lessened, in part, by the ability to discharge the H–2B worker upon hiring a U.S. worker (note, however, that an employer must pay for any discharged H–2B worker’s return transportation, 20 CFR 655.20(j)(1)(ii) and 29 CFR 503.16(j)(1)(ii)). Additionally, this rule permits employers to immediately hire H–2B workers who are already present in the United States without waiting for approval of an H–2B petition, which will reduce the potential for harm to H–2B workers as a result of displacement by U.S. workers. See new 8 CFR 214.2(h)(31). Most importantly, a longer hiring period will ensure that available U.S. workers have a viable opportunity to apply for H–2B job opportunities. Accordingly, the Departments have determined that in affording the benefits of this temporary cap increase to businesses that are suffering irreparable harm or whose potential for irreparable harm is, it is necessary to ensure U.S. workers have sufficient time to apply for these jobs.

As in the temporary rules implementing the supplemental cap increases in prior years, employers must retain documentation demonstrating compliance with the recruitment requirements described above. Under this TFR, in accordance with 20 CFR 655.65, employers must retain documentation that demonstrates placement of a new job order with the SWA, contact with AJCs, contact with the bargaining representative or AFL–CIO when required, contact with former U.S. workers, compliance with 20 CFR 655.45(a) or (b), contact with current U.S. workers at the place of employment, and posting of the job opportunity on the employer’s website, if the employer has a website.

Employers must prepare and retain a recruitment report that describes these efforts and meets the requirements set forth in 20 CFR 655.48, including the requirement to update the recruitment report throughout the recruitment and hiring period set forth in paragraph (a)(4)(viii) of 20 CFR 655.64. Employers must maintain copies of the recruitment report, attestation, and supporting documentation, as described above, for a period of 3 years from the date that the TLC was approved, consistent with the document retention requirements under 20 CFR 655.65, 20 CFR 655.56, and 29 CFR 503.17. These requirements are similar to those that apply to certain seafood employers that stagger the entry of H–2B workers under 20 CFR 655.15(j).

The Departments are committed to ensuring that all recruitment conducted in conjunction with this rule complies with the additional recruitment requirements discussed above and encourages individuals with information about that recruitment to contact DOL through the OFLC H–2B Ombudsman Program email box (H2B.Ombudsman@ dol.gov). The H–2B Ombudsman Program facilitates the fair and equitable resolution of concerns that arise between employers, the H–2B worker community, by conducting independent and impartial inquiries into issues related to the administration of the H–2B program. The H–2B Ombudsman Program also receives concerns and information relevant to case processing from employers, unions, and worker advocate organizations and ensures such information is appropriately referred within OFLC or to SWAs, as appropriate.

DOL actively monitors the H–2B Ombudsman Program email box, which is the best method for the public to provide information to the Department that is relevant to the processing of H–2B applications. Such information may include information about an in-process TLC application, information regarding the employer’s compliance with H–2B recruitment of U.S. workers, or information bearing on an employer’s irreparable harm justification. When the H–2B Ombudsman Program receives information relevant to its review of an H–2B TLC application, the information will be forwarded to the H–2B processing center. The H–2B processing center will review the information it receives and will consider it, as appropriate.

The H–2B Ombudsman Program, however, is separate and distinct from the employment service complaint system administered by the Employment and Training Administration under regulations at 20 CFR part 658, subpart E. Any information relevant to an employment service complaint will be forwarded to the appropriate SWA. The public may also submit employment service complaints directly to the appropriate SWA; the contact information for each SWA is available at the following web page: https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/ foreign-labor/contact.

Complaints regarding an employer’s failure to comply with the H–2B program requirements may also be submitted to DOL’s WHD. WHD has the authority to investigate the employer’s attestations, as the attestations are a required part of the H–2B petition process under this rule and the attestations rely on the employer’s existing, approved TLC. Where a WHD investigation determines that there has been a willful misrepresentation of a material fact or a substantial failure to meet the required terms and conditions of the attestations, WHD may institute administrative proceedings to impose sanctions and remedies, including (but not limited to) assessment of civil money penalties; recovery of wages due to workers; make-whole relief for any U.S. worker who has been improperly rejected for employment, laid off, or displaced; make-whole relief for any person who has been discriminated against; and/or debarment for up to 5 years. See 29 CFR 503.19, 503.20. This regulatory authority is consistent with WHD’s existing enforcement authority and is not limited by the expiration date of this rule. Therefore, in accordance with the documentation retention requirements at 20 CFR 655.65, the petitioner must retain documents and records evidencing compliance with this rule, and must provide the documents and records upon request by DHS or DOL.

When conducting an investigation, WHD will generally review the employer’s compliance with this rule, the H–2B program obligations in general, and any other Federal labor laws that WHD enforces (such as the Fair Labor Standards Act, which establishes minimum wage, overtime, recordkeeping and child labor obligations for most employers in the United States) and determine whether the employer is subject. WHD’s investigations generally involve meeting
with the employer, touring the worksite, conducting confidential interviews with employees, reviewing records (including those required by 20 CFR 655.65 evidencing compliance with this rule), and, when appropriate, imposing sanctions and remedies (including back wages). For example, in the past five years (Fiscal Years 2019–2023), WHD collected more than $16.7 million in H–2B back wages owed to 10,778 workers, and assessed more than $12.4 million in H–2B civil money penalties.

Within the context of this rule, WHD’s investigative tools are particularly adept for the review of alleged violations that may result in back wages and/or that require intensive fact-finding at the worksite. Additionally, WHD is well suited to investigate alleged violations that occur after the job order has closed and H–2B workers are already in the United States. For example, WHD’s tools are well suited to investigate allegations that U.S. applicants were improperly rejected for the job opportunity (if supplemental recruitment was required as outlined in 20 CFR 655.64(a)(4)) after the job order has closed, as WHD may conduct employee interviews, question the employer as to why the applicant was not hired, review recruitment records, and, if a violation is substantiated, compute back wages for the improperly rejected U.S. applicant.

Additionally, WHD is well suited to investigate allegations of retaliation, as these cases involve complex fact finding and, if allegations are substantiated, may result in make-whole relief or back wages owed to the worker. An employer is prohibited from intimidating, threatening, restraining, coercing, blacklisting, discharging, or in any manner discriminating against any person who has, among other actions: filed a complaint related to H–2B rights and protections; consulted with a workers’ rights center, community organization, labor union, legal assistance program, or attorney on H–2B rights or protections; or exercised or asserted H–2B rights and protections on behalf of themselves or others. 20 CFR 655.20(n) and 29 CFR 503.16(n).

Examples of protected activity include making a complaint to a manager, employer, or WHD; cooperating with a WHD investigation; requesting payment of wages; refusing to return back wages to the employer; consulting with WHD or workers’ rights organization; and testifying in a trial. If other laws are applicable (such as the Fair Labor Standards Act), the anti-retaliation provisions of those laws may also be applicable.

In addition to the H–2B Ombudsman Program and the employment service complaint system under 20 CFR part 658, subpart E, which are described above, workers or U.S. applicants for job opportunities who believe their rights under the H–2B program have been violated may file complaints with WHD by telephone at 1–866–487–9243 or may access the telephone number via TTY by calling 1–877–889–5627 or visit https://www.dol.gov/agencies/whd to locate the nearest WHD office for assistance. Complainants should be prepared to provide their name and contact information; name, address, and contact information for the employer; and details about the alleged violation. WHD maintains all complaints as confidential unless the complainant provides WHD with permission to use their name when speaking to the employer.

DHS has the authority to verify any information submitted to establish H–2B eligibility at any time before or after the petition has been adjudicated by USCIS. See, e.g., INA sections 103 and 214 (8 U.S.C. 1103, 1184); see also 20 CFR part 103 and section 214.2(b). DHS’ verification methods may include, but are not limited to, review of public records and information, contact via written correspondence or telephone, unannounced physical site inspections, and interviews. USCIS will use information obtained through verification to determine H–2B eligibility and assess compliance with the requirements of the H–2B program. Subject to the exceptions described in 8 CFR 103.16(n), USCIS will provide petitioners with an opportunity to address adverse information that may result from a USCIS compliance review, verification, or site visit that occurs after a formal decision is made on a petition or after the agency has initiated an adverse action that may result in revocation or termination of an approval.

DOL’s OFLC already has the authority under 20 CFR 655.70 to conduct audit examinations on adjudicated Applications for Temporary Employment Certification, including all appropriate appendices, and verify any information supporting the employer’s attestations. OFLC uses audits of adjudicated Applications for Temporary Employment Certification, as authorized by 20 CFR 655.70, to ensure employer compliance with attestations made in its Application for Temporary Employment Certification and to ensure the employer has met all statutory and regulatory criteria and satisfied all program requirements. Though all COs have sole discretion to choose which Applications for Temporary Employment Certification will be audited. See 20 CFR 655.70(a). Post-adjudication audits can be used to establish a record of employer compliance or non-compliance with program requirements and the information gathered during the audit assists DOL in determining whether it needs to further investigate or debar an employer or its agent or attorney from future labor certifications.

Under this rule, an employer may submit a petition to USCIS, including a valid TLC and Form ETA–9142B–CAA–8, in which the employer attests to compliance with requirements for access to the supplemental H–2B visas allocated through 8 CFR 214.2(b)(6)(xiv), including that its business is suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm, and that it will conduct additional recruitment, if necessary to refresh the TLC’s labor market test. DHS and DOL consider Form ETA–9142B–CAA–8 to be an appendix to the Application for Temporary Employment Certification and the attestations contained on the Form ETA–9142B–CAA–8 and documentation supporting the attestations to be evidence that is incorporated into and a part of the approved TLC. Therefore, DOL’s audit authority includes the authority to audit the veracity of any attestations made on Form ETA–9142B–CAA–8 and documentation supporting the attestations. In order to make certain that the supplemental visa allocation is not subject to fraud or abuse, DHS will continue to share information regarding Forms ETA–9142B–CAA–8 with DOL, consistent with existing authorities. This information sharing between DHS and DOL, along with relevant information that may be obtained through the separate SWA and WHD complaint systems, are expected to support DOL’s identification of SWA and that it will conduct additional recruitment, required under this rule. In the event of an audit, the OFLC CO will send a letter to the employer and, if appropriate, a copy of the letter to the
employer’s attorney or agent, listing the documentation the employer must submit and the date by which the documentation must be sent to the CO. During audits under this rule, the CO will request documentation necessary to demonstrate the employer conducted all recruitment steps required under this rule and truthfully attested to the irreparable harm the employer was suffering or would suffer in the near future without the ability to employ all of the H–2B workers requested under the cap increase, including documentation in future filings of an Application for Temporary Employment Certification for a period of an Application for Temporary recruitment in future filings of an Application for Temporary Employment Certification, under 20 CFR 655.71. Where an audit examination or review of information from DHS or other appropriate agencies determines that there has been fraud or willful misrepresentation of a material fact or a substantial failure to meet the required terms and conditions of the attestations or failure to comply with the audit examination process, OFLC may institute appropriate administrative proceedings to impose sanctions on the employer. Those sanctions may result in revocation of an approved TLC, the requirement that the employer undergo assisted recruitment in future filings of an Application for Temporary Employment Certification for a period of up to 2 years, and/or debarment from the H–2B program and any other foreign labor certification program administered by DOL for 1 to 5 years. See 20 CFR 655.71, 655.72, 655.73. Additionally, OFLC has the authority to provide any finding made or documents received during the course of conducting an audit examination to DHS, WHD, IER, or other enforcement agencies. OFLC’s existing audit authority is independently authorized, and is not limited by the expiration date of this rule. Therefore, in accordance with the documentation retention requirements at 20 CFR 655.65, the petitioner must retain documents and records proving compliance with this rule, and must provide the documents and records upon request by DHS or DOL. Petitioners must also comply with any other applicable laws, such as avoiding unlawful discrimination against U.S. workers based on their citizenship status or national origin. Specifically, the failure to recruit and hire qualified and available U.S. workers on account of such individuals’ national origin or citizenship status may violate INA section 274B, 8 U.S.C. 1324b.

IV. Statutory and Regulatory Requirements

A. Administrative Procedure Act

This rule is issued without prior notice and opportunity to comment and with an immediate effective date pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), 5 U.S.C. 553(b) and (d).

1. Good Cause To Forgo Notice and Comment Rulemaking

The APA, 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency, for good cause, finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” Among other things, the good cause exception for forgoing notice and comment rulemaking “excuses notice and comment in emergency situations, or where delay could result in serious harm.” Jiffy v. FAA, 370 F.3d 1174, 1179 (D.C. Cir. 2004). Courts have found “good cause” under the APA in similar situations when an agency is moving expeditiously to avoid significant economic harm to a program, program users, or an industry. See, e.g., Nat’l Fed’n of Fed. Emps. v. Devine, 671 F.2d 607, 611 (D.C. Cir. 1982) (holding that an agency may use the good cause exception to address a “serious threat to the financial stability of [a government benefit program]”); Am. Fed’n of Gov’t Emps. v. Block, 655 F.2d 1153, 1156 (D.C. Cir. 1981) (finding good cause when an agency bypassed notice and comment to avoid “economic harm and disruption” to a given industry, which would likely result in higher consumer prices).

Although the good-cause exception is “narrowly construed and only reluctantly countenanced.” Tenn. Gas Pipeline Co. v. FERC, 969 F.2d 1141, 1144 (D.C. Cir. 1992), the Departments have appropriately invoked the exception in this case due to the time exigencies resulting from the unique procedural history of the Department’s authority for this action and the ongoing economic need for this rulemaking, as described further below. Overall, the Departments are bypassing notice and comment to prevent “serious economic harm to the H–2B community,” including U.S. employers, associated U.S. workers, and related professional associations, that could result from the failure to provide supplemental visas as authorized by Congress. See Bayou Lawn & Landscape Servs. v. Johnson, 173 F. Supp. 3d 1271, 1285 & n.12 (N.D. Fla. 2016). The Departments note that this action is temporary in nature, see id., and limits eligibility for H–2B supplemental visas to only those businesses most in need, and also protects H–2B and U.S. workers.

With respect to the supplemental allocations provisions in 8 CFR 214.2 and 20 CFR part 655, subpart A, as explained above, the Departments are acting pursuant to the extension of supplemental cap authority in Section 303 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023 by sections 101(6) and 106 of the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2024 and Other Extensions Act (authorized on September 30, 2023) to FY 2024. The deadline for exercising the FY 2024 supplemental cap authority under the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2023 is November 17, 2023, the date on which the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2024 expires. This timing concern is critical since the Departments are bypassing advance notice and comment in order to urgently address increased labor demand. Acting expeditiously is intended to prevent economic harm resulting from American businesses suffering irreparable harm due to a lack of a sufficient labor force. This harm would ensue if the Departments do not exercise the authority provided by the extension of supplemental cap authority. USCIS

175 Because the Departments have issued this rule as a temporary final rule, the supplemental cap portion of this rule—with the sole exception of the document retention requirements—will be in effect after September 30, 2024. The ability to initiate employment with a new employer pursuant to the portability provisions of this rule expires at the end of January 24, 2025.


177 Pursuant to section 101(6) and 106 of the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2024, Division A, Public Law 118–15, the deadline for exercising the FY 2024 supplemental cap authority under this act is Nov. 17, 2023, the date on which the Continuing Appropriations Act expires.

178 See Irina Ivanova, America’s labor shortage is actually an immigrant shortage, CBS News, https://www.cbsnews.com/news/americas-labor-shortage/ (Apr. 8, 2022). (“U.S. employers say it’s a hard time to find and keep talent. Workers are decamping at near-record rates, while millions of open jobs go unfilled. One reason for this labor crunch has largely flown beneath the radar: Immigration to the U.S. is plummeting, a shift with potentially enormous long-term implications for the job market.”)
received more than enough petitions to meet the H–2B visa statutory cap for the first half of FY 2024 on October 11, 2023. Based on past years’ experience, DHS anticipates that it will also receive sufficient petitions to meet the semiannual cap for the second half of the FY 2024; last year on February 27, 2023, USCIS received sufficient petitions to meet the H–2B visa statutory cap for the second half of FY 2023. Given the continued high demand of American businesses for H–2B workers (as discussed in this preamble), rapidly evolving economic conditions and historically high labor demand, and the limited time remaining until the expiration of the continuing resolution authorizing supplemental cap authority to help prevent further irreparable harm currently experienced by some U.S. employers or avoid impending economic harm for others, a decision to undertake notice and comment rulemaking, which would delay final action on this matter by months, would greatly complicate and potentially preclude the Departments from successfully exercising the authority created by section 303, Public Law 117–328 as extended to FY 2024 by secs. 101(6) and 106, Public Law 118–15. If the Departments are precluded from exercising this authority, substantial economic harm will result for the reasons stated above.

The temporary portability and change of employer provisions in 8 CFR 214.2 and 274a.12 are also supported by labor market demands. Courts have found “good cause” under the APA when an agency is moving expeditiously to avoid significant economic harm to a program, program users, or an industry. Courts have held that an agency may use the good cause exception to address “a serious threat to the financial stability of a government benefit program,” Nat’l Fed’n of Fed. Emps. v. Devine, 671 F.2d 607, 611 (D.C. Cir. 1982), or to avoid “economic harm and disruption” to a given industry, which would likely result in higher consumer prices, Am. Fed’n of Gov’t Emps. v. Block, 655 F.2d 1153, 1156 (D.C. Cir. 1981).

Finally, taking public comments on this year’s temporary final rule before implementation may have limited utility given that the Departments took post-promulgation public comments during a 60-day comment period on last year’s (FY 2023) nearly identical TFR. Those comments are discussed in detail above in the preamble of this temporary final rule. In addition, DHS is separately pursuing broader programmatic improvements in the H–2B and H–2A programs through a separate notice and comment rulemaking which includes a proposal to make portability permanent for all H–2 workers.

2. Good Cause To Proceed With an Immediate Effective Date

The APA also authorizes agencies to make a rule effective immediately, upon a showing of good cause, instead of imposing a 30-day delay. 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3). The good cause exception to the 30-day effective date requirement is easier to meet than the good cause exception for foregoing notice and comment rulemaking. Riverbend Farms, Inc. v. Madigan, 958 F.2d 1479, 1485 (9th Cir. 1992); Am. Fed’n of Gov’t Emps., AFL-CIO v. Block, 655 F.2d 1153, 1156 (D.C. Cir. 1981); U.S. Steel Corp. v. EPA, 605 F.2d 283, 289–90 (7th Cir. 1979). An agency can show good cause for eliminating the 30-day delayed effective date when it demonstrates urgent conditions the rule seeks to correct or unavoidable time limitations. U.S. Steel Corp., 605 F.2d at 290; United States v. Gavrilovic, 511 F.2d 1099, 1104 (8th Cir. 1977). For the same reasons set forth above expressing the need for immediate action, we also conclude that the Departments have good cause to dispense with the 30-day effective date requirement.

B. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review; Executive Order 14094: Modernizing Regulatory Review; and Executive Order 13563: Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review

Under E.O. 12866, OMB’s Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) determines whether a regulatory action is significant and, therefore, subject to the requirements of the E.O. and review by OMB. 58 FR 51735. Section 3(f) of E.O. 12866, as amended by E.O. 14094, defines a “significant regulatory action” as an action that is likely to result in a rule that: (1) has an annual effect on the economy of $200 million or more, or adversely affects in a material way a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities; (2) creates serious inconsistency or otherwise interferes with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) materially alters the budgetary impacts of entitlement grants, user fees, or loan programs, or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or (4) raises novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President’s priorities, or the principles set forth in the E.O. 88 FR 21879.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has designated this temporary final rule a significant regulatory action under section 3(f)(1) of Executive Order 12866, as amended by Executive Order 14094, because its annual effects on the economy exceed $200 million in any year of the analysis. Accordingly, OMB has reviewed this rule.

E.O. 13563 directs agencies to propose or adopt a regulation only upon a reasoned determination that its benefits justify its costs; the regulation is tailored to impose the least burden on society, consistent with achieving the regulatory objectives; and in choosing among alternative regulatory approaches, the agency has selected those approaches that maximize net benefits. E.O. 13563 recognizes that some benefits are difficult to quantify and provides that, where appropriate and permitted by law, agencies may consider (and discuss qualitatively) values that are difficult or impossible to quantify, including equity, human dignity, fairness, and distributive impacts.

1. Summary

With this temporary final rule (TFR), DHS is authorizing the release of up to an additional 64,716 total H–2B visas to be allocated throughout FY 2024. In accordance with the FY 2024 continuing resolution extending the authority provided in section 303 of the FY 2023 Omnibus, DHS is allocating the supplemental visas in the following manner:

181 On September 20, 2023, DHS issued a Modernizing H–2 Program Requirements, Oversight, and Worker Protections Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), 88 FR 65040, 65066, with a 60-day public comment period that ends on November 20, 2023. In that NPRM, DHS proposed to extend portability to H–2A and H–2B workers on a permanent basis.
As with previous H–2B visa supplements, these visas will be available to businesses that: (1) show that there are an insufficient number of U.S. workers to meet their needs throughout FY 2024; (2) attest that their businesses are suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm without the ability to employ all of the H–2B workers requested on their petition; and (3) petition for returning workers who were issued an H–2B visa or were otherwise granted H–2B status in FY 2021, 2022, or 2023, unless the H–2B worker is a national of one of the countries included in the country-specific allocation. Additionally, up to 20,000 visas may be granted to workers from countries included in the country-specific allocation who are exempt from the returning worker requirement. This TFR aims to prevent irreparable harm to certain U.S. businesses by allowing them to hire additional H–2B workers within FY 2024.

The estimated total costs to petitioners range from $7,530,484 to $10,043,625. The estimated total cost to the Federal Government is $350,028. Therefore, DHS estimates that the total cost of this rule ranges from $7,880,512 to $10,393,653. Total transfers from filing fees made by petitioners to the Government are $9,214,500. The benefits of this rule are diverse, though some of them are difficult to quantify. Some of these benefits include:

- Employers benefit from this rule significantly through increased access to H–2B workers;
- Customers and others benefit directly or indirectly from increased access;
- Some American workers may benefit to the extent that they do not lose jobs through the reduced or closed business activity that might occur if fewer H–2B workers were available;
- Some American workers may benefit from the additional recruitment activities that the rule requires certain petitioners to complete, to the extent that these activities could result in some U.S. workers being hired;
- The existence of a lawful pathway for up to 20,000 temporary workers from countries included in the country-specific allocation is likely to provide multiple benefits in terms of U.S. policy with respect to those countries; and
- The Federal Government benefits from increased evidence regarding attestations. Table 2 provides a summary of the provisions in this rule and some of their impacts.

### Table 1. Allocation of Suplemental Visas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplement</th>
<th>Number of visas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY24 First Half Returning Worker Allocation</td>
<td>20,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY24 Second Half Returning Worker Allocation</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY24 Second Half Returning Worker Allocation #2 - (Late season Filers)</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY24 Country-specific Allocation (available whole FY)</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY24 Total Supplemental Visas</td>
<td>64,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Provision</td>
<td>Changes Resulting from the Provisions of the TFR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The current statutory cap limits H-2B visa allocations to 66,000 workers a year.</td>
<td>- The amended provisions will allow for an additional 64,716 H-2B temporary workers. Up to 20,000 of the 64,716 additional visas will be reserved for workers who are nationals of the countries included in the country-specific allocation and will be exempt from the returning worker requirement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>- Petitioners will be required to fill out Form ETA-9142B in order to utilize the 5,000 late season H-2B visas allocated under the rule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>- Petitioners will be required to fill out the newly created Form ETA-9142-B-CAA-8, Attestation for Employers Seeking to Employ H-2B Nonimmigrant Workers Under Section 303 of Division O of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023, Public Law 117-328, as extended by sections 101(6) and 106 of Division A of the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$62,173 if filed by human resource specialists. The total estimated costs associated with filing Form I-907 would range from approximately $124,560 if filed by an in-house lawyer to approximately $214,754 if filed by an outsourced lawyer. The total estimated opportunity cost of time associated with requesting premium processing ranges from approximately $186,733 to approximately $276,927.

- The total estimated costs of this provision to petitioners range from $1,909,603 to $2,879,061, depending on the filer.

n/a - Petitioners will be required to fill out Form ETA-9142B in order to file Form ETA-9142B in order to utilize the 5,000 late season H-2B visas allocated under the rule.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Continuing Appropriations Act, 2024 and Other Extensions Act, Public Law 118-15.</th>
<th>n/a</th>
<th>- Certain Petitioners would be required to conduct an additional round of recruitment. - The total estimated cost to petitioners to conduct an additional round of recruitment is approximately $284,245. - The additional round of recruitment will ensure that a U.S. worker who is willing and able to fill the position is not replaced by a nonimmigrant worker. Furthermore, additional recruitment activities may result in some U.S. workers being hired.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>- An H-2B nonimmigrant who is physically present in the United States may port to another employer. - The total estimated opportunity cost of time to file Form I-129 by human resource specialists is approximately $34,046. The total estimated opportunity cost of time to file Form I-129 and Form G-28 will range from approximately $81,456 if filed by in-house lawyers to approximately $140,444 if filed by outsourced lawyers. - The total estimated costs associated with filing Form I-907 if it is filed with Form I-129 is $4,167 if filed by human resource specialists. The total estimated costs associated with filing Form I-907 would range from approximately $8,344 if filed by an in-house lawyer to approximately $14,385 if filed by an outsourced lawyer. - The total estimated costs associated with filing Form I-907 must be paid by the petitioner. - H-2B workers present in the United States will be able to port to another employer and potentially extend their stay and, therefore, earn additional wages. - An H-2B worker with an employer that is not complying with H-2B program requirements would have additional flexibility in porting to another employer’s certified position. - This provision would ensure employers will be able to hire the H-2B workers they need.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>- DHS and DOL intend to conduct several audits during the period of temporary need to verify compliance with H-2B program requirements, including the irreparable harm standard as well as other key worker protection provisions implemented through this rule.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Employers will have to comply with audits for an estimated total opportunity cost of time of $213,948.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- It is expected both DHS and DOL will be able to shift resources to be able to conduct these audits without incurring additional costs. However, the Departments will incur opportunity costs of time. The audits are expected to take a total of approximately 4,200 hours and cost approximately $350,028.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- DOL and DHS audits will yield evidence of the efficacy of attestations in enforcing compliance with H-2B supplemental cap requirements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Conducting a significant number of audits will discourage uncorroborated attestations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Scrutiny</td>
<td>- Some petitioners will provide additional evidence.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Some employers will need to print and ship additional evidence to USCIS. Opportunity costs of time associated with compiling such evidence are unavailable due to the unique fact pattern in each instance and a lack of data regarding the time to comply. The</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Additional scrutiny of employers with past H-2B program violations are aimed at ensuring compliance with program requirements, reducing harms to both U.S. workers and H-2B workers.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Background and Purpose of the Temporary Rule

The H–2B visa classification program was designed to serve U.S. businesses that are unable to find enough U.S. workers to perform nonagricultural work of a temporary nature. For a nonimmigrant worker to be admitted into the United States under this visa classification, the hiring employer is required to: (1) receive a temporary labor certification (TLC) from the Department of Labor (DOL); and (2) file Form I–129 with DHS. The temporary nature of the services or labor described on the approved TLC is subject to DHS review during adjudication of Form I–129.182 The INA sets the annual number of H–2B visas for workers performing temporary nonagricultural work at 66,000 to be distributed semiannually beginning in October (33,000) and in April (33,000).183 Any unused H–2B visas from the first half of the fiscal year are available for employers seeking to hire H–2B workers during the second half of the fiscal year. However, any unused H–2B visas from one fiscal year do not carry over into the next and would therefore not be made available.184 Once the statutory H–2B visa cap limit has been reached, petitioners must wait until the next half of the fiscal year, or the beginning of the next fiscal year, for additional visas to become available.

On September 30, 2023, the President signed the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2024 and Other Extensions Act. Sections 101(6) and 106 reauthorize Sec. 303 of Div. O of the Consolidated Appropriations Act FY 2023, permitting the Secretary of Homeland Security, under certain circumstances, to increase the number of H–2B visas available to U.S. employers, notwithstanding the established statutory numerical limitation. After consulting with the Secretary of Labor, the Secretary of the Homeland Security has determined it is appropriate to exercise his discretion and raise the H–2B cap by up to a total of 64,716 visas for FY 2024. The total supplemental allocation will be divided into four separate allocations: one for the first half of FY 2024, two for the second half of FY 2024 (a first one for employment from April 1 through May 14, 2024, and a second one for those with start dates on or after May 15, 2024), and a full fiscal year allocation for workers from the countries included in the country-specific allocation. As with previous supplemental allocations, USCIS will make these supplemental visas available only to businesses that qualify and meet the requirements for the supplemental visas. These businesses must attest that they are suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm without the ability to employ all the H–2B workers requested on their petition.

Familiarization Cost

- Petitioners or their representatives will familiarize themselves with the rule.

Total Costs

- Petitioners or their representatives will need to read and understand the rule at an estimated total opportunity cost of time that ranges from $3,001,288 to $4,451,415.

- Petitioners will have the necessary information to take advantage of and comply with the provisions of this rule.

- Total cost of the rule to petitioners ranges from $7,530,484 to $10,043,625 depending on the filer. Total costs of the rule to government are $350,028. Total costs of the rule range from $7,880,512 to $10,393,653.

Source: USCIS and DOL analysis.

---

182 Revised effective 1/18/2009; Changes to Requirements Affecting H-2B Nonimmigrants and Their Employers; Correction, 73 FR 78104 (Jan. 19, 2009); Changes to Requirements Affecting H-2B Nonimmigrants and Their Employers; Correction, 74 FR 2837 (Jan 18, 2009).


184 A temporary labor certification (TLC) approved by the Department of Labor must accompany an H-2B petition. The employment start date stated on the petition must match the start date listed on the TLC. See 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(iv)(A) and (D).
In contrast to previously issued H–2B TFRs which codified the availability of supplemental H–2B visas only after the relevant statutory fiscal half-year caps had been reached, the Secretaries have determined that this TFR will cover the entirety of FY 2024. While the Departments cannot predict with certainty what labor market conditions will be during the second half of FY 2024, they believe that the structure of this TFR is reasonable because: (1) the availability of the second half FY supplemental visas is contingent on the exhaustion of the second half FY statutory cap, (2) strong historical demand for H–2B workers, and (3) mainstream estimates of labor market conditions for FY 2024 indicate a continuation of labor market tightness from a historical perspective.\textsuperscript{185}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Number of Certifications</th>
<th>Number of DOL Certified Workers Requested</th>
<th>DOL Certified Workers with requested start dates 4/1 or later</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>7,044</td>
<td>141,787</td>
<td>91,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>6,001</td>
<td>123,291</td>
<td>78,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>6,365</td>
<td>136,711</td>
<td>89,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>8,899</td>
<td>177,429</td>
<td>113,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>10,385</td>
<td>193,961</td>
<td>113,505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-yr Average\textsuperscript{187}</td>
<td>7,739</td>
<td>154,636</td>
<td>97,182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With respect to historical demand for H–2B workers, Table 3 makes two important points supporting the Departments’ decision to structure this rule in a manner that covers the entire fiscal year. First, Table 3 shows that H–2B demand, as represented by the number of workers requested on certified TLCs, has outpaced the statutorily capped allotment of H–2B visas. This demonstrates that, in aggregate, there is sufficient demand for the entire supplementary allocation that the Departments are making available. To that end, the 5-year average of workers requested on certified TLCs, 154,636, would still completely exhaust the total supplemental allocation made available by the TFR. Second, Table 3 demonstrates that within a given fiscal year, demand for H–2B workers is particularly strong in the second half of the fiscal year. On average over the last 5 fiscal years, H–2B employers have requested 97,182 employees with start dates on April 1 or later, which would completely exhaust the 24,000\textsuperscript{188} total supplemental H–2B visas explicitly set aside for workers with employment start dates in the second half of FY 2024. Given these conditions, the Departments believe that the decision to authorize a second half supplement is reasonable.

\textsuperscript{185} September 2023 Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) projections for unemployment rate in 2024 ranged from 3.7 to 4.5% with central tendency more tightly clustered between 3.9 and 4.4%. See https://www.federalreserve.gov/monetarypolicy/fomcproultd0230920.htm (last accessed Sept. 29, 2023).

\textsuperscript{186} USCIS analysis of OFLC Performance data. All data are for applications listed as having a case status of “Certification”, “Partial Certification”, “Determination—Certification”, or “Determination—Partial Certification”. Furthermore, data have been adjusted to a fiscal year using the employment begin date provided on the TLC application. As such, counts differ from counts based on the Disclosure Files of OFLC H–2B Performance data. This adjustment was made so that the OFLC data more closely align to USCIS I–129 data. Data for FY 2023 include data through the end of quarter 3.

\textsuperscript{187} Averages are rounded to the nearest whole number.

\textsuperscript{188} 19,000 visas for returning workers and 5,000 visas for filers with employment start dates May 15, 2024 or later.

Employers must have a DOL-approved TLC before filing their Form I–129 request for H–2B workers with USCIS. Because the availability of H–2B visas is limited by statute and regulation, USCIS generally announces to the public when it has received a sufficient number of I–129 petitions, and by extension H–2B beneficiaries, to exhaust the respective H–2B visa allocation. USCIS rejects H–2B I–129 petitions that are received after USCIS has determined that a given allocation has been fully utilized. Functionally, this means that a subset of petitioners that would utilize H–2B workers given the chance may not be able to do so because the available visas have already been allocated before they can petition USCIS for the necessary workers. Using OFLC TLC data, Table 4 illustrates that relative to 2016, when employers of returning workers had greater flexibility in determining TLC-requested start dates, requested H–2B employment start dates have become increasingly concentrated in April.

### Table 4. DOL Certified Worker Demand for April Start Dates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Certified DOL Workers Requested</th>
<th>DOL Certified Workers with requested start dates in April</th>
<th>Percentage of DOL Certified Workers with requested start dates in April</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>93,324</td>
<td>42,469</td>
<td>45.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>129,374</td>
<td>80,239</td>
<td>62.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>141,787</td>
<td>85,421</td>
<td>60.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>123,291</td>
<td>76,168</td>
<td>61.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>136,711</td>
<td>86,589</td>
<td>63.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>177,429</td>
<td>108,361</td>
<td>61.07%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. DOL Certified Worker Demand post-April Start Dates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Certified DOL Workers Requested</th>
<th>DOL Certified Workers with requested start dates after April</th>
<th>Percentage of DOL Certified Workers with requested start dates after April</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>93,324</td>
<td>16,736</td>
<td>17.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>129,374</td>
<td>5,470</td>
<td>4.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>141,787</td>
<td>5,788</td>
<td>4.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>123,291</td>
<td>2,376</td>
<td>1.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>136,711</td>
<td>2,954</td>
<td>2.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>177,429</td>
<td>4,747</td>
<td>2.68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

190 See 20 CFR 655.15(b).


192 Tables 4 and 5 contain USCIS analysis of OFLC Performance data. All data are for applications listed as having a case status of “Certification”, “Partial Certification”, “Determination—Certification”, or “Determination—Partial Certification.” Furthermore, data have been adjusted to a fiscal year using the employment begin date provided on the TLC application. As such, counts differ from counts based on the Disclosure Files of OFLC H–2B Performance data. This adjustment was made so that the OFLC data more closely align to USCIS I–129 data.
This has given rise to the concern that this proliferation of April start dates may be crowding out employers with labor needs later in the season (shown in Table 5). These data suggest there may be structural barriers that preclude employers with later start dates from being able to employ needed workers through the H–2B program. To illustrate, in FY 2016, a temporary statutory provision exempted certain H–2B visas from the cap that had been counted against the cap in any of the three prior fiscal years. Data from FY 2016 show a much higher incidence of employers that request relatively later start dates, suggesting that employers with late-season needs would use the H–2B program but for the unavailability of visas.

As part of the FY 2023 TFR, USCIS made 10,000 visas available to petitioners with start dates later in the season (after May 15). The goal for this separate allocation was to address this potentially inequitable situation and to take steps towards collecting information through that rule to determine whether such a structural barrier exists. As of September 2023, approximately 72 percent of the late-season filer allocation was used. Preliminary analysis of Form I–129 filings under the late-season filer allocation suggests some variation in the demand by industry appeared for this allocation. Specifically, petitioners in the Seafood Product Preparation and Packaging industry (NAICS 3117), appeared to respond to the availability of the late-season filer allocation. This industry historically requested temporary H–2B workers during the first half of the fiscal year for both statutory caps and any available supplemental allocations, such that well over 50 percent of the total industry beneficiaries were for the first half of a given fiscal year. For FY 2023, however, approximately 47 percent of industry beneficiaries were requested under first half caps while almost 22 percent were requested under the late-season filer allocation. This data point, while limited, provides some evidence that certain industries may be able to more efficiently fulfill their temporary labor needs by petitioning for beneficiaries under this cap.

While DOL TLC data indicates that there was sufficient employer demand to exhaust the late-season filer allocation, the Form I–129 filing data mentioned above indicates that fewer employers took the subsequent (and necessary) step of filing for supplemental workers under this cap. Therefore, the Departments’ experience with the late-season filer allocation under the prior TFR confirms that the demand for workers with later start dates exists, though it may not be fully reflected in petition filings. To that end, USCIS has elected to scale down this allocation, as mentioned in the preamble.

The Secretaries have also determined that up to 20,000 of the 64,716 additional visas will be reserved for workers who are nationals of the countries included in the country-specific allocation and that these 20,000 workers will be exempt from the returning worker requirement. These visas will be available for the entirety of the fiscal year and do not have limitations regarding the requested start date of the H–2B beneficiaries’ employment within the fiscal year. If the 20,000-visa limit has been reached, a petitioner may request H–2B visas for workers who are nationals of the countries included in the country-specific allocation but these workers must be returning workers.

The Departments note that they are committed to analyzing the results and impacts of this and future H–2B supplemental visa TFRs in a holistic manner, and have attempted to fully quantify the potential impacts of the FY 2024 TFR, where time and data allow.

3. Population

This rule will affect those employers that file Form I–129 on behalf of nonimmigrant workers they seek to hire under the H–2B visa program. More specifically, this rule will affect those employers that can establish that their business is suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm without the ability to employ all the H–2B workers requested on their petition and without the exercise of authority that is the subject of this rule. Due to historical trends and strong demand for the H–2B program (see Table 3), the Departments believe that it is reasonable to assume that the population of eligible petitioners for these additional 64,716 visas will generally be the same population as those employers that would already complete the steps to receive an approved TLC irrespective of this rule. One exception is the population of late season employers, described below.

This rule will also have additional impacts on the population of H–2B employers and workers presently in the United States by permitting some H–2B workers to port to another certified H–2B employer. These H–2B workers will continue to earn wages and gaining employers will continue to obtain necessary workers.

a. Population That Will File a Form I–129, Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker

As discussed above, the population that will file a Form I–129 is necessarily limited to those business that have already established that their business is suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm without the ability to employ all the H–2B workers requested on their petition and without the exercise of authority that is the subject of this rule. Because the number of supplementary visas available is finite, USCIS has generally informed the public when the number of submitted Form I–129 petitions and, by extension, the number of respective beneficiaries is enough to exhaust the supply of supplemental visas.

193 USCIS, Office of Performance and Quality, SAS PME C3 Consolidated, Data queried 09/2023, TRK 12921, Calculation: 7,198 beneficiaries granted visas under the late-season filer allocation/10,000 visas allocated = 71.98% utilization.

194 For Fiscal Years 2018 through 2022, Petitioners in NAICS 3117 were approved for 49,332 non cap-exempt beneficiaries. Of that total, 31,204 were approved for the first half of a fiscal year, yielding a rate of 63% (rounded).

195 USCIS Analysis as of 7/29/2023, Office of Performance and Quality, SAS PME C3 Consolidated, Data queried 07/2023, TRK 12149.


Table 6 shows the total supplemental H–2B visa allocations issued by the Departments in each fiscal year since 2017, including the total number of petitions and the total number of beneficiaries submitted under a supplement in each fiscal year. Using the historical average of 14.85 beneficiaries per petition for supplemental visas derived in Table 6, USCIS anticipates that 4,358 Forms I–129 will be submitted as a result of this temporary final rule.

Using the estimates in Table 6, the Departments further estimate that the allocation of 5,000 visas for late season filers made by this TFR, addressing the disadvantage these employers face in accessing scarce H–2B visas, will result in 337 additional Form ETA–9142B requests to DOL, assuming each late season visa requestor submits a TLC and Form I–129 for the historic average of 14.85 beneficiaries. The number of additional Form ETA–9142B requests could be lower if some petitioners that would have filed for April 1 start dates in the absence of this TFR change their behavior to request late season workers as a result of this allocation. Alternatively, this number could be higher if late season filers are at a larger disadvantage in accessing H–2B workers than recent data suggests. The Departments commit to monitoring the utilization of these late season FY24 visas to determine if this carve-out promotes access, as anticipated, to employers with needs for workers later in the second half of the fiscal year but that have faced obstacles to accessing H–2B workers in the past.

USCIS recognizes that some employers will have to submit two I–129 Forms if they choose to request H–2B workers under both the returning worker and country-specific caps. At this time, USCIS cannot predict how many employers will choose to take advantage of more than one allocation, and therefore recognizes that the number of petitions may be underestimated.

b. Population That Files Form G–28

If a lawyer or accredited representative submits Form I–129 on behalf of the petitioner, Form G–28, Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Accredited Representative, must accompany the Form I–129 submission. Using data from FY 2018 to FY 2022, we estimate that a lawyer or accredited representative will file 47.21 percent of Form I–129 petitions. Table 7 shows the percentage of Form I–129 H–2B petitions that were accompanied by a Form G–28. Therefore, we estimate that in-house or outsourced lawyers will file 2,057 Forms I–129 and Forms G–28, and that human resources (HR) specialists will file 2,301 Forms I–129.

### Table 6. I-129 Petitions per Supplemental H-2B Visa Allocation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplement</th>
<th>Supplement Amount</th>
<th>Total I-129 Petitions Received</th>
<th>Total I-129 Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Beneficiaries per I-129 petition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018 Supplement</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>15,868</td>
<td>16.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Supplement</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>33,239</td>
<td>12.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 Supplement</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>2,180</td>
<td>31,274</td>
<td>14.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 Supplement</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>4,045</td>
<td>61,868</td>
<td>15.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023 Supplement</td>
<td>64,716</td>
<td>4,900</td>
<td>79,095</td>
<td>16.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>14.85</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

198 In Fiscal Year 2021, the Departments authorized a single supplemental allocation which was divided between returning workers and workers from specific countries. See https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/05/25/2021-11048/exercise-of-time-limited-authority-to-increase-the-fiscal-year-2021-numerical-limitation-for-the accessed October 6, 2023.


200 FY 2020 was not included due to the suspension of additional H–2B visas to be released in 2020. DHS also noted that the Department of State had suspended routine visa services.

201 Calculation for expected late season TLCs: 5,000 visas / 14.85 beneficiaries per petition = 337 TLCs (rounded down).

202 Calculation for expected late season TLCs: 5,000 visas / 14.85 beneficiaries per petition = 337 TLCs (rounded down).


204 Calculation: 4,358 estimated additional petitions * 47.21 percent of petitions filed by a lawyer = 2,057 (rounded) petitions filed by a lawyer.

205 Calculation: 4,358 estimated additional petitions – 2,057 petitions filed by a lawyer = 2,301 petitions filed by an HR specialist.
c. Population That Files Form I–907, Request for Premium Processing Service

Employers may use Form I–907, Request for Premium Processing Service, to request faster processing of their Form I–129 petitions for H–2B visas. Table 8 shows the percentage of Form I–129 H–2B petitions that were filed with a Form I–907. Using data from FY 2018 to FY 2022, USCIS estimates that approximately 91.43 percent of Form I–129 H–2B petitioners will file a Form I–907 requesting premium processing. Based on this historical data, USCIS estimates that 3,985 Forms I–907 will be filed with the Forms I–129 as a result of this rule. Of these 3,985 premium processing requests, we estimate that in-house or outsourced lawyers will file 1,881 Forms I–907 and HR specialists or an equivalent occupation will file 2,104.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Number of Form I-129 H-2B petitions accompanied by a Form G-28</th>
<th>Total Number of Form I-129 H-2B petitions received</th>
<th>Percent of Form I-129 H-2B petitions accompanied by a Form G-28</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>3,335</td>
<td>7,461</td>
<td>44.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2,434</td>
<td>5,422</td>
<td>44.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>4,228</td>
<td>9,160</td>
<td>46.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>5,983</td>
<td>12,392</td>
<td>48.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>6,498</td>
<td>13,181</td>
<td>49.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 - 2023 Total</td>
<td>22,478</td>
<td>47,616</td>
<td>47.21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: USCIS, Office of Performance and Quality, SAS PME C3 Consolidated, Data queried 09/2023, TRK 12921


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Number of Form I-129 H-2B Petitions Accompanied by Form I-907</th>
<th>Total Number of Form I-129 H-2B Petitions Received</th>
<th>Percent of Form I-129 H-2B Petitions Accompanied by Form I-907</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>7,227</td>
<td>7,461</td>
<td>96.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>4,341</td>
<td>5,422</td>
<td>80.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>8,650</td>
<td>9,160</td>
<td>94.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>11,773</td>
<td>12,392</td>
<td>95.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>11,543</td>
<td>13,181</td>
<td>87.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 - 2023 Total</td>
<td>43,534</td>
<td>47,616</td>
<td>91.43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: USCIS, Office of Performance and Quality, SAS PME C3 Consolidated, Data queried 09/2023, TRK 12921

---

205 Calculation: \(4,358 \times 91.43\% \times 47.21\% = 3,985\) additional Form I–907.

206 Calculation: \(3,985 \times 91.43\% = 1,881\) additional Form I–907 filed by a lawyer.

Calculation: \(3,985 - 1,881 = 2,104\) additional Form I–907 filed by an HR specialist.
d. Population That Files Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8, Attestation for Employers Seeking To Employ H–2B Nonimmigrant Workers Under Section 303 of Division O of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023, Public Law 117–328, as Extended by Sections 101(6) and 106 of Division A of the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2024 and Other Extensions Act, Public Law 118–15

Petitioners seeking to take advantage of this FY 2024 H–2B supplemental visa cap will need to file a Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8 attesting that their business is suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm without the ability to employ all the H–2B workers requested on the petition, comply with third-party notification, and maintain required records, among other requirements. DOL estimates that each of the 4,358 petitions will need to be accompanied by Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8 and petitioners filing these petitions and attestations will incur burdens complying with the evidentiary requirements.

e. Population of Late Season Employers That File Form ETA–9142B, Application for Temporary Employment Certification

As Table 3 demonstrated, historical data strongly indicate that there will be sufficient demand such that only those petitioners that utilize the late season allocation of supplemental visas will need to file an additional Form ETA–9142B. Assuming that the historical average of 14.85 beneficiaries per I–129 petition holds, 337 petitioners will need to file Form ETA–9142B as a direct result of the provision reserving 5,000 visas for beneficiaries of these employers. Given estimates from Table 7 of the percentage of Form I–129 H–2B petitions accompanied by a Form G–28, we estimate that in-house or outsourced lawyers will file 159 of these Forms ETA–9142B, and that human resources (HR) specialists will file 178 Forms ETA–9142B.

f. Population That Must Undergo Additional Recruitment Activities

An employer that files Form ETA–9142B–CAA–8 and the I–129 petition 30 or more days after the certified start date of work must conduct additional recruitment of U.S. workers. This consists of placing a new job order with the State Workforce Agency (SWA), contacting the relevant American Job Center (AJC), contacting former U.S. workers, contacting the bargaining representative or posting the job order in the places and manner described in 20 CFR 655.45(b) if there is no bargaining representative, contacting current U.S. workers, posting the job to the company’s website if it maintains one and, if applicable, contacting the AFL–CIO. The Departments assume that, due to the timing of the publication of the rule, only petitioners that file for H–2B workers under the first half supplemental allocation of 20,716 workers will incur burdens associated with this additional recruitment. By utilizing the average number of beneficiaries per Form I–129 petition established in Table 6, the Departments estimate that the population of petitioners that would need to fulfill the additional recruitment requirements would be 1,395.

208 Calculation: 337 estimated additional requests
* 47.21 percent of petitions filed by a lawyer (see Table 5) = 159 (rounded) ETA–9142B requests filed by a lawyer.

Calculation: 337 estimated additional requests – 159 requests filed by a lawyer = 178 requests filed by an HR specialist.

209 Calculation: 20,716 workers in the 1st half returning working supplemental allocation/14.85 workers per petitioner = 1,395 (rounded) petitioners required to undertake additional recruitment.

g. Population Affected by the Portability Provision

The population affected by this provision are nonimmigrants in H–2B status who are present in the United States and the employers with valid TLCs seeking to hire H–2B workers. We use the population of 66,000 H–2B workers authorized by statute and the 64,716 additional H–2B workers authorized by this rule as a proxy for the H–2B population that could be currently present in the United States. USCIS uses the number of Forms I–129 filed for extension of stay due to change of employer relative to the Forms I–129 filed for new employment from FY 2016 to FY 2020, the five years prior to the implementation of the first portability provision in a H–2B supplemental cap TRF, to estimate the baseline rate. We compare the average rate from FY 2016–FY 2020 to the average rate from FY 2021–FY 2023. Table 9 presents the number of Forms I–129 filed for extensions of stay due to change of employer and Forms I–129 filed for new employment for Fiscal year 2016 FY through FY 2020. The average rate of extension of stay due to change of employer compared to new employment is approximately 12.6 percent.

208 H–2B workers may have varying lengths in time approved on their H–2B visas. This number may overestimate H–2B workers who have already completed employment and departed and may underestimate H–2B workers not reflected in the current cap and long-term H–2B workers. In FY 2021, USCIS approved 735 requests for change of status to H–2B, and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) processed 1,341 crossings of visa-exempt H–2B workers. See Characteristics of H–2B Nonagricultural Temporary Workers FY2021 Report to Congress, https://www.uscis.gov/sites/default/files/document/reports/H-2B-FY21-Characteristics-Report.pdf (accessed April 4, 2022). USCIS assumes some of these workers, along with current workers with a valid H–2B visa under the cap, could be eligible to port under this new provision. USCIS does not know the exact number of H–2B workers who would be eligible to port at this time but uses the cap and supplemental cap allocations as a possible proxy for this population.

209 Calculation for expected late season TLCs: 5,000 late season visas/14.85 beneficiaries per petition = 337 TLCs (rounded up).
In FY 2021, the first year an H–2B supplemental cap included a portability provision, there were 1,113 Forms I–129 filed for extension of stay due to change of employment compared to 7,206 Forms I–129 filed for new employment. In FY 2022, there were 1,795 Forms I–129 filed for extension of stay due to change of employer compared to 9,231 Forms I–129 filed for new employment. In FY 2023, there were 2,113 Forms I–129 filed for extension of stay due to change of employer compared to 9,579 Forms I–129 filed for new employment. Over the period when a portability provision was in place for H–2B workers, the rate of Form I–129 for extension of stay due to change of employer relative to new employment is 19.3 percent. This is above the 12.6 percent rate expected without a portability provision. 19.3 percent is our estimate of the rate expected in periods with a portability provision in the supplemental visa allocation. Using the 4,358 as our estimate for the number of Forms I–129 filed for H–2B new employment in FY 2024, we estimate that 549 Forms I–129 for extension of stay due to change of employer would be filed in absence of this provision. With this portability provision, we estimate that 841 Forms I–129 for extension of stay due to change of employer would be filed. This difference results in 292 additional Forms I–129 as a result of this provision. As previously estimated, we expect that about 47.21 percent of Form I–129 petitions will be filed by an in-house or outsourced lawyer. Therefore, we expect that a lawyer will file 138 of these petitions and an HR specialist or equivalent occupation will file the remaining 154. Previously in this analysis, we estimated that about 91.43 percent of Form I–129 H–2B petitions are filed with Form I–907 for premium processing. As a result of this portability provision, we expect that an additional 267 Forms I–907 will be filed. We expect a lawyer to file 126 of those Forms I–907 and an HR specialist to file the remaining 141.

h. Population Affected by the Audits

Under this time-limited FY 2024 H–2B supplemental cap rule, DHS intends to conduct 250 audits of employers hiring H–2B workers, and DOL intends to conduct 100 audits of employers hiring H–2B workers. The determination of which employers will be audited will be done at the discretion of the Departments, though the agencies will coordinate so that no employer is audited by both DOL and DHS. Therefore, the Federal Government expects to conduct a total of 350 audits of which employers will be audited.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Form I–129 H–2B petitions filed for extension of stay due to change of employer</th>
<th>Form I–129 H–2B petitions filed for new employment</th>
<th>Rate of extension to stay due to change of employer filings relative to new employment filings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>5,750</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>5,298</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>5,136</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>6,251</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>3,997</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2016 - 2020 Total</td>
<td>3,343</td>
<td>26,433</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

USCIS, USCIS, Office of Performance and Quality, SAS PME C3 Consolidated, Data queried 09/2023, TRK 12921

211 USCIS, Office of Performance and Quality, SAS PME C3 Consolidated, Data queried 09/2023, TRK 12921
212 See id.
213 See id.
214 Calculation, Step 1: 1,113 Form I–129 petitions for extension of stay due to change of employer FY 2021 + 1,795 Form I–129 petitions for extension of stay due to change of employer FY 2022 + 2,113 Form I–129 petitions for extension of stay due to change of employer FY 2023 = 5,021 Form I–129 petitions filed extension of stay due to change of employer in portability provision years.
Calculation, Step 3: 5,021 extension of stay due to change of employment petitions/26,016 new employment petitions = 19.3 percent rate of extension of stay due to change of employment to new employment (rounded).
215 Calculation: 4,358 Form I–129 H–2B petitions filed for new employment * 12.6 percent = 549 estimated number of Form I–129 H–2B petitions filed for extension of stay due to change of employer, no portability provision.
216 Calculation: 4,358 Form I–129 H–2B petitions filed for new employment * 19.3 percent = 841 estimated number of Form I–129 H–2B petitions filed for extension of stay due to change of employer, with a portability provision.
217 Calculation: 841 estimated number of Form I–129 H–2B petitions for extension of stay due to change of employer, with a portability provision – 549 estimated number of Form I–129 H–2B petitions for extension of stay due to change of employer, no portability provision = 292 Form I–129 H–2B petition increase as a result of portability provision.
218 Calculation, Lawyers: 292 additional Form I–129 due to portability provision * 47.21 percent of this analysis, estimated that about 91.43 percent of Form I–129 H–2B petitions are filed with Form I–907 for premium processing. As a result of this portability provision, we expect that an additional 267 Forms I–907 will be filed. We expect a lawyer to file 126 of those Forms I–907 and an HR specialist to file the remaining 141.
219 Calculation: 292 Form I–129 H–2B petitions * 91.43 percent premium processing filing rate = 267 (rounded) Forms I–907.
220 Calculation, Lawyers: 267 Forms I–907 * 47.21 percent filed by an attorney or accredited representative = 126 (rounded) Forms I–907 filed by a lawyer.
on employers that petition for H–2B workers under this TFR.\textsuperscript{221} i. Population Affected by Additional Scrutiny

DHS expects that petitioners that have been cited by WHD for H–2B program violations will undergo additional scrutiny from USCIS. To estimate the number of firms expected to undergo increased scrutiny, we utilize DOL’s Wage and Hour Compliance Action Data.\textsuperscript{222} The data available here is for concluded cases. Table 10 presents the number of employers that were cited for H–2B violations that have a worker protection violation end date in FYs 2018–2022. The worker protection violation end date is established based on the “findings end date,” which represents the date that the last worker protection violation occurred in the concluded case. During FY 2018–2022, on average 77 (rounded) employers that were cited for H–2B violations had a worker protection violation end date each year. USCIS intends to request evidence from employers cited for H–2B violations with a worker protection violation end date in the last two years. Therefore, for purposes of this analysis, we expect 154 petitioners will undergo additional scrutiny from USCIS.\textsuperscript{223}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
Fiscal Year & Employers cited for H–2B violations with worker protection violation end date in Fiscal Year \\
\hline
2018 & 96 \\
2019 & 119 \\
2020 & 84 \\
2021 & 48 \\
2022 & 37 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Employers with H–2B violations with worker protection violation end date in FY 2018-2022}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{221}These 350 audits are separate and distinct from WHD’s investigations pursuant to its existing enforcement authority.

\textsuperscript{222}Available at \url{https://enforcementdata.dol.gov/views/data_catalogs.php} (accessed September 22, 2023).

\textsuperscript{223}It is possible not every employer that has been cited for an H–2B violation in the last two years will petition for H–2B employees under this supplemental cap authority. DHS considers an upper limit of 154 to be a reasonable estimate of the number of petitioners that will undergo additional scrutiny.

\textsuperscript{224}Calculation for lawyers: 7,739 estimated applicants * 47.21 percent represents by a lawyer = 3,654 (rounded) represented by a lawyer.

\textsuperscript{225}The provisions of this rule require the submission of a Form I–129 H–2B petition. The costs for this form include the opportunity cost of time to complete and submit the form.\textsuperscript{226} The estimated time to complete and file Form I–129 for H–2B classification is 4.34 hours.\textsuperscript{227} A U.S. employer, a U.S. agent, or a foreign employer filing through the U.S. agent must file the petition. DHS estimates that an in-house or outsourced lawyer will file 47.21 percent of Form I–129 H–2B petitions, and an HR specialist or equivalent occupation will file the remainder (52.79 percent). DHS presents estimated costs for HR specialists filing represented by a lawyer = 4,085 represented by an HR specialist.

\textsuperscript{226}The public reporting burden for this form is 2.34 hours for Form I–129 and an additional 2.00 hours for H Classification Supplement, totaling 4.34 hours. See Form I–129 instructions at \url{https://www.uscis.gov/sites/default/files/document/forms/i-129instr.pdf} (accessed September 28, 2023).


multiplier of 1.45 to estimate total compensation to employees. The total compensation for an HR specialist is $50.94 per hour, and the total compensation for an in-house lawyer is $114.17 per hour. In addition, DHS recognizes that an entity may not have an in-house lawyer and may seek outside counsel to complete Form I–129 on behalf of the petitioner. Therefore, DHS presents a second wage rate for lawyers labeled as outsourced lawyers. DHS recognizes that the wages for outsourced lawyers may be much higher than in-house lawyers and therefore uses a higher compensation-to-wage multiplier of 2.5 for outsourced lawyers. DHS estimates the total compensation for an outsourced lawyer is $1,196.85 per hour. If a lawyer submits Form I–129 on behalf of the petitioner, Form G–28 must accompany the Form I–129 petition. DHS estimates the time burden to complete and submit Form G–28 for a lawyer is 50 minutes (0.83 hour, rounded). For this analysis, DHS adds the time to complete Form G–28 to the opportunity cost of time to lawyers for filing Form I–129 on behalf of a petitioner. This results in a time burden of 5.17 hours.

Calculation, In-house Lawyer: $78.74 mean hourly wage * 1.45 benefits-to-wage multiplier = $114.17 hourly total compensation (hourly opportunity cost of time).

Calculation, Outsourced Lawyer: $78.74 mean hourly wage * 2.5 benefits-to-wage multiplier = $196.85 hourly total compensation (hourly opportunity cost of time).

The provisions of this rule require the submission of a Form I–129 H–2B petition. The transfers for this form include the filing costs to submit the form. The current filing fee for Form I–129 is $460 and employers filing H–2B petitions must submit an additional fee of $150. These filing fees are a cost to society or an expenditure of new resources but a transfer from the petitioner to USCIS in exchange for agency services. DHS anticipates that petitioners will file 4,358 Forms I–129 due to the rule’s supplemental visa allocation and an additional 292 Forms I–129 due to the rule’s portability provision. The total value of transfers from petitioners to the Government for Form I–129 filings due to the rule is $2,836,500.

Additionally, employers may use Form I–907 to request premium processing of Form I–129 petitions for H–2B visas. The filing fee for Form I–907 for H–2B petitions is $1,500. Based upon historical trends, USCIS expects that 91.43 percent of petitioners will file a Form I–907 in addition to their Form I–129. Applying that rate to the expected number of Forms I–129 would result in 4,252 Forms I–907 filed due to the rule.

Transfers from petitioners to the Government related to the filing of Forms I–907 as a result of the rule are $6,378,000. Total transfers from petitioners to the Government are $9,214,500.

b. Cost to Petitioners

As mentioned in Section 3, the estimated population impacted by this rule is 4,358 eligible petitioners that are projected to apply for the additional 64,716 H–2B visas, with 20,000 of those additional visas reserved for employers that will petition for workers who are nationals of the countries in the country-specific allocation, who are exempt from the returning worker requirement.

i. Costs to Petitioners To File Form I–129 and Form G–28

As discussed above, DHS estimates that HR specialists will file an additional 2,301 petitions using Form I–129 and employers will file an additional 2,057 petitions using Form I–129 and Form G–28. DHS estimates the total cost to file Form I–129 petitions if filed by HR specialists is $508,705 (rounded). DHS estimates the total cost to file Form I–129 petitions and Form G–28 if filed by lawyers will range from $1,214,165 (rounded) if only in-house lawyers file these forms, to $2,093,429 (rounded) if only outsourced lawyers file them. Therefore, the estimated total cost to file Form I–129 and Form G–28 range from $1,722,870 and $2,602,134.

ii. Costs to File Form I–907

Employers may use Form I–907 to request premium processing of Form I–129 petitions for H–2B visas. The filing fee for Form I–907 for H–2B petitions is $1,500, and the time burden for completing the form is 35 minutes (0.58 hour). Using the wage rates,
established previously, the opportunity cost of time to file Form I–907 is approximately $29.55 for an HR specialist, $66.22 for an in-house lawyer, and $114.17 for an outsourced lawyer.247

As discussed above, DHS estimates that HR specialists will file an additional 2,104 Form I–907 and lawyers will file an additional 1,881 Form I–907. DHS estimates the total cost of Form I–907 filed by HR specialists is about $62,173 (rounded).248 DHS estimates the total cost to file Form I–907 filed by lawyers range from about $124,560 (rounded) for only in-house lawyers, to $214,754 (rounded) for only outsourced lawyers.249 The estimated total cost to file Form I–907 range from $186,733 and $276,927.250

iii. Cost to Late Season Employers Filing Form ETA–9142B

In addition to the costs for employers projected to request TLOs irrespective of this rule, the population of 337 late season employers that would not otherwise request H–2B workers will file Form ETA–9142B as a preclusion to utilizing the late season allocation of H–2B visas made available by the rule. There is no filing fee for Form ETA–9142B, and the time burden for completing the form, including Appendix A, Appendix B, Appendix C, Appendix D, and record keeping, is 2 hours and 10 minutes (2.17 hours).251 DHS estimates the total cost of Form ETA–9142B filed by HR specialists is about $19,676 (rounded).252 DHS estimates the total cost to file Form ETA–9142B by lawyers range from about $39,392 (rounded) for only in-house lawyers, to $67,919 (rounded) for only outsourced lawyers.253 The estimated total cost to file Form ETA–9142B range from $59,068 and $87,595.254

iv. Cost to File Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8

Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8 is an attestation form that includes recruiting requirements, the irreparable harm standard, and document retention obligations. DOL estimates the time burden for completing and signing the form is 0.25 hours, 0.25 hours for retaining records, and 0.50 hours to comply with the returning worker’s attestation, for a total time burden of 1 hour. Using the $50.94 hourly total compensation for an HR specialist, the opportunity cost of time for an HR specialist to complete the attestation form, notify third parties, and retain records relating to the returning worker requirements is approximately $50.94.255 Employers are also required to send OFLC and AFL–CIO the ETA case number when filing a petition with DHS. DOL estimates the time burden for this task is 10 minutes (0.17 hours) for an HR specialist. The opportunity cost of time for an HR specialist to send OFLC and AFL the ETA case number is approximately $8.66.256 The total opportunity cost of time for filing Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8 and emailing the ETA case number to both OFLC and AFL–CIO is $59.60.257

Additionally, the form requires that petitioners assess, prepare a detailed written statement, and document supporting evidence for meeting the irreparable harm standard, and retain those documents and records, which we assume will require the resources of a financial analyst (or another equivalent occupation). Using the same methodology previously described for wages, the mean hourly wage for a financial analyst is $52.30,258 and the estimated hourly total compensation for a financial analyst is $75.84.259 DOL estimates the time burden for these tasks is at least 4 hours, and 1 hour for gathering and retaining documents and records, for a total time burden of 5 hours. Therefore, the total opportunity cost of time for a financial analyst to assess, document, and retain supporting evidence is approximately $379.20.260

As discussed previously, DHS believes that the 4,358 Form I–129 petitions required to exhaust the number of supplemental visas made available in this rule represents the number of potential employers that will request to employ H–2B workers under this rule. This number of petitions is a reasonable proxy for the number of employers that may need to review and sign the attestation. Using this estimate for the total number of certifications, we estimate the opportunity cost of time for completing the attestation and sending the ETA case number to OFLC and AFL–CIO for HR specialists is approximately $259,737 (rounded) and for financial analysts is about $1,652,554 (rounded).261

The estimated total cost to file Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8 and comply with the attestation is approximately 1,912,291.262

Calculation, Outsourced Lawyer Form ETA–9142–B: $114.17 per hour * 2.17 hours * 159 applications = $19,676 (rounded). Calculation, In-house Lawyer Form ETA–9142–B: $50.94 per hour * 2.17 hours * 159 applications = $87,595.254

Calculation, HR specialist: $59.60 per hour * 0.25 hours * 159 applications = $942.15 (rounded). Calculation, In-house lawyer: $50.94 per hour * 0.25 hours * 159 applications = $75.91 (rounded). Calculation, HR specialist: $59.60 per hour * 0.50 hours * 159 applications = $17,865 (rounded). Calculation, In-house lawyer: $50.94 per hour * 0.50 hours * 159 applications = $12,871 (rounded). Calculation, HR specialist: $59.60 per hour * 1.00 hour * 159 applications = $59,068 (rounded). Calculation, In-house lawyer: $50.94 per hour * 1.00 hour * 159 applications = $67,919 (rounded). Calculation, HR specialist: $59.60 per hour * 1.50 hours * 159 applications = $127,890 (rounded). Calculation, In-house lawyer: $50.94 per hour * 1.50 hours * 159 applications = $172,395 (rounded). Calculation, HR specialist: $59.60 per hour * 2.00 hours * 159 applications = $135,240 (rounded). Calculation, In-house lawyer: $50.94 per hour * 2.00 hours * 159 applications = $183,262 (rounded). Calculation, HR specialist: $59.60 per hour * 2.50 hours * 159 applications = $150,575 (rounded). Calculation, In-house lawyer: $50.94 per hour * 2.50 hours * 159 applications = $219,175 (rounded). Calculation, HR specialist: $59.60 per hour * 3.00 hours * 159 applications = $158,255 (rounded). Calculation, In-house lawyer: $50.94 per hour * 3.00 hours * 159 applications = $221,705 (rounded). Calculation, HR specialist: $59.60 per hour * 3.50 hours * 159 applications = $167,155 (rounded). Calculation, In-house lawyer: $50.94 per hour * 3.50 hours * 159 applications = $232,925 (rounded). Calculation, HR specialist: $59.60 per hour * 4.00 hours * 159 applications = $175,560 (rounded). Calculation, In-house lawyer: $50.94 per hour * 4.00 hours * 159 applications = $242,744 (rounded). Calculation, HR specialist: $59.60 per hour * 4.50 hours * 159 applications = $183,325 (rounded). Calculation, In-house lawyer: $50.94 per hour * 4.50 hours * 159 applications = $251,243 (rounded).
v. Cost To Conduct Recruitment

An employer that files Form ETA–89142B–CAA–8 and the I–129 petition 30 or more days after the certified start date of work must conduct additional recruitment of U.S. workers. This consists of: (1) placing a new job order with the State Workforce Agency (SWA), (2) contacting the relevant American Job Center (AJC), (3) contacting the AFL–CIO if applicable, (4) contacting former U.S. workers, (5) recruiting U.S. workers as provided in § 655.45(a) and (b), (6) contacting current employees for referrals, and (7) placing the available job opportunity on the employer’s website if the employer maintains a website for its business and.

Specifically, the employer must place a new job order for the job opportunity with the SWA covering the area of intended employment. During the period the SWA is actively circulating the job order, employers must also contact, by email or other available electronic means, the nearest local AJC to request staff assistance advertising and recruiting qualified U.S. workers for the job opportunity, and to provide to the AJC the unique identification number associated with the job order placed with the SWA.

If the occupation is traditionally or customarily unionized, employers must provide written notification of the job opportunity to the nearest American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL–CIO) office covering the area of intended employment, by providing a copy of the job order, and request assistance in recruiting qualified U.S. workers for the job opportunity.

Employers are required to make reasonable efforts to contact, by mail or other effective means, their former U.S. workers, including those workers who were furloughed and laid off, beginning January 1, 2022. Employers must disclose the terms of the job order to these workers as required by the rule.

The employer must provide a copy of the job order to the bargaining representative for its employees in the occupation and area of intended employment, consistent with 20 CFR 655.45(a), or if there is no bargaining representative, post the job order in the places and manner described in 20 CFR 655.45(b).

Employers are also required to contact current employees regarding available job opportunities for referrals.

Finally, employers are required to post the available job opportunity on the employer’s website if the employer maintains a website for its business. DOL estimates the average expected time burden for activities related to conducting recruitment is 4 hours.263 Assuming this work will be done by an HR specialist or an equivalent occupation, the estimated cost to each petitioner is approximately $203.76.264 Using 1,395 as the estimated number of petitioners required to undergo additional recruitment activities, the estimated total cost of this provision is approximately $284,245 (rounded).265 It is possible that if U.S. employees apply for these positions, H–2B employers may incur some costs associated with reviewing applications, interviewing, vetting, and hiring applicants who are referred to H–2B employers by the recruiting activities required by this rule. However, DOL is unable to quantify the impact.

vi. Cost of the Portability Provision

Petitioners seeking to hire H–2B nonimmigrants who are currently present in the United States with a valid H–2B visa would need to file a Form I–129, which includes paying the associated fee as discussed above. Also previously discussed, we estimate that approximately 292 additional Form I–129 H–2B petitions will be filed as a result of this provision.

As discussed previously, if a petitioner is represented by a lawyer, the lawyer must file Form G–28. In addition, if a petitioner desires premium processing, the petitioner must file Form I–907 and pay the associated fee. We expect an HR specialist, in-house lawyer, or an outsourced lawyer will perform these actions. Moreover, as previously estimated, we expect that an in-house or outsourced lawyer will file about 47.21 percent of these Form I–129 petitions. Therefore, we expect that a lawyer will file 138 of these petitions and an HR specialist or equivalent occupation will file the remaining 154. As previously discussed, the opportunity cost of time to file a Form I–129 H–2B petition is $221.08 for an HR specialist; and the opportunity cost of time to file a Form I–129 H–2B petition with accompanying Form G–28 is $590.26 for an in-house lawyer and $1,017.71 for an outsourced lawyer.

Therefore, we estimate the cost of the additional Forms I–129 from the portability provision for HR specialists is $34,046.266 The estimated cost of the additional Forms I–129 accompanied by Forms G–28 from the portability provision for lawyers is $81,456 if filed by in-house lawyers and $140,444 if filed by outsourced lawyers.267

Previously in this analysis, we estimated that about 91.43 percent of Form I–129 H–2B petitions are filed with Form I–907 for premium processing. As a result of this provision, we expect that an additional 267 Forms I–907 will be filed.268 We expect a lawyer will file 126 of those Forms I–907 and an HR specialist or equivalent occupation will file the remaining 141.269 As previously discussed, the estimated opportunity cost of time to file a Form I–907 is $29.55 for an HR specialist; and the estimated opportunity cost of time to file a Form I–907 is approximately $66.22 for an in-house lawyer and $114.17 for an outsourced lawyer. The estimated total cost of the additional Forms I–907 if HR specialists file is $4,167.270 The estimated total cost of the additional Forms I–907 is $8,344 if filed by in-house lawyers and $14,385 if filed by outsourced lawyers.271

263 Calculation, HR specialist: 221.08 estimated cost to file a Form I–129 H–2B petition * 154 petitions = $34,046 (rounded).
265 Calculation, Outsourced Lawyer: $1,017.71 estimated cost to file a Form I–129 H–2B petition and accompanying Form G–28 * 138 petitions = $140,444 (rounded).
266 Calculation, Lawyers: 267 additional Form I–129 H–2B petitions * 91.43 percent accompanied by Form I–907 = 257 (rounded) additional Form I–907.
270 Calculation, In-house Lawyer: $66.22 to file a Form I–907.
271 Calculation, Outsourced Lawyer: $1,017.71 estimated cost to file a Form I–907.
272 Calculation, Lawyers: 267 additional Form I–907 * 47.21 percent = 126 Form I–907 filed by a lawyer.
273 Calculation, HR specialists: 267 Forms I–907 filed by a lawyer = 141 Form I–907 filed by an HR specialist.
274 Calculation, Outsourced Lawyer: $14,385 estimated cost to file a Form I–907.
275 Calculation, In-house Lawyer: $8,344 to file a Form I–907.
276 Calculation, Outsourced Lawyer: $14,385 estimated cost to file a Form I–907.
277 Calculation, In-house Lawyer: $14,385 estimated cost to file a Form I–907.
The estimated total cost of this provision ranges from $128,013 to $193,042 depending on what share of the forms are filed by in-house or outsourced lawyers.272

vii. Cost of Audits to Petitioners

As discussed above, DHS intends to conduct 250 audits of employers hiring H–2B workers, and DOL intends to conduct 100 audits of employers hiring H–2B workers, for a total of 350 employers. Employers will need to provide requested information to comply with the audit. We estimate that the expected time burden to comply with audits conducted by DHS and DOL’s Office of Foreign Labor Certification is 12 hours.273 We expect that an HR specialist or equivalent occupation will provide these documents. Given an hourly opportunity cost of time of $50.94, the estimated cost of complying with audits is $611.28 per auditied employer.274 Therefore, the total estimated cost to employers to comply with audits is $213,948.275

viii. Cost of Additional Scrutiny

The Departments expect that petitioners undergoing additional scrutiny will need to submit additional evidence to USCIS. The costs associated with additional scrutiny include the opportunity cost of time to assess, document, and compile evidence and the costs (both explicit costs and opportunity costs of time) of submitting the compiled evidence.

The opportunity costs of time associated with compiling such evidence are unavailable due to the unique fact pattern in each instance and a lack of data at this time regarding the time to comply. To estimate the explicit costs of additional scrutiny, we assume 154 petitioners will need to print 500 pages of documents and mail this to USCIS. We expect these documents to be able to fit in a Priority Mail Medium Flat Rate box, which costs $17.10.276 We estimate the costs of printing at $0.15 per page and the cost of printing 500 at $75.00.277 The estimated cost for an employer to print and ship evidence to USCIS is $92.10.278 With an estimated 154 petitioners expected to print and ship evidence, the total estimated costs for printing and shipping evidence is $14,183.279

We also expect petitioners to incur a time burden associated with printing and shipping evidence to USCIS. We estimate it will take an HR specialist or equivalent employee 1 hour to print and ship evidence. Using the $50.94 hourly opportunity cost of time for HR specialist, we estimate the opportunity cost of time for each petition is $50.94.280 With an estimated 154 petitioners expected to print and ship evidence, the total estimated opportunity cost of time to print and ship evidence is $7,845.281

We do not expect this provision to impose new costs on to USCIS. The costs to request and review evidence from petitioners is included in the fees paid to the agency.

The total estimated cost of additional scrutiny is $22,028.282

ix. Familiarization Costs

We expect that petitioners or their representatives will need to read and understand this rule if they seek to take advantage of the supplemental cap. As a result, we expect this rule will impose one-time familiarization costs associated with reading and understanding this rule. As shown previously, we estimate it will take an apxiximation of 4,085 words per minute to read and understand the rule. We do not expect this provision to impose new costs on to USCIS. The costs to request and review evidence from petitioners is included in the fees paid to the agency.

The estimated total opportunity cost of time for 3,654 of these petitioners and an HR specialist or equivalent occupation will represent 4,085.

To estimate the costs of rule familiarization, we estimate the time it will take to read and understand the rule by assuming a reading speed of 238 words per minute.283 This rule has approximately 69,000 words.284 Using a reading speed of 238 words per minute, DOL estimates it will take approximately 4.8 hours to read and understand this rule.285

The estimated hourly total compensation for an HR specialist, in-house lawyer, and outsourced lawyer are $50.94, $114.17, and $196.85, respectively. The estimated hourly opportunity cost of time for each of these filers to read and understand the rule are $244.51, $548.02, and $944.88, respectively. The estimated total opportunity cost of time for 4,085 HR specialists to familiarize themselves with this rule is approximately $998,823.286 The estimated total opportunity cost of time for 3,654 lawyers to familiarize themselves with this rule is approximately $2,002,465 if they are all in-house lawyers and $3,452,592 if they are all outsourced lawyers.288 Accordingly, the estimated total opportunity costs of time for petitioners’ representatives to familiarize themselves with this rule


274 The number in hours for audits was provided by the USCIS, Service Center Operations.

275 Calculation: 350 audited employers * $811.28 per auditied employer.

276 Calculation: 305 audited employers * $811.28 opportunity cost of time to comply with an audit = $244,510.

277 Calculation: $213,948 divided by 3,654 = $213.948.


279 Calculation: 154 petitioners * $92.10 to print and ship evidence = $14,183 total printing and shipping costs.

280 Calculation: $50.94 hourly opportunity cost of time for HR specialist * 1 hour to print and ship evidence = $50.94 opportunity cost of time per petitioner.

281 Calculation: 154 petitioners * $50.94 opportunity cost of time per petitioner = $7,845 total estimated opportunity cost of time to print and ship evidence.

282 Calculation: $14,183 total printing and shipping costs + $7,845 total opportunity cost of time to print and ship evidence = $22,028 total estimated cost of additional scrutiny.


284 Please note that this number represents that Departments’ best estimate of the final word count, given that the actual word may change during the promulgation of the Rule.

285 Calculation, Step 1: roughly 69,000 words/238 words per minute = 290 (rounded) minutes.

286 Calculation, Step 2: 290 minutes/60 minutes per hour = 4.8 (rounded) hours.

287 Calculation, HR Specialists: $50.94 estimated hourly total compensation for an HR specialist * 4.8 hours to read and become familiar with the rule = $244.51 opportunity cost of time for an HR specialist to read and understand the rule.

288 Calculation, In-house lawyer: 144.17 estimated hourly total compensation for an in-house lawyer * 4.8 hours to read and become familiar with the rule = $548.02 (rounded) opportunity cost of time for an in-house lawyer to read and understand the rule. Calculation, Outsourced lawyer: $196.85 estimated hourly total compensation for an outsourced lawyer * 4.8 hours to read and become familiar with the rule = $944.88 (rounded) opportunity cost of time for an outsourced lawyer to read and understand the rule.

289 Calculation, HR Specialists: $244.51 opportunity cost of time * 4,085 = $998,823 (rounded).

290 Calculation for in-house lawyers: $548.02 opportunity cost of * 3,654 = $2,002,465 (rounded).

291 Calculation for outsourced lawyers: $944.88 opportunity cost of time * 3,654 = $3,452,592 (rounded).

Total transfers from petitioners to the Government are $9,214,500.\textsuperscript{293} The INA provides USCIS with the authority to collect fees at a level that will ensure recovery of the full costs of providing adjudication and naturalization services, including administrative costs, and services provided without charge to certain applicants and petitioners.\textsuperscript{294} DHS notes USCIS establishes its fees by assigning costs to an adjudication based on its relative adjudication burden and use of USCIS resources. USCIS establishes fees at an amount that is necessary to recover these assigned costs, such as clerical, officers, and managerial salaries and benefits, plus an amount to recover unassigned overhead (for example, facility rent, IT equipment and systems among other expenses) and immigration benefits provided without a fee charged. Consequently, since USCIS immigration fees are primarily based on resource expenditures related to the benefit in question, USCIS uses the fee associated with an information collection as a reasonable measure of such collection’s costs to USCIS. DHS anticipates some additional costs in adjudicating the additional petitions submitted because of the increase in cap limitation for H–2B visas. Both DOL and DHS intend to conduct a significant number of audits during the period of temporary need to verify compliance with H–2B program requirements, including the irreparable harm standard as well as other key worker protection provisions implemented through this rule.\textsuperscript{295} While fees fund most USCIS activities and appropriations fund DOL, we expect both agencies will be able to shift resources to conduct these audits without incurring additional costs. As previously mentioned, the agencies will conduct a total of 350 audits, and we expect each audit to take 12 hours. This results in a total burden of 4,200 hours.\textsuperscript{296} USCIS anticipates that a Federal employee at a GS–13 Step 5 salary will typically conduct these audits for each agency. The base hourly pay for a GS–13 Step 5 in the Washington, DC locality area is $80.63.\textsuperscript{297} To estimate the total hourly compensation for these positions, we multiply the hourly wage ($80.63) by the Federal benefits to wage multiplier of 1.37.\textsuperscript{298} This results in an hourly opportunity cost of time of $83.34 for GS–13 Step 5 Federal employees in the Washington, DC locality pay area.\textsuperscript{299} The total opportunity costs of time for Federal workers to conduct audits is estimated to be $350,028.\textsuperscript{300}

This final rule implements changes to the DOL’s mechanisms to receive complaints from advocates, unions, and other stakeholders about jobs posted on seasonaljobs.gov. DOL expects that the changes to the DOL’s mechanisms to receive complaints may result in some additional costs to DOL. However, DOL is unable to quantify such costs due to lack of data.

d. Benefits to Petitioners

The Departments assume that employers will incur the costs of this rule and other costs associated with hiring H–2B workers if the expected benefits of those workers exceed the expected costs. We assume that employers expect some level of net benefit from being able to hire additional H–2B workers. However, the Departments do not collect or require data from H–2B employers on the profits from hiring these additional workers to estimate this increase in net benefits.

The inability to access H–2B workers for some entities is currently causing irreparable harm or will cause their businesses to suffer irreparable harm in the near future. Temporarily increasing the number of available H–2B visas for this fiscal year may result in a benefit, because it will allow some businesses to hire the additional labor resources necessary to avoid such harm. Preventing such harm may also result in cost savings by ultimately preserve the jobs of other employees (including U.S. workers) at that establishment.

\textsuperscript{293} Calculation: $2,836,500 + $6,378,000 = $9,214,500.
\textsuperscript{294} See INA section 286(m), 8 U.S.C. 1356(m).
\textsuperscript{295} The ability to access and hire additional workers varies significantly among other expenses and immigration benefits provided without a fee charged. Consequently, since USCIS immigration fees are primarily based on resource expenditures related to the benefit in question, USCIS uses the fee associated with an information collection as a reasonable measure of such collection’s costs to USCIS. DHS anticipates some additional costs in adjudicating the additional petitions submitted because of the increase in cap limitation for H–2B visas.
\textsuperscript{296} While fees fund most USCIS activities and appropriations fund DOL, we expect both agencies will be able to shift resources to conduct these audits without incurring additional costs. As previously mentioned, the agencies will conduct a total of 350 audits, and we expect each audit to take 12 hours. This results in a total burden of 4,200 hours.
\textsuperscript{297} USCIS anticipates that a Federal employee at a GS–13 Step 5 salary will typically conduct these audits for each agency. The base hourly pay for a GS–13 Step 5 in the Washington, DC locality area is $80.63. To estimate the total hourly compensation for these positions, we multiply the hourly wage ($80.63) by the Federal benefits to wage multiplier of 1.37. This results in an hourly opportunity cost of time of $83.34 for GS–13 Step 5 Federal employees in the Washington, DC locality pay area.
\textsuperscript{298} Calculation, Step 1: $2,342,954 Full-time Permanent Salaries = $860,318 Civilian Personnel Benefits = $3,203,272 Compensation.
\textsuperscript{300} Calculation: $60.83 hourly wage for a GS 13–5 in the Washington, DC locality area * 1.37 Federal employee benefits to wage ratio = $83.34 hourly opportunity cost of time for GS 13–5 Federal employee in the Washington, DC locality area.
\textsuperscript{301} Calculation: 4,200 hours to conduct audits * $83.34 hourly opportunity cost of time = $350,028 total opportunity costs of time for Federal employers to conduct audits.
Additionally, returning workers are likely to be very familiar with the H–2B process and requirements, and may be positioned to begin work more expeditiously with these employers. Moreover, employers may already be familiar with returning workers as they have trained, vetted, and worked with some of these returning workers in past years. As such, limiting the supplemental visas to returning workers will assist employers that are suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm.

e. Benefits to Workers

The Departments assume that workers will only incur the costs of this rule and other costs associated with obtaining a H–2B position if the expected benefits of that position exceed the expected costs. We assume that H–2B workers expect some level of net benefit from being able to work for H–2B employers. However, the Departments do not have sufficient data to estimate this increase in net benefits and lack the necessary resources to investigate this in a timely manner. This rule is not expected to impact wages because DOL prevailing wage regulations apply to all H–2B workers covered by this rule.

Additionally, the RIA shows that employers incur costs in conducting additional recruitment of U.S. workers and attesting to irreparable harm from current labor shortage. These costs suggest employers are not taking advantage of a large supply of foreign labor at the expense of domestic workers.

The existence of this rule will benefit the workers who receive H–2B visas. See Arnold Brodbeck et al., Seasonal Migrant Labor in the Forest Industry of the United States: The Impact of H–2B Employment on Guatemalan Livelihoods, 31 Society & Natural Resources 1012 (2018), and in particular this finding: “Participation in the H–2B guest worker program has become a vital part of the livelihood strategies of rural Guatemalan families and has had a positive impact on the quality of life in the communities where they live. Migrant workers who were landless, lived in isolated rural areas, had few economic opportunities, and who had limited access to education or adequate health care, now are investing in small trucks, building roads, schools, and homes, and providing employment for others in their home communities. . . . The impact has been transformative and positive.”

Some provisions of this rule will benefit such workers in particular ways. The portability provision of this rule will allow nonimmigrants with valid H–2B visas who are present in the United States to transfer to a new employer more quickly and potentially extend their stay in the United States and, therefore, earn additional wages.

DHS recognizes that some of the effects of these provisions may occur beyond the borders of the United States. The current analysis does not seek to quantify or monetize costs or benefits that occur outside of the United States. U.S. workers will also benefit from this rule in multiple ways. For example, the additional round of recruitment and U.S. worker referrals required by the provisions of this rule will ensure that a nonimmigrant worker does not displace a U.S. worker who is willing and able to fill the position and may result in some U.S. workers being hired. As noted, the avoidance of current or impending irreparable harm made possible through the granting of supplemental visas in this rule could ensure that U.S. workers—who otherwise may be vulnerable if H–2B workers were not given visas—do not lose their jobs.

C. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq. (RFA), imposes certain requirements on Federal agency rules that are subject to the notice and comment requirements of the APA. See 5 U.S.C. 603(a), 604(a). This temporary final rule is exempt from notice and comment requirements for the reasons stated above. Therefore, the requirements of the RFA applicable to final rules, 5 U.S.C. 604, do not apply to this temporary final rule. Accordingly, the Departments are not required to either certify that the temporary final rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities nor conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis.

D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) is intended, among other things, to curb the practice of imposing unfunded Federal mandates on State, local, and tribal governments. Title II of the Act requires each Federal agency to prepare a written statement assessing the effects of any Federal mandate in a proposed rule, or final rule for which the agency published a proposed rule that includes any Federal mandate that may result in $100 million or more expenditure (adjusted annually for inflation) in any one year by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector.301

This rule is exempt from the written statement requirement because DHS did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking for this rule.

In addition, this rule does not exceed the $100 million in 1995 expenditure in any 1 year when adjusted for inflation ($192 million in 2022 dollars based on the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI–U)).302 and this rulemaking does not contain such a Federal mandate as the term is defined under UMRA.303 The requirements of Title II of the Act, therefore, do not apply, and the Departments have not prepared a statement under the Act.

E. Executive Order 13132 (Federalism)

This rule does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with section 6 of Executive Order 13132, 64 FR 43255 (Aug. 4, 1999), this rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a federalism summary impact statement.

F. Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform)

This rule meets the applicable standards set forth in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, 61 FR 4729 (Feb. 5, 1996).

G. National Environmental Policy Act

DHS and its components analyze their proposed actions to determine whether the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) applies to them and, if so, what degree of analysis is required. DHS Directive (Dir) 023–01 Rev. 01 and Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01 Rev. 01 (Instruction Manual) establish the procedures that DHS and its components use to comply with NEPA.

301 See 2 U.S.C. 1532(a).


303 The term “Federal mandate” means a Federal intergovernmental mandate or a Federal private sector mandate. See 2 U.S.C. 1502(1), 658(6).
and the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations for implementing NEPA, 40 CFR parts 1500 through 1508. NEPA and the CEQ regulations allow Federal agencies to establish categories of actions ("categorical exclusions") that normally do not significantly affect the quality of the human environment and, therefore, do not require an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). 42 U.S.C. 4336(e)(1), 42 U.S.C. 4336(a)(2); 40 CFR 1500.1, 40 CFR 1506.1(d).

The instruction Manual, Appendix A, Table 1 lists Categorical Exclusions that DHS has found to have no such effect. Under DHS NEPA implementing procedures, for an action to be categorically excluded, it must satisfy each of the following three conditions: (1) The entire action clearly fits within one or more of the categorical exclusions; (2) the action is not a piece of a larger action; and (3) no extraordinary circumstances exist that create the potential for a significant environmental effect. Instruction Manual, section V.B.2(a–c).

This rule temporarily amends the regulations implementing the H–2B nonimmigrant visa program to increase the numerical limitation on H–2B nonimmigrant visas for FY 2024, based on the Secretary of Homeland Security’s determination, in consultation with the Secretary of Labor, consistent with the FY 2023 Omnibus and Public Law 118–15. It also allows H–2B beneficiaries who are in the United States to change employers upon the filing of a new H–2B petition and begin to work for the new employer for a period generally not to exceed 60 days before the H–2B petition is approved by USCIS.

DHS has considered in accordance with its NEPA implementing procedures and has determined that this temporary final rule is a “categorically excluded” action under the NEPA regulations. The amendments to 8 CFR part 214 would authorize up to an additional 64,716 H–2B visas for FY 2024, based on the Secretary of Homeland Security’s determination, in consultation with the Secretary of Labor, consistent with the FY 2023 Omnibus and Public Law 118–15. It also allows H–2B beneficiaries who are in the United States to change employers upon the filing of a new H–2B petition and begin to work for the new employer for a period generally not to exceed 60 days before the H–2B petition is approved by USCIS.

The Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has determined that this temporary final rule is a “major” rule as defined by the Congressional Review Act (“CRA”) in 5 U.S.C. 804(2)(a) and is subject to both the CRA’s reporting requirement and the delayed effective date requirement, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801. However, as stated in section IV.A of this rule, the Departments have good cause to forgo APA’s requirements for notice and public comment (and a delayed effective date), pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553. Therefore, the Departments also have good cause to forgo the CRA’s 60-day delayed effective date requirement, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 806(2). This rule is effective upon publication. DHS has complied with the CRA’s reporting requirements and has sent this rule to Congress and to the Comptroller General as required by 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1).

I. Paperwork Reduction Act


The Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq., provides that a Federal agency generally cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information, and the public is generally not required to respond to an information collection, unless it is approved by OMB under the PRA and displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. In addition, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall generally be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information that does not display a valid Control Number. See 5 CFR 1320.5(a) and 1320.6. OMB has submitted the Information Collection Request (ICR) contained in this rule to OMB and obtained approval of a new form, Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8, using emergency clearance procedures outlined at 5 CFR 1320.13. The Departments note that while OMB submitted the ICR, both DHS and DOL will use the information provided by employers in response to this information collection.

Petitioners will use the new Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8 to make attestations regarding, for example, irremovable harm and the returning worker requirement (unless exempt because the H–2B worker is a national of one of the countries included in the country-specific allocation who is counted against the 20,000 returning worker exemption cap) described above. Petitioners will need to file the attestation with DHS until it announces that the supplemental H–2B cap has been reached. In addition, the petitioner will need to retain all documentation demonstrating compliance with this implementing rule, and must provide it to DHS or DOL in the event of an audit or investigation.

In addition to obtaining immediate emergency approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.13, DOL is seeking comments on this information collection pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A). Comments on the information collection must be received by January 16, 2024. This process of engaging the public and other Federal agencies before it is sure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time
and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. The PRA provides that a Federal agency generally cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information, and the public is generally not required to respond to an information collection, unless it is approved by OMB under the PRA and displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. See 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq. In addition, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person must generally be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information that does not display a valid OMB Control Number. See 5 CFR 1320.5(a) and 1320.6.

In accordance with the PRA, DOL is affording the public with notice and an opportunity to comment on the new information collection, which is necessary to implement the requirements of this rule. The information collection activities covered under a newly granted OMB Control Number 1205–NEW are required under Section 303 of Division O of the FY 2023 Omnibus as extended by Public Law 118–15, which provides that “the Secretary of Homeland Security, after consultation with the Secretary of Labor, and upon the determination that the needs of American businesses cannot be satisfied . . . with U.S. workers who are willing, qualified, and able to perform temporary nonagricultural labor,” may increase the total number of noncitizens who may receive an H–2B visa by not more than the highest number of H–2B nonimmigrants who participated in the H–2B returning worker program in any fiscal year in which returning workers were exempt from the H–2B numerical limitation. As previously discussed in the preamble of this rule, the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of Labor, has decided to increase the numerical limitation on H–2B nonimmigrant visas to authorize the issuance of up to, but not more than, an additional 64,716 visas for FY 2024 for certain H–2B workers, for U.S. businesses that attest that they are suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm. As with the previous supplemental rules, the Secretary has determined that the additional visas will only be available for returning workers, that is workers who were issued H–2B visas or otherwise granted H–2B status in FY 2021, 2022, or 2023, unless the worker is one of the 20,000 nationals of one of the countries included in the country-specific allocation who are exempt from the returning worker requirement.

Commenters are encouraged to discuss the following:
- Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- The burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, for example, permitting electronic submission of responses.

The aforementioned information collection requirements are summarized as follows:

**Agency:** DOL–ETA.

**Type of Information Collection:** Extension of an existing information collection.

**Title of the Collection:** Attestation for Employers Seeking to Employ H–2B Nonimmigrants Workers Under Section 303 of Division O of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023, Public Law 117–328, as extended by sections 101(b) and 106 of Division A of the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2024 and Other Extensions Act, Public Law 118–15.

**Agency Form Number:** Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8.

**Affected Public:** Private Sector—businesses or other for-profits.

**Total Estimated Number of Respondents:** 4,358.

**Average Responses per Year per Respondent:** 1.

**Total Estimated Number of Responses:** 4,358.

**Average Time per Response:** 10.17 hours per application.

**Total Estimated Annual Time Burden:** 32,469 hours.

**Total Estimated Other Costs Burden:** $2,195,689.

**Request for Premium Processing Service, Form I–907**

The Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq., provides that a Federal agency generally cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information, and the public is generally not required to respond to an information collection, unless it is approved by OMB under the PRA and displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. In addition, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall generally be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information that does not display a valid Control Number. See 5 CFR 1320.5(a) and 1320.6. Form I–907, Request for Premium Processing Service, has been approved by OMB and assigned OMB control number 1615–0048. DHS is making no changes to the Form I–907 in connection with this temporary rule implementing the time-limited authority pursuant to Section 303 of Division O of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023, Public Law 118–15, which expires on November 17, 2023. However, USCIS estimates that this temporary rule may result in approximately 4,325 additional filings of Form I–907 in fiscal year 2024. The current OMB-approved estimate of the number of annual respondents filing a Form I–907 is 815,773. USCIS has determined that the OMB-approved estimate is sufficient to fully encompass the additional respondents who will be filing Form I–907 in connection with this temporary rule, which represents a small fraction of the overall Form I–907 population. Therefore, DHS is not changing the collection instrument or increasing its burden estimates in connection with this temporary rule and is not publishing a notice under the PRA or making revisions to the currently approved burden for OMB control number 1615–0048.

**List of Subjects**

8 CFR Part 214

Administrative practice and procedure, Aliens, Cultural exchange program, Employment, Foreign officials, Health professions, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Students.

8 CFR Part 274a

Administrative practice and procedure, Aliens, Cultural exchange program, Employment, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Students.

20 CFR Part 655

Administrative practice and procedure, Employment, Employment and training, Enforcement, Foreign workers, Forest and forest products, Fraud, Health professions, Immigration, Labor, Longshore and harbor work, Migrant workers, Nonimmigrant workers, Passports and visas, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Unemployment, Wages, Working conditions.
For the reasons discussed in the joint preamble, chapter I of title 8 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

PART 214—NONIMMIGRANT CLASSES

1. The authority citation for part 214 continues to read as follows:


2. Effective November 17, 2023, through November 17, 2026, amend §214.2 by adding entries for “(30)” and “(31)” to table 3 to paragraph (h) and adding paragraph (h)(6)(xiv), a reserved paragraph (h)(30), and paragraph (h)(31) to read as follows:

§214.2 Special requirements for admission, extension, and maintenance of status.

* * * * *

(h) * * *

Table 3 to Paragraph (h)—Paragraph Contents

<p>| | | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(iii) Up to an additional 5,000 visas available for aliens for employment start dates from May 15, 2024 to September 30, 2024.

(ii) Up to an additional 19,000 visas for aliens who may receive H–2B nonimmigrant visas based on petitions requesting FY 2024 employment start dates from April 1, 2024 to May 14, 2024.

(i) Up to an additional 20,716 visas for aliens who may receive H–2B nonimmigrant visas pursuant to petitions with FY 2021, 2022, or 2023, unless the H–2B worker was issued an H–2B visa or was otherwise granted H–2B status in fiscal year 2021, 2022, or 2023. Notwithstanding §248.2 of this chapter, an alien may not change status to H–2B nonimmigrant under this paragraph (h)(6)(xiv) in order to file a petition with USCIS under this paragraph (h)(6)(xiv).

(6) * * *

(xiv) Special requirements for additional cap allocations under Public Laws 117–328 and 118–15—(A) Public Law 117–328 and section 101(6) of Division A of Public Law 118–15, Continuing Appropriations Act, 2024 and Other Extensions Act—(1) Supplemental allocation for returning workers. Notwithstanding the numerical limitations set forth in paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1) of this section, the Secretary has authorized up to an additional 64,716 visas for aliens who may receive H–2B nonimmigrant visas pursuant to section 303 of Division O of Public Law 117–328, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023, and section 101(6) of Division A of Public Law 118–15, Continuing Appropriations Act, 2024 and Other Extensions Act. An alien may be eligible to receive an H–2B nonimmigrant visa under this paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1) if she or he is a returning worker. The term “returning worker” under this paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1) means a person who was issued an H–2B visa or was otherwise granted H–2B status in fiscal year 2022, 2023, or 2024. Notwithstanding §248.2 of this chapter, an alien may not change status to H–2B nonimmigrant under this paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1). The additional H–2B visas authorized under this paragraph will be made available to returning workers as follows:

(i) Up to an additional 20,716 visas for aliens who may receive H–2B nonimmigrant visas based on petitions requesting FY 2024 employment start dates on or before March 31, 2024.

by an attestation indicating that the petitioner is requesting nationals of Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, or Costa Rica, will be rejected, denied or, in the case of a non-frivolous petition, approved solely for the number of beneficiaries that are from the Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, or Costa Rica. Notwithstanding §248.2 of this chapter, an alien may not change status to H–2B nonimmigrant under this paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(2).

(B) Eligibility. In order to file a petition with USCIS under this paragraph (h)(6)(xiv), the petitioner must:

(1) Comply with all other statutory and regulatory requirements for H–2B classification, including, but not limited to, requirements in this section, under part 103 of this chapter, and under 20 CFR part 655 and 29 CFR part 503; and

(2) Submit to USCIS, at the time the employer files its petition, a U.S. Department of Labor attestation, in compliance with this section and 20 CFR 655.65, evidencing that:

(i) Its business is suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm (that is, permanent and severe financial loss) without the ability to employ all of the H–2B workers requested on the petition filed pursuant to this paragraph (h)(6)(xiv);

(ii) All workers requested and/or instructed to apply for a visa have been issued an H–2B visa or otherwise granted H–2B status in fiscal year 2021, 2022, or 2023, unless the H–2B worker is a national of Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, or Costa Rica, who is counted towards the 20,000 cap described in paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(2) of this section;
The employer will comply with obligations and additional recruitment requirements outlined in 20 CFR 655.64(a)(3) through (5);

The employer will provide documentary evidence of the facts in paragraphs (h)(6)(xiv)(B)(2)(i) through (iii) of this section to DHS and/or DOL upon request; and

The employer will agree to fully cooperate with any compliance review, evaluation, verification, or inspection conducted by DHS, including an on-site inspection of the employer's facilities, interview of the employer's employees and any other individuals possessing pertinent information, and review of the employer's records related to the compliance with immigration laws and regulations, including but not limited to evidence pertaining to or supporting the eligibility criteria for the FY 2024 supplemental allocations outlined in paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(B) of this section, as a condition for the approval of the petition.

The employer will fully cooperate with any audit, investigation, compliance review, evaluation, verification or inspection conducted by DOL, including an on-site inspection of the employer's facilities, interview of the employer's employees and any other individuals possessing pertinent information, and review of the employer's records related to the compliance with applicable laws and regulations, including but not limited to evidence pertaining to or supporting the eligibility criteria for the FY 2024 supplemental allocations outlined in 20 CFR 655.64(a) and 655.65(a), as a condition for the approval of the H–2B petition. The employer must attest to this on Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8 and must further attest on Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8 that it will not impede, interfere, or refuse to cooperate with an employee of the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Labor who is exercising or attempting to exercise DOL’s audit or investigative authority pursuant to 20 CFR part 655, subpart A, and 29 CFR 503.25.

(C) Processing—(1) Petitions filed pursuant to paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1)(a) requesting FY 2024 employment start dates on or before March 31, 2024. USCIS will reject petitions filed pursuant to paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1)(a) of this section requesting employment start dates on or before March 31, 2024 that are received after the applicable numerical limitation has been reached or after September 16, 2024.

(2) Petitions filed pursuant to paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1)(ii) of this section requesting FY 2024 employment start dates from April 1, 2024 to May 14, 2024. USCIS will reject petitions filed pursuant to paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1)(ii) of this section requesting employment start dates from April 1, 2024 to May 14, 2024 that are received earlier than 15 days after the INA section 214(g) cap for the second half FY 2024 has been met, or after the applicable numerical limitation has been reached or after September 16, 2024.

(3) Petitions filed pursuant to paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1)(iii) of this section requesting FY 2024 employment start dates from May 15, 2024 and September 30, 2024. USCIS will reject petitions filed pursuant to paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1)(iii) of this section requesting employment start dates from May 15, 2024 to September 30, 2024 that are received earlier than 45 days after the INA section 214(g) cap for the second half FY 2024 has been met, or after the applicable numerical limitation has been reached or after September 16, 2024.

(4) Petitions filed pursuant to paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(2) requesting nationals of Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, or Costa Rica with FY 2024 employment start dates. USCIS will reject petitions filed pursuant to paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(2) of this section that have a date of need on or after April 1, 2024 and are received earlier than 45 days after the INA section 214(g) cap for the second half FY 2024 is met, or after the applicable numerical limitation has been reached or after September 16, 2024.

(5) USCIS will not approve a petition filed pursuant to this paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(2) after October 1, 2024.

(D) Numerical limitations under paragraphs (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1) and (2) of this section. When calculating the numerical limitations under paragraphs (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1) and (2) of this section as authorized under Public Law 117–328, as extended by Public Law 118–15, USCIS will make numbers for each allocation available to petitioners in the order in which the petitions subject to the respective limitation are received. USCIS will make projections of the number of petitions necessary to achieve the numerical limit of approvals, taking into account historical data related to approvals, denials, revocations, and other relevant factors. USCIS will monitor the number of petitions received (including the number of workers requested when necessary) and notify the public of the dates that USCIS has received the necessary number of petitions (the “final receipt dates”) under paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1) or (2). The day the public is notified will not control the final receipt dates. When necessary to ensure the fair and orderly allocation of numbers subject to the numerical limitations in paragraphs (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1) and (2), USCIS may randomly select from among the petitions received on the final receipt dates the remaining number of petitions deemed necessary to generate the numerical limit of approvals. This random selection will be made via computer-generated selection. Petitions subject to a numerical limitation not randomly selected or that were received after the final receipt dates that may be applicable under paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1) or (2) will be rejected. If the final receipt date is any of the first 5 business days on which petitions subject to the applicable numerical limits described in paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1) or (2) may be received (in other words, if either of the numerical limits described in paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1) or (2) is reached on any one of the first 5 business days that filings can be made), USCIS will randomly apply all of the numbers among the petitions received on any of those 5 business days.

(E) Sunset. This paragraph (h)(6)(xiv) expires on October 1, 2024.

(F) Non-severability. The requirement to file an attestation under paragraph (h)(6)(xiv)(B)(2) of this section is intended to be non-severable from the remainder of this paragraph (h)(6)(xiv), including, but not limited to, the numerical allocation provisions at paragraphs (h)(6)(xiv)(A)(1) and (2) of this section in their entirety. In the event that any part of this paragraph (h)(6)(xiv) is enjoined or held to be invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of this paragraph (h)(6)(xiv) is also intended to be enjoined or held to be invalid in such jurisdiction, without prejudice to workers already present in the United States under this paragraph (h)(6)(xiv), as consistent with law.

* * * * *

(30) [Reserved]

(31) Change of employers and portability for H–2B workers. (i) This paragraph (h)(31) relates to H–2B workers seeking to change employers during the time period specified in paragraph (h)(31)(iv) of this section. Notwithstanding paragraph (h)(2)(i)(D) of this section:

(A) An alien in valid H–2B nonimmigrant status whose new petition for a non-frivolous H–2B petition requesting an extension of the alien’s stay on or after January 25, 2024,
is authorized to begin employment with the new petitioner after the petition described in this paragraph (h)(31) is received by USCIS and before the new H–2B petition is approved, but no earlier than the start date indicated in the new H–2B petition; or

(B) An alien whose new petitioner filed a non-frivolous H–2B petition requesting an extension of the alien’s stay before January 25, 2024, that remains pending on January 25, 2024, is authorized to begin employment with the new petitioner before the new H–2B petition is approved, but no earlier than the start date of employment indicated on the new H–2B petition.

(ii) (A) With respect to a new petition described in paragraph (h)(31)(i)(A) of this section, and subject to the requirements of 8 CFR 274a.12(b)(34), the new period of employment described in paragraph (h)(31)(i) of this section may last for up to 60 days beginning on the Received Date on Form I–797 (Notice of Action) or, if the start date of employment occurs after the I–797 Received Date, for a period of up to 60 days beginning on the start date of employment indicated in the H–2B petition.

(B) With respect to a new petition described in paragraph (h)(31)(i)(B) of this section, the new period of employment described in paragraph (h)(31)(i) of this section may last for up to 60 days beginning on the later of either January 25, 2024, or the start date of employment indicated in the H–2B petition.

(C) With respect to either type of new petition, if USCIS adjudicates the new petition before the expiration of this 60-day period and denies the petition, or if the new petition is withdrawn by the petitioner before the expiration of the 60-day period, the employment authorization associated with the filing of that petition under 8 CFR 274a.12(b)(34) will automatically terminate 15 days after the date of the denial decision or 15 days after the date on which the new petition is withdrawn. Nothing in this paragraph (h)(31) is intended to alter the availability of employment authorization related to professional H–2B athletes who are traded between organizations pursuant to paragraph (h)(6)(vii) of this section and 8 CFR 274a.12(b)(9).

(iii) In addition to meeting all other requirements in paragraph (h)(6) of this section for the H–2B classification, to commence employment under this paragraph (h)(31):

(A) The alien must either:

(1) Have been in valid H–2B nonimmigrant status on or after January 25, 2024 and be the beneficiary of a non-frivolous H–2B petition requesting an extension of the alien’s stay that is received on or after January 25, 2024, but no later than January 24, 2025; or

(2) Be the beneficiary of a non-frivolous H–2B petition requesting an extension of the alien’s stay that is pending as of January 25, 2024; and

(B) The petitioner may not impede, interfere, or refuse to cooperate with an employee of the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Labor who is exercising or attempting to exercise DOL’s audit or investigative authority under 20 CFR part 655, subpart A, and 29 CFR 503.25.

(iv) Authorization to initiate employment changes pursuant to this paragraph (h)(31) begins at 12 a.m. on January 25, 2024, and ends at the end of January 24, 2025.

PART 274a—CONTROL OF EMPLOYMENT OF ALIENS

3. The authority citation for part 274a continues to read as follows:


4. Effective November 17, 2023, through November 17, 2026, amend §274a.12 by adding paragraph (b)(34) to read as follows:

§274a.12 Classes of aliens authorized to accept employment.

(b) * * * * *

(34) Pursuant to 8 CFR 214.2(h)(31) and notwithstanding 8 CFR 214.2(h)(2)(i)(D), an alien is authorized to be employed no earlier than the start date of employment indicated in the H–2B petition and no earlier than January 25, 2024, by a new employer that has filed an H–2B petition naming the alien as a beneficiary and requesting an extension of stay for the alien, for a period not to exceed 60 days beginning on:

(A) The later of the “Received Date” on Form I–797 (Notice of Action) acknowledging receipt of the petition, or the start date of employment indicated on the new H–2B petition, for petitions filed on or after January 25, 2024; or

(B) The later of January 25, 2024, or the start date of employment indicated on the new H–2B petition, for petitions that are pending as of January 25, 2024.

(ii) If USCIS adjudicates the new petition prior to the expiration of the 60-day period in paragraph (b)(34)(i) of this section and denies the new petition for extension of stay, or if the petitioner withdraws the new petition before the expiration of the 60-day period, the employment authorization under this paragraph (b)(34) will automatically terminate upon 15 days after the date of the denial decision or the date on which the new petition is withdrawn. Nothing in this section is intended to alter the availability of employment authorization related to professional H–2B athletes who are traded between organizations pursuant to paragraph (b)(9) of this section and 8 CFR 214.2(h)(b)(vii).

(iii) Authorization to initiate employment changes pursuant to 8 CFR 214.2(h)(31) and paragraph (b)(34)(i) of this section begins at 12 a.m. on January 25, 2024, and ends at the end of January 24, 2025.

PART 655—TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT OF FOREIGN WORKERS IN THE UNITED STATES

5. The authority citation for part 655 continues to read as follows:


Subpart A issued under 8 CFR 214.2(h).

Subpart B issued under 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(a), 1184(c), and 1188; and 8 CFR 214.2(h).


Subparts H and I issued under 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) and (d)(1), 1184(c), and (t), and 1184(g) and (j); sec. 303(a)(8), Pub. L. 102–232, 105 Stat. 1733, 1748 (8 U.S.C. 1101 note); sec. 412(e), Pub. L. 105–277, 112 Stat. 2681; 8 CFR 214.2(h); and 28 U.S.C. 2461 note, Pub. L. 114–74 at section 701.

Subparts L and M issued under 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(c) and 1182(m); sec. 2(d), 274a.12(b)(34).
6. Effective November 17, 2023, through September 30, 2024, add § 655.64 to read as follows:

§ 655.64 Special application filing and eligibility provisions for Fiscal Year 2024 under the November 17, 2023 supplemental cap increase.

(a) An employer filing a petition with USCIS under 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(xiv) to request H–2B workers with FY 2024 employment start dates on or before September 30, 2024, must meet the following requirements:

(1) The employer must attest on the Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8 that its business is suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm (that is, permanent and severe financial loss) without the ability to employ all of the H–2B workers requested on the petition filed pursuant to 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(xiv). Additionally, the employer’s attestation must identify the types of evidence the employer is relying on and will retain to meet the irreparable harm standard, attest that the employer has created a detailed written statement describing how it is suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm and describing how such evidence demonstrates irreparable harm, and attest that the employer will provide all documentary evidence of the applicable irreparable harm and the written statement describing how such evidence demonstrates irreparable harm to DHS and/or DOL upon request.

(2) The employer must attest on Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8 that each of the workers requested and/or instructed to apply for a visa, whether named or unnamed, on a petition filed pursuant to 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(xiv), have been issued an H–2B visa or otherwise granted H–2B status during one of the last three (3) fiscal years (fiscal year 2021, 2022, or 2023), unless the H–2B worker is a national of Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, or Ecuador, or Costa Rica and is counted towards the 20,000 cap described in 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(xiv)(A)(2).

(3) The employer must attest on Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8 that the employer will comply with all the assurances, obligations, and conditions of employment set forth on its approved Application for Temporary Employment Certification.

(4) An employer that submits Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8 and the I–129 petition three or more days after the certified start date of work, as shown on its approved Form ETA–9142B, Final Determination: H–2B Temporary Labor Certification Approval, must conduct additional recruitment of U.S. workers as follows:

(i) Not later than the next business day after submitting the I–129 petition for H–2B worker(s), the employer must place a new job order for the job opportunity with the State Workforce Agency (SWA), serving the area of intended employment. The employer must follow all applicable SWA instructions for posting job orders, concurrently inform the SWA and NPC that the job order is being placed in connection with a previously certified Application for Temporary Employment Certification for H–2B workers by providing the unique temporary labor certification (TLC) identification number, and receive applications in all forms allowed by the SWA, including online applications (sometimes known as “self-referrals”). The job order must contain the job assurances and contents set forth in § 655.18 for recruitment of U.S. workers at the place of employment, and remain posted for at least 15 calendar days;

(ii) During the period of time the SWA is actively circulating the job order described in paragraph (a)(4)(i) of this section for intrastate clearance, the employer must hire any U.S. worker referred for the job opportunity until the job is filled or the job order is closed. The employer must engage in the recruitment of U.S. workers currently employed by the employer at the place of employment, disclose the terms of the job order placed pursuant to (a)(4)(i) of this section, and request assistance in recruiting qualified U.S. workers for the job. The contact, disclosure, and request for assistance required by this paragraph (a)(4)(iv) must be provided in a language understood by the worker, as necessary or reasonable, and in writing;

(v) During the period of time the SWA is actively circulating the job order described in paragraph (a)(4)(i) of this section for intrastate clearance, the employer must contact by mail or other effective written means all U.S. workers currently employed at the place of employment, disclose the terms of the job order placed pursuant to (a)(4)(i) of this section, and request assistance in recruiting qualified U.S. workers for the job. The contact, disclosure, and request for assistance required by this paragraph (a)(4)(v) must be provided in a language understood by the worker, as necessary or reasonable, in writing; and

(vi) During the period of time the SWA is actively circulating the job order described in paragraph (a)(4)(i) of this section for intrastate clearance, the employer must contact by mail or other effective means any U.S. workers that were dismissed for cause or who abandoned the worksite).

(b) An employer filing a petition with USCIS under 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(xiv) to request H–2B workers by beginning January 1, 2022, until the date the I–129 petition required under 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(xiv) is submitted, who were employed by the employer in the occupation at the place of employment (except those who were dismissed for cause or who abandoned the worksite), disclose the terms of the job order placed pursuant to (a)(4)(ii) of this section, and solicit their return to the job. The contact and disclosures required by this paragraph (a)(4)(iv) must be provided in a language understood by the worker, as necessary or reasonable, and in writing;

(c) The only worker who is eligible to receive the additional recruitment and job order placement described in paragraph (a)(4)(i) of this section is the worker whose job assurance and contents were set forth in § 655.18 for recruitment of U.S. workers at the place of employment, and remain posted for at least 15 calendar days;

(d) The only worker who is eligible to receive the additional recruitment and job order placement described in paragraph (a)(4)(ii) of this section is the worker whose job assurance and contents were set forth in § 655.18 for recruitment of U.S. workers at the place of employment, and remain posted for at least 15 calendar days.

(e) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(iii) of this section.

(f) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(iv) of this section.

(g) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(v) of this section.

(h) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(vi) of this section.

(i) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(vii) of this section.

(j) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(viii) of this section.

(k) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(ix) of this section.

(l) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(x) of this section.

(m) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(xi) of this section.

(n) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(xii) of this section.

(o) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(xiii) of this section.

(p) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(xiv) of this section.

(q) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(xv) of this section.

(r) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(xvi) of this section.

(s) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(xvii) of this section.

(t) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(xviii) of this section.

(u) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(xix) of this section.

(v) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(xx) of this section.

(w) The provisions of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section do not apply to workers described in paragraph (a)(4)(xxi) of this section.
SWA job order is posted, whichever is later. Consistent with § 655.40(a), applicants can be rejected only for lawful job-related reasons.

(5) The employer must attest on Form ETA–9142–B–CAA–8 that it will fully cooperate with any audit, investigation, compliance review, evaluation, verification, or inspection conducted by DOL, including an on-site inspection of the employer’s facilities, interview of the employer’s employees and any other individuals possessing pertinent information, and review of the employer’s records related to the compliance with applicable laws and regulations, including but not limited to evidence pertaining to or supporting the eligibility criteria for the FY 2024 supplemental allocations outlined in this paragraph (a) and § 655.65(a), as a condition for the approval of the H–2B petition. Pursuant to this subpart and 29 CFR 503.25, the employer will not impede, interfere, or refuse to cooperate with an employee of the Secretary who is exercising or attempting to exercise DOL’s audit or investigative authority.

(b) This section expires on October 1, 2024.

(c) The requirements under paragraph (a) of this section are intended to be non-severable from the remainder of this section; in the event that paragraph (a)(1), (2), (3), (4), or (5) of this section is enjoined or held to be invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of this section is also intended to be enjoined or held to be invalid in such jurisdiction, without prejudice to workers already present in the United States under this part, as consistent with law.

7. Effective November 17, 2023, through September 30, 2027, add § 655.65 to read as follows:

§ 655.65 Special document retention provisions for Fiscal Years 2024 through 2027 under the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023, as extended by Public Law 118–15.

(a) An employer that files a petition with USCIS to employ H–2B workers in fiscal year 2024 under authority of the temporary increase in the numerical limitation under section 303 of Division O, Public Law 117–328, as extended by Public Law 118–15 must maintain for a period of three (3) years from the date of certification, consistent with 20 CFR 655.56 and 29 CFR 503.17, the following:

(1) A copy of the attestation filed pursuant to the regulations in 8 CFR 214.2 governing that temporary increase;

(2) Evidence establishing, at the time of filing the I–129 petition, that the employer’s business is suffering irreparable harm or will suffer impending irreparable harm (that is, permanent and severe financial loss) without the ability to employ all of the H–2B workers requested on the petition filed pursuant to 8 CFR 214.2(b)(6)(xiv), including a detailed written statement describing the irreparable harm and how such evidence shows irreparable harm;

(3) Documentary evidence establishing that each of the workers the employer requested and/or instructed to apply for a visa, whether named or unnamed on a petition filed pursuant to 8 CFR 214.2(b)(6)(xiv), have been issued an H–2B visa or otherwise granted H–2B status during one of the last three (3) fiscal years (fiscal year 2021, 2022, or 2023), unless the H–2B worker(s) is a national of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, or Costa Rica and is counted towards the 20,000 cap described in 8 CFR 214.2(b)(6)(xiv)(A)(2). Alternatively, if applicable, employers must maintain documentary evidence that the workers the employer requested and/or instructed to apply for visas are eligible nationals of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Ecuador, or Costa Rica as defined in 8 CFR 214.2(b)(6)(xiv)(A)(2); and

(4) If applicable, proof of recruitment efforts set forth in § 655.64(a)(4)(I) through (vii) and a recruitment report that meets the requirements set forth in § 655.48(a)(1) through (4) and (7), and maintained throughout the recruitment period set forth in § 655.64(a)(4)(viii).

(b) DOL and/or DHS may inspect the documents in paragraphs (a)(1) through (4) of this section upon request.

(c) This section expires on October 1, 2027.

Alejandro N. Mayorkas,

Julie A. Su,
Acting Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor.
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