

security of the Limited Access DMF, as required by the final rule.

Estimated Number of Respondents: ACAB Systems Safeguards Attestation Form: NTIS expects to receive approximately 240 ACAB Systems Safeguards Attestation Forms from Persons and Certified Persons annually. AG or IG Systems Safeguards Attestation Form: NTIS expects to receive approximately 20 AG or IG Systems Safeguards Attestation Forms from Persons and Certified Persons annually.

Estimated Time per Response: ACAB Systems Safeguards Attestation Form: 3 hours. AG or IG Systems Safeguards Attestation Form: 3 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: ACAB Systems Safeguards Attestation Form: 720 (240 × 3 hours = 720 hours). AG or IG Systems Safeguards Attestation Form: 60 (20 × 3 hours = 60 hours).

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: ACAB Systems Safeguards Attestation Form: NTIS expects to receive approximately 240 ACAB Systems Safeguards Attestation Forms annually at a fee of \$247 per form, for a total cost of \$59,280. This total annual cost reflects the cost to the Federal Government for the ACAB Systems Safeguards Attestation Forms, which consists of the expenses associated with NTIS personnel reviewing and processing these forms. NTIS estimates that it will take ACAB's senior auditor three hours to complete the form at a rate of approximately \$204 per hour, for a total additional cost to the public of \$146,880 (720 burden hours × \$204/hour = \$146,880). NTIS estimates the total annual cost to the public for the ACAB Systems Safeguards forms to be \$206,160 (\$59,280 in fees + \$146,880 in staff time = \$206,160). AG or IG Systems Safeguards Attestation Form: NTIS expects to receive approximately 20 AG or IG Systems Safeguards Attestation Forms annually at a fee of \$247 per form, for a total cost of \$4,940. This total annual cost reflects the cost to the Federal Government for the AG or IG Systems Safeguards Attestation Forms, which consists of the expenses associated with NTIS personnel reviewing and processing these forms. NTIS estimates that it will take an AG or IG senior auditor three hours to complete the form at a rate of approximately \$204 per hour, for a total additional cost to the public of \$12,240 (60 burden hours × \$204/hour = \$12,240). NTIS estimates the total annual cost to the public for AG or IG Systems Safeguards Attestation Forms to be \$17,180 (\$4,940 in fees + \$12,240 in staff time = \$17,180).

NTIS estimates the total annual cost to the public for both the ACAB Systems Safeguards Attestation Forms and the AG or IG Systems Safeguards Attestation Forms to be \$223,340 (\$206,160 for ACAB Systems Safeguards Attestation Forms + \$17,180 for AG or IG Systems Safeguards Attestation Forms).

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Legal Authority: Section 203 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013, Public Law 113–67; 15 CFR part 1110.

IV. Request for Comments

We are soliciting public comments to permit the Department/Bureau to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of the time and cost burden for this proposed collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) Evaluate ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) Minimize the reporting burden on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this Information Collection Review (ICR). Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you may ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Sheleen Dumas,

Department PRA Clearance Officer, Office of the Under Secretary of Economic Affairs, Commerce Department.

[FR Doc. 2023–24127 Filed 10–31–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–04–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

[Docket ID: USAF–2022–HQ–0010]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of the Air Force, Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: 30-Day information collection notice.

SUMMARY: The DoD has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by December 1, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Angela Duncan, 571–372–7574, whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-information-collections@mail.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title; Associated Form; and OMB Number: Fire Emergency Services—Information Management System (FES–IMS) Personnel Information; OMB Control Number 0701–FESR.

Type of Request: Existing collection in use without an OMB Control Number.

Number of Respondents: 2,000.

Responses per Respondent: 1.

Annual Responses: 2,000.

Average Burden per Response: 15 minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 500.

Needs and Uses: Information collection is necessary to establish user accounts for Fire and Emergency Services—Information Management System (FES–IMS) users. Users are Fire Department support personnel including Air Force Active Duty, Air National Guard, Air Force Reserve personnel, Air Force Department of Defense Civilians, and Air Force Civil Engineering contractors. Air Force DoD Civilians and Contracted employees at OCONUS locations may include foreign nationals employed at U.S. Military facilities. Data collected supports the daily operations of Air Force Fire Departments and Emergency Dispatch Centers for personnel tracking, shift

scheduling, training requirements tracking, and documenting after-action reports of an incident. This information is critical to protect installation resources, equipment, and personnel that require emergency services.

The data collected consists of 26 questions used to develop the personnel profile for the individual within the system. Information is collected from respondents via a face-to-face interview conducted at the respective duty location. The interview will be hosted and carried out by a uniformed military member or government civilian, assigned the FES-IMS "Core Data" collection role.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: Ms. Jasmeet Seehra.

You may also submit comments and recommendations, identified by Docket ID number and title, by the following method:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name, Docket ID number, and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

DoD Clearance Officer: Ms. Angela Duncan.

Requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Ms. Duncan at whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-information-collections@mail.mil.

Dated: October 24, 2023.

Aaron T. Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2023-24062 Filed 10-31-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6001-FR-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

[Docket ID: USAF-2023-HQ-0007]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of the Air Force, Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: 30-Day information collection notice.

SUMMARY: The DoD has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by December 1, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting "Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Angela Duncan, 571-372-7574 whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-information-collections@mail.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title; Associated Form; and OMB Number: Qualitative Study of Factors that Influence Healthcare Seeking in Pilots; OMB Control Number 0701-TPHB.

Type of Request: New.

Number of Respondents: 100.

Responses per Respondent: 1.

Annual Responses: 100.

Average Burden per Response: 48 minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 80.

Needs and Uses: Information collection via semi-structured interviews is necessary to conduct a qualitative study of US Air Force active duty pilots, US Air Force trainee pilots, civilian collegiate aviation students, and commercial airline pilots. Data collection will focus on the following: (1) factors that negatively influence healthcare utilization and aeromedical disclosure during screening, (2) factors that support healthcare utilization and aeromedical disclosure during screening, and (3) factors that can be modified to address pilot healthcare avoidance from a pilot's perspective to inform future prospective research. This study has been approved as part of the FY22 Studies and Analysis (S&A) Portfolio by the Commander of the United States School of Aerospace Medicine (USAFSAM). The Air Force Aerospace and Operational Medicine (AO) Panel (lead by the AFMRA/SG3P) provides baseline S&A funds to USAFSAM to address urgent and near-term needs, issues, and consultative questions that arise from installations, the Aerospace Medicine Community (Team-SGP) and Line of the Air Force senior leadership and commanders that

are appropriate for one-year, short term investigative work.

Aircraft pilots are required to meet certain medical standards in order to function as a required aircrew member. If a pilot develops a new symptom or condition and discloses it during aeromedical screening, the pilot runs the risk of temporary or permanent loss of their flying status. This can result in negative occupational, social, and financial repercussions for the pilot. For this reason, it has been hypothesized that a subset of pilots participates in healthcare avoidance or does not fully disclose during aeromedical screening due to fear for aeromedical certificate loss. Evolving data is beginning to clarify the vast scope of this issue. A recent publication of over 3,500 US pilots showed that 56.1% of pilots reported a history of healthcare avoidance behavior due to fear for loss of aeromedical certification (1). More concerning, 60.1% of another sample of US pilots reported delaying or forgoing medical care due to fear for loss of flying status (2). Healthcare avoidance in aircraft pilots due to fear for loss of flying status may be prevalent, but many unanswered questions remain about factors that influence healthcare utilization and medical disclosure during aeromedical screening.

Research on pilot healthcare avoidance is critical to maintain military readiness for the following reasons: (1) optimizing existing medical assets increases the efficiency and effectiveness of warfighting capability without increased investment; (2) early presentation to medical care can increase the operational career and medical readiness of pilots, resulting in increased readiness efficacy and cost savings; and (3) research on pilots can inform how the aeromedical system supports this generation of pilots in the future (this population of pilots is hypothesized to have different healthcare preferences and behaviors from previous generations of pilots).

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: Once.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: Ms. Jasmeet Seehra.

You may also submit comments and recommendations, identified by Docket ID number and title, by the following method:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name, Docket ID number, and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy