By order of the Commission. Issued: September 21, 2023.

Sharon Bellamy,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer. [FR Doc. 2023–20983 Filed 9–22–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-860 (Final)]

Tin- and Chromium-Coated Steel Sheet From Japan; Denial of Request To Institute a Section 751(b) Review Concerning the Commission's Affirmative Determination

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it has declined to institute a review pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930.

DATES: September 19, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alejandro Orozco (202-205-3177), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for this matter may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.-In August 2000, the Commission determined that a U.S. industry was materially injured by reason of imports of tin- and chromiumcoated steel sheet ("TCCSS") from Japan found by the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) to be sold in the United States at less than fair value. Tinand Chromium-Coated Steel Sheet from Japan, Inv. No. 731–TA–860 (Final), USITC Pub. 3337 (August 2000). The Commission's affirmative determination was the subject of several appeals and three remand proceedings. Ultimately, in accordance with the Federal Circuit's mandate, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) affirmed the Commission's second remand determination and thus reinstated the Commission's affirmative

material injury determination. *See Nippon Steel Corporation, et al.* v. *United States,* 31 CIT 1588, 433 F. Supp. 2d 1336 (Ct. Int'l Trade 2007).

In the first, second, and third full fiveyear reviews, the Commission found that revocation of the antidumping duty order on TCCSS from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. Tin- and Chromium-Coated Steel Sheet from Japan, Inv. No. 731-TA-860 (Review), USITC Pub. 3860 (June 2006); Tin- and Chromium-Coated Steel Sheet from Japan, Inv. No. 731-TA-860 (Second Review), USITC Pub. 4325 (May 2012); Tin- and Chromium-Coated Steel Sheet from Japan, Inv. No. 731-TA-860 (Third Review), USITC Pub. 4795 (June 2018).

On August 5, 2022, a request for a changed circumstances review of the Commission's affirmative determination regarding TCCSS from Japan was filed by the Can Manufacturers Institute ("CMI"), Silgan Containers, LLC ("Silgan"), Sonoco Product Company ("Sonoco"), Trivium Packaging USA Inc. ("Trivium"), Crown Holdings, Inc. ("Crown"), and Nippon Steel Corporation ("NSC") (collectively, "CMI"). CMI's request alleges that there have been significant changed circumstances since the Commission's affirmative determination in the third five-year review. Specifically, CMI claims that there has been a dramatic reduction in the domestic industry's production capacity for TCCSS since 2018. CMI further contends that subject producers in Japan have reduced their TCCSS capacity since the last reviews and no longer possess excess capacity.

On October 28, 2022, the Commission published a Federal Register notice inviting comments from the public on whether changed circumstances exist sufficient to warrant the institution of a changed circumstances review (87 FR 65248, Oct. 28, 2022). Comments in response to this notice were filed on December 15, 2022. The Commission received separate submissions opposing the institution of a changed circumstances review filed on behalf of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc. and U.S. Steel Corporation. The Commission also received separate submissions in favor of instituting a changed circumstances review on behalf of The Consumer Brands Association ("CBA"), the Steel **Tinplate Against Tariffs Coalition** ("STAT"), and U.S. Representative Claudia Tenney. U.S. Representatives Salud Carbajal, Jim Costa, Mark DeSaulnier, and John Garamendi filed

joint comments in support of initiating a changed circumstances review.

On January 15, 2023, the Commission voted unanimously to waive its 45-day rule for determining whether to institute a changed circumstances review.

On June 1, 2023, Commerce initiated, and the Commission instituted, the fourth five-year sunset review of the antidumping duty order on TCCSS from Japan. 88 FR 35832; 88 FR 35920. On September 5, 2023, the Commission voted unanimously to conduct a full review of the antidumping duty order on TCCSS from Japan. 88 FR 64464 (Sept. 19, 2023).

On September 19, 2023, the Commission determined not to institute a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on TCCSS from Japan. The Commission found that conducting a changed circumstances review was unwarranted because it would be duplicative of the pending full five-year review. See Eveready Battery Co. Inc., v. United States, 77 F. Supp. 2d 1327, 1334 (Ct. Int'l Trade, Nov. 23, 1999) (finding that a request for a changed circumstances review was rendered moot by the Commission's institution of a full five-year review); see also Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from China, 79 FR 35568-69 (June 23, 2014) (denying request for changed circumstances review because it would be duplicative of the ongoing full five-year review).

Authority: This notice is published pursuant to section 207.45 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

By order of the Commission. Issued: September 20, 2023.

Katherine Hiner,

Supervisory Attorney. [FR Doc. 2023–20817 Filed 9–25–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1374–1376 (Review)]

Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Belgium, Colombia, and Thailand; Notice of Commission Determinations to Conduct Full Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on citric acid and certain citrate salts from Belgium, Colombia, and Thailand would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date.

DATES: September 5, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alec Resch (202) 708-1448, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (https:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 5, 2023, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response and the respondent interested party group response from Belgium to its notice of institution (88 FR 35923. June 1, 2023) were adequate, and determined to conduct a full review of the order on imports from Belgium. The Commission also found that the respondent interested party group responses from Colombia and Thailand were inadequate but determined to conduct full reviews of the orders on imports from those countries in order to promote administrative efficiency in light of its determination to conduct a full review of the order with respect to Belgium. A record of the Commissioners' votes will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's website.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: September 21, 2023.

Katherine Hiner,

Supervisory Attorney. [FR Doc. 2023–20886 Filed 9–25–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1294]

Certain High-Performance Gravity-Fed Water Filters and Products Containing the Same; Notice of the Commission's Final Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: International Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") has determined that there is no violation of section 337 in the above-captioned investigation, reversing the administrative law judge's ("ALJ") final initial determination ("ID") in this investigation on review. The Commission has determined to grant in part complainant's motion to strike waived arguments and new evidence.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov.* For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at *https://www.usitc.gov.* Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 31, 2022, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Brita LP ("Brita") of Neuchatel NE, Switzerland. 87 FR 4913 (Jan. 31, 2022). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale

within the United States after importation of certain high-performance gravity-fed water filters and products containing the same by reason of infringement of claims 1-6, 20, 21, 23, and 24 of U.S. Patent No. 8,167,141 ("the '141 patent"). Id. The Commission's notice of investigation named nine respondents: Mavea LLC of West Linn, Oregon and Brita GmbH of Taunusstein, Switzerland (collectively, "the Mavea Respondents"); Ecolife Technologies, Inc. of City of Industry, California and Qingdao Ecopure Filter Co., Ltd. of Shandong Province, China (collectively, "the Aqua Crest Respondents"); Kaz USA, Inc. and Helen of Troy Limited, both of El Paso, Texas (collectively, "PUR Respondents"); Zero Technologies, LLC of Trevose, Pennsylvania; Culligan International Co. of Rosemont, Illinois (collectively, "ZeroWater Respondents"); and Vestergaard Frandsen Inc. of Baltimore, Maryland ("LifeStraw"). Id. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in this investigation. Id.

On May 3, 2022, the ALJ issued an ID granting a motion to terminate the investigation as to the Mavea Respondents based upon settlement. Order No. 13 (May 3, 2022), *unreviewed* by Comm'n Notice (May 24, 2022).

On June 1, 2022, the ALJ issued an ID granting a motion to terminate the investigation as to claims 20, 21, and 24 of the '141 patent based upon withdrawal of the allegations in the complaint as to these claims. Order No. 19 (June 1, 2022), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (June 21, 2022).

On June 2, 2022, the ALJ held a *Markman* hearing. The ALJ issued a *Markman* Order construing the claim terms in dispute on July 20, 2022. Order No. 30 (July 20, 2022).

On September 22, 2022, the ALJ issued an ID granting a motion to terminate the investigation as to the Aqua Crest Respondents based upon withdrawal of the allegations in the complaint as to these respondents. Order No. 43 (Sept. 22, 2022), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Oct. 11, 2022).

The ALJ held an evidentiary hearing from August 17–19, August 22–23, and October 13, 2022, and received posthearing briefs thereafter.

On February 28, 2023, the ALJ issued the final ID finding a violation of section 337. The ID found that "because of importation stipulations of all Accused Products," the importation requirement under 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(1)(B) is satisfied. ID at 12–13. The ID also found that Brita successfully proved that all of the Accused Products infringe the