valid for 2 years unless revoked earlier by FMCSA.

Larry W. Minor,

Associate Administrator for Policy. [FR Doc. 2023–18995 Filed 9–1–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–EX–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket Number FRA-2022-0098]

Petition for Waiver of Compliance

Under part 211 of title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), this document provides the public notice that on August 27, 2023, Brightline Trains Florida LLC (BLF) ¹ petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) for a waiver of compliance from 49 CFR 213.353(e), *Turnouts, crossovers, and lift rail assemblies or other transition devices on moveable bridges.* For administrative convenience, FRA has placed BLF's petition in Docket Number FRA–2022– 0098.

BLF explains that its request for relief is proposed to enable BLF to proceed with the critical testing processes of Positive Train Control (PTC) Field Qualification Testing (FQT), PTC Simulated Revenue Service Demonstration (SRSD), and Simulated Service Demonstration (SSD)² on the segment of its Phase 2 project connecting West Palm Beach, Florida, with Cocoa, Florida (Phase 2 N/S Corridor). As such, BLF requests that the waiver apply to train movements necessary in support of PTC Testing and crew qualification and would expire upon completion of SSD.

Paragraph (e) of 49 CFR 213.353 requires all hand operated switches to be equipped with a redundant operating mechanism for maintaining the security of switch point position. BLF notes that there are 55 hand operated switches in its Phase 2 N/S Corridor, although the proposed waiver would only apply to 31 switches that are located in Class 6 track. BLF explains existing mitigations will be in place for the purpose of completing testing and service demonstration (*i.e.*, the PTC system will provide protection for improperly lined switches through enforcement of the protecting signals and after passing a signal, if a switch in the block becomes improperly lined, the automatic train

control (ATC) system will enforce the most restrictive cab signal state).

BLF asks for expedited review given scheduled start of the referenced testing.

A copy of the petition, as well as any written communications concerning the petition, is available for review online at *www.regulations.gov.*

Interested parties are invited to participate in these proceedings by submitting written views, data, or comments. FRA does not anticipate scheduling a public hearing in connection with these proceedings since the facts do not appear to warrant a hearing. If any interested party desires an opportunity for oral comment and a public hearing, they should notify FRA, in writing, before the end of the comment period and specify the basis for their request.

All communications concerning these proceedings should identify the appropriate docket number and must be submitted to *www.regulations.gov*, with a copy to Carolyn Hayward-Williams, Director, Office of Railroad Systems and Technology, telephone: 202–493–6036, email: *c.hayward-williams@dot.gov*.

Communications received by September 12, 2023 will be considered by FRA before final action is taken. FRA reserves the right to make an initial decision in this docket in advance of the close of the comment period and contingent upon subsequent consideration of any comments submitted to the docket within the comment period.

Anyone can search the electronic form of any written communications and comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the document, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). Under 5 U.S.C. 553(c), the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) solicits comments from the public to better inform its processes. DOT posts these comments, without edit, including any personal information the commenter provides, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL-14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at https://www.transportation.gov/privacy. See also https://www.regulations.gov/ privacy-notice for the privacy notice of regulations.gov.

Issued in Washington, DC.

John Karl Alexy,

Associate Administrator for Railroad Safety, Chief Safety Officer.

[FR Doc. 2023–19034 Filed 9–1–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2023-0019]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; State Data Transfer for Vehicle Crash Information

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments on an extension with modification of a currently approved information collection.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden. This document describes a currently approved collection of information for which NHTSA intends to seek approval from OMB for extension with modification on NHTSA's State Data Transfer for Vehicle Crash Information. A Federal Register Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following information collection was published on May 3, 2023. One supporting comment was received. DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before October 5, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection, including suggestions for reducing burden, should be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget at www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. To find this particular information collection, select "Currently under Review—Open for Public Comment" or use the search function.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or access to background documents, contact Liza Lemaster-Sandbank, Office of State Data Reporting System Division, (NSA– 0130), (202) 366–4257, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, W53–306, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), a Federal agency must receive approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) before it collects certain

¹ Florida East Coast Railway (FEC) owns the track involved in this proposal.

² The simulated service demonstration referenced by BLF will be conducted pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 20170.

information from the public and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information by a Federal agency unless the collection displays a valid OMB control number. In compliance with these requirements, this notice announces that the following information collection request will be submitted to OMB.

A **Federal Register** notice with a 60day comment period soliciting public comments on the following information collection was published on May 3, 2023.

Title: State Data Transfer (SDT) for Vehicle Crash Information.

OMB Control Number: 2127–0753. *Form Number:* None.

Type of Request: Modification a currently approved information collection.

Type of Review Requested: Regular. *Length of Approval Requested:* Three years from date of approval.

Summary of the Collection of Information: The State Data Transfer (SDT) program is a voluntary collection of motor vehicle crash data. State agencies collect this information about motor vehicle crashes on Police Accident Reports (PARs)¹ for their own needs. In general, a PAR includes information about the vehicles and individuals involved in a crash, injuries or fatalities resulting from a crash, roadway information, environmental information, information to reconstruct the crash scenes, etc. The SDT is a process through which participating States transfer their PAR data to NHTSA. SDT has two components that NHTSA's National Center for Statistics and Analysis (NCSA) calls protocols:

1. The State Data System (SDS) protocol obtains PAR crash data from States that submit data on an annual basis to NCSA. The data is submitted via electronic media, such as encrypted CD–ROM/DVD, or through secured mail or a secure file transfer protocol (SFTP). Files submitted through the SDS protocol are referred to as "annual crash files."

2. The Electronic Data Transfer (EDT) protocol obtains PAR crash data, crash reports, and crash images from participating State crash systems through an electronic data transfer. Generally, this transfer occurs on a nightly basis following State data quality control checks and acceptance from each State's centralized database. The information is transmitted using Extensible Markup Language (XML) or JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) files through a web service using Hypertext Transfer Protocol Secure (HTTPS) protocol between a State's crash data system and NHTSA. NHTSA started

using this EDT protocol in 2015. The data NHTSA receives is in the States' format, which is not standardized. NHTSA does not currently provide regular funding to the States to participate in EDT.

On November 15, 2021, President Biden signed the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA or the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law), Public Law 117-58. Section 24108 (d) authorizes the Secretary of Transportation to establish the State Electronic Data Collection (SEDC) program to provide grants to States to establish, upgrade, and standardize their centralized statewide crash data repositories to enable electronic data collection, intrastate data sharing, and electronic data transfer to NHTSA. The objective is to increase the accuracy. timeliness, and accessibility of the data, including data related to fatalities involving vulnerable road users. Through SEDC, NHTSA will award grants to States to modernize or establish a centralized statewide crash data repository to enable full electronic data transfer to NHTSA, increase their alignment to the Model Minimum Uniform Crash Criteria (MMUCC) Sixth Edition data, and transmit the data in a standardized format to NHTSA. This information collection request is to modify NHTSA's existing information collection for SDT to account for changes resulting from the new grant program. The new grant program will not only increase the number of States using the EDT protocol, but it will also request data standardization and increased alignment with the MMUCC. States awarded the SEDC grant will be referred to as SEDC States; States that continue to electronically transmit their crash data to NHTSA through the EDT protocol without SEDC grant funds will be referred to as non-SEDC States.

The SDT process allows States to submit all their PAR data to NHTSA. NCSA uses this data to develop a census of the participating State's crashes. The dataset helps NCSA identify existing and emerging highway safety trends and assess the effectiveness of motor vehicle safety standards and new and emerging technologies on vehicle and highway safety programs. NHTSA also uses the dataset to support NHTSA's Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) program. Specifically, NHTSA uses the data to analyze the effects vehicle mass has on fatalities in cost benefit analyses for CAFE rulemakings.

Description of the Need for the Information and Proposed Use of the Information

NHTSA utilizes the SDT data to identify existing and emerging highway safety trends, assess the effectiveness of motor vehicle safety standards, and study the impact of new and emerging technologies on vehicles and highway safety programs. For example, NHTSA combines data from the SDT with information about the type of advanced driver assistance systems (ADAS) on crash-involved vehicles to estimate the effectiveness of ADAS technologies such as lane keeping support, automatic emergency braking, and blind spot detection.

NHTSA also uses the SDT data to automatically pre-populate the motor vehicle crash data it collects for several other NHTSA data collection programs. The following are brief descriptions of these data collection programs:

• FARS (OMB Control No. 2127– 0006) is a nationwide census of fatalities caused by motor vehicle traffic crashes. In addition to PAR data, FARS includes detailed information regarding the location of the crash, the vehicles, and the people involved. FARS cases can also include toxicology report data, medical records, medical examiner reports, etc.²

• CRSS (OMB Control No. 2127– 0714) is a nationally representative sample of police-reported crashes involving all types of motor vehicles, pedestrians, and cyclists, ranging from property-damage-only crashes to those that result in fatalities. CRSS data elements are a subset of the data elements on each State's PAR.³

• Investigation-based Crash Data Studies (OMB Control Number 2127– 0706) includes CISS, SCI and Special Studies. CISS is a nationally representative sample of minor, serious, and fatal crashes involving at least one passenger vehicle—cars, light trucks, sport utility vehicles, and vans—towed from the scene. CISS collects data at both the crash level through scene analysis and the vehicle level through vehicle damage assessment together with injury coding. Data collected through CISS expands upon the information that is collected in a PAR.⁴

• The SCI Program provides NHTSA with the most in-depth crash data collected by the agency. The data collected ranges from basic information contained in routine police and insurance crash reports, to comprehensive data from special reports produced by professional crash investigation teams. Hundreds of data elements relevant to the vehicle, occupants, injury mechanisms, roadway, and safety systems are collected for each of the over 100 crashes designated for study annually.

 The Non-Traffic Surveillance (NTS) is a data collection effort for collecting information about non-traffic crashes and non-crash incidents. The NTS data provide counts and details regarding fatalities and injuries that occur in nontraffic crashes and in non-crash incidents. The NTS non-traffic crash data are obtained through NHTSA's data collection efforts for the Crash Report Sampling System (CRSS), the Crash Investigation Sampling System (CISS), and the Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS). NTS also includes data outside of NHTSA's own data collections. NTS' non-crash injury data is based upon emergency department records from a special study conducted by the Consumer Product Safety Commission's National Electronic Injury Surveillance System (NEISS) All Injury Program. NTS non-crash fatality data is derived from death certificate information from the Centers for Disease Control's National Vital Statistics System.

• CIREN combines crash data collection with professional multidisciplinary analysis of medical and engineering evidence to determine injury causation in every crash investigation conducted. The mission of the CIREN is to improve the prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation of motor vehicle crash injuries to reduce deaths, disabilities, and human and economic costs.

Before EDT, the transfer of motor vehicle crash data from a State's crash data system to NHTSA's FARS, CRSS and CISS required individuals to manually enter all State vehicle crash data into each of the crash data systems operated by NHTSA. The SDT program's EDT protocol enabled NHTSA to automate the transfer of State motor vehicle crash data into NHTSA's data collection systems and automate some of the data coding processes in FARS, CRSS and CISS. Through the SEDC program, participating States will build and modernize their centralized statewide crash data repositories and increase their alignment to the MMUCC Sixth Edition; NHTSA will receive more standardized and timely data and increase the usability of the data.

NHTSA's SDT program will reduce the burden of manual data entry and result in more accurate and timely data to help save lives, prevent injuries, and reduce economic costs due to motor vehicle crashes.

In addition, the SDT data are made available to other DOT agencies, such as the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, to support their mission to save lives on our national roadways. The SDT data received through SEDC grant will be made available to public as required in BIL.

60-Day Notice: NHTSA published a 60-day notice in the Federal Register on May 3, 2023 (88 FR 27949). NHTSA received one comment in support of the data collection from the National Association of Mutual Insurance Companies (NAMIC). NAMIC emphasized the information collection is not only necessary but "critical for the proper performance of the functions of NHTSA and there is every reason to believe that the results of the study will have great practical utility." Furthermore, NAMIC is interested in working with NHTSA on areas of studies and analysis. NAMIC is supportive of the Notice and strongly urges NHTSA to propose more wide and extensive auto safety data recording and reporting.

Burden to Respondents: NHTSA has provided a description of the affected public, estimated number of respondents, description of frequency, and estimates of the total burden hours and costs for SDT. In aggregate, NHTSA estimates that the total annual burden is 312,663 hours and \$25,000,000.

Program: SDT.

Affected Public: This voluntary information collection involves State agencies that collect crash data. Specifically, the collection involves State governments, the District of Columbia government, U.S. Territory governments and the Secretary of the Interior, acting on behalf of an Indian Tribe. For purposes of this collection, we refer to the respondents generically as "States."

Estimated Number of Respondents: 43.

There are currently 39 States participating in the SDT: 31 States participating using the SDS protocol, and 20 States participating using the EDT protocol. There are 15 States providing data using both protocols.

NHTSA expects that in the next three (3) years, these thirty-nine (39) States will continue to submit their data using either SDS or EDT protocol. NHTSA also expects that, in the next three years, ten (10) out of the twenty (20) existing EDT States will apply and be awarded SEDC grants and start sending more MMUCC-aligned data to NHTSA; three (3) SDS States, that are not EDT States, will apply and be awarded SEDC grants and begin sending MMUCC-aligned data to NHTSA; and two (2) new States, neither SDS nor EDT participating States, will apply and be awarded SEDC grants and begin collecting and transmitting standardized data to NHTSA. Therefore, NHTSA estimates the total number of States participating in the SDT will increase by four (4), to a total of forty-three (43), which is the existing thirty-nine (39) SDT States plus the four (4) new SEDC States in the next three (3) years.

Frequency: The frequency of this information collection varies State-by-State, potentially from daily to annually, as agreed upon by NHTSA and the individual States. State participating in the SDS protocol typically send a file to NHTSA once a year with all the crashes occurring during a calendar year. States send these files when it has completed its quality control process. For the EDT States, the data is usually transferred every night with the crash cases that have completed the quality control process since the last nightly transfer.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 312,663 hours.

As mentioned above, this information collection request is being updated to incorporate the burden hour and cost estimates for the new SEDC program under the EDT protocol. Due to the different requirements for SDS States, EDT non-SEDC States and EDT SEDC States, the annual burden for these three types of data transmissions is described separately below.

SDS Protocol

SDS information is obtained annually from States and is submitted in a more traditional method via electronic media through secured mail or a Secure File Transfer Protocol (SFTP). NHTSA assumes a participating State already has a centralized statewide crash data repository. Currently, thirty-one (31) States are voluntarily submitting their annual crash database to NHTSA, with five (5) States sending electronic media and twenty-six (26) states uploading the database to an SFTP site. Since NHTSA accepts the States' centralized statewide crash data repository without changes, NHTSA estimates that it will require eight (8) hours for a State Database Administrator to save a copy of the State's annual crash database onto a SFTP site or electronic media. We estimate an additional four (4) hours will be required for an administrative assistant to package and send the electronic media to NHTSA. Therefore, the burden hours for thirty-one (31) SDS States to save a copy of the State's annual crash database onto a SFTP site or electronic media is 248 hours (8 hours \times 31 States). An additional burden for the five (5) SDS States to package

and send the electronic media to NHTSA is 20 hours (4 hours \times 5 States).

To estimate the labor cost associated with submitting the SDS information, NHTSA looked at wage estimates for the type of personnel involved with copying, packaging and sending the data. NHTSA estimates the total labor costs associated with copying the database by looking at the average wage for Database and Network Administrators and Architects. The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) estimates that the average hourly wage for Database and Network Administrators and Architects (Standard Occupational Classification #15-1240, May 2021) is \$49.25 5 The Bureau of Labor Statistics estimates that State and local government workers' wages represent 61.9% of total labor compensation costs.⁶ Therefore, NHTSA estimates the hourly labor costs for copying the database to be \$79.56 (\$49.25 ÷ 61.9%) for Database and Network Administrator and Architects. The cost associated with the eight (8) hours of Database and Network Administrator labor is estimated to be \$636.48 (\$79.56 × 8 hours) per respondent.

For the 5 States sending electronic media, NHTSA estimates the total labor costs for packing and sending the database by looking at the average wage for Secretaries and Administrative Assistants. The BLS estimates that the average hourly wage for Secretaries and Administrative Assistants (Standard Occupational Classification #43-6014, May 2021) is \$21.76.7 By using the same estimate that wages represent 61.9% of the total compensation cost of labor, NHTSA estimates the total labor hour for packing and sending the database on electronic media to be \$35.15 (\$21.76 ÷ 61.9%). Therefore, the cost associated with the four (4) hours to send the electronic media is estimated to be \$140.60 (\$35.15 × 4 hours) per respondent.

Combining these copying, packing, and sending burden estimates for SDS, NHTSA estimates that the total burden hours associated with this collection will be 268 (248 + 20) hours and total labor cost associated with the collection will be \$19,731 ($$638.48 \times 31$ States) for copying, and \$703 ($$140.60 \times 5$ States) for packing and sending, for a total of \$20,434 (\$19,731 + \$703) for the SDS protocol.

States Using the EDT Protocol

Due to the different requirements including data standardization and alignment to MMUCC for SEDC and non-SEDC State, the cost estimates for these two groups under EDT protocol will be different as described below.

Non-SEDC States Using EDT Protocol

The non-SEDC States using the EDT protocol burden hour estimate is based on the level of effort reported by the States that have fully implemented EDT. NHTSA estimates that in the next three vears, there will not be any new States joining the twenty (20) States already participating in the SDT program using the EDT protocol. Any new State will participate in EDT by applying for the SEDC grant and meeting SEDC requirements. In addition, NHTSA estimates that over the next three years, starting in year two (10) existing EDT States will begin participating in the new SEDC grant program and will start sending data aligned to MMUCC. NHTSA estimates that in year one, year two and year three, the number of non-SEDC EDT states will be 20, 15 and 10, respectively. Therefore, NHTSA estimates that there will be, on average. fifteen (15) non-SEDC EDT protocol States in each of the next three years. Since these fifteen (15) non-SEDC States are already using the EDT protocol, the cost and burden estimates for these States only account for annual maintenance effort. The estimates assume a participating State already has a centralized statewide crash data repository. The hourly burden for maintenance on States associated with non-SEDC EDT is estimated at five (5) hours per year, based upon currently participating States' experiences. This time is generally used to troubleshoot any connection issues or refine mapping protocols for any data elements that have changed.

NHTSA estimates the cost for IT personnel burden hours using the Bureau of Labor Statistics' mean wage estimate for Software and Web Developers, Programmers, and Testers (Standard Occupational Classification #15–1250, May 2021) of \$54.68.⁸ The Bureau of Labor Statistics estimates that for State and local government workers, wages represent 61.9% of total compensation.⁹ Therefore, the total hourly cost associated with the IT burden hours is estimated to be \$88.34 (\$54.68 ÷ 61.9%) per hour.

Per the loaded labor rates for State IT staff outlined above, five (5) hours of work translates to an estimated total annual maintenance burden of \$441.70 (\$88.34 \times 5 hours) per State respondent maintaining participation in the EDT program. NHTSA estimates that there will be, on average, 15 States participating in non-SEDC EDT program in each of the next three years. The total annual responses are 5,475 (15 EDT States \times 365 nightly responses). Therefore, the annual maintenance cost for the States is a total of \$6,626 (\$441.70 \times 15 States) per year. The number of total burden hours for the 15 States is 75 hours (5 \times 15 States).

SEDC States Using EDT Protocol

NHTSA published a Request for Information (RFI)¹⁰ from May 2, 2022, to July 15, 2022, to assist the agency with the development and implementation of a new discretionary grant program to increase the number of States, U.S. territories, and Indian tribes electronically transferring their motor vehicle crash data to the NHTSA. Sixteen (16) States and Territories responded to the RFI with cost information for updating their centralized statewide crash data repositories and aligning to previous versions of MMUCC. NHTSA used that information to inform NHTSA's burden estimates and estimates the burden as follows

The cost and burden estimates for the EDT protocol are divided into two efforts: a one-time implementation effort, and an annual maintenance effort. To increase their alignment with the new MMUCC, the States will need to either develop a new electronic Police Accident Report (PAR) and build a centralized statewide crash data repository if they don't already have one or update the existing PAR and centralized statewide crash data repository to increase their alignment to the new MMUCC. In addition, States will need to electronically transfer their data in a standardized format to NHTSA. NHTSA predicts the States will need to take the following specific actions:

• Manually entering PAR data if there are legacy paper PARs to be input into the new and/or updated centralized statewide crash data repository.

• Developing a new PAR to increase alignment with the updated MMUCC.

• Adopting the new State PAR by law enforcement agencies.

• Setting up information technology infrastructure for the electronic centralized statewide crash data repository.

• Identifying and implementing the system changes to align with the updated MMUCC.

• Developing a user guide, data dictionary and training materials for the new and/or updated data collection system.

• Developing and implementing database and data warehouse for the data collection.

• Developing and implementing data transfer protocols for collecting data

from law enforcement agencies to centralized statewide crash data repository.

• Developing and implementing edit and validation rules for quality assurance for the data collection.

• Developing and implementing data transfer protocols for sharing data among States and sending data to NHTSA.

• Integrating the reporting from other vendors if some law enforcement agencies within a state use other vendor's software.

• Creating data analytics and dashboard for data monitoring and reporting.

NHTSA estimates the labor categories in the rows of Table 1 are required for the implementation of tasks above. Based on the information received from the RFI, NHTSA estimates the labor hours for implementation and maintenance for each labor category as in the column "Implementation Total Hours" and "Maintenance Total Hours" in Table 1. Labor category "Data Entry and Information Processing Workers" is needed when the States transition from a manual/paper system to an electronic system. Once the transition is complete, this labor category is no longer necessary and therefore is not included in the maintenance burden estimates.

NHTSA uses the Bureau of Labor Statistics' mean hourly wage estimate for each Labor Category in the column labeled "'Labor Rate w/o Fringe and Benefit" ¹¹ in Table 1. The Bureau of Labor Statistics estimates that for State and local government workers, wages represent 61.9% of total compensation.¹² Therefore, the total hourly rate with fringe and benefit associated with the burden hours is calculated as below as shown in column "Labor Rate with Fringe Benefit" in Table 1.

Labor Rate with Fringe Benefit = Labor Rate w/o Fringe Benefit ÷ Fringe Benefit Rate

The total cost for implementation and maintenance in Table 1 are calculated as follows:

Implementation Total Cost = Implementation Total Hours × Labor Rate with Fringe Benefit Maintenance Total Cost = Maintenance

Total Hours × Labor Rate with Fringe Benefit

TABLE 1—BURDEN ESTIMATES FOR SECD EDT STATES USING EDT PROTOCOL

Labor category	Labor series	Implementation total hours (hrs.)	Maintenance total hours (hrs.)	Implementation labor rate w/o fringe and benefit (\$/hr.)	Overhead rate (%)	Maintenance labor rate with Fringe and Benefit (\$/hr.)	Implementation total labor cost (per state) (\$)	Maintenance total labor cost (per state) (\$)
Program manager	11-3021	1,888	832	\$78.33	61.90	\$126.54	\$238,908	\$105,281
Computer System Analyst	15-1211	5,080	160	49.14	61.90	79.39	403,301	12,702
Web and Digital Interface								
Designer	15-1255	1,760	416	49.50	61.90	79.97	140,747	33,268
Software Developer	15-1252	10,240	1,280	58.17	61.90	93.97	962,253	120,282
Web Developers	15-1254	5,920	1,280	39.09	61.90	63.15	373,848	80,832
Software Quality Assur- ance Analysts and								,
Testers	15-1252	7,040	1,280	46.97	61.90	75.88	534,195	97,126
Database Architects	15–1243	3,520	960	58.58	61.90	94.64	333,133	90,854
hote	15 1010	1 20/	00	E4.46	61.00	07.00	101 764	7 020
Data Entry and Informa	15-1212	1,304	00	54.40	01.90	07.90	121,704	7,030
tion Dressesing Work								
tion Processing work-	40,0000	4 100		10.70	61.00	20.01	100 040	
ers	43-9020	4,192		18.70	01.90	30.21	126,640	
Total		41,024	6,288				3,234,789	547,384

Thus, total labor cost for SEDC EDT implementation cost per State are estimated to be \$3,234,789 with burden hours to be 41,024. The total annual maintenance burden cost per year per State is estimated to be \$547,384 with burden hour as 6,288.

NHTSA anticipates that during the first year of the grant, States will be in the development and implementation phase, where data transmission is not expected. Beginning with year two (2), and into year three (3), it is estimated that approximately ten (10) States per year will start transmitting data to NHTSA using the EDT protocol. Therefore, the average of number of State to transmit data to NHTSA for the three (3) years is 7 ((10 +10) \div 3 = 6.77, rounded to the nearest integer). In this

case during year three (3), there will be ten (10) states in maintenance phase. These are the ten (10) States which start transmission data to NHTSA during year two (2). The average number of states in maintenance phase is 4 (10 \div 3 = 3.33, then round 3.33 up to the nearest integer which is 4).

As NHTSÅ estimated that there will be average 7 new SEDC EDT States each year, the total implementation cost per year will be \$22,643,526 (7 × \$3,234,789) with burden hours as 287,168 hours (7 × 41,024 hours); the average annual maintenance cost will be \$2,189,536 (4 × \$547,384) with burden hours as 25,152 hours (4 × 6,288 hours). The total SEDC EDT labor costs are \$24,833,062 (\$22,643,526 for implementation and \$2,189,536 for annual maintenance). This estimate includes total labor costs to the State respondents, but States may choose to have contractors incur some or all of these labor cost. The total annual responses for SEDC EDT States are 4,015 (11 EDT States \times 365 nightly responses).

Summary for SDT Burden Estimates

The total estimated burden for SDT is 312,663 hours (268 hours for SDS + 75 hours for non-SEDC EDT + (287,168 hours + 25,152 hours) for SEDC EDT) and total estimated labor cost is \$24,860,121 (\$20,434 for SDS + \$6,626 for non-SEDC EDT + (\$22,643,526 + \$2,1289,536) for SEDC EDT).

A summary of the burden estimates for SDT is provided in Table 2.

TABLE 2—SUMMARY FOR ESTIMATED SDT BURDEN

	Number of states	Burden hours	Labor cost (\$)
SDS Copying SDS Packing and Sending Non-SEDC EDT Maintenance SEDC EDT Implementation SEDC EDT Maintenance	31 5 15 7 4	248 20 75 287,168 25,152	19,731 703 4,270 22,643,526 2,189,536
Total		312,663	24,860,121

Estimated Total Annual Burden Cost: \$25,000,000.

The SEDC grant, in compliance with BIL, requires a twenty (20) percent match from participating State respondents. NHTSA estimates about half of the program cost for the SEDC grants will be labor costs. NHTSA estimates the total annual burden cost for the SEDC program (beyond the labor costs discussed in question 12) will be about \$25,000,000 to respondents. Since the Grant respondents only have to provide at least 20 percent of the total cost, the respondents will have to fund about \$5,000,000 annually.

NHTSA does not expect respondents to incur any additional costs for the SDS or non-SEDC States using EDT Protocol (beyond labor costs as discussed in question 12) as a result of this information collection.

Public Comments Invited

You are asked to comment on any aspects of this information collection, including (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Department's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) whether the States will use contractor(s) to help implement the SEDC grant or manage the implementation in-house with the State's own IT department; (d) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (e) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; 44 U.S.C. chapter 35, as amended; 49 CFR 1.49; and DOT Order 1351.29.

Chou Lin Chen,

Associate Administrator, National Center for Statistics and Analysis.

[FR Doc. 2023–19030 Filed 9–1–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P [DOT-OST-2023-0133]

Department of Transportation Advisory Committee on Human Trafficking: Notice of Public Meeting

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary of Transportation, U.S. Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a hybrid meeting of the Department of Transportation Advisory Committee on Human Trafficking. Committee members are highly encouraged to attend in-person.

DATES: The meeting will be held on October 3, 2023, from 9:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EDT.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590. Any person requiring accessibility accommodations should contact the Official listed in the next section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Maha Alkhateeb, Office of International Transportation and Trade, U.S. Department of Transportation, at *trafficking@dot.gov* or (202) 366–4398. Also visit the ACHT website at *https:// www.transportation.gov/ stophumantrafficking/acht.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

ACHT was re-chartered on July 29, 2022, using the Department's authorities under the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL) (Pub. L. 117–58). The ACHT was initially established as mandated by Sec. 5(a) of the 2018 Combating Human Trafficking in Commercial Vehicles Act (*Pub. L. 115–99*). Sec. 23020 of the BIL requires the Secretary of Transportation, acting through the ACHT and in coordination with the Attorney General, to submit a triennial counter-trafficking report with recommendations for countering human trafficking, an assessment of best practices by transportation stakeholders, and human

trafficking violations involving commercial motor vehicles.

II. Agenda

At the October 3, 2023 meeting, the agenda will cover the following topics:

- Welcome and Introductions
- ACHT Overview and Requirements
- DOT's Counter-Trafficking Efforts
- Public Participation
- Subcommittees, Next Steps, and Timeline

A final agenda that includes a virtual participation link will be posted on the ACHT internet website at *https:// www.transportation.gov/ stophumantrafficking/acht* at least one week in advance of the meeting.

III. Public Participation

The hybrid meeting will be open to the public in-person and virtually. Members of the public who wish to attend are asked to register, including name, affiliation, and whether they plan to attend in-person or virtually, to *trafficking@dot.gov* by September 25, 2023. Individuals requesting accessibility accommodations, such as sign language, interpretation, or other ancillary aids, may do so via email at: *trafficking@dot.gov* by September 25, 2023.

There will be 30 minutes allotted for oral comments from members of the public joining the meeting. To accommodate as many speakers as possible, the time for public comments may be limited. Individuals wishing to reserve speaking time during the meeting must submit a request at the time of registration, as well as the name, address, and organizational affiliation of the proposed speaker. If the number of registrants requesting to make statements is greater than can be reasonably accommodated during the meeting, the Office of the Secretary may conduct a lottery to determine the speakers. Speakers are requested to submit a written copy of their prepared remarks by 5:00 p.m. EDT on September 25, 2023, for inclusion in the meeting records and for circulation to ACHT members. Written comments timely