

Washington State Delegation—7 a.m.  
 Highly Migratory Species Advisory  
 Subpanel—8 a.m.  
 Highly Migratory Species Management  
 Team—8 a.m.  
 Enforcement Consultants—As Necessary  
 Day 8—Thursday, September 14, 2023

California State Delegation—7 a.m.  
 Oregon State Delegation—7 a.m.  
 Washington State Delegation—7 a.m.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in the meeting agenda may be discussed, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during these meetings. Action will be restricted to those issues specifically listed in this document and any issues arising after publication of this document that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of the intent to take final action to address the emergency.

### Special Accommodations

Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Mr. Kris Kleinschmidt ([kris.kleinschmidt@noaa.gov](mailto:kris.kleinschmidt@noaa.gov); (503) 820-2412) at least 10 business days prior to the meeting date.

*Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: August 18, 2023.

### Rey Israel Marquez,

*Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 2023-18195 Filed 8-23-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

---

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC-2023-0032]

### Notice of Availability: Proposed Supplemental Guidance for CPSC Chronic Hazard Guidelines

**AGENCY:** U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability and request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Consumer Product Safety Commission (Commission or CPSC) is announcing the availability of proposed supplemental guidance for its Chronic Hazard Guidelines. The supplements are draft supplemental guidance for the use of benchmark dose methodology in risk assessment, and draft supplemental guidance for the analysis of uncertainty and variability in risk assessment. The Commission requests comments from the public on the proposed supplemental guidance.

**DATES:** Submit comments by October 23, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** You can submit comments, identified by Docket No. CPSC-2023-0032 by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Submissions:* Submit electronic comments to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit through this website: confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information that you do not want to be available to the public. CPSC typically does not accept comments submitted by electronic mail (email), except as described below.

*Mail/Hand Delivery/Courier/Confidential Written Submissions:* CPSC encourages you to submit electronic comments by using the Federal eRulemaking Portal. You may, however, submit comments by mail, hand delivery, or courier to: Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone: (301) 504-7479.

*Instructions:* All submissions must include the agency name and docket number. CPSC may post all comments without change, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided to [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). If you wish to submit confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information that you do not want to be available to the public, you may submit such comments by mail, hand delivery, or courier, or you may email them to: [cpsc-os@cpsc.gov](mailto:cpsc-os@cpsc.gov).

*Docket:* For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), and insert the docket number, CPSC-2023-0032 into the “Search” box, and follow the prompts. The proposed supplemental guidance is available under “Supporting and Related Material.” It is also available on the Commission’s website at: [https://www.cpsc.gov/Newsroom/FOIA/ReportList?month=07&year=2023&nfr\\_type=commission&title,](https://www.cpsc.gov/Newsroom/FOIA/ReportList?month=07&year=2023&nfr_type=commission&title,) and from the Commission’s Office of the Secretary.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Eric Hooker, Directorate for Health Sciences, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 5 Research Place, Rockville, MD 20850; telephone: (301) 987-2516; email: [ehooker@cpsc.gov](mailto:ehooker@cpsc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### A. Background

In 1992 the Commission issued guidelines for assessing chronic hazards under the Federal Hazardous Substances Act (FHSA), including carcinogenicity, neurotoxicity, reproductive/developmental toxicity, exposure, bioavailability, risk assessment, and acceptable risk.

Determining whether a product is or contains a hazardous substance involves scientific analysis, legal interpretation, and the application of policy judgment. The Guidelines are intended to assist firms in identifying products that present chronic hazards, to meet their labeling obligations under the FHSA and the Labeling of Hazardous Art Materials Act (LHAMA). They are not binding on industry or the Commission. Indeed, chronic toxicity may be established in various ways. The Commission may determine that a product is a hazardous substance due to a chronic hazard based on any evidence that is relevant and material to such a determination.

For example, peer-reviewed scientific studies by third parties and toxicity assessments from CPSC’s peer agencies may be relevant and material evidence to establish chronic toxicity and that a substance is a “hazardous substance” under the FHSA. Likewise, evidence from third parties may be useful to determine chronic toxicity. For instance, third party studies may indicate that chronic adverse health effects are associated with foreseeable levels of consumer exposure, allowing the Commission to conclude that the FHSA’s criteria for a “hazardous substance” are satisfied. Other cases, however, may require CPSC to undertake original research to fill gaps in knowledge.

In addition, while the Guidelines describe certain toxic endpoints, they do not limit the toxic endpoints the Commission may consider. The Commission may consider all forms of personal injury or illness as potential toxic endpoints.

The chronic hazard guidelines, which should be understood as a set of best practices, are not mandatory for the Commission or for stakeholders. The guidelines describe methods that CPSC staff may use to assess chronic hazards under the FHSA. Furthermore, the guidelines are intended to be sufficiently flexible to incorporate the latest scientific information, such as advances in risk assessment methodology. Risk assessors may deviate from the default assumptions described in the guidelines, provided that their methods and assumptions are

documented, scientifically defensible, and supported by appropriate data as indicated in section VI.A.2 of the preamble of the guidelines. However, given that the guidelines represent an available set of best practices, risk assessors are encouraged to use the information and approaches outlined therein where appropriate.

In the years since the guidelines were issued, there have been numerous advances in the basic science underlying the guidelines, such as the use of transgenic animals to elucidate mechanisms of carcinogenicity and toxicity. There also have been several changes in the practice of risk assessment, including wider acceptance and use of risk assessment methods such as the benchmark dose approach and probabilistic exposure assessment. Therefore, CPSC is proposing two guidance documents to supplement the 1992 guidelines.<sup>1</sup>

The first supplement provides guidance for the application of benchmark dose methodology (BMD) to risk assessment. This supplement discusses an alternative to the traditional approach described in the original guidelines for estimating acceptable daily intakes (ADIs) for carcinogenic and other hazards, such as neurotoxicological or reproductive/developmental hazards. The second supplement is guidance for the analysis of uncertainty and variability, including use of probabilistic risk assessment methodology, which is most relevant to exposure assessment.

Like the 1992 guidelines, the proposed supplemental guidance documents are not mandatory. Rather, they describe methods that CPSC staff and manufacturers may use to evaluate chronic hazards. The guidelines are intended to assist manufacturers in complying with the requirements of the FHSA and to facilitate the use of reliable risk assessment methodologies by both manufacturers and CPSC staff.

## B. Request for Comments

The Commission invites comments on the proposed guidance supplementing CPSC's Chronic Hazard Guidelines with respect to the use of benchmark dose methodology in risk assessment and analysis of uncertainty and variability in risk assessment.

The CPSC will consider all timely comments before finalizing the supplemental guidance. Comments

<sup>1</sup> The proposed guidance documents are available at: [https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/Federal-Register-Notice-of-Availability-of-Proposed-Supplemental-Guidance-for-CPSC-Chronic-Hazard-Guidelines.pdf?VersionId=dzserzX2mvO8.sO\\_Q7Thdcb8YufASlSr](https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/Federal-Register-Notice-of-Availability-of-Proposed-Supplemental-Guidance-for-CPSC-Chronic-Hazard-Guidelines.pdf?VersionId=dzserzX2mvO8.sO_Q7Thdcb8YufASlSr).

should be submitted by October 23, 2023. Information on how to submit comments can be found in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice.

*Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 2079(a); 15 U.S.C. 1261; 15 U.S.C. 1277.

**Alberta E. Mills,**

*Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2023-16844 Filed 8-23-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6355-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Docket No.: ED-2023-SCC-0102]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Survey on Use of Funds Under Title II, Part A

**AGENCY:** Office of Elementary and Secondary Education (OESE), Department of Education (ED).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the Department is proposing an extension without change of a currently approved information collection request (ICR).

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before September 25, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for proposed information collection requests should be submitted within 30 days of publication of this notice. Click on this link [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain) to access the site. Find this information collection request (ICR) by selecting "Department of Education" under "Currently Under Review," then check the "Only Show ICR for Public Comment" checkbox. *Reginfo.gov* provides two links to view documents related to this information collection request. Information collection forms and instructions may be found by clicking on the "View Information Collection (IC) List" link. Supporting statements and other supporting documentation may be found by clicking on the "View Supporting Statement and Other Documents" link.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For specific questions related to collection activities, please contact Elizabeth Witt, 202-260-5585.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) is this collection

necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

*Title of Collection:* Survey on Use of Funds Under Title II, Part A.

*OMB Control Number:* 1810-0756.

*Type of Review:* An extension without change of a currently approved ICR.

*Respondents/Affected Public:* State, Local, and Tribal Governments.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses:* 52.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours:* 416.

*Abstract:* The U.S. Department of Education (the Department) is requesting an extension of the 1810-0756 information collection to continue collecting data from states annually about how title II, Part A funds are used; how funds are used to improve equitable access to teachers for low income and minority students; and where applicable, evaluation and retention data for teachers, principals, and other school leaders. The reporting requirements are outlined in section 2104(a) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as authorized by the Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015 (ESSA). The survey will include the universe of states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. The information obtained from the survey will provide the Department with a description of how Title II, Part A State activities funds are used by each State. In addition, the survey will provide data on teacher, principal, and other school leader evaluation and retention. The survey will be sent to State Title II, Part A coordinators in each of the 50 states, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. The survey will be administered using an electronic instrument.

Dated: August 21, 2023.

**Kun Mullan,**

*PRA Coordinator, Strategic Collections and Clearance, Governance and Strategy Division, Office of Chief Data Officer, Office of Planning, Evaluation and Policy Development.*

[FR Doc. 2023-18233 Filed 8-23-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-P**