underrepresented in science and engineering.

National User Facilities will be required to submit annual reports on progress and plans, which will be used as a basis for performance review and determining the level of continued funding. User facilities will be required to develop a set of management and performance indicators for submission annually to NSF via the Research Performance Project Reporting (RPPR) module in Research.gov. These indicators are both quantitative and descriptive and may include, for example, lists of successful proposal and users, the characteristics of facility personnel and students; sources of financial support and in-kind support; expenditures by operational component; research activities; education activities; knowledge transfer activities; patents, licenses; publications; degrees granted to students supported through the facility or users of the facility; descriptions of significant advances and other outcomes of this investment. Such reporting requirements are included in the cooperative agreement which is binding between the academic institution and the NSF.

Each facility’s annual report will address the following categories of activities: (1) research, (2) education and training, (3) knowledge transfer, (4) partnerships, (5) diversity, (6) management, and (7) budget issues.

For each of the categories the report will describe overall objectives and metrics for the reporting period, challenges or problems the facility has encountered in making progress towards goals, anticipated problems in the following year, and specific outputs and outcomes.

Facilities are required to file a final report through the RPPR. Final reports contain similar information and metrics as annual reports, but are retrospective and focus on the period that was not addressed in previous annual reports.

Use of the Information: NSF will use the information to continue funding of the DMR National User Facilities, and to evaluate the progress of the program.

Estimate of Burden: 200 hours per facility for three National User Facilities for a total of 600 hours.

Respondents: Non-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Responses per Report: One (1) from each of the DMR user facilities.

Comments: Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.


Suzanne H. Plimpton,
Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 2023–17949 Filed 8–18–23; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NUC–2023–0001]

Sunshine Act Meetings


PLACE: The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g., braille, large print), please notify Anne Silik, NRC Disability Program Specialist, at 301–287–0745, by telephone at 240–428–3217, or by email at Anne.Silik@nrc.gov. Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

STATUS: Public and closed.

Members of the public may request to receive the information in these notices electronically. If you would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555, at 301–415–1969, or by email at Wendy.Moore@nrc.gov.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of August 21, 2023

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of August 21, 2023.

Week of August 28, 2023—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of August 28, 2023.

Week of September 4, 2023—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of September 4, 2023.

Week of September 11, 2023—Tentative

Tuesday, September 12, 2023

10:00 a.m. All Employees Meeting (Public Meeting) (Contact: Anthony de Jesus: 301–287–9219; Adrienne Brown: 301–415–3764)

Additional Information: The meeting will be held in the Two White Flint North auditorium, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The public is invited to attend the Commission’s meeting live by webcast at the Web address—https://video.nrc.gov/.

Thursday, September 14, 2023

10:00 a.m. Briefing on NRC International Activities (Closed—Ex. 1 & 9)

Week of September 18, 2023—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of September 18, 2023.

Week of September 25, 2023—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of September 25, 2023.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: For more information or to verify the status of meetings, contact Wesley Held at 301–287–3591 or via email at Wesley.Held@nrc.gov.

The NRC is holding the meetings under the authority of the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552b.


For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Wesley W. Held,
Policy Coordinator, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2023–17988 Filed 8–17–23; 11:15 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NUC–2023–0140]

Level 3 Probabilistic Risk Assessment Project Documentation (Volume 4)

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Draft report; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing for public comment a draft report on the Level 3 Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) project; specifically, “Volume 4:
Overview of Reactor, At-Power, Level 1, 2, and 3 PRAs for Internal Fires, Seismic Events, and High Winds.

DATES: Submit comments by October 20, 2023. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods; however, the NRC encourages electronic comment submission through the Federal rulemaking website:
- **Federal Rulemaking Website**: Go to https://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC–2023–0140. Address questions about Docket IDs in Regulations.gov to Stacy Schumann; telephone: 301–415–0624; email: Stacy.Schumann@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.
- For additional direction on obtaining information and submitting comments, see “Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments” in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.


**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments

A. Obtaining Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2023–0140 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this action. You may obtain publicly available information related to this action by any of the following methods:
- **NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS)**: You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. To begin the search, select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, at 301–415–4737, or by email to PDR.Resource@nrc.gov. For the convenience of the reader, instructions about obtaining materials referenced in this document are provided in the “Availability of Documents” section.
- **NRC’s PDR**: The PDR, where you may examine and order copies of publicly available documents, is open by appointment. To make an appointment to visit the PDR, please send an email to PDR.Resource@nrc.gov or call 1–800–397–4209 or 301–415–4737, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. eastern time (ET), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

B. Submitting Comments

The NRC encourages electronic comment submission through the Federal rulemaking website (https://www.regulations.gov). Please include Docket ID NRC–2023–0140 in your comment submission.

The NRC caution you not to include identifying or contact information that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. The NRC will post all comment submissions at https://www.regulations.gov as well as enter the comment submissions into ADAMS. The NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

II. Discussion

As directed in SRM–SECY–11–0089, “Options for Proceeding with Future Level 3 Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) Activities,” the staff is conducting a full-scope multi-unit site Level 3 PRA (Level 3 PRA project) that addresses all internal and external hazards; all plant operating modes; and all reactor units, spent fuel pools, and dry cask storage. The reference site for this study contains two four-loop Westinghouse pressurized water reactors with large dry containments. The objectives of the Level 3 PRA project are to (1) develop a Level 3 PRA, generally based on current site-of-licensure (SOL) practices and data, that (a) reflects technical advances since the last NRC-sponsored Level 3 PRAs (NUREG–1150), which were completed over 30 years ago, and (b) addresses scope considerations that were not previously considered (e.g., low-power and shutdown risk, multi-unit risk, other radiological sources); (2) extract new insights to enhance regulatory decision making and to help focus limited NRC resources on issues most directly related to the agency’s mission to protect public health and safety; (3) enhance PRA staff capability and expertise and improve documentation practices to make PRA information more accessible, retrievable, and understandable; and (4) demonstrate technical feasibility and evaluate the realistic cost of developing new Level 3 PRAs.

The work performed under this project is being documented as a multi-volume report. This second batch of Level 3 PRA project reports describes the analyses and results for the reactor, at-power, Level 1, 2, and 3 PRAs for internal fires, seismic events, and high winds (Volume 4). Each set of Level 3 PRA project reports covering the Level 1, 2, and 3 PRAs for a specific site radiological source, plant operating state, and hazard group (or groups) is accompanied by an overview report. The overview reports summarize the results and insights from all three PRA levels.

The Level 3 PRA project analyses reflect the reference plant as it was designed and operated as of 2012. To provide results and insights better aligned with the current design and operation of the reference plant, the overview reports also provide a reevaluation of the plant risk based on a set of new plant equipment and PRA model assumptions and compare the results of the reevaluation to the original study results. This reevaluation reflects the current reactor coolant pump shutdown seal design at the reference plant, as well as the potential impact of FLEX strategies, both of which reduce the risk to the public.

As documented in Section 2 of the Volume 4 overview report, the results of the original Level 3 PRA project analyses and the reevaluation both show that, when considering all hazards combined for the reactor at power, the combination of this plant design and site location has substantial margin to the quantitative health objectives related to the NRC’s safety goal policy, though the margins are noticeably less at the margins are noticeably less for the current design and site location. However, the current design and site location is substantially more robust to variations in their design and siting, than the estimates derived for the reference
I. Introduction

On August 11, 2023, the Postal Service filed a request to add a negotiated service agreement (NSA) with Publisher’s Clearing House (PCH) to the Market Dominant product list. If favorably reviewed by the Commission, the intended effective date will be in November or December 2023. Request at 1. The Request, which was filed pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3622 and 3642 as well as 39 CFR 3040 subparts B and G, triggers a notice-and-comment proceeding.

II. Overview of the Postal Service’s Filing

Contents of filing. The Postal Service’s filing consists of its Request, six attachments, and one set of financial workpapers:

- Attachment A—a copy of Governors’ Resolution No. 23–5, establishing price and classification changes for Market Dominant products to implement a negotiated service agreement with PCH, which the Postal Service asserts conforms with 39 CFR 3040.131(b);
- Attachment B—a copy of the instant contract, which the Postal Service asserts conforms with 39 CFR 3040.221(b);
- Attachment C—proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule (MCS), which the Postal Service asserts conforms with 39 CFR 3040.131(f);
- Attachment D—a proposed data collection plan, which the Postal Service asserts conforms with 39 CFR 3040.222;
- Attachment E—a statement of supporting justification, which the Postal Service asserts conforms with 39 CFR 3040.132 and 39 CFR 3040.221(f)–(j);
- Attachment F—an application for non-public treatment of “certain data which reflect commercially sensitive aspects of Publisher’s Clearing House’s business model, response rates, and strategy[]” (id. Attachment F at 1), appearing in the Request and financial analysis, which the Postal Service asserts conforms with 39 CFR part 3011; and
- Supporting Financial Analysis—an Excel file containing a financial analysis, which the Postal Service asserts conforms with 39 CFR 3040.221(f) and demonstrates that the PCH NSA will improve the net financial position of the Postal Service.

Request at 2–3, 9.

Background. The Postal Service explains that in recent years the mailing industry has seen steady year-over-year decline in mail volumes. Id. at 3. To compensate for industry shifts away from direct mail, the Postal Service states that it “has been looking to strengthen its existing strategic partnerships and build new ones when opportunities arise.” Id. PCH “is a prominent direct mail marketing company that sends a variety of letter mail to consumers related to sweepstakes entries, marketing materials, invoicing, and related correspondence.” Id. The Postal Service explains that “PCH typically interacts with the Postal Service in a five-stage mailing and shipping lifecycle, which begins with promotional mailings and ends with bill payments.” Id. at 3–4.

The Postal Service represents that PCH has found that certain dormant prospects (i.e., individual not mailed to in at least 3 months) “are no longer profitable for PCH to send promotional mail to, because the lifetime value of these prospects falls below the cost of mailing . . . .” Id. at 4. The Postal Service asserts that an up-front discount on an initial USPS Marketing Mail promotional mailing would allow PCH to inject reactivation volume into the...