

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves safety zone with a 1000-foot radius on the Macatawa River around position 42°47.726' N 086°6.81' W on August 10, 2023, from 8:30 a.m. until 9:45 a.m. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1. A Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket. For instructions

on locating the docket, see the **ADDRESSES** section of this preamble.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to call or email the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 46 U.S.C. 70034, 70051, 70124; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 00170.1, Revision No. 01.3.

■ 2. Add § 165.T09–0607 to read as follows:

§ 165.T09–0607 Safety Zone; Macatawa River, Holland, MI.

(a) *Location.* Holland, MI. In the vicinity of the James DeYoung Power Plant near the Macatawa River within 1000-feet of the demolition site in position 42°47.726' N 086°6.8' W.

(b) *Regulations.* The following regulations apply to this safety zone.

(1) The general regulations in § 165.23.

(2) All vessels must obtain permission from the Captain of the Port (COTP) Lake Michigan or his or her designated representative to enter, move within, or exit a safety zone established in this section when the safety zone is enforced. Vessels and persons granted permission to enter one of the safety zones listed in this section must obey all lawful orders or directions of the COTP Lake Michigan or his or her designated representative. Upon being hailed by the U.S. Coast Guard by siren, radio, flashing light or other means, the operator of a vessel must proceed as directed.

(c) *Enforcement period.* The regulation in this section will be enforced from 8:30 a.m. through 9:45 a.m. on August 10, 2023. The Captain of the Port Sector Lake Michigan, or a designated representative may suspend

enforcement of the safety zone at any time.

Dated: August 4, 2023.

Joseph B. Parker,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector Lake Michigan.

[FR Doc. 2023–17168 Filed 8–9–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

POSTAL SERVICE

39 CFR Part 111

Intelligent Mail Package Barcode Compliance Quality

AGENCY: Postal Service™.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service is amending *Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service*, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM®) to add an additional Intelligent Mail® package barcode (IMpb®) validation under the “Barcode Quality” compliance category.

DATES: *Effective* October 1, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steven Jarboe at (202) 268–7690, Devin Qualls at (202) 268–3287, or Garry Rodriguez at (202) 268–7281.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 28, 2023, the Postal Service published a notice of proposed rulemaking (88 FR 41871–41872) to add an additional IMpb validation. In response to the proposed rule, the Postal Service did not receive any formal comments.

The Postal Service is adding a third validation under the “Barcode Quality” compliance category that will require that an IMpb must include a valid, unique 3-digit STC that accurately represents the mail class, product, and service combination on the physical label affixed to the package. Additionally, the IMpb on the package must also correspond with electronic package level details and Extra Services Code(s) contained within the Shipping Services File (SSF). Any variance in the data presented in the electronic submission of a parcel or a variance with the physical aspect of the label affixed to a parcel presented for mailing will be subject to the IMpb noncompliance fee if a mailer falls below the 98 percent threshold.

We believe this revision will ensure IMpb quality enabling the Postal Service to provide customers with a more efficient mailing experience.

The Postal Service adopts the described changes to *Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service*, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM), incorporated by reference in the *Code of Federal Regulations*.

We will publish an appropriate amendment to 39 CFR part 111 to reflect these changes.

List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 111

Administrative practice and procedure, Postal Service.

Accordingly, 39 CFR part 111 is amended as follows:

PART 111—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for 39 CFR part 111 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552(a); 13 U.S.C. 301–307; 18 U.S.C. 1692–1737; 39 U.S.C. 101, 401–404, 414, 416, 3001–3018, 3201–3220, 3401–3406, 3621, 3622, 3626, 3629, 3631–3633, 3641, 3681–3685, and 5001.

■ 2. Revise the *Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service*, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM) as follows:

Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM)

* * * * *

200 Commercial Letters, Flats, and Parcels

* * * * *

204 Barcode Standards

* * * * *

2.0 Standards for Package and Extra Service Barcodes

2.1 Intelligent Mail Package Barcode

* * * * *

2.1.8 Compliance Quality Thresholds

* * * * *

EXHIBIT 2.1.8—IMpb COMPLIANCE QUALITY THRESHOLDS

Compliance categories	Compliance codes	Validations	Compliance thresholds
Barcode Quality * * *	* * *	* * *	* * *
	* * *	* * *	* * *

[Revise the text in the “Barcode Quality” compliance category under the “Validation” column by adding a third validation to read as follows:]

• The IMpb must include a valid, unique 3-digit Service Type Code that accurately represents the mail class, product, and service combination on the physical label affixed to the package and the electronic package level details and Extra Services Code(s) in the Shipping Services File.

* * * * *

Sarah Sullivan,

Attorney, Ethics & Legal Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2023–16981 Filed 8–9–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R10–OAR–2022–0731, FRL–10545–02–R10]

Air Plan Approval; WA; Smoke Management Plan Update

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving Washington State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted on August 10, 2022. The submitted revisions incorporate the most recent updates to Washington’s Smoke Management Plan and reflect state legislative and regulatory changes.

The EPA is approving the revisions based on our determination that the revisions are consistent with Clean Air Act requirements.

DATES: This final rule is effective September 11, 2023.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R10–OAR–2022–0731. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information or other information the disclosure of which is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available at <https://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Randall Ruddick, EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue (Suite 155), Seattle, WA 98101, (206) 553–1999, ruddick.randall@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document wherever “we” or “our” is used, it means the EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. Background
- II. Final Action
- III. Incorporation by Reference
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Background

On March 23, 2023, the EPA proposed to approve Washington’s August 10, 2022, SIP submission revising the Washington Smoke Management Plan (88 FR 17481). The reasons for our proposed approval are included in the proposal and will not be restated here. The public comment period closed on April 24, 2023. We received one anonymous comment in support of our proposed action; therefore, we are finalizing our action as proposed.

II. Final Action

The EPA is approving and incorporating by reference, where appropriate, Washington’s 2022 submitted revisions into the Washington SIP 40 CFR part 52, subpart WW as discussed in our March 23, 2023, proposed approval (88 FR 17481). Once this approval becomes effective, the Washington SIP will include the following statutes and regulations:

- RCW 52.12.103, Burning Permits—Issuance—Contents (state effective March 27, 1984);
- RCW 52.12.104, Burning Permits—Duties of permittee (state effective March 27, 1984);
- RCW 76.04.005, Definitions. (1) “Additional fire hazard” (5) “Department protected lands” (9) “Forest debris” (11) “Forestland” (12) “Forestland owner,” “owner of forestland,” “landowner,” or “owner” (13) “Forest material” (15) “Landowner operation” (18) “Participating landowner” (20) “Slash” (21) “Slash burning” (23) “Unimproved lands” (state effective July 24, 2015);