

# Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 88, No. 125

Friday, June 30, 2023

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2017-0932; Airspace Docket No. 17-AEA-9]

RIN 2120-AA66

#### Amendment VOR Federal Airways V-20, V-31, V-33, V-308, and V-433; and Revocation of V-379; in the Vicinity of Nottingham, MD

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) DOT.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA is withdrawing the NPRM published in the **Federal Register** on October 16, 2017, proposing to amend Very High Frequency (VHF) Omnidirectional Range (VOR) Federal airways V-20, V-31, V-33, V-308, and V-433; and revoke V-379; due to the planned decommissioning of the Nottingham, MD (OTT), VOR/Tactical Air Navigation System (VORTAC).  
**DATES:** Effective as of 0901 UTC, June 30, 2023, the proposed rule published October 16, 2017 (82 FR 48011), is withdrawn.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul Gallant, Rules and Regulations Group, Office of Policy, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Reason for Withdrawal

The FAA published a notice of proposed rulemaking in the **Federal Register** for Docket No. FAA-2017-0932 (82 FR 48011; October 16, 2017). The NPRM proposed to amend VOR Federal airways V-20, V-31, V-33, V-308, and V-433; and revoke V-379; due to the planned decommissioning of the Nottingham, MD, VORTAC (OTT) which provides navigation guidance for portions of the affected airways. Interested parties were invited to

participate in this rulemaking effort by submitting written comments on the proposal. No comments were received. Subsequent to the NPRM, the Nottingham, MD (OTT), VORTAC decommissioning was delayed, and other airway docket actions rendered this proposal unnecessary.

#### Conclusion

The FAA determined that the NPRM published on October 16, 2017, is unnecessary. Therefore, the FAA withdraws that NPRM.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 26, 2023.

**Brian Konie,**

*Acting Manager, Airspace Rules and Regulations.*

[FR Doc. 2023-13920 Filed 6-29-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2019-0241; Airspace Docket No. 18-AEA-18]

RIN 2120-AA66

#### Amendment VOR Federal Airways V-260, and V-290; Eastern United States

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) DOT.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA is withdrawing the NPRM published in the **Federal Register** on April 8, 2019, proposing to modify Very High Frequency (VHF) Omnidirectional Range (VOR) Federal airways V-260, and V-290 due to the planned decommissioning of the Rainelle, WV (RNL), VOR.  
**DATES:** Effective as of 0901 UTC, June 30, 2023, the proposed rule published April 8, 2019 (84 FR 13846), is withdrawn.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul Gallant, Rules and Regulations Group, Office of Policy, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Reason for Withdrawal

The FAA published a notice of proposed rulemaking in the **Federal**

**Register** for Docket No. FAA-2019-0241 (84 FR 13846; April 8, 2019). The NPRM proposed to amend VOR Federal airways V-260, and V-290 due to the planned decommissioning of the Rainelle, WV (RNL), VOR which provides navigation guidance for portions of the affected airways. Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking effort by submitting written comments on the proposal. No comments were received. Subsequent to the NPRM, the Rainelle, WV (RNL), VOR decommissioning was delayed, and other airway docket actions rendered this proposal unnecessary.

#### Conclusion

The FAA determined that the NPRM published on April 8, 2019, is unnecessary. Therefore, the FAA withdraws that NPRM.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 26, 2023.

**Brian Konie,**

*Acting Manager, Airspace Rules and Regulations.*

[FR Doc. 2023-13921 Filed 6-29-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 54

[WC Docket Nos. 18-143; 10-90; Report No. 3196; FR ID 151047]

#### Petition for Reconsideration of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Petition for reconsideration.

**SUMMARY:** Petition for Reconsideration (Petition) has been filed in the Commission's proceeding The Uniendo a Puerto Rico Fund and the Connect USVI Fund.

**DATES:** Oppositions to the Petition must be filed on or before July 17, 2023. Replies to oppositions must be filed on or before July 10, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information on this proceeding, contact Nathan Eagan of the Wireline Competition Bureau,

Telecommunications Access Policy Division, at (202) 418-0991 or [Nathan.Eagan@fcc.gov](mailto:Nathan.Eagan@fcc.gov) or Dangkhhoa Nguyen of the Wireline Competition Bureau, Telecommunications Access Policy Division at (202) 418-7865 or [Dangkhhoa.Nguyen@fcc.gov](mailto:Dangkhhoa.Nguyen@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's document, Report No. 3196, released June 21, 2023. The full text of the Petition can be accessed online via the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System at: <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/>. The Commission will not send a Congressional Review Act (CRA) submission to Congress or the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the CRA, 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A), because no rules are being adopted by the Commission.

*Subject:* The Uniendo a Puerto Rico Fund and the Connect USVI Fund (WC Docket Nos. 18-143; 10-90).

*Number of Petitions Filed:* 1.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene Dortch,**

*Secretary, Office of the Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2023-13972 Filed 6-29-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 76

[MB Docket No. 23-203; FCC 23-52; FRS ID 151775]

### All-In Pricing for Cable and Satellite Television Service

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, The Federal Communications Commission (Commission) propose to require cable operators and direct broadcast satellite providers to clearly and prominently display the total cost of video programming service in promotional materials and on subscribers' bills. Requiring "all-in" pricing is intended to clearly and accurately reflect consumers' subscription payment obligations, eliminate unexpected fees, and allow consumers to comparison shop among competing cable operators and direct broadcast satellite providers as well as alternative programming providers like streaming services. We also seek comment on the effect of imposing such requirements on other types of multichannel video programming distributors and on our authority to do so.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before July 31, 2023. Submit reply comments on or before August 29, 2023.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information on this proceeding, contact Brendan Murray, [Brendan.Murray@fcc.gov](mailto:Brendan.Murray@fcc.gov), of the Policy Division, Media Bureau, (202) 418-1573.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, (NPRM) FCC 23-52, adopted on June 14, 2023, and released on June 20, 2023. These documents will also be available via ECFS (<https://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/>). (Documents will be available electronically in ASCII, Word, and/or Adobe Acrobat.) To request these documents in accessible formats for people with disabilities, send an email to [fcc504@fcc.gov](mailto:fcc504@fcc.gov) or call the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (202) 418-0432 (TTY).

*Synopsis.* Access to clear, easy-to-understand, and accurate information about the pricing of video services helps consumers make informed choices and encourages competition in the market. It does so by empowering consumers with information to comparison shop and to find the video programming services that best meets their needs and matches their budget. Consumers who choose a video service based on an advertised monthly price may be surprised by unexpected fees related to the cost of video programming that raise the amount of the bill significantly. These fees, with names like broadcast TV fee, or regional sports programming surcharge, are listed in the fine print as "fees" or "taxes and surcharges," separate from the top line listed service price and can result in a bill that is substantially more than the advertised price. This categorization can be potentially misleading and interpreted as a government-imposed tax or fee, instead of a company-imposed service fee increase. This practice can also make it difficult for consumers to compare the service prices of competing video service providers.

In this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), we propose to enhance pricing transparency by requiring cable operators and direct broadcast satellite (DBS) providers to specify the "all-in" price for service in their promotional materials and on subscribers' bills. This proposal would require cable operators and DBS providers to clearly and prominently display the total cost of video programming service. This all-in pricing

proposal is intended to give consumers a transparent and accurate reflection of their subscription payment obligations and eliminate unexpected fees. It also seeks to provide consumers with the ability to comparison shop among competing cable operators and DBS providers, and to compare programming costs against alternative programming providers, including streaming services. We also seek comment on whether we should consider expanding the requirements of this proceeding to other types of multichannel video programming providers (MVPDs) and on our authority to do so.

*Background.* Sections 335 and 632 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Act), authorize the Commission to adopt public interest regulations for DBS and direct the Commission to adopt cable customer service requirements, respectively. In 2019, Congress adopted the Television Viewer Protection Act of 2019 (TVPA), which bolstered the consumer protection provisions of the Act by adding specific consumer protections. The TVPA revised the Act to add section 642, which, among other things, requires greater transparency in subscribers' bills. As it considered this legislation, Congress expressed specific concern that consumers face "unexpected and confusing fees when purchasing video programming," including "fees for broadcast TV," and noted that the practice of charging these fees began in the late 2000s. In 2021, the Media Bureau sought comment on the steps MVPDs have taken to implement the TVPA requirements and on whether consumers found those steps effective in furthering Congress's goal of protecting consumers when purchasing MVPD or broadband service. In response to that PN, Consumer Reports commented that below-the-line fees, "which are solely the creation of the provider (versus regulatory fees that are passed on to the consumer)[,] made up the bulk" of costs that are added to advertised rates and MVPD subscribers' bills. It appears that since adoption of the TVPA, the practice of charging subscribers unexpected "fees" (for example, for broadcast television programming and regional sports programming listed separately from the monthly subscription rate for video programming service) that are actually charges for the video programming service for which the subscriber pays, has continued. Moreover, websites, advertisements, and other promotional materials may advertise a top-line price that does not note prominently the mandatory programming costs that make up the