

shown above for the producers/exporters shown above. Upon completion of the administrative review, consistent with section 751(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review, for the above-listed companies.

For the companies for which this review is rescinded, Commerce will instruct CBP to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2021, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

For the companies remaining in the review, we intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Rate

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, Commerce intends, upon publication of the final results, to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amounts calculated in the final results for the companies listed above on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review, except, where the rate calculated in the final results is zero or *de minimis*, no cash deposit will be required. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit instructions, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Interested Parties

These preliminary results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4) and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: May 31, 2023.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Period of Review
- V. Diversification of Korea's Economy
- VI. Rescission of the Administrative Review, In Part
- VII. Subsidies Valuation Information
- VIII. Benchmarks and Interest Rates
- IX. Analysis of Programs
- X. Recommendation

Appendix II—Companies for Which Commerce Is Rescinding the Review

1. Ajin Industrial Co., Ltd.
2. BDP International
3. Blue Track Equipment
4. Boxco
5. Bukook Steel Co., Ltd.
6. Buma CE Co., Ltd.
7. China Chengdu International Techno-Economic Cooperation Co., Ltd.
8. Daehan I.M. Co., Ltd.
9. Daehan Tex Co., Ltd.
10. Daelim Industrial Co., Ltd.
11. Daesam Industrial Co., Ltd.
12. Daesin Lighting Co., Ltd.
13. Daewoo International Corp.
14. Dong Yang Steel Pipe
15. DK Dongshin Co., Ltd.
16. Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd.
17. Dongkuk Industries Co., Ltd.
18. Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd.
19. EAE Automotive Equipment
20. EEW KHPC Co., Ltd.
21. Eplus Expo Inc.
22. GS Global Corp.
23. Haem Co, Ltd.
24. Han Young Industries
25. Hyosung Corp.
26. Hyundai Steel Co.
27. Jinmyung Fricttech Co., Ltd.
28. Khana Marine Ltd.
29. Kindus Inc.
30. Korean Iron and Steel Co., Ltd.
31. Kyoungil Precision Co., Ltd.
32. Menics
33. Qian'an Rentai Metal Products Co., Ltd.
34. Samsun C&T Corp.
35. Samsung
36. Shinko
37. Shipping Imperial Co., Ltd.
38. Sinchang Eng Co., Ltd.
39. SK Networks Co., Ltd.
40. SNP Ltd.
41. Steel N People Ltd.
42. Summit Industry
43. Sungjin Co., Ltd.
44. Young Sun Steel

[FR Doc. 2023-12029 Filed 6-5-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-823]

Silicomanganese From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2021–2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that silicomanganese from India was sold in the United States at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) May 1, 2021, through April 30, 2022. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable June 6, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Hoadley, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3148.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 23, 2002, Commerce published the antidumping duty order on silicomanganese from India.¹ On May 24, 2022, Maithan Alloys Ltd. (MAL) requested an administrative review of itself.² On July 14, 2022, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(i), Commerce initiated an administrative review of the *Order*, covering MAL.³ Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), on January 9, 2023, Commerce determined that it was not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within 245 days and extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this review until May 31, 2023.⁴

For a detailed description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, *see* the Preliminary

¹ *See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Orders: Silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela*, 67 FR 36149 (May 23, 2002) (*Order*).

² *See* MAL's Letter, "Request for Administrative Review of Antidumping Order A-533-823," dated May 24, 2022.

³ *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 87 FR 42144 (July 14, 2022).

⁴ *See* Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of 2020–2022 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated January 9, 2023.

Decision Memorandum.⁵ A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is available via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the *Order* are all forms, sizes and compositions of silicomanganese, except low-carbon silicomanganese, including silicomanganese briquettes, fines and slag. Silicomanganese is properly classifiable under subheading 7202.30.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Some silicomanganese may also be classified under HTSUS subheading 7202.99.5040. This scope covers all silicomanganese, regardless of its tariff classification. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) purposes, our written description of the scope remains dispositive. For a full description of the scope, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) and (2) of the Act. We calculated export price in accordance with section 772(a) of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying these preliminary results, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Results of Review

We preliminarily determine the following weighted-average dumping margin for the period May 1, 2021, through April 30, 2022.

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Maithan Alloys Ltd	1.01

⁵ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Silicomanganese from India; 2021–2022," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

Verification

As provided in section 782(i)(3) of the Act, Commerce intends to verify the information relied upon in determining the final results of review.

Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed for these preliminary results of review to interested parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Interested parties may submit case briefs to Commerce no later than seven days after the date on which the last verification report is issued in this administrative review. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than seven days after the date for filing case briefs.⁶ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) a statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.⁷

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS. Requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; (3) whether any participant is a foreign national; and (4) a list of issues the party intends to discuss. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at a date and time to be determined.⁸

All submissions should be filed using ACCESS,⁹ and must be served on interested parties.¹⁰ Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.¹¹ Parties are reminded that all briefs and hearing requests must be filed electronically using ACCESS and received successfully in their entirety by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date.

Unless otherwise extended, Commerce intends to issue the final

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1) and (2); see also *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19*, 85 FR 17006, 17007 (March 26, 2020).

⁷ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.303.

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.303(f).

¹¹ See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

If MAL's weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, Commerce intends to calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those sales. Where we do not have entered values for all U.S. sales to a particular importer, we will calculate an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total quantity of those sales.¹² To determine whether an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate is *de minimis*, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we also will calculate an importer-specific *ad valorem* ratio based on estimated entered values. If MAL's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* or where an importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.¹³

In accordance with Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by MAL for which it

¹² See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

¹³ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2); see also *Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate those entries at the all-others rate in the original less-than-fair-value investigation if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹⁴

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the company-specific cash deposit rate for MAL will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review (except, if that rate is *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), then the cash deposit rate will be zero); (2) for producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding in which they were reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or a prior segment of the proceeding but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 17.74 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.¹⁵ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

¹⁴ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

¹⁵ See *Order*, 86 FR at 22142.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: May 31, 2023.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Affiliation
- V. Verification
- VI. Discussion of the Methodology
- VII. Currency Conversion
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2023-12048 Filed 6-5-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-040]

Truck and Bus Tires From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Final Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation; Notice of Amended Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 22, 2023, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT or the Court) issued its final judgment in *Guizhou Tyre Co., Ltd., et al., v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 19-00031, sustaining the U.S. Department of Commerce's (Commerce) remand redetermination pertaining to the antidumping duty investigation of truck and bus tires from the People's Republic of China (China) covering the period of investigation July 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015. Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgment is not in harmony with *Truck and Bus Tires from the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Order*, 84 FR 4436 (February 15, 2019) (*Order*), and that Commerce is amending the *Order* with respect to Guizhou Tyre Import and Export Co., Ltd. (GTCIE), such that merchandise produced and/or exported by GTCIE during the period February 15, 2019, through February 21, 2020, is not subject to the *Order*; merchandise produced and/or exported by GTCIE

after February 21, 2020 remains subject to the *Order*.

DATES: Applicable June 1, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Schauer, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 27, 2017, Commerce published its *Final Determination* in the antidumping duty investigation of truck and bus tires from China. Commerce determined that GTCIE and Shanghai Huayi Group Corporation Limited (formerly Double Coin Holdings Ltd.) (Double Coin) were not eligible for a separate rate because each company failed to rebut the presumption of *de facto* government control.¹

On March 13, 2017, the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) notified Commerce of its final determination that an industry in the United States is not materially injured or threatened with material injury within the meaning of section 735(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) by reason of imports of truck and bus tires from China at less than fair value.² Accordingly, Commerce instructed U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate entries of subject merchandise without regard to antidumping duties.³ On November 1, 2018, the CIT remanded the ITC's final negative determination.⁴ On January 30, 2019, upon remand, the ITC issued its final determination, in which the ITC found that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of truck and bus tires from China.

On February 8, 2019, pursuant to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit's (Federal Circuit) opinion in *Diamond Sawblades*, the ITC notified Commerce of this determination upon

¹ See *Truck and Bus Tires from the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Critical Circumstances*, 82 FR 8599 (January 27, 2017) (*Final Determination*).

² See ITC's Letter, dated March 13, 2017; see also *Truck and Bus Tires from China*, 82 FR 14232 (March 17, 2017); and *Truck and Bus Tires from the People's Republic of China*, Investigation No. 701-TA-556 and 508 and 731-TA-1311, USITC Pub. 4673 (March 2017) (Final).

³ See CBP Message No. 7094307, dated April 4, 2017.

⁴ See *United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL-CIO, CLC, v. United States*, 348 F. Supp. 3d 1328 (CIT 2018).