

Center (Premcor) and ExxonMobil Pipeline Company's Des Plaines Terminal (ExxonMobil), both located in Cook County, Illinois.

**ADDRESSES:** The final Order, the Petitions, and other supporting information are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following address: Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. This facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays and facility closures due to COVID-19. We recommend that you contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section before visiting the Region 5 office. Additionally, the final Order and Petitions are available electronically at: <https://www.epa.gov/title-v-operating-permits/title-v-petition-database>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Danny Marcus, Air Permits Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-8781, [marcus.danny@epa.gov](mailto:marcus.danny@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA.

The CAA affords EPA a 45-day period to review and object to, as appropriate, operating permits proposed by state permitting authorities under title V of the CAA. Section 505(b)(2) of the CAA authorizes any person to petition the EPA Administrator to object to a title V operating permit within 60 days after the expiration of EPA's 45-day review period if EPA has not objected on its own initiative. Petitions must be based only on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided by the state, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise these issues during the comment period or unless the grounds for the issues arose after this period.

On July 23, 2022, EPA received the Premcor Petition from the Petitioner requesting that EPA object to IEPA's July 11, 2022, modification of Premcor's operating permit no. 96030063. The Premcor Petition alleges that IEPA's issuance of the permit modification was unlawful because IEPA improperly processed a significant change to testing requirements in Premcor's permit as a minor modification. The Petitioner structured the Premcor Petition into the following five “claims”: (1) IEPA

relaxed testing requirements without public outreach, in violation of IEPA's environmental justice (EJ) practices and policies; (2) IEPA made changes to the permit based on a “secretive template”; (3) the “sheer amount of changes” that IEPA appears to have made to the permit is enough to show a public comment period was warranted; (4) IEPA made changes to title I construction permits that should have been considered a significant change to the permit; and (5) the permit modification allows the facility to delay testing, which is a violation of human rights and will result in “no testing ever being conducted” and no “demonstration of compliance.”

On November 14, 2022, EPA received the ExxonMobil Petition from the Petitioner requesting that EPA object to IEPA's July 11, 2022, modification of ExxonMobil's operating permit no. 95060060. Similar to the Premcor Petition, the ExxonMobil Petition alleges that IEPA improperly processed a significant change to testing requirements in ExxonMobil's title V permit as a minor modification. The Petitioner structured the ExxonMobil Petition into the following two “claims”: (1) IEPA violated its EJ practices and policies by relaxing a “critical air pollution control device test requirement” without conducting public outreach and failed to give the public an opportunity to comment on the “gross relaxation” of testing at the terminal; and (2) IEPA deleted testing requirements in violation of human rights.

On May 1, 2023, the EPA Administrator issued an Order denying both Petitions. The Order explains the basis for EPA's decision.

Sections 307(b) and 505(b)(2) of the CAA provide that a petitioner may request judicial review of those portions of an order that deny issues in a petition. Any petition for review of the Administrator's May 1, 2023, Order shall be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit no later than July 31, 2023.

*Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: May 25, 2023.

**Debra Shore,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

[FR Doc. 2023-11635 Filed 5-31-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2011-0374; FRL-10959-01-OCSP]

### DCPA Registration Review; Draft Occupational and Residential Risk Assessment; Notice of Availability

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the availability of EPA's draft human health occupational and residential risk assessment for the registration review of Dimethyl Tetrachloroterephthalate (DCPA) for the registered uses of DCPA and opens a public comment period on the assessment. The risk assessment is accompanied by several related documents, including an assessment of the benefits associated with the use of DCPA and a companion document to aid in interpretation of the risk assessment and provide an explanation of the approach being considered by EPA to address the potential risks.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 3, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2011-0374, through the *Federal eRulemaking Portal* at <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Additional instructions on commenting and visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James Douglass, Chemical Review Manager, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division (7508M), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (202) 566-2343; email address: [douglass.james@epa.gov](mailto:douglass.james@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. General Information

###### A. Does this action apply to me?

This action is directed to the public in general and may be of interest to a wide range of stakeholders including environmental, human health, farm worker, and agricultural advocates; the chemical industry; pesticide users; and members of the public interested in the sale, distribution, or use of pesticides. Since others also may be interested, the

Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the Chemical Review Manager listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

*B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?*

1. *Submitting CBI.* Do not submit this information to EPA through [regulations.gov](https://www.epa.gov/regulations) or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. *Tips for preparing your comments.* When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

3. *Environmental justice.* EPA seeks to achieve environmental justice, the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of any group, including minority and/or low-income populations, in the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. To help address potential environmental justice issues, the Agency seeks information on any groups or segments of the population who, as a result of their location, cultural practices, or other factors, may have atypical or disproportionately high and adverse human health impacts or environmental effects from exposure to the pesticide discussed in this document, compared to the general population.

## II. Background

Registration review is EPA's periodic review of pesticide registrations to ensure that each pesticide continues to satisfy the statutory standard for registration, that is, the pesticide can perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on human health or the environment. Through this program, required by FIFRA section 3(g), 7 U.S.C. 136a(g), EPA must ensure that each pesticide's registration is based on current scientific and other knowledge, including its effects on

human health and the environment. As part of the registration review process, the Agency has completed a draft occupational and residential risk assessment for the registered uses of DCPA. DCPA is an herbicide used to control grassy and broadleaf weeds on a variety of use sites including cole crops, onions, and turf. The Agency is taking the unusual step of publishing the DCPA occupational and residential risk assessment in advance of other pieces of the human health risk assessment and the ecological risk assessment because of newly submitted data on the toxicity of DCPA. These data, from a Comparative Thyroid Assay conducted in rats, suggest that there are potential risks for people exposed to DCPA during their work and leisure activities. The Agency anticipates that there is the potential for some pregnant workers to be exposed to levels of DCPA that are sufficient to cause thyroid hormone perturbations in the fetuses they are carrying. In order to determine the best path forward, the Agency is seeking comments on the draft occupational and residential risk assessment. The assessment is accompanied by several related documents, including an assessment of the benefits associated with the use of DCPA and a companion document to aid in interpretation of the risk assessment and to explain the approach being considered by EPA to address the potential risks. After reviewing comments received during the public comment period, EPA plans to respond to those comments and, if warranted, will issue a revised risk assessment. EPA encourages public input on all aspects of the assessment and mitigation of the potential occupational and residential risks for DCPA. The Agency will also keep the public advised on aspects related to risk mitigation as warranted.

## III. Authority

EPA is conducting its registration review of DCPA pursuant to section 3(g) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and the Procedural Regulations for Registration Review at 40 CFR part 155, subpart C. Section 3(g) of FIFRA provides, among other things, that the registrations of pesticides are to be reviewed every 15 years. Under FIFRA, a pesticide product may be registered or remain registered only if it meets the statutory standard for registration given in FIFRA section 3(c)(5) (7 U.S.C. 136a(c)(5)). When used in accordance with widespread and commonly recognized practice, the pesticide product must perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on the environment; that

is, without any unreasonable risk to man or the environment, or a human dietary risk from residues that result from the use of a pesticide in or on food.

## IV. What action is the Agency taking?

Pursuant to 40 CFR 155.53(c), this notice announces the availability of EPA's draft human health occupational and residential risk assessment for the pesticide DCPA and opens a 30-day public comment period on the risk assessment. In order to expedite Agency action to address the risks posed by DCPA, the comment period will not be extended. The Agency will consider all comments received during the public comment period and make changes, as appropriate, to the draft risk assessment. After the close of the public comment period, EPA may, as needed, issue a revised occupational and residential risk assessment, explain any changes to the draft risk assessment, and respond to comments. Public comments received during the 30-day comment period will help inform the Agency's next steps. Unless any new information comes to light during this time that significantly changes the risk conclusions, the Agency is considering if cancellation of all DCPA product registrations is necessary.

### Information Submission Requirements

Anyone may submit data or information in response to this document. To be considered during a pesticide's registration review, the submitted data or information must meet the following requirements:

- To ensure that EPA will consider data or information submitted, interested persons must submit the data or information during the comment period. The Agency may, at its discretion, consider data or information submitted at a later date.
- The data or information submitted must be presented in a legible and useable form. For example, an English translation must accompany any material that is not in English, and a written transcript must accompany any information submitted as an audio graphic or videographic record. Written material may be submitted in paper or electronic form.
- Submitters must clearly identify the source of any submitted data or information.
- Submitters may request the Agency to reconsider data or information that the Agency rejected in a previous review. However, submitters must explain why they believe the Agency should reconsider the data or information in the pesticide's registration review.

As provided in 40 CFR 155.58, the registration review docket for DCPA will remain publicly accessible through the duration of the registration review process; that is, until all actions required in the final decision on the registration review case have been completed.

*Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.*

Dated: May 25, 2023.

**Mary Elissa Reaves,**

*Director, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division,  
Office of Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2023–11664 Filed 5–31–23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–10983–01–OA]

### Public Meeting of the Science Advisory Board

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Science Advisory Board (SAB) Staff Office is announcing a public meeting of the chartered Science Advisory Board. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss recommendations received from the SAB Work Group for Review of Science Supporting EPA Decisions concerning SAB review of EPA planned regulatory actions.

**DATES:** *Public Meeting:* The chartered Science Advisory Board will meet on Friday, June 23, 2023, from 12 noon to 5 p.m. Eastern Time.

*Comments:* See the section titled “Procedures for Providing Public Input” under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for instructions and deadlines.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be conducted virtually. Please refer to the SAB website at <https://sab.epa.gov> for information on how to attend the meeting.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Any member of the public who wants further information concerning this notice may contact Dr. Thomas Armitage, Designated Federal Officer (DFO), via telephone (202) 564–2155, or email at [armitage.thomas@epa.gov](mailto:armitage.thomas@epa.gov). General information about the SAB, as well as any updates concerning the meeting announced in this notice, can be found on the SAB website at <https://sab.epa.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Background:* The SAB was established pursuant to the Environmental Research, Development,

and Demonstration Authorization Act (ERDDAA), codified at 42 U.S.C. 4365, to provide independent scientific and technical advice to the EPA Administrator on the scientific and technical basis for agency positions and regulations. The SAB is a Federal Advisory Committee chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. app. 2. The SAB will comply with the provisions of FACA and all appropriate SAB Staff Office procedural policies. Pursuant to FACA and EPA policy, notice is hereby given that the chartered Science Advisory Board will hold a public meeting to discuss and deliberate on recommendations received from the SAB Work Group for Review of Science Supporting EPA Decisions concerning SAB review of EPA planned regulatory actions.

Under the SAB’s authorizing statute, the SAB “may make available to the Administrator, within the time specified by the Administrator, its advice and comments on the adequacy of the scientific and technical basis” of proposed rules. The SAB Work Group for Review of Science Supporting EPA Decisions (SAB SSD Work Group) is charged with identifying EPA planned actions that may warrant SAB review. The SAB will discuss recommendations received from the SAB SSD Work Group.

*Availability of Meeting Materials:* All meeting materials, including the agenda, will be available on the SAB web page at <https://sab.epa.gov>.

*Procedures for Providing Public Input:* Public comment for consideration by EPA’s federal advisory committees and panels has a different purpose from public comment provided to EPA program offices. Therefore, the process for submitting comments to a federal advisory committee is different from the process used to submit comments to an EPA program office. Federal advisory committees and panels, including scientific advisory committees, provide independent advice to the EPA. Members of the public can submit relevant comments pertaining to the committee’s charge or meeting materials. Input from the public to the SAB will have the most impact if it provides specific scientific or technical information or analysis for the SAB to consider or if it relates to the clarity or accuracy of the technical information. Members of the public wishing to provide comment should follow the instruction below to submit comments.

*Oral Statements:* In general, individuals or groups requesting an oral presentation at a meeting conducted virtually will be limited to three

minutes. Each person making an oral statement should consider providing written comments as well as their oral statement so that the points presented orally can be expanded upon in writing. Persons interested in providing oral statements should contact the DFO, in writing (preferably via email) at the contact information noted above in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**, by June 19, 2023, to be placed on the list of registered speakers.

*Written Statements:* Written statements will be accepted throughout the advisory process; however, for timely consideration by SAB members, statements should be submitted to the DFO by June 19, 2023, for consideration at the June 23, 2023, meeting. Written statements should be supplied to the DFO at the contact information above via email. Submitters are requested to provide an unsigned version of each document because the SAB Staff Office does not publish documents with signatures on its websites. Members of the public should be aware that their personal contact information if included in any written comments, may be posted to the SAB website. Copyrighted material will not be posted without the explicit permission of the copyright holder.

*Accessibility:* For information on access or services for individuals with disabilities, please contact the DFO, at the contact information noted above, preferably at least ten days prior to the meeting, to give the EPA as much time as possible to process your request.

**V. Khanna Johnston,**

*Deputy Director, Science Advisory Board Staff Office.*

[FR Doc. 2023–11631 Filed 5–31–23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–10942–01–R6]

### Underground Injection Control Program; Hazardous Waste Injection Restrictions; Petition for Exemption Reissuance—Class I Hazardous Waste Injection; Dow Beaumont Aniline Plant, Texas

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of a final decision on a no migration petition reissuance.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a reissuance of an exemption to the land disposal restrictions, under the 1984 Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments to the Resource