

Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 88, No. 100

Wednesday, May 24, 2023

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Business-Cooperative Service

Rural Utilities Service

7 CFR Chapter XLII

[Docket #: RBS-23-BUSINESS-0006]

RIN 0570-AB10

Rural Business Development Grant (RBDG) Regulation: Tribes and Tribal Business References To Provide Equitable Access

AGENCY: Rural Business-Cooperative Service and Rural Utilities Service, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Rural Business Development Grant (RBDG) Program is intended for governmental entities and non-profits that foster economic development, job creation and business creation in rural and Tribal communities. Eligible applicants for RBDG assistance include rural towns, communities, State agencies, authorities, nonprofit corporations, institutions of higher education, Federally recognized Tribes (<https://www.bia.gov/service/tribal-leaders-directory>) and cooperatives (if organized as a private nonprofit corporation). USDA intends to improve Tribal Government participation in the program. This proposed rule seeks to increase Tribal Government participation with programmatic amendments.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before July 24, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted by going to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov/> and in the "Search Documents" box, enter the Docket # (RBS-23-BUSINESS-0006) or the RIN # (0570-AB10) of this proposed rule, and click the "Search" button. To submit a comment, select the "Comment" button associated with the

proposed rule. Information on using *Regulations.gov*, including instructions for accessing documents, submitting comments, and viewing the docket after the close of the comment period, is available by selecting "FAQ" at the bottom of the page.

Additional information about RBDG is available at <https://www.rd.usda.gov/programs-services/business-programs/rural-business-development-grants>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions on this document contact Will Dodson, Branch Chief, Intermediary Programs, Program Management Division, Rural Business-Cooperative Service, 1400 Independence Ave. SW, Stop 3201, Washington, DC 20250; telephone, 202-690-4730; email, will.dodson@usda.gov. Persons with disabilities that require alternative means for communication should contact the USDA Target Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice) or 711 Relay Service.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On March 25, 2015, Rural Business-Cooperative Service (RBCS or the Agency), a Rural Development (RD) agency of the USDA, published an interim final rule with comment, 80 FR 15665, for the RBDG Program. The interim final rule with comment ensured the Agency had a regulation in place to meet the Congressional mandate established in the Agricultural Act of 2014 (2014 Farm Bill). The RBDG Program is targeted at public governmental entities, including Tribal Governments, and non-profit entities, which in turn empower business and market development. However, following implementation of the interim final rule, the Agency received regular feedback through Tribal consultation that the interim final rule did not adequately define and address how Tribes legally structure their businesses and related enterprises as arms or instrumentalities of Tribes. The Program did not previously identify tribally-owned businesses separate from Tribal Governments. This nuance effectively prevented full access to participation for Tribes and Tribally owned businesses.

Tribal Governments do not maintain a tax base, therefore, Tribes often establish corporate or other business entities as government arms or instrumentalities to provide for a public (Tribal) good or generate revenue for the

provision of public (Tribal) goods by the Tribal Government. These Tribal Government entities often significantly contribute to their local economy through employment of Tribal and non-Tribal members (non-Tribal United States citizens), job training and advancement opportunities, and by filling gaps in commerce across Tribal lands that are often food, economic, and credit deserts. The Federal Government maintains a treaty and trust responsibility to provide for economic self-sufficiency among Indian Tribes.

Consistent input from Tribal Governments and Tribal stakeholders indicated that the RBDG Program has experienced reduced participation of Tribal Governments and Tribal entities, since inception of the Program. This reduction in participation is due to policies that have not fully considered or included the range of strategies that Tribal nations employ to build Tribal markets and economies through government arms and instrumentalities. Historically, Tribes have not fully utilized the RBDG Program due to Agency policy, which does not adequately consider the range of entities that Tribal nations incorporate, specifically, entities that are Tribal Government owned and operated to foster economic development and promote meaningful employment, while also generating revenue for the Tribal Government. The complex legal and political structure and nature of Tribal nations and these Tribal entities necessitates a close relationship between both entities with ownership and control remaining with the Tribal Governments. The amendments update and codify the Agency's policy regarding Tribal nations and their Tribal owned entities.

II. Summary of Changes to the Rule

Administrative Change

The RBDG Program (7 CFR part 4280) is currently listed under chapter XLII, Rural Business-Cooperative Service and Rural Utilities Service, Department of Agriculture, along with Direct and Insured Loanmaking (7 CFR part 4274), Guaranteed Loanmaking (7 CFR part 4279), Grants (7 CFR part 4284), Cooperative Agreements (7 CFR part 4285), Servicing (7 CFR part 4287), Payment Programs (7 CFR part 4288), and Rural Business Investment Company ("RBIC") Program (part 7 CFR

part 4290). This proposed rule will update the ownership of chapter XLII to remove Rural Utilities Service as these programs are all under RBCS exclusively.

Section 4280.403 Definitions

The definitions section is being revised to add and revise definitions.

Conflict of Interest. The *Conflict of interest* definition is added to codify the Agency's interpretation of the relationship of Tribal nations and their Tribal owned entities to expand eligibility opportunities for Tribal applicants.

Indian Tribe (Tribal). The current operating definition for *Indian Tribe (Tribal)* is being revised to *Indian Tribe (Tribal), Tribal Government, and/or Federally Recognized Tribes*. Historically, the Program has utilized the list of Federally Recognized Tribes published by the Bureau of Indian Affairs to determine if a Tribe was eligible to directly apply for RBDG assistance. No change to the current policy is being implemented and the statutory cite for this policy will now be included within the regulation. Eligible applicants for RBDG assistance continue to be rural Towns, Communities, State agencies, Authorities, Nonprofit corporations, Institutions of higher education, Federally Recognized Tribes (<https://www.bia.gov/service/tribal-leaders-directory>) and cooperatives (if organized as a private nonprofit corporation).

Small and Emerging Business. The *Small and Emerging Business* definition is being revised to add language to clarify the relationship of Tribal Governments and Tribal owned entities. Specifically, the management and Board of Directors of the Tribal government owned entity or business do not have to be independent of the Tribal Council. Language has also been added to clarify that the asset and employee size limitations to qualify as a small and emerging business are limited to the Tribal entity that is applying for assistance and is not intended to be inclusive of all Tribal assets or all Tribal employees. Consequently, it is anticipated that required financial documentation required for Program participation will be limited to the immediate Tribal entity that is applying for the assistance and not required for the Tribe or its other Tribal entities, unless the Tribe itself is the applicant. These amendments were made in accordance with direct Tribal input conducted through Tribal consultation and will improve Tribal Government accessibility to both the regular RBDG Program and RBDG funds appropriated

specifically to support projects that benefit Federally Recognized Tribes and their members.

Section 4280.500 OMB Control Number

On March 25, 2015, RBCS published an interim final rule with comment for the RBDG Program, 80 FR 15665, that assigned Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control numbers 0570–0022 and 0570–0024 in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA). OMB control numbers 0570–0022 and 0570–0024 have been discontinued as of 2016. A new collection package in accordance with PRA was issued in 2016 and the new OMB control number is 0570–0070.

III. Executive Orders/Acts

Executive Order 12866—Classification

This proposed rule has been determined to be not significant for purposes of Executive Order 12866 and, therefore, has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

Congressional Review Act

Pursuant to the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*), the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs designated this proposed rule as not a major rule, as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Assistance Listing Number (Formally Known as the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance)

The Assistance Listing Number assigned to the RBDG Program is 10.351. The Assistance Listings are available on the internet at <https://sam.gov/>.

Executive Order 12372—Intergovernmental Consultation

This program is subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372, which require intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials. RBCS conducts intergovernmental consultations for each loan in accordance with 2 CFR part 415, subpart C. However, Tribes and Tribal entities as defined in this proposed rule are exempt of this requirement.

Information Collection and Recordkeeping Requirements

This proposed rule contains no new reporting or recordkeeping burdens under OMB control number 0570–0070 that would require approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

National Environmental Policy Act

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, Public Law 91–190, this proposed rule has been reviewed in accordance with 7 CFR part 1970 (“Environmental Policies and Procedures”). The Agency has determined that (i) this action meets the criteria established in 7 CFR 1970.53(f); (ii) no extraordinary circumstances exist; and (iii) the action is not “connected” to other actions with potentially significant impacts, is not considered a “cumulative action,” and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1. Therefore, the Agency has determined that the action does not have a significant effect on the human environment, and therefore neither an Environmental Assessment nor an Environmental Impact Statement is required.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–602) (RFA) generally requires an agency to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act (“APA”) or any other statute. The APA exempts from notice and comment requirements rules “relating to agency management or personnel or to public property, loans, grants, benefits, or contracts” (5 U.S.C. 553(a)(2)), so therefore an analysis has not been prepared for this proposed rule.

Executive Order 12988—Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988. In accordance with this proposed rule: (1) unless otherwise specifically provided, all State and local laws that conflict with this rule will be preempted; (2) no retroactive effect will be given to this rule except as specifically prescribed in the rule; and (3) administrative proceedings of the National Appeals Division of the Department of Agriculture (7 CFR part 11) must be exhausted before bringing suit in court that challenges action taken under this rule.

Unfunded Mandate Reform Act (UMRA)

Title II of the UMRA, Public Law 104–4, establishes requirements for Federal Agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on State, local, and Tribal Governments and on the private sector. Under section 202 of the UMRA, Federal Agencies generally must prepare a written statement, including cost-benefit analysis, for proposed and final rules with “Federal mandates” that

may result in expenditures to State, local, or Tribal Governments, in the aggregate, or to the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any one-year. When such a statement is needed for a rule, section 205 of the UMRA generally requires a Federal agency to identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives and adopt the least costly, more cost-effective, or least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule.

This proposed rule contains no Federal mandates (under the regulatory provisions of title II of the UMRA) for State, local, and Tribal Governments or for the private sector. Therefore, this proposed rule is not subject to the requirements of sections 202 and 205 of the UMRA.

Executive Order 13132—Federalism

It has been determined, under E.O. 13132, Federalism, that the policies contained in this proposed rule do not have any substantial direct effect on States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Nor does this proposed rule impose substantial direct compliance costs on State and local Governments. Therefore, consultation with the States is not required.

Executive Order 13175—Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

This proposed rule has been reviewed in accordance with the requirements of Executive Order 13175, “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments.” Executive Order 13175 requires Federal agencies to consult and coordinate with tribes on a Government-to-Government basis on policies that have tribal implications, including regulations, legislative comments or proposed legislation, and other policy statements or actions that have substantial direct effects on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

RBCS has determined that the rule does have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribe(s) or on either the relationship or the distribution of powers and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes but reflects a remedy to RBDG Tribal barriers identified in Tribal consultation, including recent Tribal consultations on equity hosted in March 2021 and April 2022. USDA will hold an additional follow-up Tribal

consultation for input during the 60-day comment period. Additionally, if a Tribe requests Government-to-Government consultation regarding this rule, the Agency will work with the USDA Office of Tribal Relations to ensure meaningful consultation is provided. Interested Tribal leaders are encouraged to contact the Office of Tribal Relations or RD’s Tribal Coordinator at AIAN@usda.gov to request such a consultation.

E-Government Act Compliance

Rural Development is committed to the E-Government Act of 2002, Public Law 107–347, which requires Government agencies in general to provide the public the option of submitting information or transacting business electronically to the maximum extent possible and to promote the use of the internet and other information technologies to provide increased opportunities for citizen access to Government information and services, and for other purposes.

Civil Rights Impact Analysis

Rural Development has reviewed this proposed rule in accordance with USDA Regulation 4300–4, “Civil Rights Impact Analysis,” to identify any major civil rights impacts the rule might have on program participants on the basis of age, race, color, national origin, sex, or disability. Based on the review and analysis of the proposed rule and all available data, issuance of this proposal is not likely to negatively impact low and moderate-income populations, minority populations, women, Indian tribes or persons with disability, by virtue of their age, race, color, national origin, sex, disability, or marital or familial status. No major civil rights impact is likely to result from this proposed rule.

USDA Non-Discrimination Statement

In accordance with Federal civil rights laws and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, the USDA, its mission areas, agencies, staff offices, employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity (including gender expression), sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bases apply to all programs). Remedies and complaint

filing deadlines vary by program or incident.

Program information may be made available in languages other than English. Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication to obtain program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotope, American Sign Language) should contact the responsible mission area, agency, or staff office; the USDA TARGET Center at (202) 720–2600 (voice and TTY); or the 711 Relay Service.

To file a program discrimination complaint, a complainant should complete a Form AD–3027, USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, which can be obtained online at <https://www.usda.gov/sites/default/files/documents/ad-3027.pdf> from any USDA office, by calling (866) 632–9992, or by writing a letter addressed to USDA. The letter must contain the complainant’s name, address, telephone number, and a written description of the alleged discriminatory action in sufficient detail to inform the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights (ASCR) about the nature and date of an alleged civil rights violation. The completed AD–3027 form or letter must be submitted to USDA by:

(1) *Mail*: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20250–9410; or

(2) *Fax*: (833) 256–1665 or (202) 690–7442; or

(3) *Email*: program.intake@usda.gov.

USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 4280

Business and industry, Energy, Grant programs—business, Loan programs—business, and Rural areas.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, 7 CFR chapter XLII is amended as follows:

■ 1. Under the authority of 5 U.S.C. 301 and 7 U.S.C. 1989, the heading for chapter XLII is revised to read as follows:

Chapter XLII Rural Business-Cooperative Service, Department of Agriculture

PART 4280—LOANS AND GRANTS

■ 2. The authority citation for part 4280 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1989(a), 7 U.S.C. 2008s

Subpart E—Rural Business Development Grants

■ 3. Amend § 4280.403 by:

a. Adding “Conflict of interest” in alphabetical order and revising “Indian Tribe (Tribal)” and “Small and Emerging Business”. The addition and revisions read as follows:

§ 4280.403 Definitions.

* * * * *

Conflict of Interest. When the grantee’s employees, Board of Directors, or their immediate families have a legal or personal financial interest in the recipient(s) receiving the benefits or services of the grant. Tribal Governments, subdivisions of Tribal Governments (chapters, districts, authorities, townships, etc.), and Tribal arms and instrumentalities, entities wholly-owned and chartered by Tribal Governments including but not limited to: Tribal owned corporations (including Section 17 Corporations, Community Development Corporations and Economic Development Corporations), Tribal owned businesses, Tribal owned authorities, Tribal owned utilities, other Tribally owned enterprises and their subsidiaries will not be considered as having a conflict of interest due to their, or their Board’s, ties to their associated Tribe or each other.

* * * * *

Indian Tribe (Tribal), Tribal Government and/or Federally Recognized Tribes. Any Indian or Alaska Native tribe, band, nation, pueblo, village or community as defined by the Federally Recognized Indian Tribe List Act (List Act) of 1994 (Pub. L. 103–454).

* * * * *

Small and Emerging Business. Any private and/or nonprofit business which will employ 50 or fewer new employees and has less than \$1 million in gross revenue; for retail operations, gross revenue may be reduced by cost of goods sold and returns or for a service organization, gross revenue may be reduced by the cost of providing service or for a manufacturing operation, gross revenue may be reduced by the cost of raw materials and the cost of production. The \$1 million gross revenue and 50 or fewer new employee thresholds apply only to each individual Tribal owned enterprise applicant or recipient. Due to the unique structuring of Tribal economic development, the revenue or employees of the Tribe and/or parent Tribal enterprise will not apply towards the individual Tribal enterprise applicant or recipient, regardless of shared ownership or Directors. The revenue of Tribes, subdivisions of Tribes and Tribal entity applicants, will not be considered

revenue in determining program and project eligibility.

* * * * *

■ 4. Revise § 4280.500 to read as follows:

§ 4280.500 OMB control number.

The reporting and recordkeeping requirements contained in this part have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the provisions of 44 U.S.C. chapter 35 and have been assigned OMB control numbers 0570–0070 in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Karama Neal,

Administrator, Rural Business-Cooperative Service, USDA Rural Development.

Andrew Berke,

Administrator, Rural Utilities Service, USDA Rural Development.

[FR Doc. 2023–10631 Filed 5–23–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–XY–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R10–OAR–2022–0893; FRL–10419–01–R10]

Air Plan Approval; AK; Revisions to Ice Fog and Sulfur Dioxide Regulations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes to approve a revision to the Alaska State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted on May 16, 2022. In the submission, Alaska revised and repealed state regulations originally put in place to limit water vapor emissions that may contribute to ice fog and to address the use of high-sulfur marine fuels near the communities of St. Paul Island and Unalaska. Alaska determined that the regulations are now obsolete due to technology improvements and regulatory changes, including Federal sulfur content in fuel restrictions, and Alaska requested that the SIP be updated to reflect the revised and repealed state regulations. We propose to find that the submitted revision will not interfere with attainment of the national ambient air quality standards or other applicable requirements of the Clean Air Act.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 23, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R10–OAR–2022–0893, at <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov). The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not electronically submit any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information the disclosure of which is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kristin Hall, EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 155, Seattle, WA 98101, at (206) 553–6357 or hall.kristin@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In this document, “we” and “our” mean the EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. Background
- II. Evaluation of Submission
 - A. Ice Fog Provisions
 - B. Sulfur Dioxide Provisions
- III. Proposed Action
- IV. Environmental Justice Considerations
- V. Tribal Consultation
- VI. Incorporation by Reference
- VII. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Background

Each state has a State Implementation Plan (SIP) containing the air pollution control measures and strategies used to meet the national ambient air quality standards. These standards are established by the EPA for the criteria pollutants: carbon monoxide, lead, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, particulate matter, and sulfur dioxide (SO₂). The SIP contains elements such as emission limits, pollution control technology requirements, monitoring networks, and enforcement mechanisms, among other elements. The SIP is a living compilation revised by the state over time to address changing air quality conditions.