

[www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html](http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html).

Issued on February 17, 2023.

**Christina Underwood,**

*Acting Director, Compliance & Airworthiness Division, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2023-07027 Filed 4-4-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2023-0433; Project Identifier AD-2022-00619-T; Amendment 39-22381; AD 2023-05-12]

RIN 2120-AA64

### Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA is adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all The Boeing Company Model 767-2C series airplanes. This AD was prompted by arcing on an electrical terminal lug in a certain electrical power panel that caused heat and smoke damage, as a result of a loose power feeder terminal lug connection. This AD requires inspection of each terminal lug on certain electrical power panels for evidence of arcing and/or loose connection and applicable on-condition actions. The FAA is issuing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

**DATES:** This AD is effective April 20, 2023.

The FAA must receive comments on this AD by May 22, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to [regulations.gov](http://regulations.gov). Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Fax:* 202-493-2251.

- *Mail:* U.S. Department of

Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.

- *Hand Delivery:* Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**AD Docket:** You may examine the AD docket at [regulations.gov](http://regulations.gov) by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2023-

0433; or in person at Docket Operations between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this final rule, any comments received, and other information. The street address for Docket Operations is listed above.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Hien T. Nguyen, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Section, FAA, Seattle ACO Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; phone: 405-954-5298; email: [Hien.T.Nguyen@faa.gov](mailto:Hien.T.Nguyen@faa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The FAA received a report of an arcing event on an electrical terminal lug in the P34 panel that caused heat and smoke damage within the panel. It was determined that the arcing was a result of a loose power feeder terminal lug connection. An investigation into the root cause determined that the terminal lug was not torqued to the required specifications resulting in a loose connection. The under-torqued terminal lug was determined to be a workmanship issue. Additional inspections to other electrical power panels resulted in multiple findings of under-torqued terminal lugs. Under-torqued terminal lugs, if not addressed, could result in arcing that may lead to loss of critical function and loss of continued safe flight and landing. The FAA is issuing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

##### FAA's Determination

The FAA is issuing this AD because the agency has determined the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop in other products of the same type design.

##### AD Requirements

This AD requires a general visual inspection of electrical terminal lugs, wires, and attached components in certain electrical power panels for electrical arcing damage, and repair or replacement of any damaged part; and a detailed inspection of each terminal lug for loose lugs in certain power panels, and retorquing each loose terminal lug.

##### Justification for Immediate Adoption and Determination of the Effective Date

Section 553(b)(3)(B) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 551 *et seq.*) authorizes agencies to dispense with notice and comment procedures for rules when the agency, for “good cause,” finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public

interest.” Under this section, an agency, upon finding good cause, may issue a final rule without providing notice and seeking comment prior to issuance. Further, section 553(d) of the APA authorizes agencies to make rules effective in less than thirty days, upon a finding of good cause.

There are currently no affected airplanes on the U.S. Register.

Accordingly, notice and opportunity for prior public comment are unnecessary, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3). In addition, for the foregoing reason(s), the FAA finds that good cause exists pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(d) for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

##### Comments Invited

The FAA invites you to send any written data, views, or arguments about this final rule. Send your comments to an address listed under **ADDRESSES**. Include Docket No. FAA-2023-0433 and Project Identifier AD-2022-00619-T at the beginning of your comments. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the final rule, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. The FAA will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this final rule because of those comments.

Except for Confidential Business Information (CBI) as described in the following paragraph, and other information as described in 14 CFR 11.35, the FAA will post all comments received, without change, to [regulations.gov](http://regulations.gov), including any personal information you provide. The agency will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact received about this final rule.

##### Confidential Business Information

CBI is commercial or financial information that is both customarily and actually treated as private by its owner. Under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. 552), CBI is exempt from public disclosure. If your comments responsive to this AD contain commercial or financial information that is customarily treated as private, that you actually treat as private, and that is relevant or responsive to this AD, it is important that you clearly designate the submitted comments as CBI. Please mark each page of your submission containing CBI as “PROPIN.” The FAA will treat such marked submissions as confidential under the FOIA, and they will not be placed in the public docket of this AD. Submissions containing CBI should be sent to Hien T. Nguyen, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and

Equipment Section, FAA, Seattle ACO Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; phone: 405-954-5298; email: [Hien.T.Nguyen@faa.gov](mailto:Hien.T.Nguyen@faa.gov). Any commentary that the FAA receives that is not specifically designated as CBI will be placed in the public docket for this rulemaking.

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

The requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) do not apply when an agency finds good cause pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553 to adopt a rule without prior notice and comment. Because the FAA has determined that it has good cause to adopt this rule without notice

and comment, RFA analysis is not required.

#### Costs of Compliance

Currently, there are no affected U.S.-registered airplanes. For any affected airplane that is imported and placed on the U.S. Register in the future, the FAA provides the following cost estimates to comply with this AD:

#### ESTIMATED COSTS

Action	Labor cost	Parts cost	Cost per product
Inspections .....	43 work-hours × \$85 per hour = \$3,655 per inspection cycle ..	\$0	\$3,655 per inspection cycle.

The FAA estimates the following costs to do any necessary on-condition actions that would be required based on

the results of the inspections. The FAA has no way of determining the number

of aircraft that might need these on-condition actions:

#### ON-CONDITION COSTS

Action	Labor cost	Parts cost	Cost per product
Remove and Replace .....	1 work-hour × \$85 per hour = \$85 .....	\$0	\$85
Apply Torque .....	1 work-hour × \$85 per hour = \$85 .....	0	85

The FAA has received no definitive data on which to base the cost estimates for the replacement parts or repairs specified in this AD.

#### Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

The FAA is issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements. Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

#### Regulatory Findings

This AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and

responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

(1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866, and

(2) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

#### The Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

#### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

##### 2023-05-12 The Boeing Company:

Amendment 39-22381; Docket No. FAA-2023-0433; Project Identifier AD-2022-00619-T.

#### (a) Effective Date

This airworthiness directive (AD) is effective April 20, 2023.

#### (b) Affected ADs

None.

#### (c) Applicability

This AD applies to all The Boeing Company Model 767-2C series airplanes, certificated in any category.

#### (d) Subject

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 24, Electrical Power.

#### (e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by a report of an arcing event on an electrical terminal lug that caused heat and smoke damage within the power panel. The FAA is issuing this AD to address under-torqued power feeder terminal lugs and possible loose connections. The unsafe condition, if not addressed, could lead to loss of critical function and loss of continued safe flight and landing.

#### (f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

#### (g) Required Actions

Within 10 months after the effective date of this AD, do the actions specified in paragraphs (g)(1) and (2) of this AD, in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO Branch, FAA.

(1) Do a general visual inspection (GVI) for electrical arcing damage of electrical terminal lugs, wires, and attached components in certain power panels, and before further flight, repair any damage found.

(2) Do a detailed inspection of each terminal lug for loose lugs in power panels, and, before further flight, apply torque to each loose terminal lug.

**(h) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)**

(1) The Manager, Seattle ACO Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or responsible Flight Standards Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the certification office, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (i) of this AD. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the responsible Flight Standards Office.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair, modification, or alteration required by this AD if it is approved by The Boeing Company Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) that has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO Branch, FAA, to make those findings. To be approved, the repair method, modification deviation, or alteration deviation must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

**(i) Related Information**

For more information about this AD, contact Hien T. Nguyen, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Section, FAA, Seattle ACO Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; phone: 405-954-5298; email: Hien.T.Nguyen@faa.gov.

**(j) Material Incorporated by Reference**

None.

Issued on March 9, 2023.

**Christina Underwood,**

*Acting Director, Compliance & Airworthiness Division, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2023-07037 Filed 4-4-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Aviation Administration**

**14 CFR Part 39**

**[Docket No. FAA-2022-1240; Project Identifier AD-2022-00683-E; Amendment 39-22386; AD 2023-05-17]**

**RIN 2120-AA64**

**Airworthiness Directives; General Electric Company Turbofan Engines**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA is adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain

General Electric Company (GE) GE90-76B, GE90-85B, GE90-90B, and GE90-94B model turbofan engines. This AD was prompted by a commanded in-flight shutdown (IFSD) due to cracking and rockback of the high-pressure turbine (HPT) stage 2 nozzles resulting in blade liberation, severe rotor imbalance, and liberation of the exhaust centerbody. This AD requires initial and repetitive borescope inspections (BSIs) of the forward platforms of the HPT stage 2 blades or the leading edges of the HPT stage 2 nozzles and, depending on the results of the inspections, removal and replacement of the HPT stage 2 nozzles with parts eligible for installation. As a mandatory terminating action to the repetitive BSIs of the forward platforms of the HPT stage 2 blades or the leading edges of the HPT stage 2 nozzles, this AD requires replacing the HPT stage 2 nozzles. The FAA is issuing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

**DATES:** This AD is effective May 10, 2023.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in this AD as of May 10, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:**

**AD Docket:** You may examine the AD docket at [regulations.gov](http://regulations.gov) by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2022-1240; or in person at Docket Operations between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this final rule, any comments received, and other information. The address for Docket Operations is U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.

**Material Incorporated by Reference:**

- For service information identified in this final rule, contact General Electric Company, GE Aerospace, Room 285, 1 Neumann Way, Cincinnati, OH 45215; phone: (513) 552-3272; email: [aviation.fleetsupport@ge.com](mailto:aviation.fleetsupport@ge.com).

- You may view this service information at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (817) 222-5110. It is also available at [regulations.gov](http://regulations.gov) by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2022-1240.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Stephen Elwin, Aviation Safety Engineer, ECO Branch, FAA, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803;

phone: (781) 238-7236; email: [Stephen.L.Elwin@faa.gov](mailto:Stephen.L.Elwin@faa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

The FAA issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 by adding an AD that would apply to certain GE GE90-76B, GE90-85B, GE90-90B, and GE90-94B model turbofan engines. The NPRM published in the **Federal Register** on November 14, 2022 (87 FR 68113). The NPRM was prompted by a report of a commanded IFSD of a GE90-85B model turbofan engine installed on a Boeing Model 777-200ER airplane that occurred on July 12, 2018. Subsequent investigation by the manufacturer found that cracking and rockback of the HPT stage 2 nozzles, due to thermal distress in the fillet radius of the leading edge, resulted in rotor-stator contact with the HPT stage 2 blade platform. This condition caused liberation of an HPT stage 2 blade and severe rotor imbalance, leading to liberation of the exhaust centerbody from the engine. In the NPRM, the FAA proposed to require initial and repetitive borescope inspections of the forward platforms of the HPT stage 2 blades or the leading edges of the HPT stage 2 nozzles and, depending on the results of the inspections, removal and replacement of the HPT stage 2 nozzles with parts eligible for installation. As a mandatory terminating action to the repetitive BSIs of the forward platforms of the HPT stage 2 blades or the leading edges of the HPT stage 2 nozzles, the FAA proposed to require replacement of the HPT stage 2 nozzles. The FAA is issuing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

**Discussion of Final Airworthiness Directive**

**Comments**

The FAA received comments from 3 commenters. The commenters were Air France, The Boeing Company (Boeing), and United Airlines. Boeing supported the proposed AD without change. Air France requested changes to the proposed AD, and United Airlines requested confirmation on a calculation process. The following presents the comments received on the NPRM and the FAA's response to each comment.

**Request To Revise Compliance Time**

Air France noted that affected engines with HPT stage 2 nozzles must be inspected whether or not they have reached the 22,000 hour threshold. The commenter requested that paragraphs (g)(1)(i) and (ii) be revised to both