## PART 252—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

#### 252.232-7017 [Removed and Reserved]

■ 5. Remove and reserve section 252.232–7017.

[FR Doc. 2023–04028 Filed 2–28–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

## Defense Acquisition Regulations System

## 48 CFR Part 242

[Docket DARS-2022-0025]

RIN 0750-AL20

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement: Quick-Closeout Procedures Threshold (DFARS Case 2021–D001)

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** DoD is issuing a final rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement a recommendation from the Government Accountability Office regarding quick-closeout procedures.

DATES: Effective March 1, 2023.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David E. Johnson, telephone 202–913–5764.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Background

DoD published a proposed rule in the **Federal Register** at 87 FR 65505 on October 28, 2022, to amend the DFARS to update the quick-closeout procedures and expand contracts eligible for quick-closeout. There were no public comments submitted in response to the proposed rule. There are no changes made to the final rule.

## II. Applicability to Contracts at or Below the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (SAT) and for Commercial Products, Including Commercially Available Off-the-Shelf (COTS) Items, and Commercial Services

This rule does not create any new solicitation provisions or contract clauses. It does not impact any existing solicitation provisions or contract clauses.

## III. Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Executive Orders (E.O.s) 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under section 6(b) of E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993.

# IV. Congressional Review Act

As required by the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801–808) before an interim or final rule takes effect, DoD will submit a copy of the interim or final rule with the form, Submission of Federal Rules under the Congressional Review Act, to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States. A major rule under the Congressional Review Act cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. The Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has determined that this rule is not a major rule as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804.

## V. Regulatory Flexibility Act

A final regulatory flexibility analysis (FRFA) has been prepared consistent with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq. The FRFA is summarized as follows:

This rule revises the DFARS to implement changes to the indirect cost rate quick-closeout procedures. Government Accountability Office Report 17–738, Federal Contracting: Additional Management Attention and Action Needed to Close Contracts and Reduce Audit Backlog, published September 2017 recommended that DoD develop a means for Department-wide oversight into both components' progress in meeting goals on closing contracts and the status of contracts eligible for closeout. The Advisory Panel on Streamlining and Codifying Acquisition Regulations (Section 809) Panel) was established pursuant to section 809 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016 (Pub. L. 114-92) to deliver recommendations that could transform the defense acquisition system to meet the threats and demands of the 21st century. Additionally, the Section 809

Panel recommended authorizing the settlement of final overhead rates when it is in the best interest of the Government and closing complete contracts regardless of dollar value or the percentage of unsettled direct and indirect costs allocable to the contracts (recommendation 58).

This rule states that the amount of unsettled direct costs and indirect costs to be allocated to the contract, task order, or delivery order will be considered relatively insignificant when the total unsettled direct costs or indirect costs to be allocated do not exceed \$2 million. Additionally, DCMA administrative contracting officers may negotiate the settlement of direct and indirect costs for a specific contract, task order, or delivery order to be closed in advance of the determination of final direct costs and indirect rates set forth in FAR 42.705 regardless of the dollar value or percentage of unsettled direct or indirect costs allocable to the contract.

There were no public comments submitted in response to the proposed rule.

This rule will likely affect small entities that have been or will be awarded contracts, task orders, and delivery orders valued over \$2 million. Data was obtained from the Procurement Business Intelligence Service (PBIS) for contracts that were awarded in fiscal years 2019 through 2021 and eligible for quick-closeout procedures, were valued at more than \$2 million, and contained one of the following FAR clauses:

- 52.216–7, Allowable Cost and Payment (including Alternates I, II, IV);
- 52.216–17, Incentive Price Revision—Successive Targets (including Alternate I);
- 52.242–3, Penalties for Unallowable Costs; and
- 52.242–4, Certification of Final Indirect Costs.

Data from PBIS revealed DoD awarded contracts to an average of 832 small businesses per year in fiscal years 2019 through 2021. Therefore, this rule may apply to approximately 832 unique small entities.

The rule does not impose any new reporting, recordkeeping, or compliance requirements.

DoD did not identify any significant alternatives that would minimize or reduce the significant economic impact on small entities because this rule is not expected to have a significant impact on small entities.

## VI. Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain any information collection requirements that

require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

# List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 242

Government procurement.

#### Jennifer D. Johnson,

Editor/Publisher, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

Therefore, 48 CFR 242 is amended as follows:

# PART 242—CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION AND AUDIT SERVICES

■ 1. The authority citation for 48 CFR 242 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 41 U.S.C. 1303 and 48 CFR chapter 1.

■ 2. Add section 242.708 to read as follows:

#### 242.708 Quick-closeout procedure.

- (a) Defense Contract Management Agency administrative contracting officers are authorized to negotiate the settlement of direct and indirect costs for a specific contract, task order, or delivery order to be closed in advance of the determination of final direct costs and indirect rates set forth in FAR 42.705, regardless of the dollar value or percentage of unsettled direct or indirect costs allocable to the contract, task order, or delivery order.
- (2) In lieu of the thresholds at FAR 42.708(a)(2)(i) and (ii), the amount of unsettled direct costs and indirect costs to be allocated to the contract, task order, or delivery order will be considered relatively insignificant when the total unsettled direct costs and indirect costs to be allocated to any one contract, task order, or delivery order do not exceed \$2 million, regardless of the total contract, task order, or delivery order amount.

[FR Doc. 2023–04027 Filed 2–28–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Part 660

[Docket No. 230217-0046]

RIN 0648-BL48

Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Fisheries Off West Coast States; Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery; Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Management Plan; Amendment 30; 2023–24 Biennial Specifications and Management Measures; Correcting Amendment

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correcting amendment.

SUMMARY: NMFS is correcting the 2023–2024 harvest specifications and management measures for groundfish caught in the U.S. exclusive economic zone seaward of Washington, Oregon, and California published on December 16, 2022. These corrections are necessary so the regulations accurately implement the intent of the Pacific Fishery Management Council.

DATES: This correction is effective March 1, 2023. As of March 1, 2023, the revision to § 660.360(c)(2)(i)(D) in amendatory instruction 18, of the final rule published December 11, 2020, at 85 FR 79880, is withdrawn.

ADDRESSES: This rule is accessible at the Office of the Federal Register website at https://www.federalregister.gov.
Background information and documents are available at the NMFS West Coast Region website at https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/action/amendment-30-pacific-coast-groundfish-fishery-management-plan-2023-2024-harvest and the Pacific Fishery Management Council's website at http://www.pcouncil.org/.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Hooper, Fishery Management

Specialist, at 206–526–6117 or brian.hooper@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Management Plan (PCGFMP) and its implementing regulations at title 50 in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), part 660, subparts C through G, regulate fishing for over 90 species of groundfish seaward of Washington, Oregon, and California. The Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council)

develops groundfish harvest specifications and management measures for 2 year periods (*i.e.*, a biennium). NMFS published the final rule to implement harvest specifications and management measures for the 2023–2024 biennium for most species managed under the PCGFMP on December 16, 2022 (87 FR 77007). That final rule was effective January 1, 2023. After publication of the final rule, NMFS noted the need for corrections to accurately implement the intent of the Council.

#### Corrections

The final rule inadvertently omitted clarifications regarding declaration codes for non-bottom contact hook and line gear for groundfish (e.g., troll, jig gear, rod and reel gear) (declaration code 35), open access non-bottom contact stationary vertical jig gear (declaration code 36) and open access non-bottom contact troll gear (declaration code 37). The final rule implementing requirements for a Federal non-trawl logbook (87 FR 59724, October 3, 2022) revised and added declaration codes to ensure codes better aligned with the gear profiles described in the electronic non-trawl logbook application. The final rule implementing harvest specifications and management measures for the 2023-2024 biennium (87 FR 77007, December 16, 2022) allowed for vessels in the directed open access fishery targeting groundfish to operate inside the nontrawl Rockfish Conservation Area (NT-RCA) from 46°16′ N lat. to the U.S./ Mexico border with non-bottom contact hook-and-line gear only. The final rule inadvertently did not add clarifying language to the declaration codes to indicate which codes could be used to fish inside the NT-RCA. This correcting amendment revises § 660.13(d)(4)(iv)(A)(27) through (29) to clarify that declaration code 35 may be used only outside the NT-RCA, and declaration codes 36 and 37 may be used inside and outside of the NT-RCA. After the final rule was published, NMFS received questions on which

implemented in the final rule.
On page 77016 of the final rule,
paragraph (o)(219) mistakenly republished the existing text at that time
instead of revised text. On page 77020
of the final rule, paragraph (q)(4) also
mistakenly re-published the existing

declaration code applies when fishing

consistent with the intent described in

requirements for non-trawl RCA access

inside or outside the NT-RCA. This

provides needed clarity around the

non-substantive clarification is

the preamble of the final rule. It