increased opportunities for citizen access to government information, services, and other purposes.

Civil Rights Impact Analysis

Rural Development has reviewed this proposed rule in accordance with USDA Regulation 4300–4, Civil Rights Impact Analysis, to identify any major civil rights impacts the proposed rule might have on program participants on the basis of age, race, color, national origin, sex, or disability. After review and analysis of the proposed rule and available data, it has been determined that implementation of the rulemaking will not adversely or disproportionately impact very low, low- and moderate-income populations, minority populations, women, Indian tribes, or persons with disability by virtue of their race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, or marital or familial status. No major civil rights impact is likely to result from this proposed rule.

Assistance Listing

The program affected by this regulation is listed in the Assistance Listing Catalog (formerly Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance) under number 10.415-Rural Rental Housing Loans.

Non-Discrimination Statement Policy

In accordance with Federal civil rights laws and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by program or incident.

Program information may be made available in languages other than English. Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication to obtain program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language) should contact the responsible Mission Area, agency, or staff office; the USDA TARGET Center at (202) 720–2600 (voice and TTY); or the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877–8339.

To file a program discrimination complaint, a complainant should complete a Form AD–3027, USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, which can be obtained online at https://www.ocio.usda.gov/document/ad-3027, from any USDA office, by calling (866) 632–9992, or by writing a letter addressed to USDA. The letter must contain the complainant’s name, address, telephone number, and a written description of the alleged discriminatory action in sufficient detail to inform the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights (ASCR) about the nature and date of an alleged civil rights violation. The completed AD–3027 form or letter must be submitted to USDA by:

1. Mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20250–9410; or
2. Fax: (833) 256–1665 or (202) 690–7442; or
3. Email: program.intake@usda.gov.

USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR 3560

Accounting, Administrative practice and procedure, Aged, Conflicts of interest, Government property management, Grant programs-housing and community development, Insurance, Loan programs-agriculture, Loan programs-housing and community development, Low and moderate-income housing, Migrant labor, Mortgages, Nonprofit organizations, Public housing, Rent-subsidies, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Rural areas.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, Rural Housing Service proposes to amend 7 CFR part 3560 as follows:

PART 3560—DIRECT MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING LOANS AND GRANTS

1. The authority citation for part 3560 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 1480.

Subpart G—Financial Management

2. Amend §3560.306 by revising paragraph (d)(2) to read as follows:

§3560.306 Reserve account.

(d) * * * *

(2) If a housing project’s general operating account has surplus funds at the end of the housing project’s fiscal year per paragraph (d)(1) of this section, the borrower will be required to use such surplus for one of the following (not in priority order): use the surplus funds to address capital needs, make a deposit in the reserve account or reduce the debt service on the borrower’s loans, including Agency-approved third-party debt. The prior written consent of the Agency must be obtained before surplus funds may be used to pay debt service on third-party debt. At the end of the borrower’s fiscal year, if the borrower is required to transfer surplus funds from the general operating account to the reserve account, the transfer does not change the future required contributions to the reserve account.

* * * *

Joaquin Altoro,
Administrator, Rural Housing Service.

[FR Doc. 2023–00140 Filed 1–6–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–XV–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

9 CFR Parts 1, 2, and 3

[Docket No. APHIS–2022–0022]

RIN 0579–AE69

Wild and Exotic Animal Handling, Training of Personnel Involved With Public Handling of Wild and Exotic Animals, and Environmental Enrichment for Species

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking and request for comments.

SUMMARY: We are soliciting public comment on our plan to strengthen regulations regarding the handling of wild and exotic animals for exhibition, as well as the training of personnel involved in the handling of wild and exotic animals, and to establish standards addressing environmental enrichment for all regulated animals. The changes we are considering would help ensure the humane handling and treatment of exhibited animals, as well as the health and well-being of all animals covered under the Animal Welfare Act.

DATES: We will consider all comments that we receive on or before March 10, 2023.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by either of the following methods:

Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to www.regulations.gov. Enter APHIS–2022–0022 in the Search field. Select the Documents tab, then select the Comment button in the list of documents.

Postal Mail/Commercial Delivery: Send your comment to Docket No. APHIS–2022–0022, Regulatory Analysis and Development, PPD, APHIS, Station 3A–03.10, 4700 River Road, Unit 118, Riverdale, MD 20737–1238.

Supporting documents and any comments we receive on this docket may be viewed at www.regulations.gov or in our reading room, which is located in room 1620 of the USDA South Building, 14th Street and Independence
distance and/or barriers between the animal and to the public, with sufficient knowledge of the species they maintain, to prevent unnecessary discomfort, and prohibits physical abuse (9 CFR 2.131(b)), and during public exhibition, be handled so as to assure the safety of animals and the public (9 CFR 2.131(c)(1)). APHIS ensures licensees meet these criteria and are compliant with the regulations during on-site inspections of the facilities prior to licensure and at various intervals throughout the 3-year license period (regularly scheduled routine inspections and focused inspections following up on non-compliances or in response to complaints). We believe that providing greater regulatory clarity regarding the requirements to demonstrate “adequate experience and knowledge” of the species being maintained and to maintain “sufficient distance and/or barriers between the animal and the general viewing public” would benefit licensees, Animal Care inspectors, and the public, and would aid in the enforcement of the AWA.

Insufficient experience and knowledge of personnel and inadequate safeguards in activities involving exhibited animals can endanger both the animals and the public, particularly in activities involving public contact with wild or exotic animals. In 2021, 44.4 percent (969/2182) of APHIS’ licensed exhibitors offered interactions between the public and animals as part of their business, representing a 1.8-fold increase from 2019 (25 percent; 505/2024). Between 2019 and 2021, 119 “handling” non-compliances were reported in eFile on APHIS inspection reports, 12.6 percent of which led to human or animal injury, or animal death. Species used in such interactions include large carnivores, megavertebrates, and nonhuman primates. Most interactions involved full contact (32 percent) or protected contact (43.7 percent) interactions between animals and the public. The risks to public safety inherent in these activities place the animals involved at an increased risk for harm. In situations in which an animal may pose a risk to public safety (for example, a child entering an animal’s enclosure), the animal may be euthanized or otherwise harmed in an attempt to protect the public.

Another area that may warrant amendment of the current regulations is environmental enrichment for regulated animals. The current regulations regarding environmental enrichment are limited to addressing the needs of non-human primates and marine mammals. These requirements include developing, documenting, and following a plan addressing the non-human primates’ social needs (9 CFR 3.81(a)), and a physical environment allowing the non-human primates to express species-typical activities (9 CFR 3.81(b)). It is well-understood that environmental enrichment for animals under a licensee’s care is vital to their psychological health and welfare. The most common concern regarding enrichment noted by APHIS inspectors of licensees exhibiting species other than non-human primates has been a complete lack of any enrichment or a barren environment, followed by single housing of social species, and an inability to express species-typical behaviors.

In light of the concerns regarding interactions between wild or exotic animals and the public, the lack of specificity regarding the requirement to demonstrate “adequate experience and knowledge” in the species being maintained, and the lack of requirements for environmental enrichment of all regulated animals, APHIS is contemplating amendments to the regulations.

Definitions of Category 1, 2, and 3 Animals

To ensure that any regulations we promulgate regarding the public handling of exhibited animals account for the varying levels of risk involved with different species, we are contemplating categorizing animals into three categories. Category 1 animals would refer to exotic or wild animals with the capability or potential to cause severe injury, dismemberment, or death to the public or staff. Animals in this category would include cheetahs, panthers, bobcats, lynxes, bears, wolves, coyotes, large primates (macaques, baboons, nonbrachiating species larger than 33 pounds, great apes), killer whales, walruses, zebras and zebra hybrids, large boids (for example, bushbucks, kudus, nyalas, elands, bongos, sitatungas, bisons, buffalos, anoa, saolas, guars, bantengs, non-domesticated yaks, roans, sables, bluebucks, oryxes, addaxes, warthbucks, kobs, lechwees, and reedbuckcs), elephants, thrichoceroses, exotic canids (not otherwise listed), hippopotamuses, hyenas, clouded leopards, wolverines, onagers, Przewalski’s horses, and wild asses.

Category 2 animals would include exotic or wild animals with the capability or potential to cause injury to the public or staff that is serious but not likely to be severe or life-threatening. Animals in this category would include small primates (callitrichids, capuchins, squirrel monkeys, lemurs, spider monkeys, gibbons, small African primate species), sloths, coatis, river
include hand-feeding animals, photo-permitted or encouraged. Examples may include barrier between the public and the animals with no contact activities, walk-/drive-through exhibits (where guests are in “closed” vehicles and are unable to feed or touch the animals).

- **Performances:** Performing animal shows in which there may be a partial barrier or no barrier between the public and animals, and direct physical contact is not permitted. Examples may include aviary-type exhibits, kangoaroo walkabouts, or drive-through parks where guests are in “closed” vehicles and are unable to feed or touch the animals.

- **Public Contact Activities With Exhibited Animals**

  For all public contact activities outlined above (full contact activities, protected contact activities, walk-/drive-through exhibits, and performances) involving regulated animals, we are considering developing general requirements that would promote animal welfare by minimizing risk to the animals, facility staff, and the public and that are commensurate with the risk posed by such animals.

  Regulations could include, for example, activity-specific restrictions that would minimize risk; training requirements for the licensee and its employees; restrictions on participants (for example, age or number of people participating); and requirements for the animal involved (considering, for example, the risk to the public posed by the animal, including the animal’s age, stage of development, or vaccination status).

  We are considering requiring licensees to develop and implement a written plan specifying the measures that they will take to ensure compliance with the regulatory requirements for all public contact activities. The written plan would need to be signed and approved by an attending veterinarian and be available, upon request, for review by APHIS officials. A failure to follow the written plan would constitute a non-compliance with the AWA regulations and may result in enforcement proceedings.

  We are seeking comment on our approach to regulating public contact activities with exhibited animals. Particularly, we are interested in receiving comments on the following questions:

  - What general requirements should apply to all public contact activities, regardless of category (or species) of animal involved?
  - What requirements or restrictions should apply to each of the four types of public contact activities involving Category 1 animals?
  - What requirements or restrictions should apply to each of the four types of public contact activities involving Category 2 animals?
  - What requirements or restrictions should apply to each of the four types of public contact activities involving Category 3 animals?
  - Are there any requirements or restrictions that should apply only to a particular species involved in any one of the four types of public contact activities?
  - Should any specific type of public contact activity involving any specific category of animal (or species) be prohibited?
  - Should we require that an exhibitor file a written report within a specified period of time in the event of an animal escape, animal injury, or injury to the licensee or a member of the licensee’s staff or the public? Should this requirement be limited to escapes or injuries involving specific categories (or species) of animals?
  - If we choose to require a written plan specifying the measures that the licensee will take to ensure compliance with the regulatory requirements for all public contact activities, what specific requirements should the attending veterinarian consider when reviewing and/approving public contact activities for each category (or species) of animal?
  - What direct costs may be associated with developing a written plan for compliance for all public contact activities, including the cost and time it may take to develop a plan?
  - Are there any reasonably foreseeable indirect costs (e.g., opportunity costs or overhead) that stem from the direct costs of developing a plan?
Training of Individuals Handling Wild or Exotic Animals

We are also contemplating adding regulations regarding the training of licensees and staff of exhibitors who handle Category 1 and 2 animals at any time (including, but not limited to, handling during public contact activities). We welcome comments regarding training requirements that licensed exhibitors should be required to meet. We are particularly interested in comments regarding the nature of training that currently exists in the absence of APHIS requirements, including, but not limited to, the required duration and content of training, any particular training requirements for exhibitors who handle particular categories or species of animals, any differences in training requirements based on the extent or nature of the employee or volunteer’s interaction with the animal, and any challenges that may exist in obtaining the necessary training. We are also seeking public comment on the costs that could be associated with training, if we were to require it, including the length of time that would be required to complete the training.

Environmental Enrichment for Animals

As noted earlier, the regulations currently only contain requirements for the environmental enrichment of non-human primates and marine mammals. We are contemplating adding regulatory requirements to address species-specific environmental enrichment for all regulated animals. Enrichments may address the psychological needs of species known to exist in social groups; species-specific feeding, foraging, and food acquisition behaviors; and enclosure space, lighting, and design that allow for species-typical behaviors.

Environmental enrichment requirements could be implemented as performance standards, and licensees and registrants would be able to use their own expertise to determine the specific measures that they would implement to meet the proposed requirements. If this approach were adopted, we would require licensees and registrants to develop and implement a written plan specifying the measures that they would take to provide for the environmental enrichment of the animals in their care that would be signed and approved by an attending veterinarian and made available to APHIS officials upon request. We anticipate that the licensee/registrant would be required to monitor the plan on an ongoing basis in order to ensure compliance with the plan and to make adjustments if warranted.

We are seeking comment on this approach to regulating environmental enrichment for regulated animals. Particularly, we are interested in receiving comments on the following questions:

- What, if any, general environmental enrichments should be required for all species?
- What environmental enrichments addressing psychological needs should be required for social species (in general or for particular species)?
- What environmental enrichments addressing natural feeding, foraging, and food acquisition behaviors should be required for animals in general, for certain taxa of animals, or for particular species?
- What environmental enrichments addressing enclosure space, lighting, and design to allow for species-typical behaviors should be required for animals in general, for certain taxa of animals, or for particular species?
- Are there other components or types of environmental enrichments we should consider when developing environmental enrichment requirements for certain taxa of animals or for particular species?
- If we choose to require a written plan, what specific requirements should the attending veterinarian consider when reviewing and/or approving the written plan?
- If environmental enrichment requirements were presented as performance standards, what guidance could APHIS provide to assist licensees and registrants to meet the performance standards?
- What direct costs may be associated with providing environmental enrichment for the potentially affected animals in each category?
- Are there any reasonably foreseeable indirect costs (e.g., opportunity costs or overhead) that stem from these direct costs?

Environmental Impacts

APHIS seeks public comment on whether the changes being considered may require the preparation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). Comments will help inform APHIS as to the applicability of NEPA to modifications to the regulations regarding the handling of wild or exotic animals and environmental enrichment for animals.

Economic Considerations

APHIS seeks public comment on economic cost considerations for businesses, and in particular small businesses, associated with the amendments being considered. Specifically, we invite public comments on the number of entities that would be potentially impacted by the amendments to the regulations should we proceed to a proposed rule, and the costs associated with these amendments, and detailed comments on any additional costs that could be associated with the amendments to the regulations.

We welcome all comments on the issues outlined above and encourage the inclusion of supporting data.


Done in Washington, DC, this 21st day of December, 2022.

Jennifer Moffitt,
Under Secretary for Marketing and Regulatory Programs.

[FR Doc. 2023–00021 Filed 1–6–23; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

12 CFR Part 1610

Collection of Non-Centrally Cleared Bilateral Transactions in the U.S. Repurchase Agreement Market

AGENCY: Office of Financial Research, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of the Treasury’s Office of Financial Research (the Office) is requesting comment on a proposed rule establishing a data collection covering non-centrally cleared bilateral transactions in the U.S. repurchase agreement (repo) market. This proposed collection would require daily reporting to the Office by certain brokers, dealers, and other financial companies with large exposures to the non-centrally cleared bilateral repo market. The collected data would be used to support the work of the Financial Stability Oversight Council (the Council), its member agencies, and the Office to identify and monitor risks to financial stability.

DATES: Comments must be received by 60 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods: