

the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 22, 2022.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
- V. Discussion of the Methodology
- VI. Recommendation

Appendix II

Review-Specific Average Rate Applicable to Companies Not Selected for Individual Review

1. AJU Besteel Co., Ltd.
2. BDP International, Inc.
3. Daewoo International Corporation
4. Dongbu Incheon Steel Co.
5. Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd.
6. Dongkuk Steel Mill
7. Dong Yang Steel Pipe
8. EEW Korea Co., Ltd.
9. Husteel Co., Ltd.
10. Hyundai RB Co. Ltd.
11. Hyundai Steel Company/Hyundai HYSCO
12. Kelly Pipe Co., LLC
13. Keonwoo Metals Co., Ltd.
14. Kolon Global Corp.
15. Korea Cast Iron Pipe Ind. Co., Ltd.
16. Kurvers Piping Italy S.R.L.
17. Miju Steel MFG Co., Ltd.
18. MSTEEL Co., Ltd.
19. Poongsan Valinox (Valtimet Division)
20. POSCO
21. POSCO Daewoo
22. R&R Trading Co. Ltd.
23. Sam Kang M&T Co., Ltd.
24. Sin Sung Metal Co., Ltd.
25. SK Networks
26. Soon-Hong Trading Company
27. Steel Flower Co., Ltd.
28. TGS Pipe
29. Tokyo Engineering Korea Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2022-28388 Filed 12-28-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-520-804]

Certain Steel Nails From the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain steel nails (steel nails) from the United Arab Emirates (UAE) would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable December 29, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kelsie Hohenberger, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2517.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 10, 2012, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the AD order on steel nails from the UAE.¹ On September 1, 2022, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the second sunset review of the *Order*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² On September 13, 2022, Commerce received a notice of intent to participate in this review from Mid Continent Steel & Wire, Inc. (Mid Continent) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).³ Mid Continent claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a producer of the domestic like product in the United States.

On October 3, 2022, Commerce received an adequate substantive response from Mid Continent within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).⁴ We received no

¹ See *Certain Steel Nails from the United Arab Emirates: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order*, 77 FR 27421 (May 10, 2012) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 87 FR 53727 (September 1, 2022).

³ See Mid Continent's Letter, "Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review," dated September 13, 2022.

⁴ See Mid Continent's Letter, "Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation," dated October 3, 2022.

substantive responses from respondent interested parties. On October 25, 2022, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from respondent interested parties.⁵ As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this *Order* are steel nails from the UAE. For a full description of the scope, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁶

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁷ A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Order* would likely lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping and that the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the *Order* were revoked is up to 184.41 percent.⁸

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice serves as the only reminder to interested parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials

⁵ See Commerce's Letter, "Sunset Reviews Initiated on September 1, 2022," dated October 25, 2022.

⁶ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Steel Nails from the United Arab Emirates," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁷ See, generally, Issues and Decision Memorandum.

⁸ *Id.*

or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2).

Dated: December 22, 2022.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. History of the Order
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
 2. Magnitude of the Margins Likely to Prevail
- VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022–28389 Filed 12–28–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–122–863]

Large Diameter Welded Pipe From Canada: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) is amending the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on large diameter welded pipe from Canada to correct ministerial errors. The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2020, through April 30, 2021.

DATES: Applicable December 29, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irene Gorelik or Faris Montgomery, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6905 or (202) 482–1537, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 18, 2022, Commerce disclosed its calculations to interested parties and provided interested parties with the opportunity to submit ministerial error comments.¹ On November 23, 2022, Commerce published its final results of administrative review.² On November 25, 2022, Evraz submitted allegations of ministerial errors in the *Final Results*.³ No other party made an allegation of ministerial errors. On November 30, 2022, the American Line Pipe Producers Association (Domestic Interested Party) rebutted Evraz’s ministerial error allegations.⁴

Legal Framework

Section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), defines a “ministerial error” as including “errors in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other unintentional error which the administering authority considers ministerial.” With respect to final results of administrative reviews, 19 CFR 351.224(e) provides that Commerce “will analyze any comments received and, if appropriate, correct any ministerial error by amending . . . the final results of review”

Ministerial Errors

We agree with Evraz that Commerce made ministerial errors in the *Final Results* within the meaning of section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f). In the *Final Results*, we made certain revisions to the preliminary results,⁵ including revisions to the general and administrative (G&A) expense ratio and the scrap cost adjustment. In its ministerial error comments, Evraz alleged that in revising the basis of the G&A expense rate, Commerce: (1) double-counted certain line items in the G&A expense ratio and the cost data file

¹ See Memorandum, “Deadline for Ministerial Error Comments for the Final Results,” dated November 18, 2022.

² See *Large Diameter Welded Pipe from Canada: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 87 FR 71580 (November 23, 2022) (*Final Results*), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (IDM).

³ See Evraz Letter, “Ministerial Error Comments,” dated November 25, 2022 (Ministerial Error Allegations). Commerce extended the deadline for parties to file ministerial error allegations. See Commerce’s Letter, “Deadline Extension Request for Submitting Ministerial Error Allegations,” dated November 23, 2022.

⁴ See Domestic Interested Party’s Letter, “Response to Evraz’s Ministerial Error Allegation,” dated November 30, 2022.

⁵ See *Final Results* IDM at 3 and Comments 2, 3, 5, and 6.

and also; (2) consequently, double-counted line items which were reported as home market indirect selling expenses.⁶ Evraz also alleged that Commerce incorrectly included intra-company transfers in the scrap major input cost adjustment.⁷

Commerce determines that it made ministerial errors in the *Final Results* pursuant to section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f) and has amended its calculations with regard to the G&A expense rate and the scrap cost adjustment.

For a complete discussion of the ministerial error allegations, as well as Commerce’s analysis, see the accompanying Ministerial Error Memorandum.⁸ The Ministerial Error Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via ACCESS. ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(e), Commerce is amending the *Final Results* to reflect the correction of these ministerial errors in the calculation of the weighted-average dumping margin assigned to Evraz in the *Final Results*, which changes from 36.02 percent to 26.15 percent.

Amended Final Results

As a result of correcting the ministerial errors, Commerce determines that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period May 1, 2020, through April 30, 2021:

| Exporter or producer | Weighted-average dumping margin (percent) |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Evraz Inc. NA ⁹ | 26.15 |

Disclosure

We intend to disclose to parties in this proceeding under administrative protective order, the amended final results calculations performed within five days after publication of these

⁶ See Ministerial Error Allegations at 1–4.

⁷ *Id.* at 4–5.

⁸ See Memorandum, “Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Large Diameter Welded Pipe from Canada; 2020–2021: Ministerial Error Allegations in the Final Results,” dated concurrently with this notice Ministerial Error Memorandum).

⁹ In the underlying investigation, Commerce treated Evraz Inc. NA, Evraz Inc. NA Canada, and the Canadian National Steel Corporation (collectively, Evraz) as a single entity. See *Large Diameter Welded Pipe from Canada: Antidumping Duty Order*, 84 FR 18775, 18776 (May 2, 2019) (*Order*). There is no information on this record of this review that requires reconsideration of this single entity determination.