

including labor unions, acting in cooperation with officials of a political subdivision of a State.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 21 respondents, responding quarterly.

Estimated Time per Response: 2.5 hours/per respondent.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 210 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$12,768 (cost assumes application of U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics second quarter 2022 mean hourly employer costs for employee compensation for professional and related occupations of \$60.80).

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

Legal Authority: The Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 3121 et seq).

IV. Request for Comments

We are soliciting public comments to permit the Department/Bureau to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of the time and cost burden for this proposed collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) Evaluate ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) Minimize the reporting burden on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this ICR. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you may ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Sheleen Dumas,

Department PRA Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Commerce Department.

[FR Doc. 2022-24977 Filed 11-15-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-34-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[S-159-2022]

Approval of Subzone Status; Great Plains Manufacturing, Incorporated Salina, Kipp, Assaria, Abilene, Enterprise, Ellsworth, Lucas and Tipton, Kansas

On September 6, 2022, the Executive Secretary of the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board docketed an application submitted by the Board of County Commissioners of Sedgwick County, Kansas, grantee of FTZ 161, requesting subzone status subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 161, on behalf of Great Plains Manufacturing, Incorporated, in Salina, Kipp, Assaria, Abilene, Enterprise, Ellsworth, Lucas and Tipton, Kansas.

The application was processed in accordance with the FTZ Act and Regulations, including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (87 FR 55780-55781, September 12, 2022). The FTZ staff examiner reviewed the application and determined that it meets the criteria for approval.

Pursuant to the authority delegated to the FTZ Board Executive Secretary (15 CFR Sec. 400.36(f)), the application to establish Subzone 161E was approved on November 9, 2022, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.13, and further subject to FTZ 161's 2,000-acre activation limit.

Dated: November 9, 2022.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022-24912 Filed 11-15-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[S-138-2022]

Approval of Subzone Status; Burger Boat Company, Manitowoc, Wisconsin

On August 8, 2022, the Executive Secretary of the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board docketed an application submitted by Brown County, Wisconsin, grantee of FTZ 167, requesting subzone status subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 167, on behalf of Burger Boat Company, in Manitowoc, Wisconsin.

The application was processed in accordance with the FTZ Act and Regulations, including notice in the

Federal Register inviting public comment (87 FR 49579, August 11, 2022). The FTZ staff examiner reviewed the application and determined that it meets the criteria for approval. Pursuant to the authority delegated to the FTZ Board Executive Secretary (15 CFR 400.36(f)), the application to establish Subzone 167F was approved on November 10, 2022, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.13, and further subject to FTZ 167's 2,000-acre activation limit.

Dated: November 10, 2022.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022-24938 Filed 11-15-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-897]

Large Diameter Welded Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020-2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Hyundai RB Co., Ltd. (Hyundai RB) made sales of large diameter welded pipe (welded pipe) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) at prices below normal value (NV), and that Hyundai Steel Company (Hyundai Steel) did not make sales of the subject merchandise at prices below NV during the period of review (POR), May 1, 2020, through April 30, 2021. Commerce further determines that sales by the non-individually examined companies were made at prices below NV.

DATES: Applicable November 16, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Katherine Johnson or Samantha Kinney, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4929 or (202) 482-2285, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 3, 2022, Commerce published the preliminary results of this

administrative review.¹ The review covers 20 producers or exporters of subject merchandise. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. A summary of the events that occurred since Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*, as well as a full discussion of the issues raised by parties for these final results, are included in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order³

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is welded carbon and alloy steel pipe (other than stainless steel pipe), more than 406.4 mm (16 inches) in nominal outside diameter (large diameter welded pipe), regardless of wall thickness, length, surface finish, grade, end finish, or stenciling. Imports of the product are currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under subheadings 7305.11.1030, 7305.11.1060, 7305.11.5000, 7305.12.1030, 7305.12.1060, 7305.12.5000, 7305.19.1030, 7305.19.1060, 7305.19.5000, 7305.31.4000, 7305.31.6090, 7305.39.1000 and 7305.39.5000. While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the parties' case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum and are listed in Appendix I to this notice.⁴ The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on-file

¹ See *Large Diameter Welded Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission; 2020–2021*, 87 FR 33723 (June 3, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results in the 2020–2021 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Large Diameter Welded Pipe from the Republic of Korea," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See *Large Diameter Welded Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determinations and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 84 FR 18767 (May 2, 2019) (*Order*); see also *Large Diameter Welded Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Changed Circumstances Reviews*, 85 FR 51679 (August 21, 2020).

⁴ See Appendix I.

electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on the comments received from interested parties and record information, we made no changes to our preliminary weighted-average dumping margin calculations for Hyundai RB and Hyundai Steel.

Rate for Non-Examined Respondents

The statute and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a weighted-average dumping margin to be determined for companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation, for guidance when determining the weighted-average dumping margin for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero and *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely on the basis of facts available. For these final results of review, we calculated a weighted-average dumping margin for Hyundai RB that is not zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available. Therefore, consistent with our practice, we have assigned the companies not selected for individual examination the weighted-average dumping margin calculated for Hyundai RB.

Final Results of Review

As a result of this review, we determine the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the POR:

Exporter or producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Hyundai RB Co., Ltd	2.67

Exporter or producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Hyundai Steel Company	0.00
Non-Examined Companies ⁵	2.67

Disclosure

Normally, Commerce discloses to the parties in a proceeding the calculations that it performed in connection with the final results of review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). However, because we made no changes to our preliminary weighted-average dumping margin calculations for Hyundai RB and Hyundai Steel, there are no revised margin calculations to disclose.

Assessment Rates

Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in this review, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b). Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), where the respondent reported the entered value of its U.S. sales, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. Where the respondent did not report entered value, we calculated importer-specific per-unit duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total quantity of those sales. To determine whether an importer-specific per-unit duty assessment rate is *de minimis*, we calculated an estimated entered value.

Where an importer-specific assessment rate is *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent), the entries by that importer will be liquidated without regard to antidumping duties. Because the weighted-average dumping margin for Hyundai Steel is zero percent, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate the

⁵ See Appendix II.

appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.⁶

Consistent with Commerce's clarification of its assessment practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by any of the above-referenced respondents for which they did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate those entries at the all-others rate in the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation of 7.08 percent *ad valorem*⁷ if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.⁸

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in these final results; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not subject to this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of the proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers and exporters will continue to be 7.08 percent *ad valorem*, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.⁹ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing

duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: November 9, 2022.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Issues
 - Hyundai RB Co., Ltd. (Hyundai RB)
 - Comment 1: Smoothing of Costs
 - Comment 2: Scrap Adjustment
 - Comment 3: Raw Material Discount
 - Comment 4: Pre-POR Direct Cost Adjustment
 - Comment 5: General and Administrative (G&A) Expense
 - Comment 6: Interest Expense Ratio Adjustment
 - Hyundai Steel Company (Hyundai Steel)
 - Comment 7: Interest Expense Calculation
 - Comment 8: Short-Term Interest Income Offset
 - Comment 9: G&A Expenses
 - SeAH Steel Corporation (SeAH)
 - Comment 10: Voluntary Respondent Status for SeAH Steel Corporation
- V. Recommendation

Appendix II

Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination

1. AJU Besteel Co., Ltd.
2. Chang Won Bending Co., Ltd.

3. Daiduck Piping Co., Ltd.
4. Dong Yang Steel Pipe Co., Ltd.
5. Dongbu Incheon Steel Co., Ltd.
6. EEW KHPC Co., Ltd.
7. EEW Korea Co., Ltd.
8. Histeel Co., Ltd.
9. Husteel Co., Ltd.
10. Kiduck Industries Co., Ltd.
11. Kum Kang Kind. Co., Ltd.
12. Kumsoo Connecting Co., Ltd.
13. Nexteel Co., Ltd.
14. SeAH Steel Corporation
15. Seonghwa Industrial Co., Ltd.
16. SIN-E B&P Co., Ltd.
17. Steel Flower Co., Ltd.
18. WELTECH Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2022-24939 Filed 11-15-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-073]

Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Recission of Antidumping Administrative Review; 2021-2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that all companies subject to this review are part of the China-wide entity because they did not establish eligibility for a separate rate. Additionally, Commerce is rescinding this review with respect to Yinbang Clad Material Co., Ltd. (Yinbang Clad). Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results of this review.

DATES: Applicable November 16, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frank Schmitt, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4880.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 8, 2019, Commerce published the antidumping duty order on common alloy aluminum sheet from the People's Republic of China (China).¹ On February 8, 2022, we published a notice of opportunity for interested parties to request that Commerce conduct an administrative review of the

¹ See *Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Order*, 84 FR 2813 (February 8, 2019) (*Order*).

⁶ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8102 (February 14, 2012).

⁷ See *Order*.

⁸ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

⁹ See *Order*.