

Paper Products Marketing (USA) Inc.³
No interested parties submitted case briefs.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this *Order* includes uncoated paper in sheet form; weighing at least 40 grams per square meter but not more than 150 grams per square meter; that either is a white paper with a GE brightness level⁴ of 85 or higher or is a colored paper; whether or not surface-decorated, printed (except as described below), embossed, perforated, or punched; irrespective of the smoothness of the surface; and irrespective of dimensions (Certain Uncoated Paper).

Certain Uncoated Paper includes (a) uncoated free sheet paper that meets this scope definition; (b) uncoated ground wood paper produced from bleached chemi-thermo-mechanical pulp (BCTMP) that meets this scope definition; and (c) any other uncoated paper that meets this scope definition regardless of the type of pulp used to produce the paper.

Specifically excluded from the scope are (1) paper printed with final content of printed text or graphics and (2) lined paper products, typically school supplies, composed of paper that incorporates straight horizontal and/or vertical lines that would make the paper unsuitable for copying or printing purposes. For purposes of this scope definition, paper shall be considered “printed with final content” where at least one side of the sheet has printed text and/or graphics that cover at least five percent of the surface area of the entire sheet.

On September 1, 2017, Commerce determined that imports of uncoated paper with a GE brightness of 83 +/- 1% (83 Bright paper), otherwise meeting the description of in-scope merchandise, constitute merchandise “altered in form or appearance in minor respects” from in-scope merchandise that are subject to this *Order*.⁵

³ See Memoranda, “Verification of Paper Australia Pty. Ltd.’s Responses,” dated September 21, 2022; and “Verification of Paper Products Marketing (USA) Inc.,” dated September 21, 2022.

⁴ One of the key measurements of any grade of paper is brightness. Generally speaking, the brighter the paper the better the contrast between the paper and the ink. Brightness is measured using a GE Reflectance Scale, which measures the reflection of light off a grade of paper. One is the lowest reflection, or what would be given to a totally black grade, and 100 is the brightest measured grade. “Colored paper” as used in this scope definition means a paper with a hue other than white that reflects one of the primary colors of magenta, yellow, and cyan (red, yellow, and blue) or a combination of such primary colors.

⁵ See *Certain Uncoated Paper from Australia, Brazil, the People’s Republic of China, Indonesia,*

Imports of the subject merchandise are provided for under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) categories 4802.56.1000, 4802.56.2000, 4802.56.3000, 4802.56.4000, 4802.56.6000, 4802.56.7020, 4802.56.7040, 4802.57.1000, 4802.57.2000, 4802.57.3000, and 4802.57.4000. Some imports of subject merchandise may also be classified under 4802.62.1000, 4802.62.2000, 4802.62.3000, 4802.62.5000, 4802.62.6020, 4802.62.6040, 4802.69.1000, 4802.69.2000, 4802.69.3000, 4811.90.8050 and 4811.90.9080. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the *Order* is dispositive.

Merchandise Subject to the Circumvention Inquiry

This circumvention inquiry covers certain uncoated paper rolls that are commonly, but not exclusively, known as “sheeter rolls,” from Australia that are further processed in the United States into individual sheets of uncoated paper that would otherwise be subject to the *Order* (i.e., paper that weighs at least 40 grams per square meter but not more than 150 grams per square meter; and that either is a white paper with a GE brightness level of 83 +/- 1% or higher or is a colored paper (as defined above)). The uncoated paper rolls covered by this inquiry are able to be converted into sheets of uncoated paper using specialized cutting machinery prior to printing, and are typically, but not exclusively, between 52 and 103 inches wide and 50 inches in diameter. The paper rolls covered by this inquiry are classified under HTSUS subheading 4802.55.

Methodology

Commerce conducted this circumvention inquiry in accordance with section 781(a) of the Act.⁶ We have continued to apply this methodology, and incorporate by reference this description of the methodology, for our final determination.

Final Determination

We determine that the uncoated paper rolls from Australia that are subject to this inquiry are not being completed by conversion in the United States into sheets of uncoated paper that would otherwise be subject to the *Order*.

and Portugal: *Affirmative Final Determination of Circumvention of the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 82 FR 41610 (September 1, 2017).

⁶ See *Preliminary Results PDM* for a full description of the methodology.

Therefore, these exports to the United States of uncoated paper rolls from Australia are not circumventing the *Order*.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice will serve as the only reminder to all parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

This determination is issued and published in accordance with section 781(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.225(g).

Dated: November 4, 2022.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2022–24605 Filed 11–9–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–883]

Glycine From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that producers or exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise below normal value during the period of review June 1, 2020, through May 31, 2021.

DATES: Applicable November 10, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Emily Bradshaw or Yang Jin Chun, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3896 or (202) 482–5760, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 7, 2022, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of the 2020–2021 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from

India.¹ For a complete description of the events that followed the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is glycine. For a complete description of the scope of this order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.³

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by interested parties in this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice as an appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and our analysis of the comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we made changes to the surrogate constructed value profit and selling expense ratio calculations for the final results of this administrative review.

Rate for Non-Selected Respondent

The statute and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a

market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review.

In this administrative review, we have calculated a weighted-average dumping margin for the mandatory respondent Avid Organics Private Limited that is not zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available (*i.e.*, 15.17 percent). Accordingly, we have assigned this rate to Paras Intermediates Private Limited, the sole respondent not selected for individual examination in this administrative review.⁴

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period of review June 1, 2020, through May 31, 2021.

Producer/ exporter	Weighted- average dumping margin (percent)
Avid Organics Private Limited	15.17
Kumar Industries/Rudraa International ⁵	25.66
Paras Intermediates Private Limited	15.17

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose its calculations and analysis performed to interested parties in the final results of this administrative review within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this administrative review. For any individually examined respondent whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (*i.e.*, 0.50 percent), we will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales, in

accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).⁶ Where either a respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.⁷ For entries of subject merchandise during the period of review produced by any of these companies for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.⁸

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication). The final results of this administrative review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise under review and for future cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties, where applicable.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of these final results of administrative review for all shipments of glycine from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for companies subject to this review will be equal to the company-specific weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of the review; (2) for merchandise exported by a company not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this

¹ See *Glycine from India: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 87 FR 40507 (July 7, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See Memorandum, "Glycine from India: Issues and Decision Memorandum for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ *Id.* at 2.

⁴ See *Preliminary Results*, 87 FR 40508.

⁵ We continue to treat Kumar Industries and Rudraa International as a collapsed single entity for the final results of this administrative review. *Id.*, 87 FR 40507–08, n.6.

⁶ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

⁷ *Id.*, 77 FR at 8102–03; see also 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

⁸ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the completed segment for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 7.23 percent, the all-others rate established in the investigation of sales at less than fair value, adjusted for the export-subsidy rate in the companion countervailing duty investigation.⁹ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221.

⁹ See *Glycine from India and Japan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 84 FR 29170, 29171 (June 21, 2019).

Dated: November 4, 2022.
Lisa W. Wang,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.
Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum
I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Order
IV. Changes since the *Preliminary Results*
V. Discussion of the Issues
 Comment 1: Application of Total Adverse Facts Available (AFA)
 Comment 2: Selection of the AFA Rate
 Comment 3: Voluntary Respondent Request
 Comment 4: Selection of Surrogate Financial Information
VI. Recommendation
[FR Doc. 2022–24604 Filed 11–9–22; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–301–803]
Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Colombia: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that citric acid and certain citrate salts (citric acid) from Colombia was sold in the United States at prices below normal value during the period of review (POR) July 1, 2020, through June 30, 2021.
DATES: Applicable November 10, 2022.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Lindgren, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1671.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background
On July 22, 2022, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*.¹ Subsequently, on August 22, 2022, we received one case brief from Archer Daniels Midland Company, Cargill, Incorporated, and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas LLC (collectively, the petitioners).² No additional

¹ See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Colombia: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 87 FR 43786 (July 22, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*).
² See Petitioners’ Letter, “Petitioners’ Case Brief,” dated August 22, 2022.

comments were submitted. This review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Sucroal S.A. (Sucroal). Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order³

The product covered by the *Order* is citric acid from Colombia. For a complete description of the scope, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case brief are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The issue that the petitioners raised and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached at the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we made one change to the preliminary weighted-average dumping margin calculations for Sucroal.⁵

Final Results of the Administrative Review

Commerce determines that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period July 1, 2020, through June 30, 2021:

Producer/exporter	Estimated weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Sucroal S.A	3.58

³ See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Sales from Belgium, Colombia and Thailand: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 83 FR 35214 (July 25, 2018) (*Order*).
⁴ See Memorandum, “Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Colombia: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).
⁵ See Issues and Decision Memorandum at 3.