

DATES: Applicable November 3, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laurel LaCivita, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4243.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2021, Commerce published the *Initiation Notice* of the first sunset review of the AD order on DOTP from Korea¹ pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² On July 15, 2022, Eastman Chemical Company (Eastman Chemical), a domestic interested party and the petitioner in the underlying investigation, timely notified Commerce of its intent to participate within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).³ On August 1, 2022, Eastman Chemical submitted a timely substantive response for this review within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).⁴ Commerce did not receive a substantive response from any other interested parties with respect to the *Order* covered by this sunset review. On August 23, 2022, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from the respondent interested parties in this sunset review.⁵ As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of this *Order*.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this *Order* is dioctyl terephthalate (DOTP), regardless of form. DOTP that has been blended with other products is included within this scope when such blends include constituent parts that have not been chemically reacted with each other to produce a different product. For such blends, only the DOTP component of

the mixture is covered by the scope of this *Order*.

DOTP that is otherwise subject to this *Order* is not excluded when commingled with DOTP from sources not subject to this *Order*. Commingled refers to the mixing of subject and non-subject DOTP. Only the subject component of such commingled products is covered by the scope of the *Order*.

DOTP has the general chemical formulation C₆H₄(C₈H₁₇COO)₂ and a chemical name of “bis (2-ethylhexyl) terephthalate” and has a Chemical Abstract Service (CAS) registry number of 6422-86-2. Regardless of the label, all DOTP is covered by this *Order*.

Subject merchandise is currently classified under subheading 2917.39.2000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Subject merchandise may also enter under subheadings 2917.39.7000 or 3812.20.1000 of the HTSUS. While the CAS registry number and HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this *Order* is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin of dumping likely to prevail if this *Order* were revoked. A list of the issues discussed in the decision memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. A complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNotices/ListLayout.aspx>.

Final Results of Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752(c) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Order* would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of the margin of dumping likely to prevail would be up to 4.08 percent.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the

destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to a judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: October 28, 2022.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. History of the *Order*
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
 2. Magnitude of the Margin of Dumping Likely To Prevail
- VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022–23930 Filed 11–2–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–421–815]

Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the Netherlands: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that certain preserved mushrooms (preserved mushrooms) from the Netherlands are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV). The period of investigation is January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2021. Interested parties are invited to comment on this preliminary determination.

DATES: Applicable November 3, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Benjamin A. Smith or Patrick Barton, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance,

¹ See *Dioctyl Terephthalate from the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 FR 39409 (August 18, 2017) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 87 FR 39459 (July 1, 2022) (*Initiation Notice*).

³ See Eastman Chemical’s Letter, “Five-Year (‘Sunset’) Review Of Antidumping Duty Order On Dioctyl Terephthalate From the Republic of Korea: Eastman Chemical Company’s Notice Of Intent To Participate In Sunset Review,” dated July 15, 2022.

⁴ See Eastman Chemical’s Letter, “Five-Year (Sunset) Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Dioctyl Terephthalate from the Republic of Korea: Eastman Chemical Company’s Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation of Review of the Antidumping Duty Order,” dated August 1, 2022.

⁵ See Commerce’s Letter, “Sunset Reviews for July 1, 2022,” dated August 23, 2022.

International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2181 or (202) 482–0012, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This preliminary determination is made in accordance with section 733(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Commerce published the notice of initiation of this investigation on April 27, 2022.¹ On August 16, 2022, Commerce postponed the preliminary determination of this investigation until October 27, 2022.²

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this investigation, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.³ A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as Appendix II to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Scope of the Investigation

The product covered by this investigation is preserved mushrooms from the Netherlands. For a complete description of the scope of this investigation, see *Appendix I*.

Scope Comments

In accordance with the preamble to Commerce's regulations,⁴ the *Initiation Notice*, Commerce set aside a period of time for parties to raise issues regarding product coverage (*i.e.*, scope).⁵ No interested party commented on the scope of this investigation as it appeared in the *Initiation Notice*. Therefore,

Commerce is not preliminarily modifying the scope language as it appeared in the *Initiation Notice*. See the full description of the scope in Appendix I to this notice.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this investigation in accordance with section 731 of the Act. Commerce has calculated export prices in accordance with section 772(a) of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. Furthermore, pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act, Commerce has preliminarily relied upon facts otherwise available, with adverse inferences for Okechamp B.V. (Okechamp). For a full description of the methodology underlying the preliminary determination, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

All-Others Rate

Sections 733(d)(1)(A)(ii) and 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act provide that in the preliminary determination Commerce shall determine an estimated all-others rate for all exporters and producers not individually examined. This rate shall be an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero and *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely under section 776 of the Act.

Pursuant to section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act, if the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for all exporters and producers individually examined are zero, *de minimis*, or determined based entirely on facts otherwise available, Commerce may use any reasonable method to establish the estimated weighted-average dumping margin for all other producers or exporters. Commerce has preliminarily assigned a rate based entirely on facts available, pursuant to section 776 of the Act, to Okechamp, and calculated a zero percent weighted-average dumping margin for Prochamp B.V. (Prochamp). Therefore, there are no rates calculated in this investigation not zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts otherwise available upon which to calculate the preliminary rate for all exporters and producers not individually examined. Pursuant to section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act, Commerce's normal practice under these circumstances has been to calculate the all-others rate as a simple

average of the alleged dumping margin(s) from the petition.⁶

Preliminary Determination

Commerce preliminarily determines that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist:

Producer/exporter	Estimated weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Okechamp B.V	⁷ 146.59
Prochamp B.V	⁸ 0.00
All Others	⁹ 132.97

Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 733(d)(2) of the Act, Commerce will direct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to suspend liquidation of entries of subject merchandise described in Appendix I, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Further, pursuant to section 733(d)(1)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(d), Commerce will instruct CBP to require a cash deposit equal to the estimated weighted-average dumping margin or the estimated all-others rate, as follows: (1) the cash deposit rate for the respondents listed above will be equal to the company-specific estimated weighted-average dumping margins determined in this preliminary determination; (2) if the exporter is not

⁶ See, e.g., *Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Sodium Nitrite from the Federal Republic of Germany*, 73 FR 21909, 21912 (April 23, 2008), unchanged in *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Sodium Nitrite from the Federal Republic of Germany*, 73 FR 38986, 38987 (July 8, 2008), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 2; see also *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Raw Flexible Magnets from Taiwan*, 73 FR 39673, 39674 (July 10, 2008); *Steel Threaded Rod from Thailand: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Critical Circumstances*, 78 FR 79670, 79671 (December 31, 2013), unchanged in *Steel Threaded Rod from Thailand: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Affirmative Final Determination of Critical Circumstances*, 79 FR 14476, 14477 (March 14, 2014).

⁷ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at section V, "Use of Facts Available with Adverse Inferences." See also *Initiation Notice*, 87 FR at 24944.

⁸ See Memorandum, "Preliminary Determination Analysis Memorandum for Prochamp B.V.," dated concurrently with this memorandum.

⁹ See "All Others Rate" section, *supra*; see also *Initiation Notice*, 87 FR at 24944 and accompanying Antidumping Duty Investigation Initiation Checklist, "Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the Netherlands," dated April 20, 2022. The margins alleged in the Petition were 120.88, 131.45, and 146.59 percent.

¹ See *Certain Preserved Mushrooms from France, the Netherlands, Poland, and Spain: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations*, 87 FR 24941 (April 27, 2022) (*Initiation Notice*).

² See *Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the Netherlands, Poland, and Spain: Postponement of Preliminary Determinations in the Less-Than-Fair Value Investigations*, 87 FR 50291 (August 16, 2022).

³ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Affirmative Determination in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation of Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the Netherlands," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁴ See *Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties, Final Rule*, 62 FR 27296, 27323 (May 19, 1997).

⁵ See *Initiation Notice*, 87 FR at 24942.

a respondent identified above, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be equal to the company-specific estimated weighted-average dumping margin established for that producer of the subject merchandise except as explained below; and (3) the cash deposit rate for all other producers and exporters will be equal to the all-others estimated weighted-average dumping margin.

Because the estimated weighted-average dumping margin is zero for Prochamp, entries of shipments of subject merchandise from Prochamp will not be subject to suspension of liquidation or cash deposit requirements. In such situations, Commerce applies the exclusion to the provisional measures to the producer/exporter combination that was examined in the investigation. Accordingly, Commerce is directing CBP not to suspend liquidation of entries of subject merchandise produced and exported by Prochamp. Entries of shipments of subject merchandise from this company in any other producer/exporter combination, or by third parties that sourced subject merchandise from the excluded producer/exporter combination, are subject to the provisional measures at the all-others rate.

Should the final estimated weighted-average dumping margin be zero or *de minimis* for the producer/exporter combination identified above, entries of shipments of subject merchandise from this producer/exporter combination will be excluded from the potential antidumping duty order. Such exclusions are not applicable to merchandise exported to the United States by this respondent in any other producer/exporter combinations or by third parties that sourced subject merchandise from the excluded producer/exporter combination.

These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose to interested parties any calculations performed in connection with this preliminary determination within five days of its public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Verification

As provided in section 782(i)(1) of the Act, Commerce intends to verify the information relied upon in making its final determination.

Public Comment

Case briefs and other written materials may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance no later than seven days after the date on which the last verification report is issued in this investigation. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than seven days after the deadline date for case briefs.¹⁰ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this investigation are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) a statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities. Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.¹¹

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, limited to issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, whether any participant is a foreign national, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date of the hearing.

Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures

Section 735(a)(2) of the Act provides that a final determination may be postponed until not later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination in the **Federal Register** if, in the event of an affirmative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, or in the event of a negative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by the petitioner. Section 351.210(e)(2) of Commerce's regulations requires that a request by exporters for postponement of the final determination be

accompanied by a request for extension of provisional measures from a four-month period to a period not more than six months in duration.

On October 6, 2022, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.210(e), Okechamp and Prochamp requested that Commerce postpone the final determination and that provisional measures be extended to a period not to exceed six months.¹² On October 10, 2022, Giorgio Foods, Inc. (the petitioner) requested that, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.210(e), Commerce postpone the final determination in the event of a negative preliminary determination.¹³ In accordance with sections 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii), because: (1) the preliminary determination is affirmative; (2) the requesting exporters account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise; and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, Commerce is postponing the final determination and extending the provisional measures from a four-month period to a period not greater than six months. Accordingly, pursuant to section 735(a)(2) of the Act, Commerce will make its final determination no later than 135 days after the date of publication of this preliminary determination.

U.S. International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, Commerce will notify the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) of its preliminary determination. If the final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine before the later of 120 days after the date of this preliminary determination or 45 days after the final determination whether imports of preserved mushrooms from the Netherlands are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, the U.S. industry.

Notification to Interested Parties

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.205(c).

¹² See Okechamp's and Prochamp's Letter, "Request to Extend the Final Determination," dated October 6, 2022.

¹³ See Petitioner's Letter, "Petitioner's Request for Postponement of Final Determination," dated October 10, 2022.

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.309; see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

¹¹ See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

Dated: October 27, 2022.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by this investigation is certain preserved mushrooms, whether imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. The preserved mushrooms covered under this investigation are the genus *Agaricus*. “Preserved mushrooms” refer to mushrooms that have been prepared or preserved by cleaning, blanching, and sometimes slicing or cutting. These mushrooms are then packed and heat sterilized in containers each holding a net drained weight of not more than 12 ounces (340.2 grams), including but not limited to cans or glass jars, in a suitable liquid medium, including but not limited to water, brine, butter, or butter sauce. Preserved mushrooms may be imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces.

Excluded from the scope are “marinated,” “acidified,” or “pickled” mushrooms, which are prepared or preserved by means of vinegar or acetic acid, but may contain oil or other additives. To be prepared or preserved by means of vinegar or acetic acid, the merchandise must be a minimum 0.5 percent by weight acetic acid.

The merchandise subject to this investigation is classifiable under subheadings 2003.10.0127, 2003.10.0131, and 2003.10.0137 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The subject merchandise may also be classified under HTSUS subheadings 2003.10.0143, 2003.10.0147, and 2003.10.0153. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

Appendix II—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Period of Investigation
- IV. Affiliation
- V. Application of Facts Available and Use of Adverse Inference
- VI. Discussion of the Methodology
- VII. Currency Conversion
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022–23922 Filed 11–2–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–580–898]

Large Diameter Welded Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers and/or exporters of large diameter welded pipe (welded pipe) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) received countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR), January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020.

DATES: Applicable November 3, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dennis McClure or Jonathan Schueler, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5973 or (202) 482–9175, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 3, 2022, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review in the **Federal Register**,¹ and invited interested parties to comment. On July 12, 2022, the Government of Korea (GOK), Hyundai RB Co., Ltd. (Hyundai RB), and SeAH Steel Corporation (SeAH Steel) submitted timely case briefs.² On July 19, 2022, the American Line Pipe Producers Association Trade Committee (the Committee) submitted a timely rebuttal brief.³ On September 20, 2022, Commerce extended the deadline for the final results of this review to no later than October 28, 2022.⁴ Commerce held a public hearing on September 7, 2022.⁵ For a complete description of the events that followed the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁶ We conducted this review in accordance with section 751

of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order⁷

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is large diameter welded pipe. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in interested parties’ briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the case and rebuttal briefs and the evidence on the record, we made no changes from the *Preliminary Results*.

Companies Not Selected for Individual Review

We made no changes to the methodology for determining a rate for companies not selected for individual examination from the *Preliminary Results*. For the final results of this review, as indicated in the section below, we have continued to determine that only the mandatory respondent Hyundai RB received countervailable subsidies that are above *de minimis*. Therefore, consistent with section 705(c)(5)(A) of the Act, we are applying the net subsidy rate calculated for Hyundai RB to the non-selected companies.

Final Results of Administrative Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5), we calculated an individual net countervailable subsidy rate for Hyundai RB and SeAH Steel Corporation. Commerce determines that,

¹ See *Large Diameter Welded Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2020*, 87 FR 33715 (June 3, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*).

² See GOK’s Letter, “GOK Case Brief,” dated July 12, 2022; see also Hyundai RB’s Letter, “Hyundai RB Case Brief,” dated July 12, 2022; and SeAH’s Letter, “Case Brief,” dated July 12, 2022.

³ See Committee’s Letter, “Rebuttal Brief,” dated July 19, 2022.

⁴ See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Final Results,” dated September 20, 2022.

⁵ See Hearing Transcript, “In the Matter of: the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Large Diameter Welded Carbon and Alloy Steel Line and Structural Pipe from the Republic of Korea,” dated September 14, 2022.

⁶ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Large Diameter Welded Pipe from the Republic of Korea; 2020,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁷ See *Large Diameter Welded Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Countervailing Duty Order*, 84 FR 18773 (May 2, 2019) (*Order*).