

9506.91.00.10, 9506.91.00.20, 9506.91.00.30, 9506.99.05.10, 9506.99.05.20, 9506.99.05.30, 9506.99.15.00, 9506.99.20.00, 9506.99.25.80, 9506.99.28.00, 9506.99.55.00, 9506.99.60.80, 9507.30.20.00, 9507.30.40.00, 9507.30.60.00, 9507.30.80.00, 9507.90.60.00, and 9603.90.80.50.

The subject merchandise entered as parts of other aluminum products may be classifiable under the following additional Chapter 76 subheadings: 7610.10, 7610.90, 7615.19, 7615.20, and 7616.99, as well as under other HTSUS chapters. In addition, fin evaporator coils may be classifiable under HTSUS numbers: 8418.99.80.50 and 8418.99.80.60. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the *Orders* is dispositive.

#### Continuation of the Orders

As a result of the determinations by Commerce and the ITC that revocation of the *Orders* would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping, countervailable subsidies, and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, Commerce hereby orders the continuation of the *Orders* on aluminum extrusions from China. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect AD and CVD cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise.

The effective date of the continuation of the *Orders* will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, Commerce intends to initiate the next five-year (sunset) reviews of the *Orders* not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation.

#### Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the return, destruction, or conversion to judicial protective order of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO which may be subject to sanctions.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

These five-year sunset reviews and this notice are in accordance with sections 751(c) and 751(d)(2) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Dated: October 27, 2022.

**Lisa W. Wang,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2022–23810 Filed 11–1–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–588–874]

#### Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products From Japan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: 2020–2021

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that producers and exporters of hot-rolled steel flat products (hot-rolled steel) from Japan, sold subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value during the period of review (POR) October 1, 2020, through September 30, 2021.

**DATES:** Applicable November 2, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jack Zhao, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1396.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on hot-rolled steel from Japan in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).<sup>1</sup> Commerce initiated this administrative review on November 29, 2021, covering two producers and/or exporters.<sup>2</sup> We selected one of these companies, NSC,<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Australia, Brazil, Japan, the Republic of Korea, the Netherlands, the Republic of Turkey, and the United Kingdom: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determinations for Australia, the Republic of Korea, and the Republic of Turkey and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 67962 (October 3, 2016) (*Order*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 86 FR 67685 (November 29, 2021).

<sup>3</sup> NSC is a single entity comprised of the following companies: Nippon Steel Corporation; Nippon Steel Nisshin Co., Ltd.; and Nippon Steel Trading Corporation. See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 84 FR 46713 (September 5, 2019).

as the mandatory respondent.<sup>4</sup> On June 13, 2022, we extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this review by an additional 117 days, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1), until October 28, 2022.<sup>5</sup> For a detailed description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>6</sup>

#### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is hot-rolled steel from Japan. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>7</sup>

#### Methodology

Commerce is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act. Export price and constructed export price were calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). Access to ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

#### Rate for Non-Examined Company

The Act and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of

<sup>4</sup> See Memorandum, "Respondent Selection for the 2020–2021 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan," dated February 23, 2022.

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review—2020–2021," dated June 13, 2022.

<sup>6</sup> See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews: Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan; 2020–2021," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

<sup>7</sup> *Id.*

the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely on the basis of facts available.

In this review, we have preliminarily calculated a weighted-average dumping margin for NSC that is not zero, *de minimis*, or determined entirely on the basis of facts available. Accordingly, Commerce preliminarily has assigned to the company not individually examined, Tokyo Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd., a margin of 7.81 percent, which is NSC's calculated weighted-average dumping margin.

#### Preliminary Results

We preliminarily determine the following weighted-average dumping margins for the period October 1, 2020, through September 30, 2021:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Nippon Steel Corporation/Nippon Steel Nisshin Co., Ltd./Nippon Steel Trading Corporation .....	7.81
Tokyo Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd .....	7.81

#### Assessment Rates

Upon completion of the administrative review, Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. If the weighted-average dumping margin for NSC is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent) in the final results of this review, we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rates for the merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales made during the POR to each importer and the total entered value of those same sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis* in the final results of review, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties in accordance with 19 CFR

351.106(c)(2). If NSC's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* in the final results of review, we will instruct CBP not to assess duties on any of its entries in accordance with the *Final Modification for Reviews, i.e.*, “{w}here the weighted-average margin of dumping for the exporter is determined to be zero or *de minimis*, no antidumping duties will be assessed.”<sup>8</sup>

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by NSC for which the producer did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company (or companies) involved in the transaction.<sup>9</sup> For the companies which were not selected for individual examination, we intend to assign an assessment rate based on the methodology described in the “Rate for Non-Examined Company” section above.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for each specific company listed above will be that established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated companies not participating in this review, the cash deposit will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently-

completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the underlying investigation, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 5.58 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.<sup>10</sup> These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Disclosure and Public Comment

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these preliminary results of review to interested parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, the content of which is limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than seven days after the date for filing case briefs.<sup>11</sup> Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) a statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>12</sup> Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS<sup>13</sup> and must be served on interested parties.<sup>14</sup> Executive summaries should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.<sup>15</sup>

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed via ACCESS. An electronically filed request must be received successfully in its entirety by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice.<sup>16</sup> Requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues parties intend to discuss. If a request for

<sup>8</sup> See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8102 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

<sup>9</sup> See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

<sup>10</sup> See *Order*.

<sup>11</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

<sup>12</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>13</sup> See generally 19 CFR 351.303.

<sup>14</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303(f).

<sup>15</sup> See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

<sup>16</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at a date and time to be determined.<sup>17</sup> Parties should confirm the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any case or rebuttal briefs, no later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, unless extended.<sup>18</sup>

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 27, 2022.

**Lisa W. Wang,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Rate for Non-Examined Company
- V. Discussion of the Methodology
- VI. Currency Conversion
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022-23827 Filed 11-1-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Institute of Standards and Technology

#### Call for Nominations To Serve on the NIST Safety Commission

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) intends for a new federal advisory committee to be established, the NIST Safety Commission (Commission). The Commission would provide advice to the NIST Director on matters relating to NIST safety policies; safety management system, practices, and performance; and safety culture. NIST invites and requests nominations of individuals for appointment to the Commission. Registered federal lobbyists may not serve on NIST federal advisory committees in an individual capacity.

**DATES:** Nominations to serve on the Commission must be submitted by 5 p.m. eastern time on November 17, 2022. After initial members are appointed, nominations for the Commission will be accepted on an ongoing basis and will be considered if vacancies arise.

**ADDRESSES:** Please submit nominations to Alicia Chambers, Committee Liaison Officer, National Institute of Standards and Technology, 100 Bureau Drive, MS 1000, Gaithersburg, MD 20899 or via email to [alicia.chambers@nist.gov](mailto:alicia.chambers@nist.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. S. Shyam Sunder, Director of the Special Programs Office and Chief Data Officer, National Institute of Standards and Technology, at 301-975-6713 or [sunder@nist.gov](mailto:sunder@nist.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Commission Information

The NIST Director intends for a new federal advisory committee to be established, the NIST Safety Commission (Commission). The Commission would provide advice to the NIST Director on matters relating to NIST safety policies; safety management system, practices, and performance; and safety culture. It is anticipated that the Commission would carry out its activities over the period of one year, and convene approximately three times. The Commission would function solely as an advisory body, in accordance with the provisions of Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, 5 U.S.C. app. The Commission would be tasked with assessing the state of NIST's safety culture and how effectively the existing safety protocols and policies have been implemented across NIST. The Commission may consider:

- a. the quality and completeness of NIST safety directives and programs;
- b. the performance of safety protocols; and
- c. the impacts of the pandemic and hybrid work environment on safety.

The Commission would submit oral and written reports to the NIST Director on its findings, including an oral briefing of its preliminary findings within 75 days of beginning its activities, and written findings within 150 days of beginning its activities.

Members of the Commission would be appointed by the Director of NIST. The Commission would be composed of not more than seven members who are qualified to provide advice to the NIST Director on matters relating to safety policies; safety management system, practices, and performance; and safety culture.

Commission membership would be balanced fairly and drawn from industry, academia, federal laboratories, and other relevant sectors. Membership would also consider balance among the broad diversity of disciplinary specialties represented in the NIST Laboratories, including the physical sciences; chemical, biological, and materials sciences and engineering; structural engineering and fire research; manufacturing and mechanical engineering; and information and communication technologies. NIST will consider candidates with established records of distinguished service who are either a current or former member of the NIST Visiting Committee on Advanced Technology, a member of the first or second NIST Blue Ribbon Commission on Management and Safety, or a qualified expert with public or private sector experience in one or more of the following areas: (a) management and organizational structure; (b) laboratory management and safety (c) safety training and operations; (d) hazardous materials safety and security; (e) emergency medical response; and (f) organizational safety culture.

Each member would serve for the duration of the Commission. Members would serve in their personal capacities as Special Government Employees (SGEs) as that term is defined in 18 U.S.C. 202. SGEs are subject to conflict-of-interest laws and regulations, including (but not limited to) the obligation to annually file a New Entrant Confidential Financial Disclosure Report (OGE Form 450) and complete ethics training. Members of the Commission who are full-time or permanent part-time federal officers or employees would be appointed pursuant to 41 CFR 102.3.130(h) to serve as Regular Government Employee (RGE) members. Members would be individually advised of the capacity in which they will serve through their appointment letters.

The Director of NIST would appoint the Commission Chair and a Vice-Chair

<sup>17</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

<sup>18</sup> See section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act; and 19 CFR 351.213(h).