Comments submitted in response to this notice are a matter of public record. You should be aware that your entire comment—including your address, phone number, email address, or other personally identifiable information included in your comment—may be made publically available. Even if BOEM withholds your information in the context of this ICR, your comment is subject to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). If your comment is requested under the FOIA, your information will only be withheld if BOEM determines that a FOIA exemption to disclosure applies. BOEM will make such a determination in accordance with the Department of the Interior’s (DOI) FOIA regulations and applicable law.

In order for BOEM to consider withholding from disclosure your personally identifiable information, you must identify, in a cover letter, any information contained in your comments that, if released, would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of your personal privacy. You must also briefly describe any possible harmful consequence of the disclosure of information, such as embarrassment, injury, or other harm.

Note that BOEM will make available for public inspection all comments on www.reginfo.gov, in their entirety, submitted by organizations and businesses or by individuals identifying themselves as representatives of organizations or businesses.

BOEM protects proprietary information in accordance with FOIA (5 U.S.C. 552), DOI’s implementing regulations (43 CFR part 2), and 30 CFR parts 550 and 552 promulgated pursuant to the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1352(c)).

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

Karen Thundiyil,
Chief, Office of Regulations, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.
[FR Doc. 2022–21271 Filed 9–29–22; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
[USITC SE–22–038]
Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: October 3, 2022 at 2 p.m.
STATUS: Open to the public.
MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:
1. Agendas for future meetings: none.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
5. Outstanding action jackets: none.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:
William Bishop, Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer, 202–205–2595.

By order of the Commission.
Issued: September 27, 2022.
William Bishop,
Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.
[FR Doc. 2022–21392 Filed 9–28–22; 4:15 pm]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives
[OMB Number 1140–0102]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Revision of a Currently Approved Collection; Federal Explosives Licensee (FEL) Out of Business Records

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Department of Justice.
ACTION: 60-Day notice.
SUMMARY: The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), Department of Justice (DOJ) will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed collection OMB 1140–0102 (FEL Out of Business Records) is being revised due to an increase in the number of respondents to this IC, which has also contributed to a rise in both the public burden hours and cost associated with this IC since the last renewal in 2019.
DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until November 29, 2022.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments,
regarding the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact: Shawn C. Stevens, Industry Liaison, Firearms & Explosives Services Division, either by mail at 244 Needy Road Martinsburg, WV 24505, by email at shawn.stevens@atf.gov, or by telephone at 304–616–4421.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. Type of Information Collection: Revision of a currently approved collection.
2. The Title of the Form/Collection: FEL Out of Business Records.
3. The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: Form number (if applicable): None. Sponsor: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, U.S. Department of Justice.
4. Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:
   - Primary: Business or other for-profit.
   - Other (if applicable): Individuals or households.

Abstract: Per 27 CFR 555.128, when an explosives materials business operation is discontinued, the records must be delivered to the ATF Out of Business Records Center within 30 days of the business or operations discontinuance.

5. An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: An estimated 538 respondents will utilize this information collection, and it will take each respondent approximately 30 minutes to complete their responses.

6. An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The estimated annual public burden associated with this collection is 269 hours, which is equal to 538 (# of respondents) * 1 (# of responses per respondent) * .5 (30 minutes).

7. An Explanation of the Change in Estimates: The adjustments associated with this information collection include an increase in the total respondents by 289 respectively, since the last renewal in 2019. Consequently, the cost burden has also risen by $70,548 since 2019. If additional information is required contact: Robert Houser, Department Clearance Officer, Policy and Planning Staff, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Justice Management Division, United States Department of Justice, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 3E–206, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: September 27, 2022.

Robert Houser,
Department Clearance Officer, Policy and Planning Staff, Office of the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Justice.

BILLING CODE 4410–FY–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Matt M. Ahmadi, D.P.M.; Decision and Order

On February 17, 2022, the Drug Enforcement Administration (hereinafter, DEA or Government) issued an Order to Show Cause (hereinafter, OSC) to Matt M. Ahmadi, D.P.M. (hereinafter, Registrant). Request for Final Agency Action (hereinafter, RFAA), Exhibit (hereinafter, RFAAX) 2 (OSC), at 1; RFAA, at 1. The OSC proposed the revocation of Registrant’s Certificate of Registration No. BA8767646 at the registered address of 26800 Crown Valley Pkwy, Suite 320, Mission Viejo, CA 92691. RFAAX 2, at 1. The OSC alleged that Registrant’s registration should be revoked because Registrant is “without authority to prescribe controlled substances in the State of California, the state in which [he is] registered with the DEA.” Id. at 2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3)).

The Agency makes the following findings of fact based on the uncontested evidence submitted by the Government in its RFAA, which was submitted on September 6, 2022.

Findings of Fact

Following an Accusation against Registrant from the State of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, Board of Podiatric Medicine (hereinafter, the Board), dated May 7, 2019, on March 27, 2020, an Administrative Law Judge from the State of California, Office of Administrative Hearings, issued a Proposed Decision revoking Registrant’s podiatric medicine license. RFAAX 3, appendix A, at 3, 38, 39. On June 16, 2020, the Board issued a Decision and Order accepting and adopting the Proposed Decision, effective July 16, 2020. Id. at 1.

According to California’s online records, of which the Agency takes official notice, Registrant’s license is still revoked.4 Medical Board of California License Verification, https://www.mbc.ca.gov/License-Verification (last visited date of signature of this Order). Accordingly, the Agency finds that Registrant is not licensed to engage in the practice of medicine in California.

According to Agency records, Registrant’s Certificate of Registration No. BA8767646 expired on June 30, 2022. The fact that a Registrant allows his registration to expire during the pendency of an OSC does not impact the Agency’s jurisdiction or prerogative under the Controlled Substances Act (hereinafter, CSAP) to adjudicate the OSC to finality. Jeffrey D. Olsen, M.D., 84 FR 68,474 (2019).

The Government’s RFAA is dated July 13, 2022. RFAA, at 5.

Based on a Declaration from a DEA Diversion Investigator, the Agency finds the Government’s service of the OSC on Registrant was adequate. RFAA 3, at 1–2. Further, based on the Government’s assertions in its RFAA, the Agency finds that more than thirty days have passed since Registrant was served with the OSC and Registrant has neither requested a hearing nor submitted a written statement or corrective action plan and therefore has waived any such rights. RFAA, at 1, 3; see also 21 CFR 1301.43 and 21 U.S.C. 824(c)(2).

Under the Administrative Procedure Act, an agency “may take official notice of facts at any stage in a proceeding—even in the final decision.” United States Department of Justice, Attorney General’s Manual on the Administrative Procedure Act 80 (1947) (Wm. W. Gaunt & Sons, Inc., Reprint 1979). Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 556(e), “[w]hen an agency decision rests on official notice of a material fact not appearing in the evidence in the record, a party is entitled, on timely request, to an opportunity to show the contrary.” Accordingly, Registrant may dispute the Agency’s finding by filing a properly supported motion for reconsideration of findings of fact within fifteen calendar days of the date of this Order. Any such motion and response shall be filed and served by email to the other party and to Office of the Administrator, Drug Enforcement Administration at dea.addo.attorneys@dea.usdoj.gov.