

affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. See section 307(b)(2).

List of Subjects

40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate

matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

40 CFR Part 70

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Operating permits, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: September 23, 2022.

Daniel Blackman,
Regional Administrator, Region 4.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA amends 40 CFR parts 52 and 70 as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart RR—Tennessee

■ 2. In § 52.2220(c), amend Table 1 by revising the entry for “Section 1200–3–9–.01” to read as follows:

§ 52.2220 Identification of plan.

* * * * *
(c) * * *

TABLE 1—EPA APPROVED TENNESSEE REGULATIONS

State citation	Title/subject	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *
Section 1200–3–9–.01	Construction Permits.	1/21/2021	9/30/2022, [Insert citation of publication].	Except for 1200–03–09–.01(1)(a), (1)(d), (1)(f), (4)(b)24(i)(XVII), (4)(b)29, (4)(b)47(i)(IV), (4)(j)3, (4)(k), (5)(b)1(x)(I)(VII), and (5)(b)2(iii)(II), which have a state effective date of 4/24/2013; 1200–3–9–.01(1)(j), which is not incorporated into the SIP; and the PM _{2.5} SILs (found in 1200–3–9–.01(5)(b)1(xix)) and the SMC (found in 1200–3–9–.01(4)(d)6(i)(III)) provisions, as promulgated in the October 20, 2010, PM _{2.5} Increments-SILs-SMC Rule.
* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *

* * * * *

PART 70—STATE OPERATING PERMIT PROGRAMS

■ 3. The authority citation for part 70 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401, *et seq.*

■ 4. Amend appendix A to part 70 by adding paragraph (a)(3) under the heading for “Tennessee” to read as follows:

Appendix A to Part 70—Approval Status of State and Local Operating Permits Programs

* * * * *

Tennessee

(a) * * *

(3) Revisions to Rule 1200–03–09–.02, *Operating Permits*, of the Tennessee title V program, submitted on March 23, 2021, and supplemented on July 1, 2022, with a state effective date of January 21, 2021, to allow for electronic notice of operating permits, are approved on September 30, 2022.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2022–21235 Filed 9–29–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[EPA–HQ–OPP–2021–0520; FRL–10174–01–OCSPJ]

Propamocarb; Pesticide Tolerances

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This regulation establishes tolerances for residues of propamocarb in or on onion, bulb, crop subgroup 3–07A; leek; and kale. Bayer Crop Science LP requested these tolerances under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA).

DATES: This regulation is effective September 30, 2022. Objections and requests for hearings must be received on or before November 29, 2022 and must be filed in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178 (see also Unit I.C. of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION).

ADDRESSES: The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPP–2021–0520, is

available at <https://www.regulations.gov> or at the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room and the OPP Docket is (202) 566–1744. For the latest status information on EPA/DC services, docket access, visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marietta Echeverria, Registration Division (7505P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001; main telephone number: (202) 566–1030; email address: RDfrNotices@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural

producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

- Crop production (NAICS code 111).
- Animal production (NAICS code 112).
- Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

B. How can I get electronic access to other related information?

You may access a frequently updated electronic version of EPA's tolerance regulations at 40 CFR part 180 through the Office of the Federal Register's e-CFR site at <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-40>.

C. How can I file an objection or hearing request?

Under FFDCA section 408(g), 21 U.S.C. 346a, any person may file an objection to any aspect of this regulation and may also request a hearing on those objections. You must file your objection or request a hearing on this regulation in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, you must identify docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2021-0520 in the subject line on the first page of your submission. All objections and requests for a hearing must be in writing and must be received by the Hearing Clerk on or before November 29, 2022. Addresses for mail and hand delivery of objections and hearing requests are provided in 40 CFR 178.25(b), although the Office of Administrative Law Judges encourages parties to file electronically. See https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2020-05/documents/2020-04-10_-_order_urgening_electronic_service_and_filing.pdf.

In addition to filing an objection or hearing request with the Hearing Clerk as described in 40 CFR part 178, please submit a copy of the filing (excluding any Confidential Business Information (CBI)) for inclusion in the public docket. Information not marked confidential pursuant to 40 CFR part 2 may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice. Submit the non-CBI copy of your objection or hearing request, identified by docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2021-0520, by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the

online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

- *Mail:* OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), (28221T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001.
- *Hand Delivery:* To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html>. Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

II. Summary of Petitioned-For Tolerance

In the **Federal Register** of December 21, 2021 (86 FR 72200) (FRL-8792-06-OCSP), EPA issued a document pursuant to FFDCA section 408(d)(3), 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(3), announcing the filing of a pesticide petition (PP 0E8891) by Bayer Crop Science LP, 800 N Lindbergh Blvd., St Louis, MO 263167. The petition requested that 40 CFR 180.499 be amended by establishing tolerances for residues of the fungicide propamocarb, in or on onion, bulb, crop subgroup 3-07A at 2 parts per million (ppm); leek at 30 ppm; and kale at 20 ppm. That document referenced a summary of the petition prepared by Bayer Crop Science LP, the registrant, which is available in the docket, <https://www.regulations.gov>. This supersedes the paragraph published in the **Federal Register** on September 22, 2021 (86 FR 52624) (FRL-8792-03-OCSP). There were no comments received in response to either notice of filing.

III. Aggregate Risk Assessment and Determination of Safety

A. Statutory Background

Section 408(b)(2)(A)(i) of FFDCA allows EPA to establish a tolerance (the legal limit for a pesticide chemical residue in or on a food) only if EPA determines that the tolerance is "safe." Section 408(b)(2)(A)(ii) of FFDCA defines "safe" to mean that "there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue, including all anticipated dietary exposures and all other exposures for which there is reliable information." This includes exposure through drinking water and in residential settings but does not include occupational exposure. Section 408(b)(2)(C) of FFDCA requires EPA to give special consideration to exposure

of infants and children to the pesticide chemical residue in establishing a tolerance and to "ensure that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue. . . ."

Consistent with FFDCA section 408(b)(2)(D), and the factors specified in FFDCA section 408(b)(2)(D), EPA has reviewed the available scientific data and other relevant information in support of this action. EPA has sufficient data to assess the hazards of and to make a determination on aggregate exposure for propamocarb including exposure resulting from the tolerances established by this action. EPA's assessment of exposures and risks associated with propamocarb follows.

In an effort to streamline its publications in the **Federal Register**, EPA is not reprinting sections of the rule that would repeat what has been previously published in tolerance rulemakings for the same pesticide chemical. Where scientific information concerning a particular pesticide chemical remains unchanged, the content of those sections would not vary between tolerance rulemakings and republishing the same sections is unnecessary and duplicative. EPA considers referral back to those sections as sufficient to provide an explanation of the information EPA considered in making its safety determination for the new rulemaking.

EPA has previously published a number of tolerance rulemakings for propamocarb, in which EPA concluded, based on the available information, that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm would result from aggregate exposure to propamocarb and established tolerances for residues of that chemical. EPA is incorporating previously published sections from those rulemakings as described further in this rulemaking, as they remain unchanged.

B. Toxicological Profile

For a summary of the Toxicological Profile of propamocarb, see Unit III.A. of the December 5, 2019, rulemaking (84 FR 66616) (FRL-10000-33).

C. Toxicological Points of Departure/Levels of Concern

For a summary of the Toxicological Points of Departure/Levels of Concern used for the risk assessment, see Unit III.B. of the February 7, 2017, rulemaking (82 FR 9519) (FRL-9957-68).

D. Exposure Assessment

Much of the exposure assessment remains the same, although the dietary exposure and risk assessments for propamocarb were updated. These updates are discussed in this section; for a description of the rest of EPA's approach to and assumptions for the exposure assessment, see Unit III.C. of the December 5, 2019, rulemaking.

EPA's dietary exposure assessments have been updated to include the additional exposures to residues of propamocarb on imported commodities of onion, bulb, crop subgroup 3–07A, leek and kale. The assessment used the same assumptions as the December 5, 2019, rule concerning tolerance-level residues, default, and empirical processing factors and 100% crop treated (PCT) for all commodities in both the acute and chronic dietary exposure assessments.

Drinking water, non-occupational, and cumulative exposures. Drinking water and non-occupational exposures are not impacted by the tolerances for imported commodities, and thus have not changed since the last assessment. For a summary of the dietary exposures from drinking water, see Unit III.C.2. of the December 5, 2019, rulemaking. Propamocarb is registered for use on golf course turf resulting in potential residential post-application dermal exposure. Because the Agency has not identified a dermal endpoint, a quantitative residential dermal exposure assessment was not necessary and was not conducted. EPA's conclusions concerning cumulative risk remain unchanged from Unit III.C.4. of the December 5, 2019, rulemaking.

Safety factor for infants and children. EPA continues to conclude that there is reliable data showing that the safety of infants and children would be adequately protected if the Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) Safety Factor (SF) were reduced from 10X to 1X for all exposure scenarios. The reasons for that decision are articulated in Unit III.D in the December 5, 2019, rulemaking.

Aggregate risks and Determination of safety. EPA determines whether acute and chronic dietary pesticide exposures are safe by comparing dietary exposure estimates to the acute population adjusted dose (aPAD) and the chronic population adjusted dose (cPAD). Short-, intermediate-, and chronic-term risks are evaluated by comparing the estimated aggregate food, water, and residential exposure to the appropriate points of departure to ensure that an adequate margin of exposure (MOE) exists. For linear cancer risks, EPA calculates the lifetime probability of

acquiring cancer given the estimated aggregate exposure.

Acute dietary risks are below the Agency's level of concern of 100% of the aPAD; they are 42% of the aPAD for all infants, the most highly exposed subpopulation. Chronic dietary risks are below the Agency's level of concern of 100% of the cPAD; they are 54% of the cPAD for females 13 to 49 years old, the most highly exposed subpopulation.

Because no short-term or intermediate term adverse effect was identified, propamocarb is not expected to pose a short-term or intermediate-term risk.

Additionally, based on the lack of evidence of carcinogenicity in two adequate rodent carcinogenicity studies, propamocarb is not expected to pose a cancer risk to humans. Therefore, based on the risk assessments and information described above, EPA concludes there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to the general population, or to infants and children, from aggregate exposure to propamocarb residues.

IV. Other Considerations

A. Analytical Enforcement Methodology

For a discussion of the available analytical enforcement method, see Unit IV.A. of the December 5, 2019, rulemaking.

B. International Residue Limits

In making its tolerance decisions, EPA seeks to harmonize U.S. tolerances with international standards whenever possible, consistent with U.S. food safety standards and agricultural practices. EPA considers the international maximum residue limits (MRLs) established by the Codex Alimentarius Commission (Codex), as required by FFDCA section 408(b)(4). The Codex Alimentarius is a joint United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization food standards program, and it is recognized as an international food safety standards-setting organization in trade agreements to which the United States is a party. EPA may establish a tolerance that is different from a Codex MRL; however, FFDCA section 408(b)(4) requires that EPA explain the reasons for departing from the Codex level.

The Codex has established MRLs for propamocarb in or on onion, bulb, crop subgroup 3–07A at 2 ppm; leek at 30 ppm; and kale at 20 ppm. The U.S. tolerances are harmonized with the relevant Codex MRLs.

V. Conclusion

Therefore, tolerances are established for residues of propamocarb in or on

onion, bulb, crop subgroup 3–07A at 2 ppm; leek at 30 ppm; and kale at 20 ppm.

VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

This action establishes tolerances under FFDCA section 408(d) in response to a petition submitted to the Agency. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted these types of actions from review under Executive Order 12866, entitled "Regulatory Planning and Review" (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993). Because this action has been exempted from review under Executive Order 12866, this action is not subject to Executive Order 13211, entitled "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001), or Executive Order 13045, entitled "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997). This action does not contain any information collections subject to OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), nor does it require any special considerations under Executive Order 12898, entitled "Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations" (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). Since tolerances and exemptions that are established on the basis of a petition under FFDCA section 408(d), such as the tolerance in this final rule, do not require the issuance of a proposed rule, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), do not apply.

This action directly regulates growers, food processors, food handlers, and food retailers, not States or tribes, nor does this action alter the relationships or distribution of power and responsibilities established by Congress in the preemption provisions of FFDCA section 408(n)(4). As such, the Agency has determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on States or Tribal Governments, on the relationship between the National Government and the States or Tribal Governments, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government or between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes. Thus, the Agency has determined that Executive Order 13132, entitled "Federalism" (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), and Executive Order 13175, entitled "Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments" (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), do not apply to this action. In

addition, this action does not impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1501 *et seq.*). This action does not involve any technical standards that would require Agency consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note).

VII. Congressional Review Act

Pursuant to the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*), EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: September 15, 2022.

Marietta Echeverria,
Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, for the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA is amending 40 CFR chapter I as follows:

PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICAL RESIDUES IN FOOD

■ 1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 321(q), 346a and 371.

■ 2. In § 180.499, amend Table 1 to Paragraph (a) by adding in alphabetical order the entries “Kale”, “Leek”, and “Onion, bulb, crop subgroup 3–07A” and footnote 1 to read as follows:

§ 180.499 Propamocarb; tolerances for residues.

(a) * * *

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (A)

Commodity	Parts per million
Kale ¹	20
Leek ¹	30
Onion, bulb, crop subgroup 3–07A ¹ ...	2

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (A)—
Continued

Commodity	Parts per million
* * * * *	*
¹ There are no U.S. registrations for these commodities as of September 30, 2022.	
* * * * *	*

[FR Doc. 2022–21186 Filed 9–29–22; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 1

[WC Docket No. 18–89; DA 22–967; FR ID 106418]

Wireline Competition Bureau Reminds Secure and Trusted Communications Networks Reimbursement Program Recipients of Their Status Update Filing Obligation

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) reminds Recipients in the Secure and Trusted Communications Networks Reimbursement Program (Reimbursement Program) of their obligation to file status updates with the Federal Communications Commission (Commission or FCC) every 90 days, beginning on the date on which the Bureau approved Recipients’ applications, until the obligation to file expires. Because Recipients’ applications were approved on July 15, 2022, all initial status updates are due on October 13, 2022.

DATES: The final rule is effective on September 30, 2022. All initial status updates are due on October 13, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Callie Coker, Wireline Competition Bureau, at 202–418–2793, *Callie.Coker@fcc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Bureau’s document in WC Docket No. 18–89; DA 22–967, released on September 16, 2022. The full text of this document is available at the following internet address: <https://www.fcc.gov/document/supply-chain-reimbursement-program-status-update-deadline-reminder>. To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to *FCC504@fcc.gov* or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs

Bureau at 202–418–0530 (voice), 202–418–0432 (TTY).

1. By this document, the Bureau reminds Recipients in the Reimbursement Program of their obligation to file status updates with the Commission every 90 days, beginning on the date on which the Bureau approved Recipients’ applications, until the obligation to file expires. Because Recipients’ applications were approved on July 15, 2022, all initial status updates are due on October 13, 2022. As required by the Secure and Trusted Communications Networks Act of 2019, as amended (Secure Networks Act), the status updates must inform the Commission about the work of the Recipient to permanently remove, replace, and dispose of the covered communications equipment or services, which for the purposes of the Reimbursement Program means all communications equipment or services produced or provided by Huawei Technologies Company or ZTE Corporation and obtained on or before June 30, 2020 (covered communications equipment or services).

2. *Background.* As directed in the Secure Networks Act, the Commission established the Reimbursement Program to reimburse providers of advanced communications services with ten million or fewer customers for reasonable costs incurred in the removal, replacement, and disposal of covered communications equipment or services from their networks that pose a national security risk. In the *2020 Supply Chain Order*, 86 FR 2904 (January 13, 2021), the Commission established and adopted rules for the Reimbursement Program, revised these rules in the *2021 Supply Chain Order*, 86 FR 46995, August 23, 2021, and subsequently provided additional guidance on the application, reimbursement, and disposal process. On July 15, 2022, the Bureau issued decisions approving and denying applications submitted for Reimbursement Program support. Recipients were announced in a Public Notice released by the Bureau on July 18, 2022.

3. The Secure Networks Act requires that “[n]ot less frequently than once every 90 days beginning on the date on which the Commission approves an application for a reimbursement under the [Reimbursement] Program, the recipient of the reimbursement shall submit to the Commission a status update on the work of the recipient to permanently remove, replace, and dispose of the covered communications equipment or services.” The Secure Networks Act also provides that “[n]ot