

and demographic diversity. The Secretary shall appoint to the Committee at least one individual representing each of the following:

- a. Civil nuclear manufacturing and services companies;
- b. small businesses;
- c. utilities;
- d. trade associations in the civil nuclear sector;
- e. research institutions and universities; and
- f. private sector organizations or other appropriate civil society groups, such as labor representatives, involved in strengthening the export competitiveness of U.S. civil nuclear products and services.

Members shall serve in a representative capacity, expressing the views and interests of a U.S. entity, as well as its particular subsector; they are, therefore, not Special Government Employees as defined in Title 18 of United States Code, section 202(a). Each member of the Committee must be a U.S. citizen and must not be registered as a foreign agent under the Foreign Agents Registration Act. No member may represent a U.S. entity that is majority owned or controlled by a foreign government entity (or foreign government entities). The Secretary of Commerce invites applications for the CINTAC, consistent with the above membership requirements. To be considered for membership, submit the following information (2 pages maximum) by 5:00 p.m. EDT on September 23, 2022, to the email listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. If you are interested in nominating someone to become a member of the CINTAC, please provide the following information (2 pages maximum):

- (1) Name;
- (2) Title;
- (3) Work phone and email address;
- (4) Name of entity to be represented and address including website address;
- (5) Short biography of nominee including credentials;
- (6) Brief description of the entity and its business activities, size (number of employees and annual sales), and export markets served; and,
- (7) An affirmative statement that the applicant and entity to be represented meet all eligibility criteria, specifically addressing that the applicant:
  - (a) Is a U.S. citizen; and
  - (b) Is not required to register as a foreign agent under the Foreign Agents Registration Act of 1938, as amended.

Please do not send organization brochures or any other information.

All applications should be submitted in pdf or MS Word format via email to Jonathan Chesebro, Senior Nuclear

Trade Specialist at the U.S. Department of Commerce's Office of Energy & Environmental Industries at [jonathan.chesebro@trade.gov](mailto:jonathan.chesebro@trade.gov).

Nominees selected for appointment to the Committee will be notified by email.

Dated: August 29, 2022.

**Man K. Cho,**

*Deputy Director, Office of Energy and Environmental Industries.*

[FR Doc. 2022-19395 Filed 9-7-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-073]

#### Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020-2021

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain companies under review sold common alloy aluminum sheet (aluminum sheet) from the People's Republic of China (China) at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) February 1, 2020, through January 31, 2021.

**DATES:** Applicable September 8, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Frank Schmitt, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4880.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review on March 4, 2022.<sup>1</sup> After publication of the *Preliminary Results*, interested parties filed case and rebuttal briefs.<sup>2</sup> On June

<sup>1</sup> See *Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews; 2020-2021 (Preliminary Results)*, and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

<sup>2</sup> See Aluminum Association Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet Trade Enforcement Working Group (Domestic Industry)'s Letter, "Domestic Industry's Case Brief," dated April 5, 2022; see also Jiangsu Alcha Aluminum Group Co., Ltd. and its affiliates (collectively, Alcha)'s Letter, "Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from the People's Republic of China: Alcha Group's Case Brief," dated April 4, 2022; Domestic Industry's Letter, "Domestic Industry's Rebuttal Case Brief Concerning Jiangsu Alcha Aluminum Co., Ltd.," dated April 13, 2022; and Alcha's Letter, "Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from the People's Republic of China: Alcha's Rebuttal Brief," dated April 13, 2022.

15, 2022, we extended the deadline for these final results until August 23, 2022.<sup>3</sup> On August 19, 2022, we extended the deadline for these final results until August 31, 2022.<sup>4</sup> For a full summary of the events that occurred since Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>5</sup> Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

#### Scope of the Order<sup>6</sup>

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is common alloy aluminum sheet from China. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by interested parties are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of these issues is attached to this notice.<sup>7</sup> The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be found at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNotices/ListLayout.aspx>.

#### Changes From the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the record and the comments received from interested parties, we made certain changes to a surrogate value unit of measure conversion and to the calculation of surrogate financial ratios. Additionally, we changed the surrogate value used for the purchased recycled aluminum input. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, "2020-2021 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Deadline for Final Results," dated June 15, 2022.

<sup>4</sup> See Memorandum, "2020-2021 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Deadline for Final Results," dated August 19, 2022.

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from the People's Republic of China; 2020-2021," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>6</sup> See *Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Order*, 84 FR 2813 (February 8, 2019) (*Order*).

<sup>7</sup> See appendix.

**Separate Rates**

In the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce determined that Jiangsu Alcha Aluminum Group Co., Ltd. (Jiangsu Alcha), Alcha International Holdings Limited (Alcha International), and Yinbang Clad Material Co., Ltd. (Yinbang Clad) are eligible for a separate rate.<sup>8</sup> No interested parties submitted comments on Commerce’s preliminary separate rate determinations. For these final results, taking into account Commerce’s previous single entity determination,<sup>9</sup> we continue to determine that the single entity of Jiangsu Alcha, Alcha International, Baotou Alcha Aluminum Co., Ltd. (Baotou Alcha) (collectively, Alcha), is eligible for a separate rate, as is Yinbang Clad.

**Rate for Non-Examined Separate Rate Respondents**

The statute and our regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be assigned to respondents not selected for individual examination when we limit our examination of companies subject to the administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2)(B) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for respondents not individually examined in an administrative review. Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act states that the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero and *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.” When the rates for individually examined companies are all zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available, section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act provides that Commerce may use “any reasonable method” to establish the all-others rate.

For these final results, we calculated a weighted-average dumping margin

<sup>8</sup> See *Preliminary Results*, 87 FR at 12432; see also *Preliminary Results PDM* at 5–8.

<sup>9</sup> In the 2018–2020 administrative review of this antidumping order, Commerce determined that Jiangsu Alcha Aluminum Co., Ltd., Baotou Alcha and Alcha International should be treated as a single entity. Additionally, Commerce determined that Jiangsu Alcha Aluminum Group Co., Ltd. is the successor-in-interest to Jiangsu Alcha Aluminum Co., Ltd. See *Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Final Successor-In-Interest Determination, and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2020*, 86 FR 74066 (December 29, 2021).

that is not zero, *de minimis*, or determined entirely on the basis of facts available for Alcha. Accordingly, consistent with our *Preliminary Results*, Commerce has assigned Yinbang Clad, the sole separate rate respondent that was not selected for individual examination, a margin of 51.50 percent. Alcha’s calculated weighted-average dumping margin, for these final results.

**The China-Wide Entity**

In accordance with Commerce policy,<sup>10</sup> because no party requested a review of the China-wide entity, and Commerce did not self-initiate a review of the entity, the China-wide entity is not under review, and the dumping margin assigned to the China-wide entity (*i.e.*, 59.72 percent) is not subject to change.<sup>11</sup> Commerce does not consider any company under review as part of the China-wide entity because every company under review demonstrated separate rate eligibility.

**Final Results of Administrative Review**

For the companies subject to this administrative review that established their eligibility for a separate rate, Commerce determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period February 1, 2020, through January 31, 2021:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Jiangsu Alcha Aluminum Co., Ltd./Baotou Alcha Aluminum Co., Ltd./Alcha International Holdings Limited .....	51.50
Non-Selected Company Under Review Receiving a Separate Rate	
Yinbang Clad Material Co., Ltd ..	51.50

**Disclosure**

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(b), within five days of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, we will disclose to the parties in this proceeding, the calculations that we performed for these final results of review.

<sup>10</sup> See *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963, 65969–70 (November 4, 2013).

<sup>11</sup> See *Order*, 84 FR at 2814.

<sup>12</sup> For the purposes of this review, we have considered the names Jiangsu Alcha Aluminum Co., Ltd. and Jiangsu Alcha Aluminium Co., Ltd., as equivalent.

**Assessment Rates**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with these final results of review. We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of these final results. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Where Alcha reported reliable entered values, we calculated importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to each importer (or customer).<sup>13</sup> Where Commerce calculated a weighted-average dumping margin by dividing the total amount of dumping for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions, Commerce will direct CBP to assess importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit rates.<sup>14</sup> Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is greater than *de minimis* (*i.e.*, 0.50 percent), Commerce will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation.<sup>15</sup> Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is zero or *de minimis*, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.<sup>16</sup>

For the non-selected respondent that received a separate rate, Yinbang Clad, we will instruct CBP to apply an antidumping duty assessment rate of 51.50 percent to all entries of subject merchandise that entered the United States during the POR.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this review for shipments of the subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C)

<sup>13</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

<sup>14</sup> *Id.*

<sup>15</sup> *Id.*

<sup>16</sup> See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

of the Act: (1) for subject merchandise exported by the companies listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in these final results of review for each exporter as listed above; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the China-wide entity; and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as the final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested.

Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

These final results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: August 31, 2022.

**Lisa W. Wang,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Changes to the *Preliminary Results*
- V. Discussion of the Issues
  - Comment 1—A: Selection of Surrogate Country
  - Comment 1—B: Selection of Surrogate Financial Statement
  - Comment 2: Application of Partial Adverse Facts Available (AFA)
  - Comment 3: Partial AFA Methodology
  - Comment 4: Double Remedies Adjustment
  - Comment 5: Selection of Surrogate Values (SV) for Recycled Aluminum and Aluminum Scrap
  - Comment 6: Selection of Surrogate Distance of North American Inland Train Freight
  - Comment 7: Selection of SV for Ocean Freight
  - Comment 8: Unit Conversion in the Calculation of the SV for North American Inland Train Freight
  - Comment 9: Valuation of Domestic Inland Freight for Factors of Production (FOP)
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022–19342 Filed 9–7–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A–549–502]

#### Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Thailand: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Scope Ruling and Notice of Amended Final Scope Ruling Pursuant to Court Decision

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 25, 2022, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in *Saha Steel Pipe Public Company, Ltd v. United States*, Court No. 20–00133, Slip Op. 22–99 (*Saha Steel*), sustaining the Department of Commerce (Commerce)'s final results of redetermination pertaining to the scope ruling for the

antidumping duty (AD) order on circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes (CWP) from Thailand. In the redetermination, Commerce found that dual-stenciled standard pipe and line pipe are outside the scope of the order, pursuant to the CIT's remand order in *Saha Thai Steel Pipe Public Company Ltd v. United States*, 547 F. Supp. 3d 1278 (CIT Oct. 6, 2021) (*Remand Order*). Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgment is not in harmony with Commerce's final scope ruling, and that Commerce is amending the scope ruling to find that dual-stenciled standard pipe and line pipe are outside the scope of the order.

**DATES:** Applicable September 4, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Leo Ayala, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3945.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On June 30, 2020, in its Final Scope Ruling, Commerce found that dual-stenciled standard pipe and line pipe, products which are stenciled as meeting industry standards for both standard pipe and line pipe, are within the scope of the AD order on CWP from Thailand.<sup>1</sup> Commerce also found that line pipe, which is not dual-stenciled as standard pipe and line pipe, is not within the scope of the *Order*.<sup>2</sup>

Saha Thai Steel Pipe Public Company Ltd. appealed Commerce's Final Scope Ruling with respect to its determination on dual-stenciled standard pipe and line pipe. On October 6, 2021, the CIT remanded the Final Scope Ruling to Commerce to conduct an analysis that reconsidered the sources listed in 19 CFR 351.225(k)(1) to determine whether dual-stenciled pipe, which is certified for use in standard pipe or line pipe applications, falls within the scope of the *Order*.<sup>3</sup> In accordance with the CIT's analysis and conclusions, Commerce issued its final results of redetermination, submitted to the CIT on April 22, 2022, in which Commerce, under protest, concluded that dual-stenciled standard pipe and line pipe

<sup>1</sup> See Memorandum, "Antidumping Duty Order on Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand: Final Scope Ruling on Line Pipe and Dual-Stenciled Standard and Line Pipe," dated June 30, 2020 (Final Scope Ruling). See also *Antidumping Duty Order; Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand*, 51 FR 8341 (March 11, 1986) (*Order*).

<sup>2</sup> See Final Scope Ruling.

<sup>3</sup> See *Remand Order*.