

submissions if the submitting party does not comply with applicable revised certification requirements.

#### Letters of Appearance and Administrative Protective Orders

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(d), Commerce will maintain and make available a public service list for these proceedings. Parties wishing to participate in any of these five-year reviews must file letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d). To facilitate the timely preparation of the public service list, it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding submit an entry of appearance within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation. Because deadlines in Sunset Reviews can be very short, we urge interested parties who want access to proprietary information under administrative protective order (APO) to file an APO application immediately following publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation. Commerce's regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304–306. Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.<sup>1</sup>

#### Information Required From Interested Parties

Domestic interested parties, as defined in section 771(9)(C), (D), (E), (F), and (G) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b), wishing to participate in a Sunset Review must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with Commerce's regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to participate from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, Commerce will automatically revoke the order without further review.<sup>2</sup>

If we receive an order-specific notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, Commerce's regulations provide that *all parties* wishing to participate in a Sunset Review must file complete substantive

responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response, on an order-specific basis, are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for respondent and domestic parties. Also, note that Commerce's information requirements are distinct from the ITC's information requirements. Consult Commerce's regulations for information regarding Commerce's conduct of Sunset Reviews. Consult Commerce's regulations at 19 CFR part 351 for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings at Commerce.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).

Dated: August 11, 2022.

**James Maeder,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2022–18925 Filed 8–31–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C–580–837]

#### Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate From the Republic of Korea: Final Results, and Rescission, in Part, of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2020

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that countervailable subsidies are not being provided to certain producers and exporters of certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate from the Republic of Korea (Korea). The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020.

**DATES:** Applicable September 1, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Lindgren, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1671.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this review on March 2, 2022 and, subsequently, on May 12, 2022,

issued its post-preliminary analysis.<sup>1</sup> On June 8, 2022, Commerce extended the final results of review to August 26, 2022.<sup>2</sup> For a complete description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>3</sup>

#### Scope of the Order<sup>4</sup>

The product covered by this order is certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate. For a complete description of the scope of this order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

#### Rescission of Administrative Review, In Part

Based on our analysis of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data and comments received from interested parties, we determine that two companies, BDP International and Sung Jin Steel Co., Ltd had no reviewable shipments, sales, or entries of subject merchandise during the POR. Absent evidence of shipments on the record, we are rescinding the administrative review of these companies, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3). For further information, see “Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part” in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in interested parties' briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results and Preliminary Intent To Rescind, in Part, the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2020*, 87 FR 11688 (March 2, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum; see also Memorandum, “Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Certain Cut-To Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from the Republic of Korea; 2020: Post-Preliminary Analysis Memorandum,” dated May 12, 2022.

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Final Results,” dated June 8, 2022.

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, 2020: Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from the Republic of Korea,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>4</sup> See *Notice of Amended Final Determination: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from India and the Republic of Korea; and Notice of Countervailing Duty Orders: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from France, India, Indonesia, Italy, and the Republic of Korea*, 65 FR 6587 (February 10, 2000) (*Order*).

<sup>1</sup> See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID–19*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

<sup>2</sup> See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii).

registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

### Methodology

Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we find that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.<sup>5</sup> For a description of the methodology underlying all of Commerce's conclusions, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we made certain changes for these final results of review.

### Companies Not Selected for Individual Review

To determine the rate for companies not selected for individual examination, Commerce's practice is to weight average the net countervailable subsidy rates for the selected mandatory companies, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available.<sup>6</sup> In this review, we calculated a *de minimis* net countervailable subsidy rate for the sole mandatory respondent Hyundai Steel Co., Ltd. (Hyundai Steel). In countervailing duty proceedings, where the number of respondents individually examined has been limited, Commerce has determined that a "reasonable method" to use to determine the rate applicable to companies not individually examined when all the rates of selected mandatory respondents are zero or *de minimis* is to assign to the non-selected respondents the average of the most recently determined rates that are not zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available.<sup>7</sup> However, as

<sup>5</sup> See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

<sup>6</sup> See, e.g., *Certain Pasta from Italy: Final Results of the 13th (2008) Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 37386, 37387 (June 29, 2010).

<sup>7</sup> See, e.g., *Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Turkey: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; Calendar Year 2012 and Rescission of*

discussed in the Issues and Decisions Memorandum, where a non-selected respondent has a calculated rate in a prior segment of the proceeding, Commerce finds it appropriate to apply the most recently calculated rate for that respondent (even when that rate is zero or *de minimis*) unless Commerce determines that rate to be obsolete.<sup>8</sup>

In the 2018 administrative review of the *Order*, we calculated a net countervailable subsidy rate of 0.28 percent *ad valorem* (*de minimis*) for Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd. (DSM),<sup>9</sup> which was not individually examined in this review. Therefore, consistent with Commerce's practice, described above, we are assigning the rate of 0.28 percent *ad valorem* to DSM, based on the company's most recent calculated rate. *See* the Issues and Decisions Memorandum for a discussion of assigning this rate to DSM.

### Final Results of Administrative Review

We determine that, for the period January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020, the following net countervailable subsidy rates exist:

Company	Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i> )
Hyundai Steel Co., Ltd.	0.25 ( <i>de minimis</i> ).
Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd.	0.28 ( <i>de minimis</i> ).

### Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed for these final results of review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

### Assessment Rate

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020, for the above-listed companies (to which a *de minimis* rate is assigned) without regard to countervailing duties. For the companies for which this review

*Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, in Part, 79 FR 51140, 51141 (August 27, 2014); and Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2012, 79 FR 46770 (August 11, 2014), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, at "Non-Selected Rate."*

<sup>8</sup> *Id.*

<sup>9</sup> See *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; Calendar Year 2018, 85 FR 84296 (December 28, 2020).*

is rescinded, we will instruct CBP to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

### Cash Deposit Rates

For the companies listed above for which the subsidy rates are *de minimis*, no cash deposit will be required of these companies on shipments of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate (*i.e.*, 3.26 percent)<sup>10</sup> applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposits, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

### Notice to Interested Parties

These final results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4) and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

<sup>10</sup> See *Order*, 65 FR 6589.

Dated: August 26, 2022

**Lisa W. Wang,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

## Appendix

### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. List of Issues
- III. Background
- IV. Scope of the Order
- V. Period of Review
- VI. Rescission of Administrative Review, In Part
- VII. Subsidies Valuation Information
- VIII. Analysis of Programs
- IX. Discussion of Comments
  - Comment 1: Whether the Korea Emissions Trading System is Countervailable
  - Comment 2: Whether the Preliminary Korea Emissions Trading System Benefit Calculation was Incorrect
  - Comment 3: Whether Provision of Port Usage Rights at the Port of Incheon is Countervailable
  - Comment 4: Whether Electricity is Subsidized by the Government of Korea
  - Comment 5: Whether Hyundai Steel and Hyundai Green Power are Cross-Owned
  - Comment 6: Whether the Reduction of Sewerage Usage Fees in Pohang Constitutes a Countervailable Subsidy
  - Comment 7: Selection of a Final Rate for Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd.
- X. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022-18952 Filed 8-31-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Minority Business Development Agency

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Online Customer Relationship Management (CRM) Performance Databases

**AGENCY:** Minority Business Development Agency (MBDA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection, request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed, and continuing information collections, which helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. The purpose of this notice is to allow for 60 days of public comment preceding submission of the collection to OMB.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, comments regarding this proposed information collection must be received on or before October 31, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit written comments to Ms. Andrala Walker, Chief, Grants Management, Office of Business Development, Minority Business Development Agency, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the internet at [awalker@doc.gov](mailto:awalker@doc.gov)). Please reference OMB Control Number 0640-0002 in the subject line of your comments. Do not submit Confidential Business Information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or specific questions related to collection activities should be directed to Ms. Andrala Walker, Chief, Grants Management, Office of Business Development, Minority Business Development Agency, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-5678, and email: [awalker@mbda.gov](mailto:awalker@mbda.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

As part of its national service delivery system, MBDA awards cooperative agreements and grant awards through broad agency announcements each year to fund the provision of business development services to eligible minority business enterprises (MBEs). The recipient of each grant award is competitively selected to participate in one of the MBDA's programs. In accordance with the Government Performance and Results Act/Modernization Act (GPRA/MA), and the Foundations for Evidence-based Policymaking Act of 2018 (Evidence Act), MBDA requires all grant participants to report basic client information, service activities and progress on attainment of program goals via the Online CRM/Performance Database. The data inputs into the Online CRM/Performance Database originate from client intake forms used by each participant to collect information about each minority business enterprise that receives technical business assistance from the servicing grant participants. This data provides the baseline from which the Online CRM/Performance Database is populated. The Online CRM/Performance Database is used to regularly monitor and evaluate the progress of the MBDA programs, to provide the Department and OMB with a summary of the quantitative

information required to be submitted about government supported programs, to implement the GPRA/MA, conduct program evaluation in support of the Evidence Act. This information is also summarized and included in the MBDA Annual Performance Report, which is made available to the public.

The MBDA grant programs continue to use the Online CRM/Performance Database. The client transaction and verification forms in use for the business center program are used to collect information about the effectiveness of other grant programs funded by the agency. The forms include a statement regarding MBDA's intended use and transfer of the information collected to other federal agencies for the purpose of conducting research and studies on minority businesses.

##### II. Method of Collection

Information will be collected manually and electronically.

##### III. Data

*OMB Control Number:* 0640-0002.  
*Form Number(s):* None.  
*Type of Review:* Regular submission. Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households; Business or other for-profit organizations; Not-for-profit institutions; State, Local, or Tribal government; Federal government.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 6,035.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 1 minute to 150 minutes, varies depending on instrument.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 5,032.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* 0.

##### IV. Request for Comments

We are soliciting public comments to permit the Department/Bureau to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of the time and cost burden for this proposed collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) Evaluate ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) Minimize the reporting burden on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of