FERC–539, GAS PIPELINE CERTIFICATES: IMPORT & EXPORT RELATED APPLICATIONS

Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden hours & average cost [4] per response ($)	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost ($)	Cost per respondent ($)
(1)	(2)	(1) * (2) = (3)	(4)	(3) * (4) = (5)	(5) ÷ (1) = (6)
6	2	12	15 hours; $1,305.	180 hours; $28,800.	$2,610

Comments: Comments are invited on: (1) whether the collections of information are necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimates of the burden and cost of the collections of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collections; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collections of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: July 26, 2022.

Debbie-Anne A. Reese,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022–16424 Filed 7–29–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Combined Notice of Filings

Take notice that the Commission has received the following Natural Gas Pipeline Rate and Refund Report filings:

Filings Instituting Proceedings

Docket Numbers: RP22–1062–000.

Applicants: Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, L.L.C.

Description: § 4(d) Rate Filing: PAL NRA Wells Fargo Commodities, LLC SP378905 to be effective 8/1/2022.

Filed Date: 7/25/22.

Accession Number: 20220725–5100.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. ET 8/8/22.

Any person desiring to intervene or protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5:00 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. Protests may be considered, but intervention is necessary to become a party to the proceeding.

The filings are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system by clicking on the links or querying the docket number.

eFiling is encouraged. More detailed information relating to filing requirements, interventions, protests, service, and qualifying facilities filings can be found at: *http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling/filing-req.pdf*. For other information, call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Dated: July 26, 2022.

Debbie-Anne A. Reese,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022–16425 Filed 7–29–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

[4] The Commission staff estimates that industry is similarly situated in terms of hourly cost (for wages plus benefits). Based on the Commission's FY (Fiscal Year) 2021 average cost (for wages plus benefits), $87.00/hour is used.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–HQ–ORD–2020–0682; FRL–10090–01–ORD]

Notice of Public Comment Period on Additional Candidates Added to the Peer Reviewer Pool for the Biofuels and the Environment: Third Triennial Report to Congress

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of public comment period.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is announcing a 15-day public comment period on two (2) additional peer review candidates for the external peer review of the Biofuels and the Environment: Third Triennial Report to Congress (RtC3). EPA previously invited public comment on an initial pool of twenty (20) candidates announced in a **Federal Register** Notice (FRN) published on May 9, 2022. After considering public comments and the balance and collective expertise of the reviewers, EPA asked ERG, the independent contractor organizing the peer review, to identify additional candidates to strengthen expertise gaps and allow a more balanced panel. EPA is seeking public comment on additional peer review candidates in order to strengthen underrepresented areas of expertise, specifically economics, water quality, and ecology disciplines. You may also comment on the initial twenty (20) candidates if you have not yet done so. If you already commented on those initial candidates in response to the May 9, 2022, FRN, you do not need to resubmit those comments. After considering all public comments on the initial pool of 20 candidates and the additional candidates announced in this FRN, ERG will select up to nine (9) peer reviewers. ERG will ensure the peer reviewers' combined expertise best spans the following disciplines: economics, engineering, agronomics, land use change, remote sensing, air quality, biogeochemistry, water quality, hydrology, conservation biology, limnology, and ecology. The peer review will be conducted under the framework of EPA's Scientific Integrity Policy (*https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2014-02/documents/scientific_integrity_policy_2012.pdf*) and follow procedures established in EPA's Peer Review Handbook 4th Edition, 2015 (EPA/100/B–15/001).

DATES: The 15-day public comment period on the additional list of proposed peer review candidates begins August 1, 2022 and ends August 16, 2022. Comments must be received on or before August 16, 2022.

ADDRESSES: Please follow the instructions as provided in the section of this notice entitled **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions concerning the process for forming the peer review panel should be directed to EPA's contractor, ERG, by email to *peerreview@erg.com* (subject line: RtC3 Peer Review). For information on the period of submission, contact the

ORD Docket at the EPA Headquarters Docket Center; phone: 202–566–1752; fax: 202–566–9744; or email: *ord.docket@epa.gov.* For technical information, contact Christopher Clark; phone: 202–564–4183; or email: *Clark.Christopher@epa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Information About the Document

In 2007, Congress enacted the Energy Independence and Security Act (EISA) with the stated goals of ''mov[ing] the United States toward greater energy independence and security [and] to increase the production of clean renewable fuels.'' In accordance with these goals, EISA revised the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) Program, which was created under the 2005 Energy Policy Act and is administered by the EPA, to increase the volume of renewable fuel required to be blended into transportation fuel to 36 billion gallons per year by 2022. Section 204 of EISA directs the EPA, in consultation with the U.S. Departments of Agriculture and Energy, to assess and report triennially to Congress on the environmental and resource conservation impacts of the RFS Program.

The first report to Congress (RtC1) was completed in 2011 and provided an assessment of the environmental and resource conservation impacts associated with increased biofuel production and use (EPA/600/R–10/183F). The overarching conclusions of this first report were: (1) the environmental impacts of increased biofuel production and use were likely negative but limited in impact; (2) there was a potential for both positive and negative impacts in the future; and (3) EISA goals for biofuels production could be achieved with minimal environmental impacts if best practices were used and if technologies advanced to facilitate the use of second-generation biofuel feedstocks (corn stover, perennial grasses, woody biomass, algae, and waste).

The second report to Congress (RtC2) was completed in 2018 and reaffirmed the overarching conclusions of the RtC1 (EPA/600/R–18/195). The RtC2 noted that the biofuel production and use conditions that led to the conclusions of the RtC1 had not materially changed, and that the production of biofuels from cellulosic feedstocks anticipated by both the EISA and the RtC1 had not materialized. Noting observed increases in acreage for corn and soybean production in the period prior to, and following, implementation of the RFS2 Program, the RtC2 concluded that the environmental and resource conservation impacts associated with land use change were likely due, at least in part, to the RFS Program and associated production of biofuel feedstocks but that further research was needed.

This RtC3 builds on the previous two reports and provides an update on the impacts to date of the RFS Program on the environment. This report assesses air, water, and soil quality; ecosystem health and biodiversity; and other effects. This third report also includes new analyses not previously included in the first and second reports.

II. Information About This Peer Review

EPA's contractor, ERG, is considering a list of candidates from which to select the independent, external, peer review panel for the RtC3. On May 9, 2022, EPA announced through an FRN (87 FR 27634) that it was seeking public comment on a pool of twenty (20) candidates identified through a previous FRN seeking nomination of experts (87 FR 5479, February 1, 2022). Candidates combined expertise spanned the following disciplines: economics, engineering, agronomics, land use change, remote sensing, air quality, biogeochemistry, water quality, hydrology, conservation biology, limnology, and ecology. After considering public comment, and the balance and collective expertise of the reviewers, ERG identified two (2) additional candidates to strengthen expertise gaps and allow a more balanced panel. The updated List of Candidates with additional candidates in bold font has been posted to the docket at *https://www.regulations.gov* (EPA–HQ–ORD–2020–0682) and is included below.

After considering public comments received on the candidates submitted in response to this FRN, FRL–10090–01–ORD, and the previous FRN (87 FR 27634, May 9, 2022), ERG will select up to nine (9) peer reviewers from this pool in a manner consistent with EPA's Peer Review Handbook 4th Edition, 2015 (EPA/100/B–15/001) based on the following factors: (1) demonstrated expertise in the areas listed above through relevant peer-reviewed publications; (2) professional accomplishments and recognition by professional societies; (3) demonstrated ability to work constructively and effectively in a committee setting; (4) absence of conflicts of interest; (5) no appearance of a lack of impartiality; (6) willingness to commit adequate time for a thorough review of the draft report, including preparation of individual written comments that will be made publicly available; and (7) availability to participate virtually in a public two-day or three-day peer review meeting and to provide subsequent revised individual comments. ERG will independently conduct a conflict of interest (COI) screening of candidates to ensure that the selected experts have no COI in conducting this review. EPA will announce the final peer review panel, peer review meeting information, and public comment period on the RtC3 External Review Draft in a subsequent FRN. Comments on the peer review candidates must be submitted to the docket by August 16, 2022.

Revised Pool of Peer Reviewer Candidates (with New Candidates Listed in Bold Font)

1. Jacob N. Barney, Virginia Tech
2. Steven T. Berry, Yale University
3. Sarah C. Davis, Ohio University
4. **Harry de Gorter, Cornell University**
5. Bernard A. Engel, Purdue University
6. Jason D. Hill, University of Minnesota
7. S. Kent Hoekman, Desert Research Institute
8. Atul K. Jain, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
9. Stephen R. Kaffka, University of California, Davis
10. Mary Kombolias, Agrafa Solutions LLC
11. Lyubov A. Kurkalova, North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University
12. **Doug A. Landis, Michigan State University**
13. Tyler J. Lark, University of Wisconsin-Madison
14. Ruopi Li, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale
15. Chris Malins, Cerulogy Consulting, UK
16. Nathan Parker, Arizona State University
17. John M. Reilly, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
18. Timothy D. Searchinger, Princeton University
19. Aaron Smith, University of California, Davis
20. Yang Song, University of Arizona
21. Farzad Taheripour, Purdue University
22. Bin Yang, Washington State University, Tri-Cities

III. How To Submit Technical Comments to the Docket at *www.regulations.gov*

We encourage the public to submit comments to Docket ID No. [EPA–HQ–ORD–2020–0682] via web at *https://www.regulations.gov/* or via email at *ord.docket@epa.gov,* as there may be a delay in processing mail and faxes. Hand deliveries and couriers may be received at the EPA Docket Center, WJC West Building, Room 3334, 1301

Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal Holidays. For further information on EPA Docket Center services and the current status, please visit us online at *https://www.epa.gov/dockets.*

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. [EPA–HQ–ORD–2020–0682]. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. It is EPA's policy to include all materials it receives in the public docket without change and to make the materials available online at *www.regulations.gov,* including any personal information provided, unless materials include information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through *www.regulations.gov* or email. The *www.regulations.gov* website is an ''anonymous access'' system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email directly to EPA without going through *www.regulations.gov,* your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the materials that are placed in the public docket and made available on the internet. If you submit electronic materials, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your materials and with any disk or CD–ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your materials due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider the materials you submit. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit EPA's Docket Center homepage at *www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm.*

Docket: Documents in the docket are listed in the *www.regulations.gov* index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *e.g.,* CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other materials, such as copyrighted material, are publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in *www.regulations.gov* or in hard copy at the ORD Docket in EPA's Headquarters Docket Center.

Dated: July 26, 2022.

Wayne Cascio,

Director, Center for Public Health and Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development.

[FR Doc. 2022–16369 Filed 7–29–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000–0184; Docket No. 2022–0053; Sequence No. 15]

Submission for OMB Review; Contractors Performing Private Security Functions Outside the United States

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the Regulatory Secretariat Division has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a previously approved information collection requirement concerning contractors performing private security functions outside the United States.

DATES: Submit comments on or before August 31, 2022.]

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for this information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to *www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain.* Find this particular information collection by selecting ''Currently under Review—Open for Public Comments'' or by using the search function.

Additionally, submit a copy to GSA through *https://www.regulations.gov* and follow the instructions on the site. This website provides the ability to type short comments directly into the comment field or attach a file for lengthier comments.

Instructions: All items submitted must cite OMB Control No. 9000–0184, Contractors Performing Private Security Functions Outside the United States. Comments received generally will be posted without change to *https://www.regulations.gov,* including any personal and/or business confidential information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check *www.regulations.gov,* approximately two-to-three days after submission to verify posting. If there are difficulties submitting comments, contact the GSA Regulatory Secretariat Division at 202–501–4755 or *GSARegSec@gsa.gov.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Carrie Moore, Procurement Analyst, at telephone 571–300–5917, or *carrie.moore@gsa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. OMB Control Number, Title, and Any Associated Form(s)

9000–0184, Contractors Performing Private Security Functions Outside the United States

B. Needs and Uses

This justification supports an extension of the expiration date of OMB Control No. 9000–0184. This clearance covers the information that contractors must submit to comply with FAR clause 52.225–26, Contractors Performing Private Security Functions Outside the United States. When contract performance is required outside the United States in an area of combat operations or significant military operations, this clause requires contractors to ensure employees performing private security functions under the contract comply with 32 CFR part 159, and any orders, directives, or instructions that are identified in the contract for: (1) Registering, processing, accounting for, managing, overseeing, and keeping appropriate records of personnel performing private security functions; (2) Requesting authorization of and accounting for weapons to be carried by or available to personnel performing private security functions; (3) Registering and identifying armored vehicles, helicopters, and other military vehicles operated by employees performing private security functions; and (4) Reporting incidents in which personnel performing private security functions: discharge a weapon; are attacked, killed, or injured; kill or injure a person or destroy property as a result of conduct by contractor personnel; have a weapon discharged against them or believe a weapon was so discharged; or employ active, non-lethal countermeasures in response to a perceived immediate threat.

The information provided in accordance with FAR clause 52.225–26 is used to ensure accountability, visibility, force protection, medical support, personnel recovery, and other related support can be accurately forecasted and provided to deployed contractors, as required.