

- Bridgestone Americas Tire Operations, LLC, Grant of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance. *See* 78 FR 35357, June 12, 2013;

- The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company, Grant of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance. *See* FR 41254, July 18, 2005;

- Continental Tire North America Inc., Grant of Application for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance. *See* 70 FR 14748, March 23, 2005;

- Michelin North America, Inc., Grant of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance. *See* 69 FR 62511, October 26, 2004; and

- Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., Grant of Application for Decision That Noncompliance Is Inconsequential to Motor Vehicle Safety. *See* 66 FR 57772, November 16, 2001.

MNA states that they have “captured and retained” a total of 1,750 tires with the intent to either repair or scrap them. MNA also states that they have corrected the tire specification drawing and updated the mold to reflect the correct maximum load in pounds.

MNA concludes that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety and that its petition to be exempted from providing notification of the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30118, and a remedy for the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30120, should be granted.

NHTSA notes that the statutory provisions (49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h)) that permit manufacturers to file petitions for a determination of inconsequentiality allow NHTSA to exempt manufacturers only from the duties found in sections 30118 and 30120, respectively, to notify owners, purchasers, and dealers of a defect or noncompliance and to remedy the defect or noncompliance. Therefore, any decision on this petition only applies to the subject tires that MNA no longer controlled at the time it determined that the noncompliance existed. However, any decision on this petition does not relieve equipment distributors and dealers of the prohibitions on the sale, offer for sale, or introduction or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce of the noncompliant tires under their control after MNA notified them that the subject noncompliance existed.

(Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8)

Otto G. Matheke III,
Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2022-13365 Filed 6-22-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2019-0130; Notice 2]

Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company, Denial of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of petition denial.

SUMMARY: Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company (Goodyear), has determined that certain Kelly Armorsteel KDM 1 commercial truck tires do not comply with Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 119, *New Pneumatic Tires for Motor Vehicles with a GVWR of more than 4,536 kilograms (10,000 pounds) and Motorcycles*. Goodyear petitioned NHTSA on November 25, 2019, for a decision that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety, and filed a noncompliance report dated November 26, 2019. This document announces and explains the denial of Goodyear’s petition.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jayton Lindley, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA, telephone (325) 655-0547.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Overview: Goodyear has determined that certain Kelly Armorsteel KDM 1 commercial truck tires do not fully comply with paragraph S6.5 of FMVSS No. 119, *New Pneumatic Tires for Motor Vehicles with a GVWR of More than 4,536 kilograms (10,000 pounds) and Motorcycles* (49 CFR 571.119). Goodyear petitioned NHTSA on November 25, 2019, for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis that this noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h) and 49 CFR part 556, *Exemption for Inconsequential Defect or Noncompliance*. Goodyear filed a noncompliance report dated November 26, 2019, pursuant to 49 CFR part 573,

Defect and Noncompliance Responsibility and Reports.

Notice of receipt of Goodyear’s petition was published with a 30-day public comment period in the **Federal Register** (85 FR 35994, June 12, 2020). No comments were received. To view the petition and all supporting documents log onto the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) website at <https://www.regulations.gov/>. Then follow the online search instructions to locate docket number “NHTSA-2019-0130.”

II. Tires Involved: Approximately 76 Kelly Armorsteel KDM 1 commercial truck tires, size 11/R22.5 LRH, manufactured between August 25, 2019, and August 31, 2019, are potentially involved.

III. Noncompliance: Goodyear explained that the noncompliance is that the Tire Identification Number (TIN) on the subject tires contains a date code that was engraved less than the required depth of 0.51 mm (0.02 inch) and, therefore, does not meet the requirements of paragraph S6.5 of FMVSS No. 119.

IV. Rule Requirements: Paragraph S6.5 of FMVSS No. 119 includes the requirements relevant to this petition. Each tire shall be marked on each sidewall with the information specified in paragraphs (a) through (j) of this section. The markings shall comply with part 574.5 Tire Identification Markings which requires, among other things, that the markings be permanently molded 0.51 mm (0.02 inch) to 1.02 mm (0.04 inch) deep.

V. Summary of Goodyear’s Petition: The following views and arguments presented in this section are the views and arguments provided by Goodyear. They do not reflect the views of the Agency.

Accordingly, Goodyear described the subject noncompliance and stated that the noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety.

1. Goodyear believes this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety because these tires were manufactured as designed and meet or exceed all applicable FMVSS. All of the sidewall markings related to tire service (load capacity, corresponding inflation pressure, etc.) are correct. The mislabeling and irregular date code is not a safety concern and has no impact on the retreading, repairing, and recycling industries. The affected date code stencil has been corrected, and all future production will not contain the irregularity in the date code.

2. Goodyear states that the date code portion of the TIN becomes important in

the event of a safety campaign, so that the consumer may properly identify the recalled tire(s). Goodyear states that in the unlikely event that a safety campaign would ever become necessary for this Kelly Armorsteel KDM 1 11/ R22.5 LRH commercial truck tire made in the 34th week of 2019, it would include in the listing of recalled TINs the TIN for these tires with the date code portion as shown:

MJ3TK2BW3419, as well as the TIN for these tires with the date code portion omitted as shown: MJ3TK2BW, so that the consumer would know that tires with this TIN are included in the recall even if they have difficulty reading the date code portion because it is not raised to the 0.51 mm level.

Goodyear concluded by expressing the belief that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety, and that its petition to be exempted from providing notification of the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30118, and a remedy for the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30120, should be granted.

VI. NHTSA's Analysis: NHTSA does not agree with Goodyear's assessment that the noncompliance with FMVSS No. 119 is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. As discussed below, the tire markings required by paragraph S6.5 (b) of FMVSS No. 119 provide valuable information about the tire. Goodyear does not provide information on the actual engraved depth of the date code, other than stating it is less than the required depth of 0.51 mm (0.02 inch). However, an exemplar photo provided by Goodyear in its petition shows that it is more than a de minimus deviation from the required depth and illustrates that the date code is very difficult or impossible to read.

NHTSA recognizes that Goodyear has addressed one safety related concern by ensuring that the subject tires with the insufficient date code depth will be included in any relevant future recall. However, the Agency finds that this measure does not address all safety concerns associated with a missing or illegible date code.

A significant source of tire related accidents is tire age. This is especially a concern in recreational vehicles (RVs) on which the subject tires could be installed because of the tire's size. RVs often sit in storage, unused, for extensive periods of time. NHTSA's website provides guidance for replacing a tire due to age and states the following: "As tires age, they are more prone to failure. Some vehicle and tire manufacturers recommend replacing tires that are six to 10 years old

regardless of treadwear."¹ In the case of the subject tires, the insufficient date code depth makes the date code challenging to read, and the date code may become completely illegible with wear. This will prevent consumers from making informed decisions related to the age of the tire, which may lead to prolonged usage and increased risk of accidents.

Finally, Goodyear stated and believes this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety because these tires were manufactured as designed and meet or exceed all applicable Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards. Further, Goodyear stated all the sidewall markings related to tire service (load capacity, corresponding inflation pressure, etc.) are correct. NHTSA does not find these arguments to be relevant to the safety concerns presented by the noncompliance because they do not relate to the information provided by the date code.

VII. NHTSA's Decision: In consideration of the foregoing, NHTSA has decided that Goodyear has not met its burden of persuasion that the subject FMVSS No. 119 noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. Accordingly, Goodyear's petition is hereby denied and Goodyear is consequently obligated to provide notification of and free remedy for that noncompliance under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120.

(Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8)

Anne L. Collins,

Associate Administrator for Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 2022-13364 Filed 6-22-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Foreign Assets Control

Notice of OFAC Sanctions Actions

AGENCY: Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control is publishing the names of one or more persons that have been placed on OFAC's Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List (SDN List) based on OFAC's determination that one or more applicable legal criteria were satisfied. All property and interests in property

¹ <https://www.nhtsa.gov/equipment/tires> ("Should I replace my tires?")

subject to U.S. jurisdiction of these persons are blocked, and U.S. persons are generally prohibited from engaging in transactions with them.

DATES: See Supplementary Information section for effective date(s).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

OFAC: Andrea Gacki, Director, tel.: 202-622-2490; Associate Director for Global Targeting, tel.: 202-622-2420; Assistant Director for Licensing, tel.: 202-622-2480; Assistant Director for Regulatory Affairs, tel.: 202-622-4855; or the Assistant Director for Sanctions Compliance & Evaluation, tel.: 202-622-2490.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Availability

The Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List and additional information concerning OFAC sanctions programs are available on OFAC's website (<https://www.treasury.gov/ofac>).

Notice of OFAC Actions

On June 17, 2022, OFAC determined that the property and interests in property subject to U.S. jurisdiction of the following persons are blocked under the relevant sanctions authority listed below.

Individual

1. LOPEZ DELGADO, Ruy, Carretera Masaya, Km 6.5, Plaza 800 Mts Sur Lomas Santo Domingo, Casa #6, Managua, Nicaragua; DOB 30 Jun 1949; POB Managua, Nicaragua; nationality Nicaragua; Gender Male; Passport C01850896 (Nicaragua) issued 11 May 2015 expires 11 May 2025; National ID No. 0013006490003J (Nicaragua) (individual) [NICARAGUA].

Designated pursuant to section 1(a)(iii) of Executive Order 13851 of November 27, 2018, "Blocking Property of Certain Persons Contributing to the Situation in Nicaragua ("E.O. 13851"), for being an official of the Government of Nicaragua or having served as an official of the Government of Nicaragua at any time on or after January 10, 2007.

Entity

1. EMPRESA NICARAGUENSE DE MINAS (a.k.a. ENIMINAS), Residencial Bolonia, de la Embajada Alemania, 2 cuadras Oeste, 1 cuadra Norte, Managua, Nicaragua; Organization Established Date 2017; Organization Type: Mining of other non-ferrous metal ores; Target Type State-Owned Enterprise [NICARAGUA] (Linked To: LOPEZ DELGADO, Ruy).

Designated pursuant to section 1(a)(v) of E.O. 13851, for being owned or controlled by, or having acted or purported to act for or on behalf of, directly or indirectly, Ruy DELGADO LOPEZ, a person whose property and interests in property are blocked pursuant to E.O. 13851.