regarding whether third party disclosure of information is permitted in order to pursue an investigation. Additionally, in this comment the Office of Inspector General requests a routine use allowing third party disclosure of information pursuant to an investigation.

Answer: The question posed by the Office of Inspector General is whether the disclosure of some information from this SOR to the Office of Inspector General authorizes them to “share Informed Delivery information with third parties to investigate the customer’s complaint.” Unfortunately, this request is for a generalized legal opinion, absent the factual support of a scenario. Such an opinion cannot be provided.

As discussed in response to comment number 2, three authorized disclosures already exist that allow information to be shared for law enforcement purposes. However, merely being authorized to provide the data to the Office of Inspector General does not end the inquiry; an independent inquiry must be made of whether this particular third party has a need to know the information. Determining the need to know of a particular party is a fact specific determination. As a result, the need to know for a particular class of individuals, absent knowledge of the particular factual circumstances, cannot be answered in the abstract.

The request for a generalized routine use to further disclose information disclosed from a system of record pursuant to a different routine use, to a generalized class of individuals is equally untenable. It is not possible to ensure that the entire class is appropriate for disclosure. As a result, the class does not comport with the strictures required by the Privacy Act and cannot be entertained.

4. Comment 4: The Office of Inspector General asks two questions to ascertain whether mailpiece images are personally identifiable information as defined in the Privacy Act.

Answer: To fully respond to this question, it is necessary to discuss the exact nature of a record that would be subject to the Privacy Act. A record is subject to the Privacy Act if it is a “record which is contained in a system of records.” 5 U.S.C. 552a(b).

A record is an “item, collection, or grouping of information about an individual that is maintained by an agency, including, but not limited to, his education, financial transactions, medical history and that contains his name, or the identifying number, symbol, or other identifying particular assigned to the individual, such as a finger or voice print or a photograph.” 5 U.S.C. 552a(a)(4).

A system of records is “a group of any records under the control of any agency from which information is retrieved by the name of the individual or by some identifying number, symbol, or other identifying particular assigned to the individual.” 5 U.S.C. 552a(a)(5) (emphasis added).

Therefore, to be subject to the Privacy Act, data must be a record and stored in a system of record.

Looking at mailpieces, the answer is two-fold. When mailpiece images are within the Informed Delivery System, those mailpiece images are records stored in a system of records. Mailpiece images are provided to the individual, with a Customer Registration and Informed Delivery account, who will be receiving the physical mailpiece. The mailpiece images are directly related to the delivery point associated to the accounts. Delivery points associated with accounts are retrieved by personal identifier and they are subject to the Privacy Act.

When the mailpiece images are not within the Informed Delivery Service, they are stored in bulk with the mail-processing equipment. Those images are not stored or retrieved by personal identifier. It is not until they are associated with the Informed Delivery Service that they become retrievable by personal identifier. As a result, when the mailpiece images are in bulk storage, they are not records subject to the Privacy Act.

Joshua J. Hofer, Attorney, Ethics & Legal Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2022–12605 Filed 6–10–22; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Agency Forms Submitted for OMB Review, Request for Comments

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) is forwarding an Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA), Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Our ICR describes the information we seek to collect from the public. Review and approval by OIRA ensures that we impose appropriate paperwork burdens.
Type of request: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Affected public: Private Sector; Businesses or other for-profits.

Abstract: Benefits are provided under the Railroad Retirement Act (RRA) for individuals who are employee representatives as defined in section 1 of the RRA. The collection obtains information regarding the status of such individuals and their compensation.

Changes proposed: The RRB proposes no changes to Form DC–2.

The burden estimate for the ICR is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form No.</th>
<th>Annual responses</th>
<th>Time (minutes)</th>
<th>Burden (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC–2 ...........................................................................................................................</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ..........................................................................................................................</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abstract:**

Benefits are provided under the Railroad Retirement Act (RRA) (45 U.S.C. 231a), monthly survivor annuities are payable to surviving widow(er)s, parents, unmarried children, and in certain cases, divorced spouses, mothers (fathers), remarried widow(er)s, and grandchildren of deceased railroad employees if there are no qualified survivors of the employee immediately eligible for an annuity. The requirements relating to the annuities are prescribed in 20 CFR 216, 217, 218, and 219.

To collect the information needed to help determine an applicant’s entitlement to, and the amount of, a survivor annuity the RRB uses Forms AA–17, Application for Widow(er)’s Annuity; AA–17b, Applications for Determination of Widow(er)’s Disability; AA–18, Application for Mother’s/ Father’s and Child’s Annuity; AA–19, Application for Child’s Annuity; AA–19a, Application for Determination of Child’s Disability; AA–20, Application for Parent’s Annuity, and electronic Forms AA–17cert, Application Summary and Certification and AA–17sum, Application Summary.

The on-line automated survivor annuity application (Forms AA–17, AA–18, AA–19, and AA–20) process obtains information about an applicant’s marital history, work history, benefits from other government agencies, and Medicare entitlement for a survivor annuity. An RRB representative interviews the applicant either at a field office (preferred), an itinerant point, or by telephone. During the interview, the RRB representative enters the information obtained into an on-line information system. Upon completion of the interview, the system generates, for the applicant’s review, either Form AA–17cert or AA–17sum, which provides a summary of the information that the applicant provided or verified. Form AA–17cert, Application Summary and Certification, requires a tradition pen and ink “wet” signature. Form AA–17sum, Application Summary, documents the alternate signing method called “Attestation,” which is an action taken by the RRB representative to confirm and annotate in the RRB records (1) the applicant’s intent to file an application; (2) the applicant’s affirmation under penalty of perjury that the information provided is correct; and (3) the applicant’s agreement to sign the application by proxy. When the RRB representative is unable to contact the applicant in person or by telephone, for example, the applicant lives in another country, a manual version of the appropriate form is used. One response is requested of each respondent.

Completion of the forms is required to obtain a benefit.

Previous Requests for Comments: The RRB has already published the initial 60-day notice (87 FR 19538 on April 4, 2022) required by 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2). That request elicited no comments.

**Information Collection Request (ICR)**

**Title:** Application for Survivor Insurance Annuities.

**OMB Control Number:** 3220–0030.

**Form(s) submitted:** AA–17b, AA–17cert, AA–17sum, and AA–19a.

**Type of request:** Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

**Affected public:** Individuals or Households.

**Abstract:** Under Section 2(d) of the Railroad Retirement Act, monthly survivor annuities are payable to surviving widow(er)s, parents, unmarried children, and in certain cases, divorced wives (husbands), mothers (fathers), remarried widow(er)s and grandchildren of deceased railroad employees. The collection obtains information needed by the RRB to determine entitlement to and the amount of the annuity applied for.

**Changes proposed:** The RRB proposes no changes to forms AA–17b, AA–17cert, AA–17sum, and AA–19a.

The burden estimate for the ICR is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form No.</th>
<th>Annual responses</th>
<th>Time (minutes)</th>
<th>Burden (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA–17 Application Process:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA–17cert ..................................................................................................................</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA–17sum ..................................................................................................................</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA–17b:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(With assistance) .......................................................................................................</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Without assistance) ...............................................................................................</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA–19a:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(With assistance) .......................................................................................................</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Without assistance) ...............................................................................................</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ..........................................................................................................................</td>
<td>3,485</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,337</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Title and Purpose of Information Collection: Nonresident Questionnaire; OMB 3220–0145.

Under Public Laws 98–21 (45 U.S.C. 410) and 98–76 (45 U.S.C. 231t), benefits under the Railroad Retirement Act payable to annuitants living outside the United States may be subject to taxation under United States income tax laws. Whether the social security equivalent and non-social security equivalent portions of Tier I, Tier II, vested dual benefit, or supplemental annuity payments are subject to tax withholding, and whether the same or different rates are applied to each payment, depends on a beneficiary’s citizenship and legal residence status, and whether exemption under a tax treaty between the United States and the country in which the beneficiary is a legal resident has been claimed. To effect the required tax withholding, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) needs to know a nonresident’s citizenship and legal residence status.

To secure the required information, the RRB utilizes Form RRB–1001, Nonresident Questionnaire, as a supplement to an application as part of the initial application process, and as an independent vehicle for obtaining the needed information when an annuitant’s residence or tax treaty status changes. One response is requested of each respondent. Completion is voluntary.

Previous Requests for Comments: The RRB has already published the initial 60-day notice (87 FR 19539 on April 4, 2022) required by 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2). That request elicited no comments.

Abstract: Under the Railroad Retirement Act, the benefits payable to an annuitant living outside the United States may be subject to withholding under Public Laws 98–21 and 98–76. The form obtains the information needed to determine the amount to be withheld.

Changes proposed: The RRB proposes no changes to Form RRB–1001.

The burden estimate for the ICR is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form No.</th>
<th>Annual Responses</th>
<th>Time per Response</th>
<th>Burden (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RRB–1001 (Initial Filing)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRB–1001 (Tax Renewal)</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td></td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Information or Comments:
Copies of the forms and supporting documents can be obtained from Kennisha Tucker at (312) 469–2591 or Kennisha.Tucker@rrb.gov. Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Brian Foster, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611–1275 or Brian.Foster@rrb.gov.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

Brian Foster,
Clearance Officer.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change for Amendments to the Exchange’s Rules Regarding Continuing Education Requirements

June 7, 2022.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on May 25, 2022, New York Stock Exchange LLC (“NYSE” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes amendments to the Exchange’s rules regarding continuing education requirements (Rule 345A) applicable to members or member organizations. The proposed rule change also makes conforming amendments to the Exchange’s rules regarding registration requirements (Rule 1210). Among other changes, the proposed rule change requires that the Regulatory Element of continuing education be completed annually rather than every three years and provides a path through continuing education for individuals to maintain their qualification following the termination of a registration. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at www.nysereg.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at