

Instructions: All submissions must include the agency's name and the docket number for this rulemaking (Docket No. OSHA-2021-0006). All comments, including any personal information you provide, are placed in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov. Therefore, OSHA cautions commenters about submitting information they do not want made available to the public, or submitting materials that contain personal information (either about themselves or others), such as Social Security numbers and birthdates.

Docket: To read or download comments and other materials submitted in the docket, go to Docket No. OSHA-2021-0006 at www.regulations.gov. All comments and submissions are listed in the www.regulations.gov index; however, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download through that website. All comments and submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection through the OSHA Docket Office.¹ Contact the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-2350, (TTY (877) 889-5627) for information about materials not available through the website, and for assistance in using the internet to locate docket submissions.

Electronic copies of this **Federal Register** document are available at www.regulations.gov. This document, as well as news releases and other relevant information, is available at OSHA's website at www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For press inquiries: Contact Frank Meilinger, Director, Office of Communications, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor; telephone (202) 693-1999; email: meilinger.francis2@dol.gov.

For general information and technical inquiries: Contact Lee Anne Jillings, Director, Directorate of Technical Support and Emergency Management, U.S. Department of Labor; telephone (202) 693-2300; email: Jillings.LeeAnne@dol.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 30, 2022, OSHA published a notice of proposed rulemaking to amend its recordkeeping regulation to require

¹ Documents submitted to the docket by OSHA or stakeholders are assigned document identification numbers (Document ID) for easy identification and retrieval. The full Document ID is the docket number plus a unique four-digit code. OSHA is identifying supporting information in this document by author name, publication year, and the last four digits of the Document ID.

certain employers to electronically submit injury and illness information to OSHA that employers are already required to keep under the recordkeeping regulation (87 FR 18528). The notice set a deadline of May 31, 2022, for submitting written comments. OSHA has received a request from the American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL-CIO) to extend the comment period between 30 to 60 additional days (Document ID 0027). The AFL-CIO's request explains that the agency has multiple deadlines within a one-week period, and the AFL-CIO wishes to have time to meaningfully respond to the important issues in both this notice and the several other requests for comment by OSHA. After reviewing this comment, OSHA has decided to extend the deadline for submitting comments to June 30, 2022, in order to provide stakeholders an additional 30 days (90 days total) to prepare and submit meaningful responses to this rulemaking.

Authority and Signature

This document was prepared under the direction of Douglas L. Parker, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20210. It is issued under sections 8 and 24 of the Occupational Safety and Health Act (29 U.S.C. 657, 673), section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553), and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 08-2020 (85 FR 58393, Sept. 18, 2020).

Signed at Washington, DC.

Douglas L. Parker,

Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 2022-11213 Filed 5-24-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[Docket No. USCG-2022-0303]

RIN 1625-AA09

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Trail Creek, Michigan City, IN

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to modify the operating schedule that governs the Amtrak Railroad Bridge, mile 0.9, over Trail Creek, in Michigan

City, Indiana, to allow it to operate remotely. The bridge has operated remotely since 2003 without inclusion in the CFR and without incident or public complaint. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking.

DATES: Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before July 25, 2022.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG-2022-0303 using Federal Decision Making Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov>.

See the "Public Participation and Request for Comments" portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below for instructions on submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this proposed rule, call or email Mr. Lee D. Soule, Bridge Management Specialist, Ninth Coast Guard District; telephone 216-902-6085, email Lee.D.Soule@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

DHS Department of Homeland Security

FR Federal Register

IGLD85 International Great Lakes Datum of 1985

Left As viewed from the mouth of the river

LWD Low Water Datum Based on IGLD85

NPRM Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Advance, Supplemental)

OMB Office of Management and Budget

Right As viewed from the mouth of the river

§ Section

U.S.C. United States Code

II. Background, Purpose and Legal Basis

The Amtrak Railroad Bridge, mile 0.9, over Trail Creek, in Michigan City, Indiana, was authorized to operate remotely by letter during the United States Coast Guard's transition from the Department of Transportation to the Department of Homeland Security. Inclusion of the Amtrak Railroad Bridge, mile 0.9, into the regulations was overlooked and this proposed rule will correct that oversight and provide the public the opportunity to comment on the bridge operations.

Trail Creek is 7.3 miles long and used by small powered and unpowered recreational vessels, commercial passenger vessels, and fishing vessels. Freighters have not utilized the waterway for several years. The Amtrak Railroad Bridge, mile 0.9 is a swing railroad bridge and provides a horizontal clearance of 41 feet in the right draw and 44 feet in the left draw and a vertical clearance of 7 feet above

LWD in the closed position and an unlimited vertical clearance in the open position. Each day during the summer, approximately 35 recreational and commercial fishing vessels transit the Amtrak Railroad Bridge, mile 0.9; most of the 35 vessels make daily roundtrips, transiting the bridge two times each day.

In 33 CFR 117.401, the Amtrak Railroad Bridge, mile 0.9, is required to open on signal except that, from December 1 through March 15 the Amtrak Railroad Bridge, mile 0.9, is required to open on signal if a 12-hour advance notice is provided.

III. Discussion of Proposed Rule

This proposed rule will allow the public to comment on how well the bridge has been operated remotely for the last 19 years. The drawtender will continue to remain in Chicago, Illinois and will be responsible for the remote operation of two remote railroad bridges: The Amtrak Railroad Bridge, mile 0.9, over Trail Creek and the Amtrak Railroad Bridge, mile 3.77, over the South Branch of the Chicago River.

The Amtrak Railroad Bridge, mile 0.9, will continue to operate a 2-way public address system to answer calls from: (1) Vessel horn signals; (2) a VHF-FM Marine Radio that will monitor channel 16; and (3) cameras that will monitor the tracks, the underside of the bridge, and the upriver and down river view of the river.

IV. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this proposed rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive Orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on these statutes and Executive Orders and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. This NPRM has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, the NPRM has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

This regulatory action determination is based on the fact that remote operation will not significantly impact a vessel’s ability to transit the bridge; further, the bridge has operated in said manner since 2003 without comment or complaint.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the bridge may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section IV.A above, this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSEES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520.).

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132 (Federalism), if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent

with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175 (Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments) because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this proposed rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this proposed rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01, Rev.1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning Policy COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f). The Coast Guard has determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This proposed rule promulgates the operating regulations or procedures for drawbridges. Normally such actions are categorically excluded from further review, under paragraph L49, of Chapter 3, Table 3–1 of the U.S. Coast Guard Environmental Planning Implementation Procedures.

Neither a Record of Environmental Consideration nor a Memorandum for the Record are required for this rule. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

V. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking, and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal Decision Making Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov>. To do so, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG-2022-0303 in the search box and click “Search.” Next, look for this document in the Search Results column, and click on it. Then click on the Comment option. If your material cannot be submitted using <https://www.regulations.gov>, contact the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

To view documents mentioned in this proposed rule as being available in the docket, find the docket as described in the previous paragraph, and then select “Supporting & Related Material” in the Document Type column. Public comments will also be placed in our online docket and can be viewed by following instructions on the <https://www.regulations.gov> Frequently Asked Questions web page. We review all comments received, but we will only post comments that address the topic of the proposed rule. We may choose not to post off-topic, inappropriate, or duplicate comments that we receive.

We accept anonymous comments. Comments we post to <https://www.regulations.gov> will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and submissions in response to this document, see DHS’s eRulemaking System of Records notice (85 FR 14226, March 11, 2020).

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 33 CFR 1.05-1; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. In § 117.401 Trail Creek revise paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 117.401 Trail Creek.

* * * * *

(b) The draw of the Amtrak Railroad Bridge, mile 0.9, at Michigan City shall open on signal; except from December 1 through March 15, the draw shall open if at least 12-hours advance notice is given. The bridge is authorized to be operated remotely. The bridge shall operate and maintain a VHF-FM Marine Radio.

* * * * *

M.J. Johnston,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Ninth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2022-11121 Filed 5-24-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2022-0278]

RIN 1625-AA00

Safety Zone; Kittery Coast Guard Day Fireworks, Kittery, ME

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is proposing to establish a temporary safety zone for certain navigable waters of the Piscataqua River near Kittery, Maine. This action is necessary to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment from potential hazards associated with a fireworks display. This proposed regulation would prohibit entry of vessels or persons into the safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Northern New England (COTP) or a designated representative. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking.

DATES: Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before June 24, 2022.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG-2022-0278 using the Federal Decision Making Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov>. See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section for further instructions on submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this proposed

rulemaking, call or email Chief Petty Officer Christopher Mckibben, Waterways Management Division, Sector Northern New England, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 207-347-5003, email Christopher.R.Mckibben@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

COTP Captain of the Port Northern New England

DHS Department of Homeland Security

FR Federal Register

NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking

§ Section

U.S.C. United States Code

II. Background, Purpose, and Legal Basis

On March 28, 2022, the Wood Island Life Saving Station Association notified the Coast Guard that it will be conducting a fireworks display from 9 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. on August 6, 2022. The fireworks are to be launched from anchored floats on the Piscataqua River located approximately 1000 yards northwest of Fort Foster, Kittery, ME in position 43°04'23.9" N, 070°41'57.4" W (NAD83). Hazards from firework displays include accidental discharge of fireworks, dangerous projectiles, and falling hot embers or other debris. The Captain of the Port Northern New England (COTP) has determined that potential hazards associated with the fireworks to be used in this display would be a safety concern for anyone within a 500-foot radius of the fireworks launch floats.

The purpose of this rulemaking is to ensure the safety of vessels on the navigable waters within a 500-foot radius of the firework launch floats before, during, and after the scheduled event. The Coast Guard is proposing this rulemaking under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034 (previously 33 U.S.C. 1231).

III. Discussion of Proposed Rule

The COTP is proposing to establish a safety zone from 9 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. on August 6, 2022. The safety zone would cover all navigable waters within 500 feet of the anchored firework floats in the Piscataqua River located approximately 1000 yards northwest of Fort Foster in Kittery, ME. The duration of the zone is intended to ensure the safety of vessels and these navigable waters before, during, and after the scheduled 9 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. fireworks display on August 6, 2022. No vessel or person would be permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or a designated representative. The