—For requests of approval for various operations or submission of plans or applications, the burdens are included with other OMB-approved collections: For BOEM, 30 CFR part 550 (subpart A, Control Number 1010–0114; subpart B, Control Number 1010–0151); and for BSEE, 30 CFR part 250 (subpart A, Control Number 1014–0022; subpart D, Control Number 1014–0018).
—All submission for designation of operator (Form BOEM–1123) under 30 CFR parts 550, 556, and 560 are captured in OMB Control Number 1010–0114.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Peter Meffert,

Acting Chief, Office of Regulations.

[FR Doc. 2022–11074 Filed 5–23–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1194 (Advisory Opinion Proceeding)]

Certain High-Density Fiber Optic Equipment and Components Thereof; Institution of an Advisory Opinion Proceeding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to institute an advisory opinion proceeding as requested by Panduit Corporation (''Panduit''). The Commission has also determined to refer this matter to the Chief Administrative Law Judge (''CALJ'') for assignment to an administrative law judge (''ALJ'') for appropriate proceedings and an initial advisory opinion (''IAO''). The IAO is to be issued at the earliest practicable time, preferably within 120 days from the date of institution, but no later than 7 months after institution.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cathy Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov.* For help accessing EDIS, please email *EDIS3Help@usitc.gov.* General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at *https://www.usitc.gov.* Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted the underlying investigation on March 24, 2020, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Corning Optical Communications LLC (''Corning'') of Charlotte, North Carolina. 85 FR 16653–54 (Mar. 24, 2020). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain high-density fiber optic equipment and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,020,320 (the '' '320 patent''), 10,444,456 (the '' '456 patent''), 10,120,153 (the '' '153 patent''), 8,712,206 (the '' '206 patent''), and 10,094,996 (''the '996 patent''). *Id.* The '996 patent was subsequently terminated from the investigation. *See* Order No. 11 (July 29, 2020), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Aug. 13, 2020). The Commission's notice of investigation named thirteen respondents including, among others, Panduit of Tinley, Illinois; FS.com Inc. (''FS'') of New Castle, Delaware; Leviton Manufacturing Co., Inc. (''Leviton'') of Melville, New York; Panduit of Tinley, Illinois; and The LAN Wirewerks Research Laboratories Inc. d/b/a Wirewerks of Quebec, Canada; and The Siemon Company (''Siemon'') of Watertown, Connecticut (collectively, ''Respondents''). *See* Comm'n Op. at 3–5 (Aug. 23, 2021). The remaining respondents were either found in default pursuant to Commission Rule 210.16, or terminated from the investigation based on withdrawal of the allegations in the complaint or a settlement agreement. *Id.* The notice of investigation also named the Office of Unfair Import Investigations (''OUII'') as a party. *Id.* at 4.

On March 23, 2021, the ALJ issued a final initial determination (''ID'') finding a violation of section 337 with respect to claims 1 and 3 of the '320 patent; claims 11, 12, 14–16, 19, 21, 27, and 28 of the '456 patent; claims 9, 16, 23, and 26 of the '153 patent; and claims 22 and 23 of the '206 patent (collectively, ''Asserted Patents'').

On May 24, 2021, the Commission determined to review the final ID in part. 86 FR 28890–93 (May 28, 2021). On August 3, 2021, the Commission determined that Corning established a violation of section 337 with respect to claims 1 and 3 of the '320 patent; claims 11, 12, 14–16, 19, 21, 27, and 28 of the '456 patent; claims 9, 16, 23, and 26 of the '153 patent; and claims 22 and 23 of the '206 patent. 86 FR 43564–66 (Aug. 9, 2021). Among other findings, the Commission affirmed with modifications the ID's finding that Panduit induced infringement of the asserted claims of the '320, '456, and '153 patents but not the '206 patent. As a remedy, the Commission determined to issue a general exclusion order (''GEO'') and cease and desist orders (''CDOs''), including one directed to Panduit.

On November 24, 2021, Corning filed a complaint requesting that the Commission institute an enforcement proceeding under Commission Rule 210.75 to investigate alleged violations of the GEO and CDO by Panduit. On December 28, 2021, the Commission determined to institute an enforcement proceeding to determine whether violations of the GEO and CDO have occurred and to determine what, if any, enforcement measures are appropriate. Panduit and OUII were named as parties. The Commission referred the enforcement proceeding to the Chief ALJ for designation of a presiding ALJ to conduct any necessary proceedings, issue an Enforcement Initial Determination, and make a recommendation on appropriate enforcement measures, if any.

On November 29, 2021, Panduit, Siemon, and FS filed a notice of appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit seeking review of the Commission's determination. The appeal (Docket No. 2022–1228) was docketed on December 7, 2021.

On April 18, 2022, Panduit filed the subject request for an advisory opinion that three new fiber optic equipment designs that it developed do not infringe any asserted claims of the Asserted Patents and are therefore not covered by the GEO and CDO issued in this investigation. Panduit's new designs include: (1) A patch panel design with a density of 192 fiber optic connections in a 1U space; (2) a patch panel design with a density of 144 fiber optic connections in a 1U space; and (3) a new enclosure design with a density of 192 fiber optic connections in a 1U space (collectively, ''New Designs''). On April 28, 2022, Corning and OUII filed responses to Panduit's request.

Having reviewed Panduit's request and the supporting documents, the

Commission has determined to institute an advisory opinion proceeding to ascertain whether Panduit's New Designs infringe claims 1 and 3 of the '320 patent; claims 11, 12, 14–16, 19, 21, 27, and 28 of the '456 patent; claims 9, 16, 23, and 26 of the '153 patent; and claims 22 and 23 of the '206 patent, and are covered by the remedial orders issued in this investigation. The Commission has further determined to refer the matter to the CALJ for assignment to an ALJ for appropriate proceedings and the issuance of an IAO at the earliest practicable time, preferably within 120 days of institution but no later than 7 months after institution. The ALJ shall set a target date at two months following the date of issuance of the IAO. The target date may be extended for good cause shown. The following entities are named as parties to the proceeding: (1) Panduit; (2) Corning; and (3) OUII.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on May 18, 2022.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 18, 2022.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2022–11078 Filed 5–23–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1314]

Certain Computer Network Security Equipment and Systems, Related Software, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on April 19, 2022, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Centripetal Networks, Inc. of Reston, Virginia. A supplement to the complaint was filed on April 29, 2022. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain computer network security equipment and systems, related software, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,264,370 (''the '370 patent''); U.S. Patent No. 10,193,917 (''the '917 patent''); and U.S. Patent No. 10,284,526 (''the '526 patent''). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov.* For help accessing EDIS, please email *EDIS3Help@usitc.gov.* Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at *https://www.usitc.gov.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2021).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 18, 2022, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 22–27, 42–48, and 63 of the '370 patent; claims 1, 5, 11, 15, and 20 of the '917 patent; and claims 1–3, 6, 11–13, and 16 of the '526 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is ''network traffic monitoring and security enforcement computer equipment, as well as related network analysis software components thereof, and products containing the same'';

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Centripetal Networks, Inc., 1875 Explorer Street, Suite 900, Reston, VA 20190.

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: Keysight Technologies, Inc., 1400 Fountaingrove Parkway, Santa Rosa, CA 95403–1738.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice