

accurate information, and pay a \$1 million civil money penalty.

**Rohit Chopra,**

*Director, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 2022-09690 Filed 5-4-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-AM-P

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION**

[Docket No. CPSC-2012-0054]

**Proposed Extension of Approval of Information Collection; Comment Request; Safety Standard for Automatic Residential Garage Door Operators**

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) requests comment on a proposed extension of approval of information collection under the safety standard for automatic residential garage door operators. CPSC will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting an extension of this collection of information from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

**DATES:** Submit written or electronic comments on the collection of information by July 5, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CPSC-2012-0054, by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Submissions:* Submit electronic comments to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at: <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. CPSC does not accept comments submitted by electronic mail (email), except and as described below. CPSC encourages you to submit electronic comments by using the Federal eRulemaking Portal, as described above.

*Mail/Hand Delivery/Courier/Confidential Written Submissions:* Submit comments by mail, hand delivery, or courier to: Division of the Secretariat, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone: (301) 504-7479. If you wish to submit confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information that you do not want to be available to the public, you may submit such material by mail, hand delivery, or courier, or you may email it to: [cpsc-os@cpsc.gov](mailto:cpsc-os@cpsc.gov).

*Instructions:* All submissions must include the agency name and docket number. CPSC may post all comments without change, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided, to: <https://www.regulations.gov>. Do not submit through this website:

Confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information that you do not want to be available to the public. If you wish to submit such information, please submit it according to the instructions for mail/hand delivery/courier/confidential written submissions.

*Docket:* For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to: <https://www.regulations.gov>, and insert the docket number, CPSC-2012-0054, into the "Search" box, and follow the prompts.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Cynthia Gillham, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; (301) 504-7991, or by email to: [cgillham@cpsc.gov](mailto:cgillham@cpsc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** CPSC seeks to renew the following currently approved collection of information:

*Title:* Safety Standard for Automatic Residential Garage Door Operators.

*OMB Number:* 3041-0125.

*Type of Review:* Renewal of collection.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion.

*Affected Public:* Manufacturers and importers of automatic residential garage door operators.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* An estimated 17 firms that conduct performance tests and maintain records based on the test results to retain UL certification and verify compliance with the rule.

*Estimated Time per Response:* Based on staff's review of industry sources, each respondent will spend an estimated 40 hours annually on the collection of information related to the rule.

*Total Estimated Annual Burden:* 680 hours (17 firms × 40 hours).

*General Description of Collection:* On December 22, 1992, the Commission issued rules prescribing requirements for a reasonable testing program to support certificates of compliance with the Safety Standard for Automatic Residential Garage Door Operators (57 FR 60449). These regulations also require manufacturers, importers, and private labelers of residential garage door operators to establish and maintain records to demonstrate compliance with the requirements for testing to support

certification of compliance. 16 CFR part 1211, subparts B and C.

**Request for Comments**

CPSC solicits written comment from all interested persons about the proposed collection of information. CPSC specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

- Whether the collection of information described is necessary for the proper performance of CPSC's functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
- Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
- Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and
- Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic, or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

**Alberta E. Mills,**

*Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2022-09645 Filed 5-4-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION**

[Docket No. CPSC-2012-0026]

**Proposed Extension of Approval of Information Collection; Comment Request; Requirements Pertaining to Third Party Conformity Assessment Bodies**

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) requests comment on a proposed extension of approval of information collection regarding the requirements pertaining to third party conformity assessment bodies. CPSC will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting an extension of this collection of information from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

**DATES:** Submit written or electronic comments on the collection of information by July 5, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CPSC-2012-0026, by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Submissions:* Submit electronic comments to the Federal

eRulemaking Portal at: <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. CPSC does not accept comments submitted by electronic mail (email), except as described below. CPSC encourages you to submit electronic comments by using the Federal eRulemaking Portal, as described above.

*Mail/hand delivery/courier/confidential Written Submissions:* Submit comments by mail, hand delivery, or courier to: Division of the Secretariat, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone: (301) 504-7479. If you wish to submit confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information that you do not want to be available to the public, you may submit such material by mail, hand delivery, or courier, or you may email it to: [cpsc-os@cpsc.gov](mailto:cpsc-os@cpsc.gov).

*Instructions:* All submissions must include the agency name and docket number. CPSC may post all comments without change, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided, to: <https://www.regulations.gov>. Do not submit through this website: Confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information that you do not want to be available to the public. If you wish to submit such information, please submit it according to the instructions for mail/hand delivery/courier/confidential written submissions.

*Docket:* For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to: <https://www.regulations.gov>, and insert the docket number, CPSC-2012-0026, into the "Search" box, and follow the prompts.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cynthia Gillham, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; (301) 504-7991, or by email to: [cgillham@cpsc.gov](mailto:cgillham@cpsc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** CPSC seeks to renew the following currently approved collection of information:

*Title:* Requirements Pertaining to Third Party Conformity Assessment Bodies.

*OMB Number:* 3041-015.6

*Type of Review:* Renewal of collection.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion.

*Affected Public:* Third party conformity assessment bodies seeking acceptance of accreditation or continuing accreditation.

#### *Estimated Burden*

- *New Applications From Third Party Conformity Assessment Bodies*

- We estimate approximately 40 new applications from independent third party conformity assessment bodies will be submitted per year, each taking an estimated 75 minutes to complete the initial application materials, with an estimated burden of 50 hours per year.

- We estimate approximately 3 firewalled third party conformity assessment bodies will apply per year, each taking an estimated 8.4 hours to complete the initial application materials, with an estimated burden of 25.2 hours per year.

- We estimate approximately 4 governmental third party conformity assessment bodies will apply per year, each taking an estimated 3 hours to complete the initial application materials, with an estimated burden of 12 hours per year.

- *Third Party Conformity Assessment Bodies Updating Information*

- We estimate that approximately 1 percent of third party conformity assessment bodies will take 15 minutes to update information for only those elements of information that need updating, with an estimated burden of 1.5 hours per year.

- *Third Party Conformity Assessment Bodies That Subcontracts Out Tests*

- We estimate that approximately 25 percent of party conformity assessment bodies subcontract test and it will take 7 minutes to comply with the subcontracting recordkeeping requirement for an estimated 76,410 subcontracted tests, with an estimated of approximately 8,915 hours per year.

- *Third Party Conformity Assessment Bodies That Voluntarily Withdraw*

- We estimate approximately 8 third party conformity assessment bodies will withdraw yearly, taking an estimated 30 minutes to create and submit the required documentation, with an estimated burden of 4 hours per year.

- *Third Party Conformity Assessment Bodies That Are Audited*

- We estimate that approximately 253 independent third party conformity assessment bodies each year will be audited, taking approximately 4 minutes to resubmit their Form 223 and accreditation certificate, with an estimated burden of 17 hours per year.

- We estimate that approximately 21 firewalled third party conformity assessment bodies will spend 226 minutes collecting and preparing the documentation to submit for an audit,

with estimated burden of about 79 hours per year.

- We estimate approximately 30 governmental third party conformity assessment bodies will spend 1 hour collecting and preparing the documentation to submit for an audit, with estimated burden of 30 hours per year.

- *Total Annual Burden*

Adding all the annual estimated burden hours results in a total of 9,134 hours for third party conformity assessment bodies per year. At \$40.35 per hour, the total cost of the recordkeeping associated with the Requirements Pertaining to Third Party Conformity Assessment Bodies is approximately \$368,557 (9,134 hours × \$40.35 = \$368,557).

*General Description of Collection:* On March 12, 2013, the Commission issued a rule Pertaining to Third Party Conformity Assessment Bodies (78 FR 15836). The rule established the general requirements concerning third party conformity assessment bodies, such as the requirements and procedures for CPSC acceptance of the accreditation of a third party conformity assessment body, and the rule prescribed adverse actions that might be imposed against CPSC-accepted third party conformity assessment bodies. The rule also amended the audit requirements for third party conformity assessment bodies and amended the CPSC's regulation on inspections. CPSC's requirements pertaining to third party conformity assessment bodies can be found at 16 CFR part 1112.

#### **Request for Comments**

CPSC solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed collection of information. CPSC specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

- Whether the collection of information described is necessary for the proper performance of CPSC's functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
- Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
- Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and
- Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic, or other technological

collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

**Alberta E. Mills,**

*Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2022-09646 Filed 5-4-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

## CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

### Request for Information From Non-Federal Stakeholders: Grantee Match Requirements

**AGENCY:** Corporation for National and Community Service.

**ACTION:** Request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Executive Order on advancing racial equity and support for underserved communities, the Corporation for National and Community Service, operating as AmeriCorps, is inviting public comment regarding grantee match (that is, the percentage share of non-AmeriCorps cash and in-kind contributions required to be raised by the grantee in support of the grant) from any interested party, including current and former AmeriCorps applicants and program award recipients and sponsors or funders, and organizations that considered, but ultimately did not apply for, AmeriCorps grants.

**DATES:** To be considered, written comments must be received electronically no later than June 10, 2022 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time (EDT).

**ADDRESSES:** Comments and any supporting attachments should be submitted online at <http://www.regulations.gov>; search for "Request for Information (RFI) from Non-Federal Stakeholders: Grantee Match Requirements." They will be posted unchanged and viewable by the public. Please provide contact information or organization name on the web-based form for possible follow-up from AmeriCorps.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sharron Tendai, Program Support Specialist, AmeriCorps at [stendai@cns.gov](mailto:stendai@cns.gov) or 202-606-3904.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Matching fund requirements are not unusual for Federal grants, but they vary across government agencies and programs. Match requires that a grantee share the funding load for their project or program that is (partially) funded by the agency. Policy reasons for match requirements include ensuring that Federal taxpayer dollars are leveraging non-agency

contributions, supporting more programming by stretching agency dollars further, encouraging community engagement and investment in the grantee's activities, and promoting grantees' sustained financial health and the viability of their projects beyond the life of the grant.

Both AmeriCorps Seniors and AmeriCorps State and National have match requirements, established by Congress, for some of their grant opportunities, as do the Martin Luther King Jr. Day of Service and the Volunteer Generation Fund grant programs. In each case, the grantees may provide the match through cash or in-kind contributions. AmeriCorps Seniors and AmeriCorps State and National grant programs currently have some statutory and/or regulatory relief from match requirements. In accordance with Executive Order 13985 (Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal Government), issued on January 20, 2021, AmeriCorps is inviting public comment regarding grantee match requirements.

#### I. Request for Comment

AmeriCorps seeks input on the following:

1. What are the benefits, if any, of matching requirements?
2. What evidence, if any, supports (or does not support) the use of matching requirements?
3. What changes related to match requirements and alternative match schedules, if any, might be needed in order to:
  - a. Encourage more applicants to apply?
  - b. Make grantees more successful?
  - c. Allow applicants and grantees to reach underserved communities?
4. What changes, if any, to the criteria or timing for alternative match and/or match waiver requests and approvals might:
  - a. Encourage more applicants to apply?
  - b. Make grantees more successful?
  - c. Allow applicants and grantees to reach underserved communities?
5. What alternative approaches to the existing matching requirements would help meet the intended policy goals of community engagement and investment?

#### II. Context

##### A. Current Match Requirements

###### AmeriCorps Seniors

Congress established the match requirements for AmeriCorps Seniors programs in the Domestic Volunteer

Service Act of 1973, as amended (DVSA), 45 U.S.C. 4950 *et seq.*

For the Foster Grandparent Program (FGP) and Senior Companion Program (SCP), the DVSA generally limits AmeriCorps' contributions to 90 percent of the costs of the development and operation of projects, effectively requiring grantee sponsors to contribute at least 10 percent of the total project cost. *See* 42 U.S.C. 5011(a). AmeriCorps' regulations specify that grantee sponsors must contribute 10 percent of the total project cost from non-Federal or authorized Federal sources for these programs. *See* 45 CFR 2552.92(a), 2551.92(a).

For the Retired and Senior Volunteer Program (RSVP), the statute generally limits the match required of grantees to 10 percent in the first year, 20 percent in the second year and 30 percent in subsequent years. *See* 42 U.S.C. 5001(b). AmeriCorps' regulations mirror this requirement, stating that RSVP grants may be awarded to fund up to 90 percent of the total project cost in the first year, 80 percent in the second year, and 70 percent in the third and succeeding years. *See* 45 CFR 2553.72(a).

###### AmeriCorps State and National

Congress established the match requirements for AmeriCorps State and National in the National and Community Service Act of 1990, as amended (NCSA), 42 U.S.C. 12501 *et seq.* The NCSA limits AmeriCorps' share of the cost of carrying out an AmeriCorps State and National program to 75 percent. *See* 42 U.S.C. 12571(e). However, Congress has, for several years through annual appropriations laws, revised this requirement. For example, in the Fiscal Year 2021 appropriations law, Congress provided that the grantees must meet an overall minimum share requirement of 24 percent for the first three years they receive AmeriCorps funding and in successive years must meet the requirements as provided for in AmeriCorps regulations at 45 CFR 2521.60. *See* Public Law 116-260, div. H, title IV, 402, December 27, 2020.

AmeriCorps regulations implement these requirements by requiring a 24 percent match for cost-reimbursement grants to first-time grantees for the first three-year funding period. Starting with year four, the match requirement gradually increases every year until it reaches 50 percent by year 10, as shown in the minimum overall share chart below. Match is calculated as a total of the over all budget (Federal share + grantee share.)